**Research Paper** 

# Sex-specific effects of cytotoxic chemotherapy agents cyclophosphamide and mitomycin C on gene expression, oxidative DNA damage, and epigenetic alterations in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus – an aging connection

Anna Kovalchuk<sup>1</sup>, Rocio Rodriguez-Juarez<sup>2</sup>, Yaroslav Ilnytskyy<sup>2</sup>, Boseon Byeon<sup>2</sup>, Svitlana Shpyleva<sup>3,4</sup>, Stepan Melnyk<sup>4</sup>, Igor Pogribny<sup>3</sup>, Bryan Kolb,<sup>1,5,6</sup>, and Olga Kovalchuk<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB, T1K3M4, Canada
<sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB, T1K3M4, Canada
<sup>3</sup>Division of Biochemical Toxicology, Food and Drug Administration National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, AR 72079, USA
<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR 72202, USA
<sup>5</sup>Alberta Epigenetics Network, Calgary, AB, T2L 2A6, Canada
<sup>6</sup>Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, ON, M5G 1Z8, Canada

Key words: chemotherapy, chemo brain, epigenetics, DNA methylation, DNA hydroxymethylation, oxidative stress, transcriptome, aging
Received: 01/08/16; Accepted: 01/30/16; Published: 03/30/16
Correspondence to: Bryan Kolb, PhD; Olga Kovalchuk, PhD; E-mail: <u>kolb@uleth.ca; olga.kovalchuk@uleth.ca</u>

**Copyright**: Kovalchuk et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

**Abstract:** Recent research shows that chemotherapy agents can be more toxic to healthy brain cells than to the target cancer cells. They cause a range of side effects, including memory loss and cognitive dysfunction that can persist long after the completion of treatment. This condition is known as chemo brain. The molecular and cellular mechanisms of chemo brain remain obscure. Here, we analyzed the effects of two cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs—cyclophosphamide (CPP) and mitomycin C (MMC) - on\_transcriptomic and epigenetic changes in the murine prefrontal cortex (PFC) and hippocampal regions. We for the first time showed that CPP and MMC treatments led to profound sex- and brain region-specific alterations in gene expression profiles. Gene expression changes were most prominent in the PFC tissues of female mice 3 weeks after MMC treatment, and the gene expression response was much greater for MCC than CPP exposure. MMC exposure resulted in oxidative DNA damage, evidenced by accumulation of 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) and a decrease in the level of 8-oxodG repair protein OGG1 in the PFC of female animals 3 weeks after treatment. MMC treatment decreased global DNA methylation and increased DNA hydroxymethylation in the PFC tissues of female mice. The majority of the changes induced by chemotherapy in the PFC tissues of female mice. The majority of the changes induced by chemotherapy in the PFC tissues of female mice resembled those that occur during the brain's aging processes. Therefore, our study suggests a link between chemotherapy-induced chemo brain and brain aging, and provides an important roadmap for future analysis.

# **INTRODUCTION**

The recent report by the American Cancer Society showed that 14.1 million new cancer cases were diagnosed worldwide in 2012, and 8 million of those occurred in developed countries. It is projected that by 2030 newly diagnosed cancer cases will reach 21.7 million worldwide (http://www.cancer.org/research/acsresearchupdates/more/10-must-know-2015-global-cancer-facts).

The development of new chemotherapeutic agents and regimens for cancer therapy has led to increasing rates of survival in cancer patients and, therefore, it is important to ensure that cancer survivors suffer minimal side effects and have good quality of life.

Despite the undisputed benefits, chemotherapy causes a wide array of side effects, among them central nervous system (CNS) toxicity [1, 2]. Recent research showed that chemotherapy agents were more toxic to healthy brain cells than to the cancer cells. Numerous studies have provided evidence of the occurrence of chemotherapy-cognitive dysfunction [3, 4]. For example, Wefel and Schagen (2012), reported that with regard to breast cancer alone, more than 60 studies found various degrees of association between chemotherapy and cognitive impairments [5].

Chemotherapy-induced CNS side effects impact the cognitive domains of attention, memory, processing speed, and executive function [6-8], causing a condition that has been termed *chemo brain* [9]. The persistence of chemo brain manifestations range from short to long [2, 10], affecting 35% of patients for months to years after the cessation of treatments. Furthermore, data by the International Cognitive Workshop indicates that chemo brain's cognitive side effects can persist for as long as five to ten years after treatment completion [4, 9]. In order to prevent and mitigate chemo brain side effects, it is important to understand the underlying mechanisms that are affected by chemotherapy agents in the brain.

