Research Paper

Decreased epigenetic age of PBMCs from Italian semisupercentenarians and their offspring

Steve Horvath^{1,2*}, Chiara Pirazzini^{3,4*}, Maria Giulia Bacalini^{3,4,5}, Davide Gentilini⁶, Anna Maria Di Blasio⁶, Massimo Delledonne^{5,7}, Daniela Mari^{8,9}, Beatrice Arosio^{8,9}, Daniela Monti¹⁰, Giuseppe Passarino¹¹, Francesco De Rango¹¹, Patrizia D'Aquila¹¹, Cristina Giuliani¹², Elena Marasco^{3,4}, Sebastiano Collino¹³, Patrick Descombes¹⁴, Paolo Garagnani^{3,4,15,§}, and Claudio Franceschi^{3,4,16,17,§}

¹Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA;

²Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA;

³Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy;

⁴Interdepartmental Center "L. Galvani", University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy;

⁵Personal Genomics S.r.l., 37134 Verona, Italy;

⁶Istituto Auxologico Italiano IRCCS, Cusano Milanino, 20095 Milan, Italy;

⁷Functional Genomics Center, Department of Biotechnology, University of Verona, 37134 Verona, Italy;

⁸Geriatric Unit, Department of Medical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, 20122 Milan, Italy;

⁹Geriatric Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, 20122 Milan, Italy;

¹⁰Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences, University of Florence, 50139 Florence, Italy;

¹¹Department of Cell Biology, University of Calabria, 87036 Rende, Italy;

¹²Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Laboratory of Molecular Anthropology and Centre for Genome Biology, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy;

¹³Molecular Biomarkers, Nestlé Institute of Health Sciences SA, EPFL Innovation Park, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland;

¹⁴Functional Genomics, Nestlé Institute of Health Sciences SA, EPFL Innovation Park, 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland;

¹⁵ CRBA, Center for Applied Biomedical Research, St. Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital, 40138 Bologna, Italy;

¹⁶CNR, Institute of Organic Synthesis and Photoreactivity (ISOF), 40129 Bologna, Italy;

¹⁷IRCCS, Institute of Neurological Sciences of Bologna, 40139 Bologna, Italy.

*Joint first authors

§Denotes co-senior authorship

Key words: semi-supercentenarians, semi-supercentenarians offspring, DNA methylation, epigenetic clock, biomarker of ageing

Received: 10/30/15; Accepted: 11/24/15; Published: 12/15/15

Correspondence to: Claudio Franceschi, PhD; Paolo Garagnani, PhD; Steve Horvath; PhD; E-mail: <u>claudio.franceschi@unibo.it; paolo.garagnani2@unibo.it; shorvath@mednet.ucla.edu</u>

Copyright: Horvath et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract: Given the dramatic increase in ageing populations, it is of great importance to understand the genetic and molecular determinants of healthy ageing and longevity. Semi-supercentenarians (subjects who reached an age of 105-109 years) arguably represent the gold standard of successful human ageing because they managed to avoid or postpone the onset of major age-related diseases. Relatively few studies have looked at epigenetic determinants of extreme longevity in humans. Here we test whether families with extreme longevity are epigenetically distinct from controls according to an epigenetic biomarker of ageing which is known as "epigenetic clock". We analyze the DNA methylation levels of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from Italian families constituted of 82 semi-supercentenarians (mean age: 105.6 \pm 1.6 years), 63 semi-supercentenarians' offspring (mean age: 71.8 \pm 7.8 years), and 47 age-matched controls (mean age: 69.8 \pm 7.2 years). We demonstrate that the offspring of semi-supercentenarians have a lower epigenetic age than age-matched controls (age difference=5.1 years, p=0.00043) and that centenarians are younger (8.6 years) than expected based on their chronological age. By contrast, no significant difference could be observed for estimated blood cell counts (such as naïve or exhausted cytotoxic T cells or helper T cells). Future studies will be needed to replicate these findings in different populations and to extend them to other tissues. Overall, our results suggest that epigenetic processes might play a role in extreme longevity and healthy human ageing.

INTRODUCTION

Ageing researchers and the general public have long been intrigued by centenarians because these subjects managed to avoid, postpone or overcome the major agerelated diseases such as cancer [1], cardiovascular diseases [2], diabetes [3], osteoporotic fractures [4] and dementia [5, 6].

We find it useful to further distinguish centenarians from semi-supercentenarians (i.e. subjects that reach the age of 105 years, 105+) and supercentenarians (subjects that reach the age of 110 years, 110+) because subjects in these latter categories are extremely rare. As of January 1, 2015, in Italy 100+ are 19,095 out 60,795,612 living individuals, 105+, which constitute a subgroup of 100+, are 872 (1:69,720 living individuals) and 110+, which constitute an even smaller subgroup, are 27 (1:2.251.689 living individuals), according to the data base from the Italian National Institute of Statistics [7]. On the whole, 105+ and 110+ subjects have to be considered very rare cohorts of particular interest for the study of both the ageing phenotype and the healthy ageing determinants. This means that 105+ and 110+ are most informative for ageing research, even if it is not yet known whether 105+ reach the last decades of their life according to a molecular trajectory which progresses at a normal rate of change or whether the attainment of this remarkable age results from a slower molecular ageing rate.

A rich literature describes the relationship between blood-based markers and age [8-12] and many genetic studies were devoted to clarify whether exceptional longevity is a highly heritable trait [13-17]. More recently, a variety of "omics" studies have looked at gene expression [18-21], metagenomic [22] or lipidomic [23, 24] data. Different from genetic studies, functional genomic studies of centenarians face the challenge of identifying a proper control group, as shorter-lived controls from their birth cohort are no longer available. To address this challenge, we decided to compare the centenarians' offspring (CO) with the offspring of shorter-lived controls. CO are useful for finding suitable molecular markers and for estimating the trajectories of healthy ageing [25] because: i) longevity runs in families, which probably reflects shared genetic, epigenetic and environmental factors; ii) CO are on average 20-30 years younger than their centenarian parent, *i.e.* they are in their seventies or eighties, which is a critical age when the physiological decline and the onset of the major age-related diseases may occur; iii) it is feasible to recruit controls for CO that are age-matched and born from non-centenarian parents [25]. The comparison of CO to age matched controls has already been successfully applied to identify biochemical and metabolomics parameters related to exceptional longevity [24, 26-31] and to define survival scores [32, 33].

