A screen of apoptosis and senescence regulatory genes for life span effects when over-expressed in Drosophila

Jie Shen¹, Christina Curtis², Simon Tavaré^{1,2}, and John Tower¹

¹ Molecular and Computational Biology Program, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

² Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cancer Research UK Cambridge Research Institute Li Ka Shing Centre, Robinson Way, Cambridge CB2 ORE, UK

Running title: Drosophila over-expression screen

Key words: geneswitch, Wnt, wingless, Ras

Correspondence: John Tower, PhD, Molecular and Computational Biology Program, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, 1050 Childs Way, Room 201, Los Angeles, CA 90089-2910, USA *Received:* 01/05/09; accepted: 01/29/09; published on line: 01/30/09 *E-mail:* jtower@usc.edu

Copyright: © 2009 Shen et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Abstract: Conditional expression of transgenes in *Drosophila* was produced using the Geneswitch system, wherein feeding the drug RU486/Mifepristone activates the artificial transcription factor Geneswitch. Geneswitch was expressed using the *Actin5C* promoter and this was found to yield conditional, tissue-general expression of a target transgene (UAS-GFP) in both larvae and adult flies. Nervous system-specific (Elav-GS) and fat body-specific Geneswitch drivers were also characterized using UAS-GFP. Fourteen genes implicated in growth, apoptosis and senescence regulatory pathways were over-expressed in adult flies or during larval development, and assayed for effects on adult fly life span. Over-expression of a dominant *p53* allele (*p53-259H*) in adult flies using the ubiquitous driver produced increased life span in females but not males, consistent with previous studies. Both *wingless* and *Ras activated form* transgenes were lethal when expressed in larvae, and reduced life span when expressed in adults, consistent with results from other model systems indicating that the *wingless* and *Ras* pathways can promote senescence. Over-expression of the caspase inhibitor *baculovirus p35* during larval development reduced the mean life span of male and female adults, and also produced a subset of females with increased life span. These experiments suggest that *baculovirus p35* and the *wingless* and *Ras* pathways can have sexspecific and developmental stage-specific effects on adult *Drosophila* life span, and these reagents should be useful for the further analysis of the role of these conserved pathways in aging.

INTRODUCTION

A number of stresses can cause cells to enter a nondividing state called cellular senescence [1]. These stresses include repeated cell division, expression of activated oncogenes, oxidative stress, and irradiation. The cellular senescence pathway functions as an antitumor mechanism in mammals, and is regulated by the tumor-suppressor proteins p53 and Rb. Senescence of cells during aging may contribute to mammalian aging phenotypes by limiting the ability of stem cell populations to replenish tissues. Several *Drosophila* tissues are maintained by dividing stem cell populations, including the gonads [2], the gut [3, 4] and the malpighian tubule (equivalent to mammalian kidney) [5], however it is currently unknown whether alterations in these stem cell populations during aging has an effect on *Drosophila* life span.

Apoptosis (programmed cell death) is also implicated in mammalian and *Drosophila* aging phenotypes.

Regulated apoptosis is required for normal homeostasis in dividing tissues such as the gut and hematopoetic system, and abnormal apoptotic events have been observed in muscle and other tissues during mammalian aging [6]. In addition, apoptosis is implicated in several human aging-related diseases, for example neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease [7]. In aging Drosophila, abnormal apoptotic events have been observed in muscle and fat tissue [8], but the extent to which apoptosis (or cellular senescence) might modulate Drosophila life span remains largely unknown. Several genes that can affect apoptosis (and senescence) have been found to affect Drosophila life span, including DPOSH, MnSOD and p53 [9-12]. In mammals hyperactive *p53* can produce an accelerated-aging-like phenotype [13], and in Drosophila a dominant-mutant p53 transgene can inhibit insulin-like signaling and cause increased life span [14]. However, the extent to which these effects on life span might be mediated by alterations in apoptosis and/or cellular senescence pathways is largely unknown. The potential importance of the cellular senescence and apoptosis pathways in modulating life span prompted a screen of additional genes implicated in these pathways for life span effects in the fly.

Conditional gene expression systems have several advantages for studies of aging: for example with the Tet-on system the expression of transgenes is triggered by feeding the flies the drug doxycycline, and with the Geneswitch system transgene expression is triggered using the drug RU486/Mifepristone [15-17]. These conditional systems allow for transgene expression to be limited to specific life cycle stages such as development or adulthood. Moreover, these systems provide powerful controls for genetic background effects on life span, since the control and gene-overexpressing animals have identical genetic backgrounds and differ only in the presence or absence of the drug. It is often desirable to over-express a gene in all the tissues of the fly, for example when screening genes for possible life span effects. We have recently reported the generation of a Geneswitch system driver (called "Act-GS-255B"), which contains multiple inserts of a construct in which the promoter of the cytoplasmic actin gene Actin5C is used to drive expression of the Geneswitch transcription factor [16]. Here the Act-GS-255B driver is further characterized using a UAS-GFP reporter, and we report that it is truly tissue-general in both the larval and adult stages. The tissue-general driver facilitated the screening of senescence and apoptosis regulatory genes for life span effects.

RESULTS

Characterization of Geneswitch drivers in adult flies using the UAS-GFP reporter

To facilitate the screen of apoptosis and senescenceregulatory genes for life span effects, several Geneswitch system drivers were characterized for their tissue-specificity of transgene activation using a UAS-GFP reporter, both in adult flies and during larval development. The UAS-GFP reporter employed was "UAS-ultraGFP" which contains multiple copies of a UAS-eGFP construct, and yields particularly high levels of GFP expression [18]. Three Geneswitch system drivers were characterized: The Act-GS-255B driver strain contains multiple inserts of a construct in which the promoter from the cytoplasmic actin gene Actin 5Cdrives Geneswitch, and is expected to yield tissuegeneral expression [16]. The Elav-GS driver contains Geneswitch under control of the Elav gene promoter and produces nervous-system-specific expression [19]. Finally the whole-body fat-body Geneswitch driver strain ("WB-FB-GS") contains both a head fat-body driver (S₁-32) and a body-fat-body driver (S₁-106) [20-22], and is expected to yield expression in the fat-body tissue throughout the animal. The three driver strains were crossed to the UAS-ultraGFP reporter strain to produce adult progeny containing both the driver and reporter constructs, and the flies were cultured in the presence and absence of drug for two weeks. GFP expression was scored in live adult flies as well as in several dissected tissues (Figure 1). The Act-GS-255B driver was found to yield tissue-general expression of the UAS-ultraGFP reporter in adult flies. In whole adults, GFP expression was observed throughout the body of both males and females, with greater expression levels observed in females relative to males. Similarly with heads dissected in half and bodies dissected in half, expression was observed in all tissues, including abundant expression in nervous system, muscle (including flight muscle), and fat-body tissue. Note that flight muscle in male has lower expression than flight muscle in female, however inspection of the GFP-only image for male flight muscle (inset) reveals expression throughout this tissue. Abundant expression was also observed throughout dissected gut tissue, ovary and testes. The expression level was greater in some regions of the gut than others, however all regions of the gut exhibited staining, as revealed by inspection of the GFP-only images (inset). All tissues observed showed significant GFP expression, and therefore we conclude that Act-GS-255B yields truly tissue-general expression in adult flies. The WB-FB-GS driver produced GFP



Figure 1. Expression pattern produced by Geneswitch drivers and UAS-GFP reporter in adult flies. The indicated GeneSwitch drivers Act-GS-255B ("255B"), Elav-GS ("Elav") and WB-FB-GS ("FB") were crossed to the UAS-ultraGFP reporter and adult progeny containing both constructs were scored for GFP expression in various tissues. Control flies were generated by crossing UAS-ultraGFP to *white*¹¹¹⁸ strain flies to produce progeny containing only UAS-ultraGFP. Age-synchronized flies were cultured in the presence and absence of the drug RU486 for two weeks prior to assay, and GFP expression was scored in whole adult flies and dissected tissues, as indicated. Each image is the overlay of the visible light and GFP images. Insets show details of the regions boxed in white, GFP image only. M = male, F = female. Pictures were taken at the magnification of 20X, 50X, 32X, 20X, 50X, and 80X, for whole fly, head in half, body in half, gut, ovary, and testes, respectively. The white arrow indicates a region of 255B Female flight muscle that is obscured by a fragment of cuticle.

expression in the head-fat-body and body-fat-body tissues, as expected, as well as in the gut and testes, and very faint expression in ovary; there was no detectable expression in nervous, muscle, or other tissues. Notably, the expression in adult male head fat body was much reduced relative to female head fat body, consistent with recent characterization of the fat body drivers using a LacZ reporter [17]. Finally, the ElavGS driver produced abundant expression in the brain and ventral nerve cord, as expected, and there was no detectable expression in any other tissues; for example, the muscle, gut and gonads were clearly negative. Note the GFP-only image for the gut (inset) shows a lack of expression. The Elav-GS driver was found to produce similar levels of UAS-GFP reporter expression in male versus female in our experiments.



Figure 2. Expression pattern produced by GeneSwitch drivers and UAS-GFP reporter in larvae. The crosses are the same as Figure 1, but larvae were cultured in the presence and absence of drug in the food, from hatching to the indicated developmental stage. A. Expression patterns in 3rd instar larvae and dissected tissues. For the Elav-GS driver ("Elav") a 1:10 dilution of drug was used because of the toxic effects of drug observed in larvae with this driver. Pictures were taken at the magnification of 25X, 100X, 20X, 50X, 100X, 80X, for whole larvae, brain, gut, salivary gland, imaginal discs, and fat body, respectively. B. Expression patterns in the three larval stages. For Elav-GS a 1:10 dilution of drug was used to avoid toxic effects. GFP pictures were taken at the magnification of 100X, 50X, 25X, for 1st instar, 2nd instar, and 3rd instar, respectively. C. Expression in 3rd instar larvae using Act-GS-255B and titrations of drug. ETOH indicates the ethanol solvent for the drug alone. Pictures were taken at the magnification of 25X.