The proposed mechanisms of chemo brain include increased oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, inhibition of neuronal proliferation, differentiation and disruption of hippocampal neurogenesis, induction of apoptosis, alterations in brain blood flow, changes in metabolism, disruption of blood-brain barrier, and white matter dysfunction [6, 11-14].

Although the molecular mechanisms underlying chemo brain have been assessed in clinical studies, analyses are difficult to conduct because of large inter-patient variability, different treatment protocols, disease status, and co-morbidities [15]. Thus, much of the recent chemo brain research has employed cell lines as well as rodent models (reviewed in [6, 7]). Several model-based studies have reported that chemotherapy exposure caused oxidative stress, inhibited neuronal proliferation and differentiation, increased apoptosis, and altered levels of histone modification and chromatin remodeling, thus leading to aberrant levels of neurotrophin and neurogenic proteins in the brains of experimental animals. These molecular changes were associated with altered neurogenesis and deficits in learning and memory processes [12, 16].

The frequency and timing of chemo brain occurrence and persistence suggest that chemo brain may be epigenetic in nature and associated with aberrant gene expression profiles. The vast majority of chemo brain studies have focused on the hippocampus, due to its involvement in processes, several cognitive including spatial navigation, memory processing, storage of long-term memory, and declarative memory. Yet, almost nothing is known about effects of chemotherapy on the PFC, a key regulatory region that is involved in executive functions, such as working memory, decision-making, planning, judgment, social behavior, as well as abstract thinking.

In the present study, we analyzed the effects of two cytotoxic chemotherapy drugs - cyclophosphamide (CPP) and mitomycin C (MMC) - on gene expression and epigenetic changes in the murine brain, focusing on the PFC and hippocampal regions. We demonstrated that CPP and MMC treatment led to substantial noticeable sex- and brain region-specific alterations in gene expression profiles, oxidative DNA damage, and changes in global levels of cytosine DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation.

# RESULTS

# Analysis of gene expression response to CPP and MMC treatment

Global gene expression profiling provides a mechanistic insight into a milieu of molecular processes and pathways associated with exposures to various genotoxic and non-genotoxic stressors, as well as with a variety of disease conditions. Our aim was to use an Illumina Bead Array platform to conduct an in-depth gene expression analysis of the PFC and hippocampal tissues of male and female mice three weeks after exposure to chemotherapy agents CPP or MMC.

The transcriptomic analysis revealed no notable changes in gene expression in the PFC after exposure to CPP (data not shown). In contrast, changes in gene expression in response to MMC treatment were evident three weeks after exposure (Figure 1A), especially in female mice. Thirty-six genes were upregulated and 166 genes were downregulated in female mice, while only 2 and 16 genes were upregulated and downregulated, respectively, in male mice following MMC exposure (the adjusted p-value <0.05 and fold change 1.5) (Figure 1A).





The hippocampus, in contrast to the PFC, contained almost no differentially expressed genes three weeks after MMC exposure: only a single gene, (predicted gene EG545253), was upregulated in male mice hippocampal tissues (Figure 1B). Exposure to CPP led to the upregulation of a single same gene, (EG545253), in the male mice at three weeks post treatment, while ten genes were downregulated in the hippocampal tissues of female mice (p < 0.05, fold change 1.5) (Figure 1C).

Thus, the MMC-induced changes in gene expression were brain-region specific, and were seen only in the PFC and not in the hippocampus (Figures 1A and 1B).

### Functional analysis of gene enrichment pathways

To further investigate the functional significance of the observed gene expression changes we conducted an indepth pathway analysis study. First, we annotated differentially expressed genes in response to MMC or CPP treatment by performing a functional annotation Gene Ontology (GO) terms analysis using the DAVID bioinformatics platform.

Among the genes that were downregulated at three weeks post-treatment in the PFC of female mice exposed to MMC, enrichment was found in the positive regulation of the Notch signaling pathway (more than 50 fold) and in neural crest cell differentiation (more than 10 fold). In the male mice, the endoplasmic reticulum and endosome were enriched. The findings for male and female mice showed no overlap. In the upregulated genes at three weeks post treatment in the PFC tissues of female mice exposed to MMC, enrichment was observed in the GO terms of olfactory receptor activity (S. Table 1). Only a few genes were changed in the male mice to warrant the same analysis that was conducted for the female groups.