Many biomarkers of different origin have been used to disentangle the complexity underlying the ageing phenotype, *e.g.* inflammatory biomarkers [28-31], N-glycans [34-37] and telomere length. Telomere length is an attractive biomarker of ageing because a) telomere length shortening plays an essential role in the *in vitro* ageing of somatic cells and b) telomeres of different organs/cells are known to shorten with age [38-42]. While telomere erosion is clearly linked to ageing, a rich body of literature suggests that it is not the sole reason for *in vivo* ageing. For example, no significant association could be observed between telomere length and survival among the elderly and oldest old in Danish [43] and Japanese [28] populations.

Several recent studies propose biomarkers of ageing based DNA methylation levels [44-49]. DNA methylation levels give rise to powerful epigenetic biomarkers of ageing since chronological age (*i.e.* the calendar years that have passed since birth) has a profound effect on DNA methylation levels in most human tissues and cell types [50-59]. While previous epigenetic biomarkers of ageing apply to a single tissue, the recently developed "epigenetic clock" (based on 353 dinucleotide markers known as Cytosine phosphate Guanines or CpGs) applies to most human cell types, tissues, and organs [48]. Predicted age, referred to as "DNA methylation age" (DNAm age), correlates with chronological age in sorted cell types (CD4 T cells, monocytes, B cells, glial cells, neurons), tissues and organs including whole blood, brain, breast, kidney, liver, lung, saliva [48] and even prenatal brain samples [60]. The epigenetic clock is an attractive biomarker of ageing because a) it applies to most human tissues, b) its accurate measurement of chronological age is unprecedented [61], c) it possesses independent predictive value for all-cause mortality [62], d) it correlates with measures of cognitive and physical fitness in the elderly [63] and e) it has been found useful for detecting accelerated ageing effects due to obesity [64], Down syndrome [65] and HIV infection [66]. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the cerebellum ages more slowly than other brain regions [67].

Here, we analyze a novel peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) methylation data set in an unprecedented Italian population of 105+, in their relative CO and in a cohort of healthy controls age- and sex-matched in respect of the CO group in order to test the hypothesis that these families age slowly according to the epigenetic clock.

RESULTS

Data set

We used the Illumina Infinium 450K array to generate DNA methylation data from PBMCs of 192 Italian subjects. We removed 8 samples (7 semisupercentenarians and 1 control) from the analysis because they were potential outliers according to an unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis based on the inter-array correlation. Our subsequent epigenetic clock analysis involved 3 distinct groups. The first group involved 75 subjects (mean age: 106 years, age range from 99 to 113 years) will be referred to as semisupercentenarians (105+) although it included one subject aged 99. The second group, CO, involved 63 offspring from centenarians (mean age: 72 years, age range from 50 to 89 years). The third group involved 46 control subjects (mean age: 70 years, age range from 52 to 85 years), *i.e.* subjects who did not have a centenarian parent. The first group (semi-supercentenarians), the second (CO) and the third group (controls) contained 59, 25 and 37 females, respectively. By design, CO did not differ from controls in terms of gender (p=0.8) or chronological age (p=0.31).

Accuracy of the epigenetic clock

DNAm age (also referred to as "epigenetic age") was calculated using the DNA methylation levels of PBMCs applying a previously described method [48].

DNAm age was highly correlated with chronological age across all samples (correlation r=0.89, Figure 1A).

Three measures of epigenetic age acceleration

In this article, we consider three measures of epigenetic age acceleration (as detailed in Methods). The first one, which will be referred to as universal measure of age acceleration (denoted Age Accel), applies to virtually all tissues and cell types (with the exception of sperm) [48]. The other two measures (referred to as intrinsic and extrinsic age acceleration, respectively) only apply to blood. The universal measure is defined as the difference between DNAm age value and the value predicted by the linear regression model in groups 1 and 2 (i.e. in semi-supercentenarians or their offspring). The term "universal" refers to the fact that this measure can be defined in a vast majority of tissues and cell types [48]. A positive value of the universal age acceleration measure indicates that DNA methylation age is higher than that predicted from the regression model for COs or semi-supercentenarians of the same age.

The measure of intrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (IEAA) measures "pure" epigenetic ageing effects in blood that are not confounded by differences in blood cell counts.

The measure of extrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (EEAA) aims to measure ageing in immune related components also relates to age-related changes in blood cell composition such as the decrease of naive CD8+ T cells and the increase in memory or exhausted CD8+ T cells [29, 68-70]. EEAA is defined on the basis of a weighted average of the epigenetic age measure from Hannum et al (2013) [47] and three blood cell types that are known to change with age: naive (CD45RA+CCR7+) cvtotoxic T cells, exhausted (CD28-CD45RA-) cvtotoxic T cells and plasma B cells. By definition, EEAA has a positive correlation with the amount of exhausted CD8 T cells and plasma blast cells and a negative correlation with the amount of naive CD8+ T cells. Blood cell counts were estimated based on DNA methylation data as described in Methods (section "Estimating blood cell counts based on DNA methylation levels").