Characterization of Geneswitch drivers in larvae using the UAS-GFP reporter

The Geneswitch driver strains were also scored for expression patterns in 3^{rd} instar larvae and dissected tissues (Figure 2). The Act-GS-255B driver was found

to yield tissue-general expression, including abundant expression throughout the body of whole 3rd instar larvae, as well as in dissected brain, gut, salivary gland, imaginal discs and fat-body tissues; all tissues observed showed abundant GFP expression (Figure 2A). The inset for the Act-GS-255B 3rd instar larval brain shows

detail of the GFP-only image, and indicates that expression was present throughout the brain, with higherlevel expression in a subset of cells. The WB-FB-GS driver was found to drive abundant expression in salivary gland and anterior midgut, but notably no expression in any other larval tissues including larval fat-body. Finally the Elav-GS driver produced abundant expression in larval nervous system and no detectable expression in any other larval tissues. The inset for the Elav-GS 3rd instar larval brain shows detail of the GFP-only image, and shows that expression was present throughout the brain, with higher-level expression in a subset of cells. Notably this subset of cells was different from that observed above with Act-GS-255B. Each of the three drivers was found to produce similar patterns of expression in 1^{st} and 2^{nd} instar larvae as well (Figure 1B). When the Act-GS-255B driver was induced using dilutions of RU486 drug in the culture media, it produced a dose-response of GFP expression in 3^{rd} instar larvae (Figure 1D), as well as in adult flies (data not shown).



Figure 3. Effect of transgene overexpression on survival of adult flies. senescence-related Apoptosis and genes wingless, Ras85D, and Ras85D activated form were over-expressed during larval development or in adults, and assayed for effects on adult life span in male and female flies, as indicated. The life span assays were performed at 29°C. Open circles represent the no-drug control ("-"). Solid squares represent adults treated with drug ("A"). Grey triangles represent larvae on drug ("L"). Survival curves are plotted as a function of adult age in days. Median life span of each cohort is presented along with p value for log rank test (in parentheses). (A, C, E, G) male flies. (B, D, F, H) female flies. (A, B) Control flies containing the driver and no target transgene. (C, D) Ras85D activated form. (E, F) Ras85D wild-type. (G, H) wingless.



Figure 4. Effect of *Baculovirus p35* over-expression on survival of adult flies. *Baculovirus p35* transgenes inserted on the X chromosome, chromosome 2, and chromosome 3 were over-expressed during larval development or adult stage, as indicated. The life span assays were performed at 25°C. Open circles represent the no-drug control ("-"). Solid squares represent adults treated with drug ("A"). Grey triangles represent larvae on drug ("L"). Survival curves are plotted as a function of adult age in days. Median life span of each cohort is presented along with *p* value for log rank tests (in parentheses). (**A**, **C**, **E**, **G**) male flies. (**B**, **D**, **F**, **H**) female flies. (**A**,**B**) Control flies containing the driver and no target transgene. (**C**, **D**) *Baculovirus p35* transgene on X chromosome. (**E**, **F**) *Baculovirus p35* transgene on third chromosome.

Effect of apoptosis and senescence-regulatory gene over-expression on life span

Fourteen apoptosis and senescence regulatory genes were chosen for analysis based on their relevance to human apoptosis and senescence pathways and the availability of reagents for *Drosophila*. *Ras85D* is a *Drosophila* homolog of the human oncogene *Ras* that encodes a GTPase involved in signal transduction. *Ras85D activated form* contains an amino acid substitution that causes Ras to be constitutively active [23], and *Ras85D dominant negative* (*DN*) form contains an amino acid substitution that causes it to inhibit the endogenous Ras protein [23, 24]. Wingless is a *Drosophila* homolog of the human Wnt signaling protein involved in development and tumorigenesis [25]. Pk61C is a serine/threonine protein kinase related to human PDK-1 and involved in growth signaling [26].

DIAP1 is a Drosophila member of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family [27]. Baculovirus p35 is a caspase inhibitor protein also related to the IAPs. Nemo (nmo) is the Drosophila homolog of a human protein kinase regulatory subunit involved in NFkappaB signaling pathway [28]. Egfr is the Drosophila homolog of the human epidermal growth factor receptor [29]. The Drosophila pointed (pnt) gene encodes a transcription factor homologous to human Ets1 that is involved in the Ras signaling pathway. The Drosophila Matrix metalloproteinase 2 gene (Mmp2) is involved in tissue remodeling and tumor progression and is related to a family of human matrix metalloproteinases [30]. The Drosophila Stat92E gene encodes a homolog of the human Stat transcription factor, which is a target of the Jak-Stat growth-regulatory pathway [31]. The Drosophila puckered (puc) gene encodes a phosphatase homologous to the human VH-1 family that antagonizes JNK signaling, and heterozygous *puc* mutant flies have been reported to have increased stress resistance and life span [32, 33]. The Drosophila Sphingosine kinase 2 (Sk2) gene encodes a lipid kinase involved in activation of protein kinase C-family signaling, and the human homolog Sphk2 is implicated in regulation of apoptosis [34]. Finally the CG14544 gene encodes a predicted methyltransferase, and the Drosophila bantam (ban) gene encodes a micro-RNA that inhibits expression of pro-apoptotic genes [35]. Each of these genes of interest was over-expressed in adult flies or during larval development, and assayed for effects on adult fly life span.

To control for any possible effects of the Geneswitch system and the RU486 drug itself, life span was assayed in flies that were the progeny of Act-GS-2555B driver crossed to either Oregon-R (Or-R) wild-type strain or to the w^{1118} control strain, to produce progeny containing only the driver. In these control flies, treatment with drug produced small, but statistically significant reductions in life span in both male and female adults: treatment during adulthood reduced mean life span by -4% to -10%, while treatment in larval stages reduced adult life span by -8% to -16% (Figure 3A, B; Figure 4A, B; Tables 2, 3). There were no significant increases in life span in control flies treated with RU486 in any of the replicate experiments. These data indicate that in these experiments, when the Act-GS-255B driver is present, the RU486 can cause small but significant reductions in adult life span, and this effect must be taken into account when interpreting the effects of transgene over-expression. Other studies [22], including ones from our own laboratory using the Act-GS-255B driver [36], found no negative effects of RU486 on adult fly life span. We conclude that the small negative effects observed here result from differences in the lot

of RU486 drug, and/or small differences in effective concentrations due to specifics of media preparation. To confirm that the Act-GS-255B driver can produce increased life span, it was used to drive over-expression of the dominant p53 allele (p53-259H). Overexpression of p53-259H in adult flies using the ubiquitous Act-GS-255B driver produced increased median life span in females (+8%) but not males (-2.8%), and no life span increase when expressed in larvae (Table 3). These results are consistent with previous studies showing that expression of p53-259H in the adult nervous system with the Elav-GS driver can cause increased life span in females [14], and confirms that the Act-GS-255B driver can indeed produce increased life span when combined with an appropriate target gene.

Most of the genes tested by over-expression with the ubiquitous Act-GS-255B driver did not affect life span to an extent greater than the small changes observed with the control flies. However, Ras activated form transgene was lethal when expressed in larvae, and reduced both male and female life span by -80% when expressed in adults (Figure 3C, D; Table 2). Overexpression of wild-type Ras or a Ras dominant-negative allele was not lethal to larvae, and produced only small decreases (-4% to -12%) in both male and female adult life span (Figure 2 E, F; Table 2), thereby in the range of negative effects observed with control flies. Overexpression of the wingless gene was found to be lethal to male and female larvae, using two independent wingless transgenes (Table 2). Over-expression of wingless in adult flies produced significant reductions in both male and female life span: ~-42% with one wingless transgene (Figure 3 G, H) and ~-10% with the other transgene (Table 2).

Finally, the tissue-general Act-GS-255B driver was used to over-express three different transgenes encoding the caspase inhibitor *Baculovirus* p35, during larval development and in adult flies (Figure 4; Table 3). Over-expression of *Baculovirus p35* in adult flies using the tissue-general Act-GS-255B driver produced only small decreases in life span that were within the range observed with control flies, suggesting there were no significant effects in adults. In contrast, when Baculovirus p35 was over-expressed during larval development using the tissue-general driver, it reduced the mean life span of male and female adults by -20%to -50%. Interestingly, over-expression of each of the three independent Baculovirus p35 transgenes during larval development produced an unusual biphasicshaped survival curve in adult females (Figure 4 D, F, H), suggesting the presence of a subset of adult female flies with unchanged or even increased life span. A



Figure 5. Mortality rate analysis of female larvae with and without *Baculovirus p35* **transgene expression.** Open circles represent the no-drug control ("-"). Solid squares represent larvae cultured with drug ("L"). (A, B) *Baculovirus p35* transgene on X chromosome. (C, D) *Baculovirusp35* transgeneon second chromosome. (E, F) *Baculovirus p35* transgene on third chromosome. (A, C, E) Plots of natural-log mortality rate vs. age in days. (B, D, F) The data were fitted to the Gompertz-Makeham model, which best described the mortality rate. The age-independent mortality was removed and the survival curves were re-drawn using only the Gompertz components. Mortality rate analysis showed that age-independent mortality was significantly higher for female larvae on drug versus control for all three *Baculovirus p35* lines (Table 4).

Gompertz-Makeham model was found to give the best fit to the life span data for females in which *Baculovirus* p35 was over-expressed during larval development (Figure 5; Table 4). This analysis revealed that the decrease in mean life span was due to increased ageindependent mortality. When the age-independent mortality was removed and the data re-plotted, it revealed a subset of female flies with unchanged (Figure 5 B, F) or increased life span (Figure 5D).