We further analysed gene expression data based on pathway knowledge the genes and networks altered in response to MMC and CPP using WikiPathways and KEGG pathways. The PFC of females exposed to MMC at three weeks showed downregulated genes belonging to dopaminergic neurogenesis (Figure S.1) and oxidative phosphorylation pathway (Figure S.2). Additionally, we used a Generally Applicable Gene-set Enrichment (GAGE) analysis implemented as Bioconductor package (version 2.18.0) to detect significantly perturbed metabolic and signaling canonical pathways [17]. Bi-directional analysis yielded several significantly altered pathways, such as neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, graft-versushost disease, allograft rejection and others (Figure 2, Figure S.3).

### Chemotherapy-induced oxidative damage

It has been reported by Kesler (2014) that the observed chemotherapy-induced gene expression changes indicate unaltered cellular redox status and oxidative stress [18]. Oxidative stress has been proposed as one of the mechanisms of chemo brain pathogenesis [19, 20]. Based on these findings, we assessed the levels of 8-oxo-2'deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) in genomic DNA from PFC and hippocampal tissues of MMC and CPP exposed male and female animals. The 8-oxodG molecule, one of the predominant and best-studied molecular markers of oxidative DNA damage, is formed by the action of reactive oxygen species [21]. Exposure to CPP caused a small but statistically significant (p<0.05) increase in 8oxodG levels in the PFC tissues of female, but not male, animals at 3 weeks post-treatment. Exposure to MMC led to a statistically significant increase in the 8-oxodG levels in the PFC tissues of male mice (p=0.016), while the PFCs of MMC-exposed female mice showed a strong trend towards an increase in 8-oxodG levels, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.146)



mmu04080 Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction mmu04060 Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction mmu05320 Autoimmune thyroid disease mmu05332 Graft-versus-host disease mmu05330 Allograft rejection mmu04940 Type I diabetes mellitus



(Figure 3 A). In the hippocampus tissues, CPP exposure had no effect on the levels of 8-oxodG in either male or female animals, while MMC exposure led to significantly elevated levels in female mice (p=0.002) and an in-

creasing, but not statistically significant trend in males (p=0.179) (Figure 3A). As such, MMC was a more potent inducer of oxidative DNA damage when compared to CCP.







Figure 4. Altered DNA methylation in the PFC and hippocampus tissues of chemotherapyexposed animals. (A) Levels of 5-methylcytosine (5mC) and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) in the genomic DNA of the in the PFC and hippocampus tissues of chemotherapy-exposed animals. Mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 4; \*p<0.05, Student's t-test. (B) Levels of DNMT1, DNMT3a and MeCP2 in the PFC tissues of chemotherapy-exposed female animals 3 weeks after treatment. Lysates from PFC tissues were immunoblotted using antibodies against DNMT1, DNMT3a, MeCP2 and actin. \*p<0.05, Student's t-test.

We explored potential mechanisms for the persistence of 8-oxodG in the genomic DNA of the PFC tissues of the MMC-exposed animals by determining the level of key base excision repair proteins involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage. The level of base excision repair proteins is another well-accepted marker of oxidative DNA damage [22, 23]. Our analysis of the levels of 8-oxoguanine glycosylase (OGG 1) and apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1) using Western immunoblotting revealed a statistically significant reduction in the levels of OGG1 (p=0.045 and p=0.044) in the PFC tissues of the MMC- and CPP-exposed female animals, respectively (Figure 3B). No statistically significant changes were observed in the levels of APE1 (Figure 3B).

# Analysis of global DNA methylation in the PFC and hippocampal tissues of chemotherapy-exposed mice

Several studies have indicated that aberrant cytosine DNA methylation may occur because of oxidative DNA damage [22, 24]. Aberrant DNA methylation is also associated with altered gene expression patterns [25]. Therefore, we analyzed the status of global DNA methylation in the hippocampal and PFC tissues of CPP- and MMC-exposed male and female mice. We determined the levels of 5-methyl-cytosine (5mC) and 5-hydroxymethyl-cytosine (5-hmC) in the genomic DNA of PFC and hippocampal tissues of chemotherapy-treated animals at 3 weeks postexposure.