Figure 1. Epigenetic age analysis of PBMCs from centenarians and controls. (A) Scatter plot relating the DNAm age of each PBMC sample (y-axis) versus chronological age (x-axis). Points are colored by status. The color of each dot corresponds to the status of each PBMC sample: red for centenarians, orange for offspring of centenarians, grey for controls. The black line corresponds to y=x. The orange line depicts the regression line based on the offspring of centenarians and centenarians (orange and red dots). The vertical distance to the orange line corresponds to the universal measure of age acceleration Age Accel. The bar plots depict group status (x-axis) versus (**B**, **C**) universal age acceleration, (**D**, **E**) intrinsic age acceleration, (**F**) extrinsic age acceleration. Each bar plot depicts the mean value, one standard error, and reports the p-value results from a non-parametric group comparison test (Kruskal Wallis test).

| Covariate | Coefficient | Std. Error | T statistic | P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| Offspring | -3.804 | 1.043 | -3.647 | 0.0004 |
| Age | 0.743 | 0.071 | 10.442 | $< 2x10^{\circ}$ |
| Sex (female) | 0.174 | 1.065 | 0.163 | 0.8 |
| Naïve CD8+T cell | -0.007 | 0.011 | -0.617 | 0.5 |
| Exhausted CD8+ T cell | -0.044 | 0.159 | -0.274 | 0.7 |
| Plasma Blast cell | -7.62 | 3.706 | -2.055 | 0.04 |
| Helper T cell (CD4) | -28.3 | 8.728 | -3.247 | 0.00 |
| Natural Killer cell | 12.5 | 7.962 | 1.572 | 0.1 |
| Monocyte | -9.2 | 9.313 | -0.988 | 0.3 |

Table 1. Multivariate model of DNAm age in non-centenarians

Coefficients and p-values from regressing DNAm age on offspring status, age, sex, and various blood cell counts in non-centenarian subjects (subjects younger than 90). The model explained 67% of the variance. The offspring of centenarians are 5.1 years (=3.804/0.743) younger than age matched controls.

The three different measures of epigenetic age acceleration are not independent of each other. The universal measure Age Accel has a moderately high correlation with IEAA (r=0.65, p= 1.8×10^{-23}) and with EEAA (r=0.71, p= 1.6×10^{-29}). But IEAA has only a weak correlation with EEAA (r=0.19, p=0.01). By construction, our three measures of epigenetic age acceleration are uncorrelated (r=0) with chronological age at the time of blood draw.

Offspring of semi-supercentenarians have a slow intrinsic ageing rate

We find that PBMCs of the offspring of 105+ age more slowly than that of age matched controls according to a) the Age Accel measure (Kruskal Wallis test p=0.012, Figure 1C) and b) the intrinsic measure of age acceleration (p=0.0016 Figure 1E). According to a multivariate model analysis in non-centenarians (Table 1), CO are 5.1 years younger (p=0.00051) than age matched controls even after adjusting for sex and estimated blood cell counts.

Semi-supercentenarians appear to age more slowly than expected

Interestingly, the DNAm age of 105+ is systematically lower than their chronological age as can be seen from the fact that the red dots lie beneath the black line in Figure 1A. The DNAm age of 105+ differs significantly from that of controls (p=0.028) but not from that of the CO (p=0.29) according to a multivariate model analysis (Table 2) that adjusted for chronological age, sex, and estimated blood cell counts. According to this model, 105+ are on average 8.6 years younger than expected based on chronological age. The systematic underestimate of age in centenarians has also been observed in most other tissues from centenarians [67].



Figure 2. Blood cell counts in offspring of semi-supercentenarians versus age matched controls. Group status (offspring of semi-supercentenarian or control) versus estimated abundance of (A) exhausted cytotoxic T cells, (B) naïve cytotoxic T cells, (C) naïve helper T cells, (D) cytotoxic T cells, (E) helper T cells, (F) natural killer cells, (G) B cells, (H) monocytes. Each bar plot reports the mean value and one standard error. The p-value results from a non-parametric group comparison test (Kruskal Wallis). The abundance measures of blood cell counts were estimated based on DNA methylation levels using the epigenetic clock software. Roughly speaking, the y-axis of (A) reports a percentage while that for (B, C) corresponds to counts but it is best to interpret the y-axis in (A-C) as ordinal abundance measure. The y-axis in (D-H) reports estimated proportions based on the Houseman method [78].

| | · · · · · | Std. | Т | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------|----------------------|
| Covariate | Coefficient | Error | statistic | P-value |
| StatusControlvsCentenarian | 6.583 | 2.98 | 2.208 | 0.029 |
| StatusOffspringvsCentenarian | 3.03 | 2.84 | 1.069 | 0.29 |
| Age | 0.765 | 0.080 | 9.578 | $< 2x10^{-16}$ |
| Sex (female) | -1.025 | 1.02 | -1.005 | 0.32 |
| Naïve CD8+T cell | -0.014 | 0.010 | -1.444 | 0.15 |
| Exhausted CD8+ T cell | -0.118 | 0.142 | -0.832 | 0.41 |
| Plasma Blast cell | -6.046 | 2.83 | -2.135 | 0.034 |
| Helper T cell (CD4) | -38.069 | 7.53 | -5.054 | 1.1x10 ⁻⁶ |
| Natural Killer cell | -1.926 | 7.25 | -0.265 | 0.79 |
| Monocyte | -14.782 | 8.00 | -1.848 | 0.066 |

Table 2. Multivariate model of DNA methylation age in all subjects.

Coefficients and p-values from regressing DNAm age on offspring status, age, sex, and various blood cell counts in non-centenarian subjects (subjects younger than 90). The model explained 85% of the variance. Centenarians are 8.6 years (=6.583/0.765) younger than expected based on chronological age.



Figure 3. Blood cell counts across three groups. Group status (semi-supercentenarian, offspring of semi-supercentenarian, or control) versus estimated abundance of (**A**) exhausted cytotoxic T cells, (**B**) naïve cytotoxic T cells, (**C**) naïve helper T cells, (**D**) cytotoxic T cells, (**E**) helper T cells, (**F**) natural killer cells, (**G**) B cells, (**H**) monocytes. Each bar plot reports the mean value and one standard error. The p-value results from a non-parametric group comparison test (Kruskal Wallis). Roughly speaking, the y-axis of (**A**) reports a percentage while that for (**B**, **C**) corresponds to counts but it is best to interpret the y-axis in (**A**-**C**) as ordinal abundance measure. The y-axis in (**D**-**H**) reports estimated proportions based on the Houseman method [78].

While our results in the CO suggests that the age difference of 8.6 years reflects a lower epigenetic ageing rate in 105+, we cannot rule out that confounders play a role as well (due to the lack of suitable controls for centenarians).