Two independent *Baculovirus p35* transgenes were also over-expressed in adult flies using the head-fat-body driver S_1 -32, and the whole-body fat-body driver (S_1 -32 plus S_1 -106), and during larval development using the whole-body fat-body driver, however no consistent effects on life span were observed (Table 3).

The nervous system-specific Elav-GS driver was also used to over-express two baculovirus p35 transgenes. In adults the Elav-GS driver itself had little to no effect on life span, and over-expression of baculovirus p35 in adults using Elav-GS had no consistent effects on life span (Table 3). In contrast, when drug was administered to larvae, the Elav-GS driver itself was associated with significant decreases in life span in both males (~-30% to -40%) and females (~-25%), and significantly reduced the number of male adults, and no effects of the baculovirus p35 transgenes on life span could be identified in this background (Table 3). In an attempt to reduce this background toxicity and allow assay of baculovirus p35 transgenes with the Elav-GS driver in larvae, a 1:10 dilution of drug was used. Under these conditions the life span reductions caused by drug in

males and females were smaller (\sim -2% to -12%), and the number of males obtained was approximately normal, however no increases in life span were observed upon over-expression of *baculovirus p35* (Table 3).

The muscle-specific MHC-GS driver was used to drive over-expression of several transgenes in adult flies, however the MHC-GS driver itself was found to cause a significant RU486-dependent decrease in life span in both males and females (~-20% to -30%), and none of the target transgenes tested produced a significant life span increase in this background (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The tissue and temporal specificity of transgene expression can have significant effects on Drosophila life span, and therefore the ability meaningfully to interpret results depends upon careful characterization of the expression patterns produced by the system chosen to drive transgene expression [17, 37]. Here the Geneswitch system driver Act-GS-255B was found to yield tissue-general expression of target transgenes in both larvae and adults, including modulation of expression by titrating the concentration of drug in the food. Some sex-dependent effects on expression were observed with the Geneswitch drivers. For example, Act-GS-255B produced tissue-general expression in both males and females, however females consistently exhibited higher levels of expression than males. Poirier et al have recently reported that the Geneswitch driver S_1 -106 (head fat body) is active in adult females but not males [17], and we found a similar result. Poirier et al also reported that the Elav-GS (nervous system) driver had a female bias, but in our experiments the Elav-GS driver supported similar levels of UAS-GFP expression in males and females. It was particularly striking that while the S_1 -106 and S_1 -32 drivers produced abundant target gene expression in adult fat body, they did not support expression in the larval fat body.

For the Elav-GS driver, previous studies have reported pan-neuronal expression in larvae using a UAS-eGFP reporter [19], nervous system-specific expression in adults using a UAS-eGFP reporter [16], and expression in a subset of neurons in brain and ventral nerve cord in adults using a UAS-LacZ reporter [17]. Here, using the UAS-ultraGFP reporter, Elav-GS was found to produce pan-neuronal staining (i.e., expression in all nervous tissue), plus higher-level expression in a subset of neurons, in both larvae and adults, whereas no expression was observed in any tissues other than nervous system in either larvae or adults. In contrast, Poirier et al reported that the Elav-GS driver produced staining in the digestive system (gut) when it was tested with the UAS-LacZ reporter, and that this signal in gut was not induced by drug [17]. One possible explanation for this difference in results is that the endogenous *Drosophila* β -galactosidase is expressed in sub-regions of the gut [38], and this could have resulted in a background signal when staining for transgenic LacZ activity. Alternatively, the expression pattern produced by the Elav-GS driver might be affected by culture conditions or genetic background differences.

When the Act-GS-255B ubiquitous driver was used to drive expression of the p53-259H transgene in adult flies, it produced life span extension in females, consistent with previous results using the Elav-GS driver [9], and therefore demonstrating that the Act-GS-255B driver can produce increased life span when combined with an appropriate target gene. Of the fourteen candidate genes tested by over-expression, only a subset caused significant and reproducible effects on life span: wingless and Ras activated form caused negative effects, while baculovirus p35 produced both positive and negative effects depending upon sex and developmental stage for over-expression. Care must be taken when interpreting negative effects on life span, since life span might be decreased due to a novel pathology unrelated to the normal mechanisms modulating life span. However, that said, it is interesting that these particular genes/pathways were identified from among the set of genes tested.

Over-expression of wingless using the tissue-general Act-GS-255B driver was lethal to male and female larvae, and when expressed in adult flies wingless dramatically decreased both male and female life span. In Drosophila, wingless signaling promotes maintenance of the gut stem cells [39, 40] and somatic stem cells in the ovary [41]. Interestingly, the wingless homolog Wnt and the Wnt signaling pathway have been implicated in modulating aging-related cellular phenotypes in mammals [42]: Wnt signaling is implicated in tissue homeostasis and the maintenance of adult stem cell populations in younger mammals, while conversely Wnt signaling is implicated in promoting senescence of muscle stem cells in aging mammals [43] Moreover, the *Klotho* gene appears to function by inhibiting Wnt signaling, and Klotho mutation produces an accelerated aging-like phenotype in mice [44], consistent with a pro-aging effect of the Wnt pathway. Drosophila stem cell populations show defects in replicative homeostasis during aging in the gut [45, 46] and gonads [47-50], however it is currently unknown to what extent alterations in stem cell function might limit adult Drosophila life span. It will be of interest to

determine if *wingless* over-expression reduces adult fly life span by disrupting the function of one or more stem cell populations, and to further explore the role of *wingless* signaling in the maintenance of stem cell populations during *Drosophila* aging.

Over-expression of *Ras activated form* during *Drosophila* larval development was lethal to males and females, and when expressed in adult flies it dramatically decreased both male and female life span. Ras signaling has been found to shorten life span and promote cellular senescence in yeast and mammals [51-56], whereas in contrast Ras signaling is reported to promote longevity in long-lived *C. elegans Daf-2* insulin-like receptor mutants [57]. It will be of interest in the future to test in what tissue *Ras activated form* acts to decrease adult fly life span and to determine if this might result from an induction of cellular senescence.

Over-expression of the caspase inhibitor baculovirus p35 in adult flies using the tissue-general Act-GS-255B driver had little to no effect on life span, using three independent baculovirus p35 transgenes. In addition, over-expression of the caspase inhibitor DIAP1 in adults had no consistent effects on life span. While caution must be exercised in interpreting a negative result, it would tend to suggest that adult fly life span is not limited by a canonical caspase-dependent apoptotic pathway. Relevant to this idea, the apoptotic events in aging rat skeletal muscle are reported to be relatively caspase-independent [6]. When baculovirus p35 was expressed during larval development using the tissuegeneral Act-GS-255B driver, it caused reduced mean life span in the resultant male and female adult flies, consistent with the requirement for regulated apoptosis in normal fly development. However, the female adults that resulted from tissue-general baculovirus p35 overexpression during development exhibited an unusual biphasic survival curve that included a subset of adult females with increased life span. This bi-phasic curve and subset of long-lived females was not observed with nervous-system expression of *baculovirus p35* in larvae using the Elav-GS driver, suggesting that nervous-tissue may not be the critical tissue; however, these experiments were confounded by toxic effect of the Elav-GS driver itself in drug-treated larvae. It will be of interest in the future to determine what might be the mechanism by which *baculovirus p35* over-expression in larvae produces a subset of females with increased life span, and if it might result from the inhibition of apoptosis in some critical tissue during female development.

METHODS

Drosophila Strains. All the target transgenes for overexpression (Table 1) were obtained from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. The ubiquitous Geneswitch driver lines Act-GS-255B and Act-GS-255A contain multiple copies of a P element construct in which expression of the Geneswitch cDNA is under the control of the tissue-general Actin5C promoter [16]. The UAS-ultraGFP strain contain multiple copies of a UASeGFP construct. and its construction and characterization have been recently described [18]. The Geneswitch system drivers Elav-GS, MHC-GS, S₁-32 and S₁-106 were generously provided by T. Osterwalder and R. Davis [19, 20].

Drosophila Culture. Drosophila culture and life span assays were performed as described previously [16]. GeneSwitch virgins were used in the crosses with males of other lines, with the exception of strains in which the target transgene for over-expression was on the X chromosome. Life span assays consisted of ~25 flies per vial, and a total 5 vials for each cohort. For survival assays performed at 25°C, flies were transferred to new vials ever other day. For survival assays preformed at 29°C flies were transferred to new vials every other day during the first 30-40 days, and then every day for the remainder of the life span. RU486 (Mifepristone, Sigma) was dissolved in ethanol (100%) to make a stock solution of 3.2mg/ml. For adult feeding, 50ul RU486 stock solution was added to the surface of each vial to produce a final concentration of ~160ug/ml; 50ul ethanol was added to the control vials. For larval feeding, 0.5ml of 3.2mg/ml RU486 stock solution (or the indicated diluted concentration) was added to the surface of each bottle to produce a final concentration of ~160ug/ml (or indicated diluted concentration): 0.5ml ethanol was added to control bottles.