Extrinsic age acceleration and blood cell counts are not significant

The CO do not differ from age matched controls in terms of the extrinsic measure of age acceleration (Figure 1F) or in terms of estimated blood cell counts (Figure 2) but future studies with large samples should revisit these analyses. When comparing 105+ to younger subjects (CO and controls), we find that 105+ contain more exhausted cytotoxic T cells (Figure 3A), fewer naïve cytotoxic T cells (Figure 3B), fewer naïve helper T cells (Figure 3C), more cytotoxic T cells (Figure 3E), more natural killer cells (Figure 3F), and fewer B cells (Figure 3G). These findings are congruent with those from many previous studies of age related changes in blood cell composition (e.g. [10, 29, 68-72]).

DISCUSSION

This article leverages three epigenetic biomarkers of ageing to characterize semi-supercentenarians and their offspring.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that demonstrates that the offspring of semi-supercentenarians have a lower intrinsic epigenetic ageing rate in PBMCs. A similar trend was reported by Gentilini [73] that, by analyzing whole-genome methylation data from PBMCs in a smaller cohort (including 21 female centenarians. their 21 female offspring, 21 offspring of both non-longlived parents and 21 young women), observed an agerelated decrease in global DNA methylation and a delay of this process in centenarians' offspring. In the present study, the reported p-values (p=0.00051 in Table 1, p=0.012 in Figure 1C) remain significant even after adjusting for multiple comparisons since our study only involved three major hypothesis tests corresponding to the three related measures of epigenetic age acceleration (i.e. Age Accel, IEAA, EEAA). This remarkable finding is mirrored by the result that semi-supercentenarians appear to be younger (8.6 years, Table 2) than expected based on chronological age. Future studies will be needed to investigate how an epigenetic trajectory of healthy ageing relates to that of clinical measures of physiological or pathological ageing.

Strengths of this study include a) access to PBMCs from a unique collection of semi-supercentenarians and

their offspring; b) careful matching between the offspring of semi-supercentenarians and unrelated control offspring from non-centenarians; c) state of the art epigenetic biomarkers of ageing. The following limitations need also to be acknowledged. First, our results should be replicated in other populations (ideally involving semi-supercentenarians and their offspring) who differ from our Italian cohort in terms of genetic background, lifestyle and cultural habits. Further, it will be of great interest to extend this kind of epigenetic clock analysis to other accessible fluids and tissues such as buccal epithelium, saliva, skin, adipose, muscle. It is beyond the scope of this article to carry out an epigenome wide association studies (EWAS) based on 486k individual CpGs on the Illumina array. The number of semi-supercentenarians included in this study is relatively small but these subjects are very rare, *i.e.* about one in 69,720 Italian living individuals and 6 out of the top 50 oldest living people are Italian (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List of oldest living people).

The epigenetic clock and related methylation-based biomarkers of ageing are arguably the most accurate measures of the wider process of epigenetic remodeling that occurs in different cell types, tissues and organs during human ageing [46, 48, 74, 75]. Previous studies have shown that epigenetic age relates to cognitive status, physical fitness, and all-cause of mortality in aged populations [62, 63, 79-81]. The current study adds to an increasing body of evidence that suggests that the epigenetic age of PBMCs relates to biological age or physiological age but other complementary biomarkers of ageing undoubtedly play a crucial role. It is highly unlikely that a single blood-based biomarker of ageing (such as epigenetic age) captures all aspects of physiological age.

Overall, our results suggest that the offspring of semisupercentenarians are informative when it comes to detecting epigenetic determinants of healthy ageing. By understanding why the offspring of centenarians are protected against epigenetic ageing, we might be able to learn how to extend the benefits of successful ageing to the general population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

<u>Description of the dataset.</u> The subjects were recruited in three Italian centers (Bologna, Milan and University of Calabria at Rende). The study was approved by the local Ethical Committee (S. Orsola Hospital -University of Bologna; Prot. n. 2006061707, amendment 08/11/2011; Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Prot. n. 2035, amendment 30/11/2011; University of Calabria 9/9/2004 amendment on 24/11/2011). A written informed consent form was obtained from all participants.

This novel dataset (measured on the Illumina 450K array) includes 192 subjects: 82 semi-supercentenarians (33 from Bologna, 29 from Milan and 20 from Calabria), 63 offspring of semi-supercentenarians (22 from Bologna, 28 from Milan and 13 from Calabria) and 47 control subjects whose parents were not centenarians (16 from Bologna, 17 from Milan and 14 from Calabria).

DNA extraction and bisulphite treatment of DNA. Extraction of genomic DNA from PBMCs was performed using the AllPrep DNA/RNA/protein kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Sodium bisulphite conversion for Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip was performed using the EZ-DNA Methylation-Gold Kit and the EZ-96 DNA Methylation Kit respectively Genome-wide DNA methylation was analyzed using the Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA) following manufacturer's instructions. Arrays were scanned by HiScan (Illumina). GenomeStudio (Illumina) was used to perform background subtraction.

DNA methylation age and epigenetic clock. The epigenetic clock software implements a data normalization step that repurposes the BMIO normalization method from Teschendorff [76] so that it automatically references each sample to a gold standard based on type II probes as detailed in Additional file 2 from [48]. All of the described epigenetic measures of ageing and age acceleration are implemented in our freely available software [48]. The epigenetic clock is defined as a prediction method of age based on the DNA methylation levels of 353 CpGs. Predicted age, referred to as DNAm age, correlates with chronological age in sorted cell types (CD4 T cells, monocytes, B cells, glial cells, neurons), tissues and organs, including: whole blood, brain, breast, kidney, liver, lung, saliva [48]. Mathematical details and software tutorials for the epigenetic clock can be found in the Additional files of [48]. An online age calculator can be found at our webpage (https://dnamage.genetics.ucla.edu).

<u>Measures of epigenetic age acceleration.</u> The name of our universal measure of age acceleration (Age Accel) reflects that it applies to virtually all sources of human DNA (with the exception of sperm). Here we defined it as follows. First, we regressed DNAm age on chronological age in semi-supercentenarians and their offspring. Next, we used the resulting model to predict the age subject. Next the universal measure was defined

as the difference between the observed measure of DNAm age and the predicted value. Thus, a high positive value for Age Accel indicates that the observed DNAm age is higher than that predicted based on semisupercentenarians and their offspring. Age Accel has a relatively weak correlation with blood cell counts [66] but it still relates to estimated blood cell counts as can be seen from Table 1. To subtract out the effect of blood cell counts, we find it useful to define a measure of intrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (IEAA) that measures "pure" epigenetic ageing effects that are not confounded by differences in blood cell counts. It is defined as the residual resulting from a multivariate regression model of DNAm age on chronological age and various blood immune cell counts (naive CD8 T cells, exhausted CD8 T cells, plasma B cells, CD4 T cells, natural killer cells and monocytes).

The measure of extrinsic epigenetic age acceleration (EEAA) aims to measure epigenetic ageing in immune related components. EEAA is defined using the following three steps. First, we calculated the epigenetic age measure from Hannum et al (2013) [47], which is weakly correlated with certain blood cell types [62]. Second, we increased the contribution of blood cell types to the age estimate by forming a weighted average of the Hannum's estimate with 3 cell types that are known to change with age: naive (CD45RA+CCR7+) cytotoxic T cells, exhausted (CD28-CD45RA-) cytotoxic T cells, and plasma B cells using the approach of [77]. The resulting measure of blood age is referred to as BioAge4 in our epigenetic clock software. Third, we defined a measure of age acceleration (EEAA) as the residual resulting from a univariate model regressing BioAge4 on chronological age. By definition, our measure of EEAA has a positive correlation with the amount of exhausted CD8 T cells and plasma blast cells and a negative correlation with the amount of naive CD8+ T cells. Blood cell counts were estimated based on DNA methylation data as described in the section entitled "Estimating blood cell counts based on DNA methylation levels". By construction, EEAA tracks both age related changes in blood cell composition and intrinsic epigenetic changes. By definition, none of our three measures of epigenetic age acceleration are correlated with the chronological age.

Estimating blood cell counts based on DNA methylation levels. We estimate blood cell proportions using two different software tools. Houseman's estimation method [78], which is based on DNA methylation signatures from purified leukocyte samples, was used to estimate the proportions of cytotoxic (CD8+) T cells, helper (CD4+) T, natural killer B cells. The advanced analysis option of the epigenetic clock software [48] was used to estimate the percentage of exhausted CD8+ T cells (defined as CD28-CD45RA-) and the number (count) of naïve CD8+ T cells (defined as (CD45RA+CCR7+).

Funding

The data generation was supported by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (Project PRIN 2009 to CF) and by the European Commission (grant agreement no. 259679 "IDEAL", grant agreement no. 602757 "HUMAN", grant agreement no. 305522 "COBBRA", grant agreement no. 634821 "PROPAG-AGEING"). SH was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIA/NIH 5R01AG042511-02 and 1U34AG051425-01).

Authors' contributions

CF and PG conceived of the study and directed this study. SH carried out the epigenetic age analysis. CF, PG, SH and CP wrote the paper. DG, DM, DM, BA, GP, PDA, FDR, EM and CG collected the samples and generated the DNA methylation data. CF, PG, SH, CP MGB, SC, PD, MD, DM, DM, BA, GP, PDA, FDR, EM, DG, AMDB and CG helped interpret the data, provided critical observations and edited the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Salvioli S, Capri M, Bucci L, Lanni C, Racchi M, Uberti D, Memo M, Mari D, Govoni S and Franceschi C. Why do centenarians escape or postpone cancer? The role of IGF-1, inflammation and p53. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2009; 58:1909-1917.

2. Olivieri F, Spazzafumo L, Antonicelli R, Marchegiani F, Cardelli M, Sirolla C, Galeazzi R, Giovagnetti S, Mocchegiani E and Franceschi C. Combination of biomarkers to predict mortality in elderly patients with myocardial infarction. Mech Ageing Dev. 2008; 129:231-237.

3. Ferrannini E, Vichi S, Beck-Nielsen H, Laakso M, Paolisso G and Smith U. Insulin action and age. European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (EGIR). Diabetes. 1996; 45:947-953.

4. Passeri G, Pini G, Troiano L, Vescovini R, Sansoni P, Passeri M, Gueresi P, Delsignore R, Pedrazzoni M and Franceschi C. Low vitamin D status, high bone turnover, and bone fractures in centenarians. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003; 88:5109-5115.

5. Evert J, Lawler E, Bogan H and Perls T. Morbidity profiles of centenarians: survivors, delayers, and escapers. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2003; 58:232-237.

6. Atzmon G, Schechter C, Greiner W, Davidson D, Rennert G and Barzilai N. Clinical phenotype of families with longevity. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2004; 52:274-277.

7. Statistics INIo. 2015. ISTAT. www.istat.it/en/products/data-bases.

8. Hausman DB, Fischer JG and Johnson MA. Protein, lipid, and hematological biomarkers in centenarians: Definitions, interpretation and relationships with health. Maturitas. 2012; 71:205-212.

9. Franceschi C, Valensin S, Fagnoni F, Barbi C and Bonafè M. Biomarkers of immunosenescence within an evolutionary perspective: the challenge of heterogeneity and the role of antigenic load. Experimental Gerontology. 1999; 34:911-921.

10. Colonna-Romano G, Buffa S, Bulati M, Candore G, Lio D, Pellicano M, Vasto S and Caruso C. B Cells Compartment in Centenarian Offspring and Old People. Current Pharmaceutical Design. 2010; 16:604-608.

11. Trougakos IP, Petropoulou C, Franceschi C and Gonos ES. Reduced Expression Levels of the Senescence Biomarker Clusterin/Apolipoprotein J in Lymphocytes from Healthy Centenarians. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2006; 1067:294-300.

12. Atzmon G, Barzilai N, Hollowell JG, Surks MI and Gabriely I. Extreme Longevity Is Associated with Increased Serum Thyrotropin. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2009; 94:1251-1254.