<u>GeneSwitch Driver Characterization</u>. Adult flies were cultured in vials in the presence and absence of drug for two weeks prior to dissection. Adult male and female flies, head in half, body in half, midgut and hindgut, ovary and testes, were photographed. Larvae at 1st instar, 2nd instar and 3rd instar, as well as 3rd instar dissected tissues (brain, midgut and hindgut, salivary gland, imaginal discs, and fat body) were also photographed. The Leica MZ FLIII fluorescence stereomicroscope together with the SPOT software were used for photographs: The GFP pictures were taken under the fluorescent light with exposure time 4 sec and a gain of 2. Statistical Analysis. Mean, standard deviation, median, percent change in mean, percent change in median, and log rank p value were calculated using R 2.6.2 [58]. Analysis of mortality rate was performed with the WinModest statistical package [59]. In the Gompertz-Makeham model, the increase of mortality (μ_x) with age (x) is expressed as: $\mu_x = ae^{bx} + c$, where the constant a is the initial mortality rate, b is the rate of exponential increase in mortality, and c is the age-independent mortality. The age specific mortality rate (μ_x) was calculated using WinModest by binning the days over which deaths were counted (since fly deaths were recorded every other day) such that $\mu_x = (-\ln(N_{x+\delta x} / N_x))$)) / δ_x (or $P_x = N_{x+\delta x} / N_x$ and $\mu_x = -1/\delta_x \ln(P_x)$), where N_x is the number of flies alive at day x and δ_x is the bin size (2). Parameters (a, b, c) were also calculated based on a likelihood ratio test. The full model $(ae^{bx}+c)$ was plotted, and the Gompertz-only component (ae^{bx}) was used to build the decomposed survival curves, using μ_r : $\mu_x = ae^{bx}$, $P_x = e^{-\mu x}$. For the decomposed survival curves, any value below 0.5% survival was considered to be the final data point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Morris Waskar for constructing the "WB-FB-GS" driver strain, and thank Scott Pletcher for advice on use of *WinModest*, and Laurent Seroude for helpful comments. This work was supported by grants from the Department of Health and Human Services to JT (AG11833) and to ST (HG02790).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors of this manuscript have no conflict of interests to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Campisi J. Senescent cells, tumor suppression, and organismal aging: good citizens, bad neighbors. Cell 2005; 120:513-22.

2. Nystul TG, Spradling AC. Breaking out of the mold: diversity within adult stem cells and their niches. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2006; 16:463-468.

3. Ohlstein B, Spradling A. The adult *Drosophila* posterior midgut is maintained by pluripotent stem cells. Nature 2006; 439:470-474.

4. Micchelli CA, Perrimon N. Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult *Drosophila* midgut epithelium. Nature 2006; 439:475-479.

5. Singh SR, Liu W, Hou SX. The adult *Drosophila* malpighian tubules are maintained by multipotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1:191-203.

6. Marzetti E, Wohlgemuth SE, Lees HA, Chung HY, Giovannini S, Leeuwenburgh C. Age-related activation of mitochondrial

caspase-independent apoptotic signaling in rat gastrocnemius muscle. Mech Ageing Dev 2008; 129:542-549.

7. Bredesen DE. Programmed cell death mechanisms in neurological disease. Curr Mol Med 2008; 8:173-186.

8. Zheng J, Edelman SW, Tharmarajah G, Walker DW, Pletcher SD, Seroude L. Differential patterns of apoptosis in response to aging in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005; 102:12083-12088.

9. Bauer JH, Poon PC, Glatt-Deeley H, Abrams JM, Helfand SL. Neuronal expression of p53 dominant-negative proteins in adult *Drosophila melanogaster* extends life span. Curr Biol 2005; 15:2063-2068.

10. Curtis C, Landis GN, Folk D, Wehr NB, Hoe N, Waskar M, Abdueva D, Skvortsov D, Ford D, Luu A, Badrinath A, Levine RL, Bradley TJ, Tavare S, Tower J. Transcriptional profiling of MnSOD-mediated lifespan extension in *Drosophila* reveals a species-general network of aging and metabolic genes. Genome Biol 2007; 8:R262.

11. Aigaki T, Seong KH, Matsuo T. Longevity determination genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mech Ageing Dev 2002; 123:1531-1541.

12. Tower J. Sex-specific regulation of aging and apoptosis. Mech Ageing Dev 2006; 127:705-718.

13. Ungewitter E, Scrable H. Antagonistic pleiotropy and p53. Mech Ageing Dev 2009; 130:10-17.

14. Bauer JH, Chang C, Morris SN, Hozier S, Andersen S, Waitzman JS, Helfand SL. Expression of dominant-negative Dmp53 in the adult fly brain inhibits insulin signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104:13355-13360.

15. Nicholson L, Singh GK, Osterwalder T, Roman GW, Davis RL, Keshishian H. Spatial and temporal control of gene expression in *Drosophila* using the inducible GeneSwitch GAL4 system. I. Screen for larval nervous system drivers. Genetics 2008; 178:215-234.

16. Ford D, Hoe N, Landis GN, Tozer K, Luu A, Bhole D, Badrinath A, Tower J. Alteration of *Drosophila* life span using conditional, tissue-specific expression of transgenes triggered by doxycycline or RU486/Mifepristone. Exp Gerontol 2007; 42:483-497.

17. Poirier L, Shane A, Zheng J, Seroude L. Characterization of the *Drosophila* gene-switch system in aging studies: a cautionary tale. Aging Cell 2008; 7:758-770.

18. Yang J, Tower J. Expression of *hsp22* and *hsp70* transgenes is partially predictive of *Drosophila* survival under normal and stress conditions. J Gerontol: Biol Sci 2009; In press.

19. Osterwalder T, Yoon KS, White BH, Keshishian H. A conditional tissue-specific transgene expression system using inducible GAL4. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001; 98:12596-12601.

20. Roman G, Endo K, Zong L, Davis RL. P[Switch], a system for spatial and temporal control of gene expression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001; 98:12602-12607.

21. Giannakou ME, Goss M, Jacobson J, Vinti G, Leevers SJ, Partridge L. Dynamics of the action of dFOXO on adult mortality in *Drosophila*. Aging Cell 2007; 6:429-438.

22. Hwangbo DS, Gersham B, Tu MP, Palmer M, Tatar M. *Drosophila* dFOXO controls lifespan and regulates insulin signalling in brain and fat body. Nature 2004; 429:562-566.

23. Lee T, Feig L, Montell DJ. Two distinct roles for Ras in a developmentally regulated cell migration. Development 1996; 122:409-418.

24. Farnsworth CL, Feig LA. Dominant inhibitory mutations in the Mg(2+)-binding site of RasH prevent its activation by GTP. Mol Cell Biol 1991; 11:4822-4829.

25. Clevers H. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. Cell 2006; 127:469-480.

26. Osaki M, Oshimura M, Ito H. PI3K-Akt pathway: its functions and alterations in human cancer. Apoptosis 2004; 9:667-676.

27. Srinivasula SM, Ashwell JD. IAPs: what's in a name? Mol Cell 2008; 30:123-135.

28. Cordier F, Grubisha O, Traincard F, Veron M, Delepierre M, Agou F. The zinc finger of NEMO is a functional ubiquitin-binding domain. J Biol Chem 2008.

29. Shilo BZ. Regulating the dynamics of EGF receptor signaling in space and time. Development 2005; 132:4017-4027.

30. Page-McCaw A. Remodeling the model organism: matrix metalloproteinase functions in invertebrates. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2008; 19:14-23.

31. Li WX. Canonical and non-canonical JAK-STAT signaling. Trends Cell Biol 2008; 18:545-551.

32. Wang MC, Bohmann D, Jasper H. JNK Signaling Confers Tolerance to Oxidative Stress and Extends Lifespan in *Drosophila*. Dev Cell 2003; 5:811-816.

33. McEwen DG, Peifer M. Puckered, a *Drosophila* MAPK phosphatase, ensures cell viability by antagonizing JNK-induced apoptosis. Development 2005; 132:3935-3946.

34. Don AS, Martinez-Lamenca C, Webb WR, Proia RL, Roberts E, Rosen H. Essential requirement for sphingosine kinase 2 in a sphingolipid apoptosis pathway activated by FTY720 analogues. J Biol Chem 2007; 282:15833-15842.

35. Nolo R, Morrison CM, Tao C, Zhang X, Halder G. The bantam microRNA is a target of the hippo tumor-suppressor pathway. Curr Biol 2006; 16:1895-1904.

36. Ren C, Finkel SE, Tower J. Conditional inhibition of autophagy genes in adult *Drosophila* impairs immunity without compromising longevity. Exp Gerontol 2009:In press.

37. Tower J. Transgenic methods for increasing *Drosophila* life span. Mech Ageing Dev 2000; 118:1-14.

38. Schnetzer JW, Tyler MS. Endogenous beta-galactosidase activity in the larval, pupal, and adult stages of the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, indicates need for caution in lacZ fusion-gene studies. Biol Bull 1996; 190:173-187.

39. Lin G, Xu N, Xi R. Paracrine Wingless signalling controls selfrenewal of *Drosophila* intestinal stem cells. Nature 2008; 455:1119-1123.

40. Takashima S, Mkrtchyan M, Younossi-Hartenstein A, Merriam JR, Hartenstein V. The behaviour of *Drosophila* adult hindgut stem cells is controlled by Wnt and Hh signalling. Nature 2008; 454:651-655.

41. Song X, Xie T. Wingless signaling regulates the maintenance of ovarian somatic stem cells in *Drosophila*. Development 2003; 130:3259-3268.

42. DeCarolis NA, Wharton KA, Jr., Eisch AJ. Which way does the Wnt blow? Exploring the duality of canonical Wnt signaling on cellular aging. Bioessays 2008; 30:102-106.

43. Brack AS, Rando TA. Intrinsic changes and extrinsic influences of myogenic stem cell function during aging. Stem Cell Rev 2007; 3:226-237.

44. Liu H, Fergusson MM, Castilho RM, Liu J, Cao L, Chen J, Malide D, Rovira, II, Schimel D, Kuo CJ, Gutkind JS, Hwang PM,

Finkel T. Augmented Wnt signaling in a mammalian model of accelerated aging. Science 2007; 317:803-806.

45. Biteau B, Hochmuth CE, Jasper H. JNK activity in somatic stem cells causes loss of tissue homeostasis in the aging *Drosophila* gut. Cell Stem Cell 2008; 3:442-455.

46. Choi NH, Kim JG, Yang DJ, Kim YS, Yoo MA. Age-related changes in *Drosophila* midgut are associated with PVF2, a PDGF/VEGF-like growth factor. Aging Cell 2008; 7:318-334.