13. Sebastiani P, Bae H, Sun FX, Andersen SL, Daw EW, Malovini A, Kojima T, Hirose N, Schupf N, Puca A and Perls TT. Metaanalysis of genetic variants associated with human exceptional longevity. Aging (Albany NY). 2013; 5:653-661.

14. Deelen J, Beekman M, Uh HW, Helmer Q, Kuningas M, Christiansen L, Kremer D, van der Breggen R, Suchiman HE, Lakenberg N, van den Akker EB, Passtoors WM, Tiemeier H, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a single major locus contributing to survival into old age; the APOE locus revisited. Aging Cell. 2011; 10:686-698.

15. Deelen J, Beekman M, Uh HW, Broer L, Ayers KL, Tan Q, Kamatani Y, Bennet AM, Tamm R, Trompet S, Guethbjartsson DF, Flachsbart F, Rose G, et al. Genome-wide association meta-analysis of human longevity identifies a novel locus conferring survival beyond 90 years of age. Hum Mol Genet. 2014; 23:4420-4432.

16. Freudenberg-Hua Y, Freudenberg J, Vacic V, Abhyankar A, Emde AK, Ben-Avraham D, Barzilai N, Oschwald D, Christen E, Koppel J, Greenwald B, Darnell RB, Germer S, et al. Disease variants in genomes of 44 centenarians. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2014; 2:438-450.

17. Garagnani P, Giuliani C, Pirazzini C, Olivieri F, Bacalini MG, Ostan R, Mari D, Passarino G, Monti D, Bonfigli AR, Boemi M, Ceriello A, Genovese S, et al. Centenarians as super-controls to assess the biological relevance of genetic risk factors for common age-related diseases: a proof of principle on type 2 diabetes. Aging (Albany NY). 2013; 5:373-385.

18. de Magalhães JP, Curado J and Church GM. Meta-analysis of age-related gene expression profiles identifies common signatures of aging. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:875-881.

19. Rodwell GE, Sonu R, Zahn JM, Lund J, Wilhelmy J, Wang L, Xiao W, Mindrinos M, Crane E, Segal E, Myers BD, Brooks JD, Davis RW, et al. A transcriptional profile of aging in the human kidney. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2:e427.

20. Zahn J, Poosala S, Owen A, Ingram DK, Lustig A, Carter A, Weeraratna AT, Taub DD, Gorospe M, Mazan-Mamczarz K, Lakatta EG, Boheler KR, Xu X, et al. AGEMAP: a gene expression database for aging in mice. PLoS Genet. 2007; 3:e201.

21. Zahn J, Sonu R, Vogel H, Crane E, Mazan-Mamczarz K, Rabkin R, Davis R, Becker K, Owen A and Kim S. Transcriptional profiling of aging in human muscle reveals a common aging signature. PLoS Genet. 2006; 2:e115.

22. Rampelli S, Candela M, Turroni S, Biagi E, Collino S, Franceschi C, O'Toole PW and Brigidi P. Functional metagenomic profiling of intestinal microbiome in extreme ageing. Aging (Albany NY). 2013; 5:902-912.

23. Gonzalez-Covarrubias V, Beekman M, Uh HW, Dane A, Troost J, Paliukhovich I, van der Kloet FM, Houwing-Duistermaat J, Vreeken RJ, Hankemeier T and Slagboom EP. Lipidomics of familial longevity. Aging Cell. 2013; 12:426-434.

24. Collino S, Montoliu I, Martin FP, Scherer M, Mari D, Salvioli S, Bucci L, Ostan R, Monti D, Biagi E, Brigidi P, Franceschi C and Rezzi S. Metabolic signatures of extreme longevity in northern Italian centenarians reveal a complex remodeling of lipids, amino acids, and gut microbiota metabolism. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e56564.

25. Gueresi P, Miglio R, Monti D, Mari D, Sansoni P, Caruso C, Bonafede E, Bucci L, Cevenini E, Ostan R, Palmas MG, Pini E, Scurti M, et al. Does the longevity of one or both parents influence the health status of their offspring? Exp Gerontol. 2013; 48:395-400.

26. Ostan R, Bucci L, Cevenini E, Palmas MG, Pini E, Scurti M, Vescovini R, Caruso C, Mari D, Vitale G, Franceschi C and Monti D. Metabolic syndrome in the offspring of centenarians: focus on prevalence, components, and adipokines. Age (Dordrecht, Netherlands). 2013; 35:1995-2007.

27. Vitale G, Brugts MP, Ogliari G, Castaldi D, Fatti LM, Varewijck AJ, Lamberts SW, Monti D, Bucci L, Cevenini E, Cavagnini F, Franceschi C, Hofland LJ, et al. Low circulating IGF-I bioactivity is associated with human longevity: findings in centenarians' offspring. Aging (Albany NY). 2012; 4:580-589.

28. Arai Y, Martin-Ruiz CM, Takayama M, Abe Y, Takebayashi T, Koyasu S, Suematsu M, Hirose N and von Zglinicki T. Inflammation, But Not Telomere Length, Predicts Successful Ageing at Extreme Old Age: A Longitudinal Study of Semi-supercentenarians. EBioMedicine. 2015; 2:1549-1558.

29. Fagnoni FF, Vescovini R, Passeri G, Bologna G, Pedrazzoni M, Lavagetto G, Casti A, Franceschi C, Passeri M and Sansoni P. Shortage of circulating naive CD8+ T cells provides new insights on immunodeficiency in aging. Blood. 2000; 95:2860-2868.

30. Franceschi C, Bonafè M, Valensin S, Olivieri F, De Luca M, Ottaviani E and De Benedictis G. Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000; 908:244-254.

31. Larbi A, Franceschi C, Mazzatti D, Solana R, Wikby A and Pawelec G. Aging of the immune system as a prognostic factor for human longevity. Physiology (Bethesda). 2008; 23:64-74.

32. De Benedictis G and Franceschi C. The unusual genetics of human longevity. Sci Aging Knowledge Environ. 2006; 2006:pe20.

33. Franceschi C. Inflammaging as a major characteristic of old people: can it be prevented or cured? Nutr Rev. 2007; 65:S173-176.