47. Zhao R, Xuan Y, Li X, Xi R. Age-related changes of germline stem cell activity, niche signaling activity and egg production in *Drosophila*. Aging Cell 2008; 7:344-354.

48. Pan L, Chen S, Weng C, Call G, Zhu D, Tang H, Zhang N, Xie T. Stem cell aging is controlled both intrinsically and extrinsically in the *Drosophila* ovary. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1:458-469.

49. Boyle M, Wong C, Rocha M, Jones DL. Decline in self-renewal factors contributes to aging of the stem cell niche in the *Drosophila* testis. Cell Stem Cell 2007; 1:470-478.

50. Wallenfang MR, Nayak R, DiNardo S. Dynamics of the male germline stem cell population during aging of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Aging Cell 2006; 5:297-304.

51. Fabrizio P, Gattazzo C, Battistella L, Wei M, Cheng C, McGrew K, Longo VD. Sir2 blocks extreme life-span extension. Cell 2005; 123:655-667.

52. Di Micco R, Fumagalli M, Cicalese A, Piccinin S, Gasparini P, Luise C, Schurra C, Garre M, Nuciforo PG, Bensimon A, Maestro R, Pelicci PG, d'Adda di Fagagna F. Oncogene-induced senescence is a DNA damage response triggered by DNA hyper-replication. Nature 2006; 444:638-642.

53. Bihani T, Chicas A, Lo CP, Lin AW. Dissecting the senescencelike program in tumor cells activated by Ras signaling. J Biol Chem 2007; 282:2666-2675.

54. Courtois-Cox S, Genther Williams SM, Reczek EE, Johnson BW, McGillicuddy LT, Johannessen CM, Hollstein PE, MacCollin M, Cichowski K. A negative feedback signaling network underlies oncogene-induced senescence. Cancer Cell 2006; 10:459-472.

55. Hlavata L, Nachin L, Jezek P, Nystrom T. Elevated Ras/protein kinase A activity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reduces proliferation rate and lifespan by two different reactive oxygen species-dependent routes. Aging Cell 2008; 7:148-157.

56. Longo VD. Ras: the other pro-aging pathway. Sci Aging Knowledge Environ 2004; 2004:pe36.

57. Nanji M, Hopper NA, Gems D. LET-60 RAS modulates effects of insulin/IGF-1 signaling on development and aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Aging Cell 2005; 4:235-245.

58. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2006.

59. Pletcher SD. Model fitting and hypothesis testing for age-specific mortality data. J Evol Biol 1999; 12:430-439.

Table 1. Starting Stocks

St#	Genotype	Notes	Abbreviation
		Ubiquitous GeneSwitch 255B	
1	w; GS-Actin255-B;+	Driver	255B
		Ubiquitous GeneSwitch 255A	
2	<i>w; GS-Actin255-A;+</i>	Driver	255A
		GeneSwitch Head Fat Body	
3	w; P{Switch}bun[Switch 1-32];+	Driver	<i>S32</i>
		GeneSwitch Head & Thorax-	
4	w; P{Switch}S1-106 P{Switch}bun[Switch 1-32];+	Abdomen Fat Body Driver	S106 S32
5	yw; +; GS-Elav	GeneSwitch Elav Driver	Elav
			Sp/CyO,
6	yw; Sp/CyO,FLP.lacZ; MHC:GS	GeneSwitch Muscle Driver	м́НС́
7	Oregon R(+;+;+)	wild type	
8	w1118; +; +	wild type	
9	<i>P{UAS.p35.H}BH3,w*;+;+</i>	UAS-p35 on chromosome 1	p35
10	w*; P{UAS.p35.H}BH1;+	UAS-p35 on chromosome 2	p35
11	w*; +; P{UAS.p35.H}BH2	UAS-p35 on chromosome 3	p35
12	w1118; +; P{UAS-Ras85D.V12}TL1	UAS-Ras85D activated form	Ras act
13	w*; P{UAS-Ras85D.K}5-1;+	UAS-Ras85D WT form	Ras WT
14	<i>P{UAS-Ras85D.N17}TL1, w1118; +; +</i>	UAS-Ras85D DN form	Ras DN
15	w*; P{UAS-wg.H.T:HA1}3C;+	UAS-wg on chromosome 2	wg^a
16	w*; +; P{UAS-wg.H.T:HA1}6C	UAS-wg on chromosome 3	wg^b
17	y1 w67c23; +;P{EPgy2}EY04093	EP-Pk61C	$Pk61C^a$
18	w; +; P{EP}Pk61CEP3644/TM6,Tb	EP-Pk61C	$Pk61C^{b}$
19	w*; +; P{UAS-DIAP1.H}3	UAS-DIAP1	DIAP1
20	y1 w67c23; P{EPgy2}EY00935	EP-nmo	nmo
21	y1 w*; +; P{UAS-Egfr.B}32-26-1	UAS-Egfr	Egfr
22	y1 w67c23; +; P{EPgy2}pntEY03254	EP-pnt	pnt
23	y1 w67c23; P{EPgy2}Mmp2EY08942/CyO; +	EP-Mmp2	Mmp2
24	y1 w67c23; +; P{EPgy2}Stat92EEY14209/TM3, Sb1 Ser1	EP-Stat92E	Stat
25	w*; +; P{EPgy2}pucEY09772/TM6C	EP-puc	рис
26	y1 w67c23; +; P{EPgy2}scramb2EY01180	EP-Sk2	Sk2
27	y1 w67c23; +; P{EPgy2}EY06207	EP-ban	ban
28	w1118; +; PBac{WH}CG14544f01091/TM6B, Tb1	XP-CG14544	CG14544
29	<u>w1118;</u> +; <u>P{GUS-p53.259H}3.1</u>	UAS-p53 point mutation	p53.259H

Cross MxF	RU486	Genotype	Sex	N	Mean ^a	Median	%Change in Mean	%Change in Median	Log Ranl p Value
Exp1 L	ife span as:	say using GS255B driver at 29	\mathcal{PC}						
8-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	115	51.53±8.66	53			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	120	47.29±11.06	50	-8.23	-5.66	0.001
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	40	47.29±11.00	51	-8.26	-3.77	0.001
	-	<i>w/w; 255B/+; +</i>	F	128	54.18±8.26	56			
	А	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	120	51.74±3.89	52	-4.5	-7.14	4.38E-09
	L	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	120	48.18±8.38	50	-11.07	-10.71	6.30E-11
2-1	-	w/W; 255B/+; Ras act/+	M	120	51.11±11.58	55.5	-11.07	-10.71	
<i>L</i> -1	A	w/Y; 255B/+; Ras act/+	M	122	9.45 ± 3.42	10	-81.5	-81.98	0
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; Ras act/+	M	0	NA	NA	-01.5	-01.90	0
	-	<i>w/w</i> *; 255 <i>B</i> /+; <i>Ras act/</i> +	F	123	54.19±13.26	59			
	A	<i>w/w</i> [*] ; 255 <i>B</i> /+; <i>Ras act/</i> +	F	123	12.11 ± 2.8	12	-77.64	-79.66	0
	L	w/w^{*} ; 255B/+; Ras act/+	F	0	NA	NA	-77.04	-79.00	0
3-1	L -		г М	124	46.65±9.01	47			
3-1		w/Y; 255B/Ras WT;+ w/Y; 255B/Ras WT;+		124	40.05 ± 9.01 42.3 ± 9.13	47 42	-9.32	-10.64	1.43E-04
	A		M						
	L	w/Y; 255B/Ras WT;+	M	47	42.06±10.37	43	-9.84	-8.51	0.004
	-	w/w*; 255B/Ras WT;+	F	126	51.31±8.64	52	0.71		
	A	w/w*; 255B/Ras WT;+	F	126	46.84±5.17	46	-8.71	-11.54	8.15E-12
14	L	w/w*; 255B/Ras WT;+	F	118	43.66±8.85	46 50	-14.91	-11.54	0
-14	-	Ras DN, w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	127	47.89±9.88	50			
	A	Ras DN, w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	123	43.64±6.87	44	-8.87	-12	5.78E-08
	L	Ras DN, w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	79	44.76±12.49	48	-6.54	-4	0.14
	-	<i>Ras DN, w/w; 255B/+; +</i>	F	121	51.65±14.25	57			
	A	Ras DN, w/w; 255B/+; +	F	125	51.82±8.3	53	0.32	-7.02	5.09E-04
~ .	L	Ras DN, w/w; 255B/+; +	F	125	45.39±13.16	49	-12.12	-14.04	1.98E-09
5-1	-	$w/Y; 255B/wg^{a}; +$	Μ	130	52.56±8.37	55			
	А	$w/Y; 255B/wg^{a}; +$	Μ	122	29.83±9.32	32	-43.25	-41.82	0
	L	$w/Y; 255B/wg^{a}; +$	Μ	0	NA	NA			
	-	$w/w^*; 255B/wg^a; +$	F	122	55.78±12.01	60			
	А	w/w^* ; 255 B/wg^a ; +	F	125	33.61±10.55	34	-39.75	-43.33	0
	L	$w/w^*; 255B/wg^a; +$	F	0	NA	NA			
6-1	-	$w/Y; 255B/+; wg^b/+$	Μ	124	52.31±8.81	56			
	А	$w/Y; 255B/+; wg^b/+$	Μ	131	45.02 ± 8.04	47	-13.94	-16.07	0
	L	w/Y; 255B/+;wg ^b /+	Μ	0	NA	NA			
	-	w/w*; 255B/+;wg ^b /+	F	120	51.29±10.38	53			
	А	w/w*; 255B/+;wg ^b /+	F	123	47.55±7.23	49	-7.29	-7.55	3.24E-10
	L	$w/w^*; 255B/+; wg^b/+$	F	0	NA	NA			
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	122	47.9±9.67	47			
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	21	42.81±13.89	47	-10.63	0	0.224
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	127	49.82±16.97	56			
	L	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	121	50.24±11.55	52	0.84	-7.14	8.57E-04
8-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	Μ	126	57.29±8.23	59			
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	Μ	24	48.08±10.99	51	-16.06	-13.56	4.11E-12
	-	w; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	F	124	54.51±9.89	56.5			
	L	w; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	F	121	45.28±13.38	51	-16.93	-9.73	1.79E-14
9-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	Μ	120	56.23 ± 8.68	59			
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	Μ	93	47.68±17.07	53	-15.22	-10.17	0.002
	-	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	120	54.64±11.2	57.5			

Table 2. Life span data of apoptosis-related gene experiments, with means, standard deviations, medians, percent change in mean and median, and log rank p value.