34. Borelli V, Vanhooren V, Lonardi E, Reiding KR, Capri M, Libert C, Garagnani P, Salvioli S, Franceschi C and Wuhrer M. Plasma N-Glycome Signature of Down Syndrome. J Proteome Res. 2015; 14:4232-4245.

35. Dall'Olio F, Vanhooren V, Chen CC, Slagboom PE, Wuhrer M and Franceschi C. N-glycomic biomarkers of biological aging and longevity: a link with inflammaging. Ageing Res Rev. 2013; 12:685-698.

36. Vanhooren V, Desmyter L, Liu XE, Cardelli M, Franceschi C, Federico A, Libert C, Laroy W, Dewaele S, Contreras R and Chen C. N-glycomic changes in serum proteins during human aging. Rejuvenation Res. 2007; 10:521-531a.

37. Vanhooren V, Dewaele S, Libert C, Engelborghs S, De Deyn PP, Toussaint O, Debacq-Chainiaux F, Poulain M, Glupczynski Y, Franceschi C, Jaspers K, van der Pluijm I, Hoeijmakers J, et al. Serum N-glycan profile shift during human ageing. Exp Gerontol. 2010; 45:738-743.

38. Blackburn EH and Gall JG. A tandemly repeated sequence at the termini of the extrachromosomal ribosomal RNA genes in Tetrahymena. J Mol Biol. 1978; 120:33-53.

39. Lin J, Epel E, Cheon J, Kroenke C, Sinclair E, Bigos M, Wolkowitz O, Mellon S and Blackburn E. Analyses and comparisons of telomerase activity and telomere length in human T and B cells: insights for epidemiology of telomere maintenance. J Immunol Methods. 2010; 352:71-80.

40. Mondello C, Petropoulou C, Monti D, Gonos ES, Franceschi C and Nuzzo F. Telomere Length in Fibroblasts and Blood Cells from Healthy Centenarians. Experimental Cell Research. 1999; 248:234-242.

41. Cherif H, Tarry JL, Ozanne SE and Hales CN. Ageing and telomeres: a study into organ- and gender-specific telomere shortening. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31:1576-1583.

42. Daniali L, Benetos A, Susser E, Kark JD, Labat C, Kimura M, Desai KK, Granick M and Aviv A. Telomeres shorten at equivalent rates in somatic tissues of adults. Nat Commun. 2013; 4:1597.

43. Bischoff C, Petersen HC, Graakjaer J, Andersen-Ranberg K, Vaupel JW, Bohr VA, Kølvraa S and Christensen K. No Association Between Telomere Length and Survival Among the Elderly and Oldest Old. Epidemiology. 2006; 17:190-194.

44. Bocklandt S, Lin W, Sehl ME, Sanchez FJ, Sinsheimer JS, Horvath S and Vilain E. Epigenetic predictor of age. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6:e14821.

45. Koch C and Wagner W. Epigenetic-aging-signature to determine age in different tissues. Aging (Albany, NY). 2011; 3:1018–1027.

46. Garagnani P, Bacalini MG, Pirazzini C, Gori D, Giuliani C, Mari D, Di Blasio AM, Gentilini D, Vitale G, Collino S, Rezzi S, Castellani G, Capri M, et al. Methylation of ELOVL2 gene as a new epigenetic marker of age. Aging Cell. 2012; 11:1132-1134.

47. Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes G, Sadda S, Klotzle B, Bibikova M, Fan J-B, Gao Y, Deconde R, Chen M, Rajapakse I, et al. Genome-wide Methylation Profiles Reveal Quantitative Views of Human Aging Rates. Mol Cell. 2013; 49:359-367.

48. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R11.

49. Weidner CI, Lin Q, Koch CM, Eisele L, Beier F, Ziegler P, Bauerschlag DO, Jockel KH, Erbel R, Muhleisen TW, Zenke M, Brummendorf TH and Wagner W. Aging of blood can be tracked by DNA methylation changes at just three CpG sites. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:R24.

50. Christensen B, Houseman E, Marsit C, Zheng S, Wrensch M, Wiemels J, Nelson H, Karagas M, Padbury J, Bueno R, Sugarbaker D, Yeh R, Wiencke J, et al. Aging and Environmental Exposures Alter Tissue-Specific DNA Methylation Dependent upon CpG Island Context. PLoS Genet. 2009; 5:e1000602.

51. Bollati V, Schwartz J, Wright R, Litonjua A, Tarantini L, Suh H, Sparrow D, Vokonas P and Baccarelli A. Decline in genomic DNA

methylation through aging in a cohort of elderly subjects. Mech Ageing Dev. 2009; 130:234-239.

52. Rakyan VK, Down TA, Maslau S, Andrew T, Yang TP, Beyan H, Whittaker P, McCann OT, Finer S, Valdes AM, Leslie RD, Deloukas P and Spector TD. Human aging-associated DNA hypermethylation occurs preferentially at bivalent chromatin domains. Genome research. 2010; 20:434-439.

53. Teschendorff AE, Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Ramus SJ, Weisenberger DJ, Shen H, Campan M, Noushmehr H, Bell CG, Maxwell AP, Savage DA, Mueller-Holzner E, Marth C, et al. Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of cancer. Genome research. 2010; 20:440-446.

54. Vivithanaporn P, Heo G, Gamble J, Krentz H, Hoke A, Gill M and Leistung C. Neurologic disease burden in treated HIV/AIDS predicts survival. Neurology. 2010; 75:1150-1158.

55. Horvath S, Zhang Y, Langfelder P, Kahn R, Boks M, van Eijk K, van den Berg L and Ophoff RA. Aging effects on DNA methylation modules in human brain and blood tissue. Genome Biol. 2012; 13:R97.

56. Numata S, Ye T, Hyde Thomas M, Guitart-Navarro X, Tao R, Wininger M, Colantuoni C, Weinberger Daniel R, Kleinman Joel E and Lipska Barbara K. DNA Methylation Signatures in Development and Aging of the Human Prefrontal Cortex. Am J Hum Genet. 2012; 90:260-272.

57. Alisch RS, Barwick BG, Chopra P, Myrick LK, Satten GA, Conneely KN and Warren ST. Age-associated DNA methylation in pediatric populations. Genome Res. 2012; 22:623-632.