	L	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	117	46.74±14.76	51	-14.45	-11.3	1.97E-07
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	124	52.97±7.68	56			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	124	49.73±4.6	50	-6.11	-10.71	6.19E-13
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	22	44.5±16.86	52	-15.99	-7.14	2.94E-05
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	118	58.39 ± 5.25	59			
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	122	52.66 ± 4.09	52	-9.81	-11.86	0
	L	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	122	50.45±9.78	53.5	-13.6	-9.32	1.18E-13
Ex 2 L	ife span a	ussay using GS255B driver at 25	С						
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	94	73.17±15.64	78			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	93	69.97±12.33	72	-4.38	-7.69	5.22E-04
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	92	87.2 ± 18.44	92			
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	91	91.93±7.76	94	5.43	2.17	0.940
20-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; nmo/+	Μ	95	66.74±16.11	68			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; nmo/+	Μ	90	64.66±14.3	66	-3.12	-2.94	0.102
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; nmo/+	F	97	67.59±28.66	74			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; nmo/+	F	95	68.79±30.17	80	1.78	8.11	0.878
15-1	-	$w/Y; 255B/wg^{a}; +$	Μ	96	72.88±10.31	74			
	А	$w/Y; 255B/wg^{a}; +$	Μ	92	53.14 ± 18.01	56	-27.08	-24.32	0
	-	w/w^* ; 255 B/wg^a ; +	F	97	78.89±19.38	84			
	А	w/w^* ; 255 B/wg^a ; +	F	97	53.72±22.13	52	-31.9	-38.1	0
17-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	91	64.11±13.4	64			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	94	62.85±13.08	66	-1.96	3.13	0.555
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	98	70.73±26.23	78			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	94	79.81±23.77	90	12.83	15.38	0.149
21-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Egfr/+	Μ	89	62.38±11.19	66			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; Egfr/+	Μ	97	62.06±9.18	64	-0.51	-3.03	0.166
	-	w/y w*; 255B/+; Egfr/+	F	95	65.71±21.16	68			
	А	w/y w*; 255B/+; Egfr/+	F	100	63.52±17.9	65	-3.33	-4.41	0.076
19-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	М	102	76.57±13.04	78			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	Μ	94	73.4±9.53	74	-4.13	-5.13	0.002
	-	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	98	78.9±18.26	84			
	А	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	95	81.39±19.17	88	3.16	4.76	0.011
22-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; pnt/+	М	96	62.6±9.74	64			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; pnt/+	Μ	94	59.15±10.7	60	-5.52	-6.25	0.077
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; pnt/+	F	92	74.32±27.19	85			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; pnt/+	F	95	79.77±20.03	88	7.34	3.53	0.402
ЕхрЗ І	Life span d	assay using GS255B driver at 25	5C						
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	100	81.01±15.38	86			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	92	80.46±10.42	82	-0.68	-4.65	0.039
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	85	92.49±11.86	94			
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	99	92.05±13.07	94	-0.48	0	0.571
13-1	-	w/Y; 255B/Ras WT;+	Μ	95	75.87±12.26	78			
	А	w/Y; 255B/Ras WT;+	Μ	98	67.92±14.13	70	-10.48	-10.26	1.62E-06
	-	w/w*; 255B/Ras WT;+	F	96	83.43±12.26	86			
	А	w/w*; 255B/Ras WT;+	F	98	79.59±10.58	82	-4.6	-4.65	0.001
23-1	-	w/Y; 255B/Mmp2; +	Μ	96	69.77±13.03	70			
	А	w/Y; 255B/Mmp2; +	Μ	96	68.81±10.06	70	-1.37	0	0.117
	-	w/yw; 255B/Mmp2; +	F	98	$85.94{\pm}18.45$	91			
	А	w/yw; 255B/Mmp2; +	F	101	84.85 ± 18.67	90	-1.27	-1.1	0.109
24-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Stat/+	М	96	64.31±10.06	65			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; Stat/+	М	99	65.08±13.33	68	1.19	4.62	0.325
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; Stat/+	F	99	70.48 ± 21.48	78			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; Stat/+	F	96	62.29 ± 24.99	74	-11.62	-5.13	0.076
25-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; puc/+	М	97	70.78±14.98	70			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; puc/+	М	96	68.96±13.62	68	-2.58	-2.86	0.269

	-	w/w*; 255B/+; puc/+	F	84	94.07±15.00	98			
	А	w/w*; 255B/+; puc/+	F	97	98.1±7.86	100	4.29	2.04	0.135
		-							
Exp4 L	life span d	ussay using GS255B driver at 250	С						
7-1	_	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	92	73.76±18.31	78			
/-1	A	w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	86	71.84 ± 10.87	78 74	-2.61	-5.13	1.43E-04
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	86	86.28±15.46	90	-2.01	-5.15	
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	101	86.18±10.02	88	-0.12	-2.22	0.035
26-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Sk2/+	M	90	67.22 ± 16.97	72			
20-1	A	w/Y; 255B/+; Sk2/+	M	95	69.85 ± 12.26	72	3.91	0	0.953
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; Sk2/+	F	101	73.29 ± 24.6	84			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; Sk2/+	F	101	78.08±19.61	86	6.53	2.38	0.84
27-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; ban/+	M	98	66.59±21.91	70			
27 1	А	w/Y; 255B/+; ban/+	M	95	61.56±18.15	62	-7.56	-11.43	0.003
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; ban/+	F	94	76.36 ± 28.78	88			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; ban/+	F	96	81.56±18.03	88	6.81	0	0.023
28-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+;CG14544/+	M	91	75.03±12.8	76			
201	А	w/Y; 255B/+;CG14544/+	M	97	77.01±9.82	78	2.64	2.63	0.844
	-	w/w; 255B/+;CG14544/+	F	101	70.99 ± 26.75	82		2.05	
	А	w/w; 255B/+;CG14544/+	F	96	69.33±20.57	79	-2.33	-3.66	2.28E-05
	11	<i>ww</i> , 2552, 1,0011571, 1		20	07.55_20.57	12	2.35	5.00	2.202 05
Exp5 L	life span d	ussay using GS255B driver, and l	MHC G	S driver	at 25C				
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	98	75.06±11.65	79			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	97	73.96±12.27	78	-1.47	-1.27	0.161
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	100	87.88±7.74	88			
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	101	85.33±12.78	88	-2.91	0	0.014
8-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	99	66.55 ± 11.82	68			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	97	69.84±10.57	72	4.94	5.88	0.14
	-	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	100	79.6±14.08	84			
	А	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	97	81.69 ± 3.82	82	2.63	-2.38	0.005
17-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	99	64.87±12.41	64			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	Μ	98	62.16±12.33	64	-4.17	0	0.113
	-	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	98	81.96±13.91	86			
	А	w/yw; 255B/+; Pk61C ^a /+	F	99	80.46±11.15	82	-1.82	-4.65	0.001
18-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	Μ	100	71.2±9.32	74			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	Μ	101	73.33±8.19	74	2.99	0	0.135
	-	w; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	F	98	80.27±12.63	82			
	А	w; 255B/+; Pk61C ^b /+	F	100	82.02 ± 4.89	82	2.19	0	0.399
19-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	Μ	101	72.97±11.13	76			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	Μ	101	71.15±11.37	74	-2.5	-2.63	0.425
	-	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	98	83.02 ± 7.52	84			
	А	w/w*; 255B/+; DIAP1/+	F	106	79.47±12.78	82	-4.27	-2.38	0.011
7-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/</i> +	Μ	96	71.31±13.18	76			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/</i> +	Μ	98	58.92 ± 12.84	60	-17.38	-21.05	0
	-	<i>yw/+;+/CyO; MHC/+</i>	F	96	78.04 ± 15.76	84			
	А	<i>yw/+;+/CyO; MHC/+</i>	F	114	52.84±13.75	58	-32.29	-30.95	0
8-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/</i> +	Μ	99	56.42±16.69	60			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/+</i>	М	92	43.26±15	48	-23.33	-20	1.61E-12
	-	<i>yw/w;+/CyO; MHC/+</i>	F	93	60.73 ± 18.82	68			
	А	<i>yw/w;+/CyO; MHC/+</i>	F	99	45.21±13.63	50	-25.55	-26.47	2.22E-16
17-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C^a</i>	М	100	54.32 ± 14.66	58			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C^a</i>	М	101	$52.46{\pm}12.42$	56	-3.43	-3.45	0.004
	-	yw;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C ^a	F	100	61.3 ± 19.84	65			
	А	yw;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C ^a	F	99	39.37 ± 14.22	36	-35.77	-44.62	0
18-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	М	96	56.44 ± 18.89	62			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	М	108	53.56 ± 10.21	56	-5.11	-9.68	1.75E-07
	-	<i>yw/w;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	F	96	55.25±21.21	56			