58. Johansson A, Enroth S and Gyllensten U. Continuous Aging of the Human DNA Methylome Throughout the Human Lifespan. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e67378.

59. Day K, Waite L, Thalacker-Mercer A, West A, Bamman M, Brooks J, Myers R and Absher D. Differential DNA methylation with age displays both common and dynamic features across human tissues that are influenced by CpG landscape. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R102.

60. Spiers H, Hannon E, Schalkwyk LC, Smith R, Wong CC, O'Donovan MC, Bray NJ and Mill J. Methylomic trajectories across human fetal brain development. Genome research. 2015; 25:338-352.

61. Gibbs W. Biomarkers and ageing: The clock-watcher. Nature. 2014; 508:168–170.

62. Marioni R, Shah S, McRae A, Chen B, Colicino E, Harris S, Gibson J, Henders A, Redmond P, Cox S, Pattie A, Corley J, Murphy L, et al. DNA methylation age of blood predicts all-cause mortality in later life. Genome Biol. 2015; 16:25.

63. Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Ritchie SJ, Muniz-Terrera G, Harris SE, Gibson J, Redmond P, Cox SR, Pattie A, Corley J, Taylor A, Murphy L, et al. The epigenetic clock is correlated with physical and cognitive fitness in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Int J Epidemiol. 2015; 44:1388-1396.

64. Horvath S, Erhart W, Brosch M, Ammerpohl O, von Schönfels W, Ahrens M, Heits N, Bell JT, Tsai P-C, Spector TD, Deloukas P, Siebert R, Sipos B, et al. Obesity accelerates epigenetic aging of human liver. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111:15538-15543.

65. Horvath S, Garagnani P, Bacalini M, Pirazzini C, Salvioli S, Gentilini D, DiBlasio A, Giuliani C, Tung S, Vinters H and Franceschi C. Accelerated Epigenetic Aging in Down Syndrome. Aging Cell. 2015; 14:491-495.

66. Horvath S and Levine AJ. HIV-1 infection accelerates age according to the epigenetic clock. J Infect Dis. 2015; 212:1563-1573.

67. Horvath S, Mah V, Lu AT, Woo JS, Choi OW, Jasinska AJ, Riancho JA, Tung S, Coles NS, Braun J, Vinters HV and Coles LS. The cerebellum ages slowly according to the epigenetic clock. Aging (Albany NY). 2015; 7:294-306.

68. Fagnoni FF, Vescovini R, Mazzola M, Bologna G, Nigro E, Lavagetto G, Franceschi C, Passeri M and Sansoni P. Expansion of cytotoxic CD8+ CD28- T cells in healthy ageing people, including centenarians. Immunology. 1996; 88:501-507.

69. Gruver AL, Hudson LL and Sempowski GD. Immunosenescence of ageing. The Journal of Pathology. 2007; 211:144-156.

70. Effros RB, Boucher N, Porter V, Zhu X, Spaulding C, Walford RL, Kronenberg M, Cohen D and Schächter F. Decline in CD28+ T cells in centenarians and in long-term T cell cultures: a possible cause for both in vivo and in vitro immunosenescence. Exp Gerontol. 1994; 29:601-609.

71. Potestio M, Pawelec G, Di Lorenzo G, Candore G, D'Anna C, Gervasi F, Lio D, Tranchida G, Caruso C and Romano GC. Agerelated changes in the expression of CD95 (APO1/FAS) on blood lymphocytes. Exp Gerontol. 1999; 34:659-673.

72. Prelog M. Aging of the immune system: A risk factor for autoimmunity? Autoimmunity Reviews. 2006; 5:136-139.

73. Gentilini D, Mari D, Castaldi D, Remondini D, Ogliari G, Ostan R, Bucci L, Sirchia SM, Tabano S, Cavagnini F, Monti D, Franceschi C, Di Blasio AM, et al. Role of epigenetics in human aging and longevity: genome-wide DNA methylation profile in centenarians and centenarians' offspring. Age (Dordrecht, Netherlands). 2013; 35:1961-1973.

74. Bacalini MG, Gentilini D, Boattini A, Giampieri E, Pirazzini C, Giuliani C, Fontanesi E, Scurti M, Remondini D, Capri M, Cocchi G, Ghezzo A, Del Rio A, et al. Identification of a DNA methylation signature in blood cells from persons with Down Syndrome. Aging (Albany, NY). 2015; 7:82-96.

75. Gentilini D, Garagnani P, Pisoni S, Bacalini MG, Calzari L, Mari D, Vitale G, Franceschi C and Di Blasio AM. Stochastic epigenetic mutations (DNA methylation) increase exponentially in human aging and correlate with X chromosome inactivation skewing in females. Aging (Albany NY). 2015; 7:568-578.

76. Teschendorff AE, Marabita F, Lechner M, Bartlett T, Tegner J, Gomez-Cabrero D and Beck S. A beta-mixture quantile normalization method for correcting probe design bias in Illumina Infinium 450 k DNA methylation data. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29:189-196.

77. Klemera P and Doubal S. A new approach to the concept and computation of biological age. Mech Ageing Dev. 2006; 127:240-248.

78. Houseman E, Accomando W, Koestler D, Christensen B, Marsit C, Nelson H, Wiencke J and Kelsey K. DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012; 13:86.

79. Christiansen L, Lenart A, Tan Q, Vaupel JW, Aviv A, McGue M, Christensen K. DNA methylation age is associated with mortality in a longitudinal Danish twin study. Aging Cell 2015, Nov 17. doi: 10.1111/acel.12421. Epub ahead of print.

80. Levine ME, Lu AT, Bennett DA, Horvath S. Epigenetic age of the pre-frontal cortex is associated with neuritic plaques, amyloid load, and Alzheimer's disease related cognitive functioning. Aging (Albany, NY) 2015; this issue.

81. Horvath S, Ritz BR (2015) Increased epigenetic age and granulocyte counts in the blood of Parkinson's disease patients. Aging (Albany, NY) 2015; this issue.