	А	yw/w;+/CyO; MHC/Pk61C ^b	F	90	39.42±12.45	38	-28.65	-32.14	1.40E-11
19-6	-	yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/DIAP1	М	98	66.71±12.27	68			
	А	yw/Y;+/CyO; MHC/DIAP1	М	98	54.92±10.4	56	-17.68	-17.65	6.66E-15
	-	yw/w*;+/CyO;MHC/DIAP1	F	108	64.89±18.19	71			
	А	yw/w*;+/CyO;MHC/DIAP1	F	104	57.04±14.85	58	-12.1	-18.31	6.72E-08
7-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	Μ	99	68.67±13.38	74			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	Μ	98	61.76±12.62	64	-10.07	-13.51	5.34E-08
	-	<i>yw/+;+/Sp; MHC/+</i>	F	94	73.66±13.99	78			
	А	<i>yw/+;+/Sp; MHC/+</i>	F	102	59.98 ± 8.45	62	-18.57	-20.51	0
8-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	Μ	96	61.6±14.81	66			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	Μ	94	54.55±15.5	56	-11.45	-15.15	2.47E-06
	-	<i>yw/w;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	F	93	58.41±17.34	62			
	А	<i>yw/w;+/Sp; MHC/</i> +	F	102	47.59 ± 12.97	52	-18.53	-16.13	2.70E-14
17-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^a</i>	Μ	95	49.31±12.75	54			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^a</i>	Μ	100	45.14±11.03	48	-8.45	-11.11	1.95E-04
	-	yw;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C ^a	F	99	42.99±20.17	40			
	А	yw;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C ^a	F	100	35.78±16.13	30	-16.77	-25	0.003
18-6	-	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	Μ	100	56.36±12.56	60			
	А	<i>yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	Μ	98	51.06±9.77	52	-9.4	-13.33	2.13E-06
	-	<i>yw/w;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	F	97	56.6±16.25	60			
	А	<i>yw/w;+/Sp; MHC/Pk61C^b</i>	F	94	41.79±11.81	42	-26.17	-30	1.67E-15
19-6	-	yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/DIAP1	Μ	99	61.21±10.04	62			
	А	yw/Y;+/Sp; MHC/DIAP1	Μ	97	55.51±9.17	58	-9.32	-6.45	5.02E-08
	-	yw/w*;+/Sp; MHC/DIAP1	F	103	71.13±17.02	78			
	А	yw/w*;+/Sp; MHC/DIAP1	F	101	62.3±13.23	66	-12.41	-15.38	6.66E-16

 $\frac{A}{a}$ Mean life span, days +/- SD.

Cross MxF	RU486	Genotype	Sex	Ν	Mean ^a	Median	%Change in Mean	%Change in Median	Log Rank p Value
Exp1 L	ife span ass	ay of three UAS-p35 lines an	d UAS-j	53.259	H with GS255B	driver at 25	5C		
7-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	М	120	84.6±14.25	90			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	119	83.08±10.94	86	-1.8	-4.44	0.014
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	123	78.44 ± 22.48	86	-7.28	-4.44	0.244
	-	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	116	92.02±9.64	94			
	А	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	121	94.69±8.61	94	2.9	0	0.009
	L	w/+; 255B/+; +	F	124	91.97±15.74	94	-0.05	0	0.047
1-9	-	<i>p35,w*/Y; 255B/+; +</i>	Μ	120	68.93±12.49	70			
	А	<i>p35,w*/Y; 255B/+; +</i>	Μ	123	68.62±11.76	70	-0.45	0	0.681
	L	p35,w*/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	98	33.1±22.91	26	-51.98	-62.86	0
	-	p35,w*/+; 255B/+; +	F	122	83.28±15.13	86			
	А	p35,w*/+; 255B/+; +	F	130	77.15±20.92	82	-7.36	-4.65	0.11
	L	p35,w*/+; 255B/+; +	F	125	57.52±35.8	70	-30.93	-18.6	0.001
10-1	-	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	117	54.48±13	54			
	А	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	121	57.26±8.45	58	5.1	7.41	0.583
	L	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	110	34.25±19.5	34	-37.13	-37.04	5.60E-10
	-	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	120	64.05±14.63	66			
	А	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	126	60.79±16.68	66	-5.09	0	0.188
	L	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	123	49.37±32.2	54	-22.92	-18.18	0.436
11-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	Μ	133	86.03±12.51	90			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	Μ	122	81.31±13.87	84	-5.49	-6.67	8.92E-06
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	Μ	56	46.18±24.21	44	-46.32	-51.11	0
	-	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	126	87.54±10.04	90			
	А	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	127	82.19±9.91	82	-6.11	-8.89	8.60E-05
	L	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	126	64.63±29.62	75	-26.17	-16.67	4.67E-07
29-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+;p53.259H/+	Μ	118	71.54±13.86	72			
	А	w/Y; 255B/+;p53.259H/+	Μ	125	68.90±10.41	70	-3.70	-2.78	0.002
	L	w/Y; 255B/+;p53.259H/+	Μ	119	67.73±16.92	70	-5.33	-2.78	0.069
	-	w; 255B/+; p53.259H/+	F	119	75.40±8.50	76			
	А	w; 255B/+; p53.259H/+	F	119	80.66±10.98	82	6.98	7.89	4.05E-08
	L	w; 255B/+; p53.259H/+	F	125	70.24±22.02	76	-6.84	0	0.202

Table 3. Life span data for baculovirus p35 experiments, with means, standard deviations, medians, percent change in mean and median, and log rank p value.

Exp2 Life span assay of three UAS-p35 lines with head FB driver, whole body FB driver and GS255A driver at 25C

3-7	-	+/Y; S32/+; +	Μ	75	59.23±14.11	64			
	А	+/Y; S32/+; +	Μ	63	55.21±15.74	60	-6.79	-6.25	0.013
	-	w/+; S32/+; +	F	111	59.91±18.96	60			
	А	w/+; S32/+; +	F	115	63.77±17.71	66	6.45	10	0.263
3-9	-	p35,w*/Y; S32/+; +	Μ	122	62.69 ± 10.62	64			
	А	p35,w*/Y; S32/+; +	Μ	105	58.3±13.45	60	-6.99	-6.25	0.022
	-	p35,w*/w; S32/+; +	F	112	59.95±25	72			
	А	p35,w*/w; S32/+; +	F	108	59 ± 24.86	68	-1.58	-5.56	0.974
3-10	-	w*/Y; S32/p35; +	Μ	123	45.19±7.61	46			
	А	w*/Y; S32/p35; +	Μ	120	41.52±6.84	42	-8.12	-8.7	1.96E-04
	-	w*/w; S32/p35; +	F	121	61.62±8.71	62			
	А	w*/w; S32/p35; +	F	105	63.28±10.6	66	2.69	6.45	0.036
3-11	-	w*/Y; S32/+; p35/+	Μ	125	62.67±12.41	64			
	А	w*/Y; S32/+; p35/+	Μ	125	60.78 ± 14.07	62	-3.03	-3.13	0.174

	-	w*/w; S32/+; p35/+	F	109	68.44±17.09	74			
	А	w*/w; S32/+; p35/+	F	113	70.52 ± 18.12	76	3.04	2.7	0.043
4-7	-	+/Y; S106 S32/+; +	M	116	54.12±9.89	56			
	А	+/Y; S106 S32/+; +	M	118	52.68±9.77	52	-2.67	-7.14	0.208
	-	w/+; S106 S32/+; +	F	110	58.82±14.95	62			
	А	w/+; S106 S32/+; +	F	120	58.07±16.45	63	-1.28	1.61	0.569
4-9	-	p35,w*/Y; S106 S32/+; +	М	121	47.21±8.48	46			
	А	p35,w*/Y; S106 S32/+; +	М	110	47.67±10.28	48	0.99	4.35	0.263
	-	p35,w*/w; S106 S32/+; +	F	119	55.18±22.95	66			
	А	p35,w*/w; S106 S32/+; +	F	126	47.79±24.9	62	-13.38	-6.06	0.01
4-10	-	w*/Y; S106 S32/p35; +	М	125	33.39±4.44	34			
	А	w*/Y; S106 S32/p35; +	Μ	125	32.3±6.16	32	-3.26	-5.88	0.475
	-	w*/w; S106 S32/p35; +	F	121	49.55±8.14	50			
	А	w*/w; S106 S32/p35; +	F	121	50.84 ± 8.85	50	2.6	0	0.107
4-11	-	w*/Y; S106 S32/+; p35/+	Μ	125	47.15±6.81	48			
	А	w*/Y; S106 S32/+; p35/+	Μ	117	48.6±8.42	48	3.07	0	0.072
	-	w*/w; S106 S32/+; p35/+	F	125	56.81±13.02	60			
	А	w*/w; S106 S32/+; p35/+	F	116	60.69±11.7	64	6.83	6.67	0.004
2-7	-	+/Y; 255A/+; +	Μ	114	66.04 ± 8.95	67			
	А	+/Y; 255A/+; +	Μ	117	58.97±15.36	62	-10.69	-7.46	1.48E-05
	-	w/+; 255A/+; +	F	114	72.65±13.95	78			
	А	w/+; 255A/+; +	F	116	75.02±13.19	78	3.26	0	0.064
2-9	-	p35,w*/Y; 255A/+; +	Μ	111	65.98 ± 14.65	66			
	А	p35,w*/Y; 255A/+; +	Μ	115	59.82±13.31	60	-9.34	-9.09	3.78E-05
	-	p35,w*/w; 255A/+; +	F	113	58.95 ± 20.26	64			
	А	p35,w*/w; 255A/+; +	F	117	69.21±17.4	72	17.4	12.5	1.32E-06
2-10	-	<i>w*/Y;255A/p35;</i> +	Μ	113	48.98±9.74	48			
	А	<i>w*/Y;255A/p35;</i> +	Μ	125	47.66±7.19	48	-2.69	0	0.03
	-	w*/w; 255A/p35; +	F	115	60.57±16.71	66			
	А	w*/w; 255A/p35; +	F	118	62±17.79	70	2.35	6.06	0.052
2-11	-	w*/Y; 255A/+; p35/+	Μ	115	63.66±11.4	64			
	А	w*/Y; 255A/+; p35/+	Μ	114	64.92±9.41	64	1.98	0	0.776
	-	w*/w; 255A/+; p35/+	F	120	67.05±11.58	70			
	А	w*/w; 255A/+; p35/+	F	120	68.75±9.08	70	2.54	0	0.41
Exn3	Life snan as	rsay of two UAS-p35 lines with	h whole	body F	R driver at 29C				
Елрэ Г	Eije span as	say of two onis poo thes will	i whole	00uy 1 1	B arriver ar 290				
7-4	-	w/Y; S106 S32/+; +	М	124	49.15±12.5	54			
	L	w/Y; S106 S32/+; +	М	121	49.11±10.75	52	-0.08	-3.7	0.655
	-	w/+; S106 S32/+; +	F	121	51.95±10.82	54			
	L	w/+; S106 S32/+; +	F	118	55.29±10.06	60	6.42	11.11	0.029
8-4	-	w/Y; S106 S32/+; +	М	121	47.16±10.27	48			
	L	w/Y; S106 S32/+; +	Μ	118	42.85±12.89	44	-9.14	-8.33	0.002
	-	w/w; S106 S32/+; +	F	124	50.48±11.91	56			
	L	w/w; S106 S32/+; +	F	125	51.63±8.47	54	2.27	-3.57	0.196
10-4	-	w/Y; S106 S32/p35; +	Μ	121	50.43±6.73	52			
	L	w/Y; S106 S32/p35; +	Μ	121	46.5 ± 8.28	48	-7.8	-7.69	4.23E-05
	-	w*/w; S106 S32/p35; +	F	120	50.4±12.84	56			
	L	w*/w; S106 S32/p35; +	F	129	48.57 ± 8.9	50	-3.62	-10.71	1.45E-05
11-4	-	w/Y; S106 S32/+; p35/+	Μ	126	44.03±6.5	46			
	L	w/Y; S106 S32/+; p35/+	Μ	122	41.92 ± 10.42	46	-4.8	0	0.208
	-	w*/w; S106 S32/+; p35/+	F	122	58.03±6.59	60			
	L	w*/w; S106 S32/+; p35/+	F	124	54.81±8.05	56	-5.56	-6.67	8.84E-07
Exp4 L	life span ass	say of two UAS-p35 lines with	Elav d	river at	29C				
				101		<i>-</i> .			
7-5	-	<i>yw/Y; +/+; Elav/+</i>	M	131	53.92±7.15	54 52		1.05	
	A	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	M	129	52.33±8.14	53 28	-2.95	-1.85	0.083
	L	<i>yw/Y; +/+; Elav/+</i>	М	59	35.85±10.58	38	-33.51	-29.63	0

	-	yw/+; +/+; Elav/+	F	127	58.15±7.22	60			
	А	yw/+; +/+; Elav/+	F	127	57.11±5.19	58	-1.79	-3.33	0.013
	L	yw/+; +/+; Elav/+	F	120	43.46±7.94	44	-25.26	-26.67	0
8-5	-	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	M	126	44.08±8.36	44.5	-25.20	-20.07	0
0-5	A	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	M	120	43.32±8.76	45	-1.73	1.12	0.186
	L	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	M	102	45.52±8.70 26.24±8.51	45 26	-40.48	-41.57	0.180
	L -	yw/w; +/+; Elav/+	F	102	46.88 ± 9.92	20 50	-40.48	-41.57	0
		yw/w, +/+, Elav/+ yw/w; +/+; Elav/+	г F	124	40.88±9.92 48.13±7.5	30 49.5	2.67	-1	0.406
	A L		г F	124		49.5 36	-25.73	-1 -28	0.400
10.5		yw/w; +/+; Elav/+			34.82±10.34				
10-5	-	<i>yw/Y; p35/+; Elav/+</i>	M	125	42.34±6.38	44	 2 29	 1 E E	
	A	yw/Y; p35/+; Elav/+	M	122	43.34±10.34	46	2.38	4.55	0.007
	L	<i>yw/Y; p35/+; Elav/+</i>	M	9	20.89±10.3	26	-50.66	-40.91	0
	-	yw/w*; p35/+; Elav/+	F	121	49±10.63	52			
	A	yw/w*; p35/+; Elav/+	F	126	50.16±6.4	51	2.36	-1.92	0.014
	L	yw/w*; p35/+; Elav/+	F	9	28.22±10.27	32	-42.4	-38.46	1.60E-14
11-5	-	<i>yw/Y; +; Elav/p35</i>	Μ	120	51.24±10.46	54			
	А	<i>yw/Y;</i> + <i>; Elav/p35</i>	Μ	121	48.62 ± 10.1	52	-5.12	-3.7	1.22E-06
	L	<i>yw/Y;</i> + <i>; Elav/p35</i>	Μ	1	10±NA	10	-80.48	-81.48	5.60E-10
	-	yw/w*; +; Elav/p35	F	118	56.77±3.89	58			
	А	yw/w*; +; Elav/p35	F	131	52.67 ± 5.08	54	-7.22	-6.9	3.51E-13
	L	yw/w*; +; Elav/p35	F	0	NA	NA			
Exp5 L	ife span as	say of two UAS-p35 lines wit	h GS255	B drive	r at 25C				
0.1				101	(2.22, 10.12	C 0			
8-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; +	M	121	62.33±18.12	68			
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	119	62.57±16.22	68	0.39	0	0.478
	L1-10	w/Y; 255B/+; +	Μ	120	66.02±19.38	72	5.91	5.88	7.82E-04
	-	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	123	75.95±9.37	78			
	L	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	124	69.02±12.88	74	-9.13	-5.13	7.69E-07
	L1-10	w/w; 255B/+; +	F	124	78.18±9.17	80	2.93	2.56	7.84E-04
10-1	-	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	111	56.32±25.51	66			
	L	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	4	16±21.6	7	-71.59	-89.39	6.47E-05
	L1-10	w/Y; 255B/p35; +	Μ	117	58.56±17.95	62	3.98	-6.06	0.528
	-	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	119	68.47±13.26	72			
	L	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	30	27.47±16.58	24	-59.89	-66.67	0
	L1-10	w/w*; 255B/p35; +	F	124	64.5±16.45	70	-5.8	-2.78	0.757
11-1	-	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	М	117	66.15±9.97	68			
	L	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	М	1	14±NA	14	-78.84	-79.41	3.38E-14
	L1-10	w/Y; 255B/+; p35/+	М	123	64.98±15.73	70	-1.78	2.94	0.099
	-	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	123	74.41±5.98	76			
	L	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	0	NA	NA			
	 L1-10	w/w*; 255B/+; p35/+	F	123	74.37±11.95	78	-0.04	2.63	0.003
		, <u></u> , , <u>_</u> , , <u>_</u> ,	-						
Exp6 L	ife span as.	say of two UAS-p35 lines wit	h Elav d	river at	25C				
-									
8-5	-	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	Μ	108	61.69±17.95	67			
	L1-10	<i>yw/Y;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	Μ	115	54.17±15.55	58	-12.18	-13.43	1.80E-08
	-	<i>yw/w;</i> +/+; <i>Elav/</i> +	F	120	57.42±14.79	64			
	L1-10	<i>yw/w; +/+; Elav/+</i>	F	117	56.41±10.82	58	-1.75	-9.38	0.004
10-5	-	yw/Y; p35/+; Elav/+	Μ	121	46.6±7.2	46			
-	L1-10	yw/Y; p35/+; Elav/+	Μ	115	37.81±9.05	38	-18.86	-17.39	7.06E-14
	-	yw/w*; p35/+; Elav/+	F	123	50.63±15.32	54			
	L1-10	yw/w*; p35/+; Elav/+	F	123	49.19±12.16	50	-2.85	-7.41	0.035
11-5	-	<i>yw/Y; +; Elav/p35</i>	M	121	54.32±13.04	56	-2.05	-7.41	
11-5	- L1-10	yw/Y; +; Elav/p35 yw/Y; +; Elav/p35	M	120	54.32 ± 13.04 52.31 ± 10.98	50 52	-3.7	-7.14	0.037
			F				-5.7	-7.14	0.037
	- I I 10	yw/w*; +; Elav/p35		123	52.7±13.97	54 58			
	L1-10	yw/w*; +; Elav/p35	F	118	56.63±10.73	58	7.45	7.41	0.091
Mea	n me span	, days +/- SD.							

^{*a*} Mean life span, days +/- SD.

	Parameters	L	-	chi2	df	p Value	chi2	df	p Value
Females									
		one paramete	er compared at	each time					
p35 (X)							Both a a	and b a	re constrained
	а	5.39 x 10 ⁻⁹	3.96 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.789	1	0.181			
	b	3.89 x 10 ⁻¹	3.00 x 10 ⁻¹	1.516	1	0.218			
	с	2.08 x 10 ⁻²	1.63 x 10 ⁻³	59.967	1	<0.001	57.983	1	<0.001
p35 (2)							b is con	straine	d
	а	7.71 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.10 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.234	1	0.022	50.203	1	<0.001
	b	2.41 x 10 ⁻¹	1.92 x 10 ⁻¹	1.700	1	0.192			
	с	2.52 x 10 ⁻²	1.37 x 10 ⁻³	50.610	1	<0.001	50.154	1	<0.001
p35 (3)							Both a a	and b a	re constrained
	a	3.31 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.00 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.003	1	0.958			
	b	2.50 x 10 ⁻¹	2.46 x 10 ⁻¹	0.009	1	0.923			
	с	1.36 x 10 ⁻²	1.80 x 10 ⁻⁴	46.090	1	<0.001	66.787		<0.001

Table 4. Parameters for Gompertz-Makeham model and likelihood ratio test results.