We found a statistically significant decrease in the level of 5-mC in the global DNA of PFC tissues of MMCtreated female mice (p=0.025), whereas the level of 5hmC was significantly increased (p=0.017). MMCtreated male mice showed an increase in the 5hmC levels in the hippocampus (p=0.018), but no changes were observed in the 5mC levels. CPP treatment had no effect on the levels of 5mC or 5-hmC in any of the studied tissues (Figure 4A).

We further explored the decrease in the level of 5-mC and the increase in the level of 5-hmC in the PFC tissues of MMC-exposed females by determining the levels of proteins that establish and maintain these epigenetic modifications [26]. MMC exposure led to a significant downregulation of the cellular levels of maintenance DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 (p=0.032), and *de novo* DNA methyltransferase DNMT3a (p=0.017) in the female PFC tissues at 3 weeks post-treatment (Figure 4B). In parallel, the levels of the methyl-CpG binding protein MECP2 were increased (p=0.044). The levels of Tet1 and Tet 2 were unaffected (data not shown).

# **DISCUSSION**

This is the first in-depth analysis study of gene expression profiles in PFC and hippocampus tissues of male and female mice 3 weeks after treatment with the

chemotherapy agents MMC and CPP. The key findings of our study are: (i) chemotherapy altered the gene expression profiles in the murine PFC and hippocampus tissues; (ii) gene expression changes were most prominent in the PFC tissues of female animals 3 weeks after MMC treatment; (iii) the magnitude of gene expression response was much greater for MCC than CPP treatment; (iv) MMC treatment resulted in accumulation of 8-oxodG, decreased global DNA methylation, and increased DNA hydroxymethylation in the PFC tissues of female animals; and (v) the majority of the changes induced by MMC in the PFC tissues of female mice resembled those that occur during the aging processes. As such, the PFC of both male and female animals appears not to be sensitive to CPP treatment, although the reasons for this apparent resistance require further analysis.

Our data suggest that the PFC of females may be more vulnerable in the long term, as the significant changes observed in females at 3 weeks post-exposure to MMC were not apparent in males. We showed that MMC exposure leads to profound alterations in the global gene expression, affecting pathways responsible for oxidative stress and others. We also demonstrated that MMC exposure leads to accumulation and persistence of 8-oxodG in the PFC tissues of female animals at 3 weeks after exposure. This accumulation was paralleled by decreases in levels of 5-methylcytosine and increases in levels of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine.

The elevated level of the oxidative stress biomarker 8oxodG and the decreased level of key BER protein (OGG1) that repairs 8-oxodG strongly infer that MMC induces oxidative DNA damage and oxidative stress [22, 27]. The 8-oxodG is a prevalent and well-studied component of oxidative DNA lesions [21]. Various studies have established the important role of altered cellular redox status in the pathophysiology of major neurological diseases and conditions, such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, stroke, autism, and many others [22, 28-30]. Oxidative stress is also viewed as one of the potential mechanisms of chemo brain [19, 20], but the associated processes and pathways linking oxidative stress and chemo brain have not been analyzed beyond claiming an effect of chemotherapy treatment on the brain.

The present study, for the first time, shows a persistent decrease in the levels of OGG1 in the PFC of chemotherapy-exposed female animals. OGG1 is a glycosylase involved in the initial steps of recognition and removal of 8-oxodG, a cytotoxic and mutagenic lesion, by the highly conserved base excision repair pathway [31]. OGG1 is the first enzyme in the short-

patch BER; thus, the success of 8-oxodG removal heavily depends on proper OGG1 function. *OGG1* is highly abundant in the brain, where it functions to protect neurons against oxidative DNA damage and apoptosis and to maintain proper neuronal connectivity [28]. OGG1 is very important for brain development. As well, the loss or inhibition of OGG1 and subsequent accumulation of 8-oxodG in the genome are associated with cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, metabolic diseases, obesity, and autism [22, 29, 31, 32]. Along with these and other pathological conditions, OGG1 loss has also been implicated in brain aging [28, 33].

Another key finding of the present study is the decrease in 5-mC and concurrent increase in 5-hmC in the PFC tissues of female mice 3 weeks after exposure to MMC. Ours is the first study to report changes in these epigenetic markers in context of chemo brain. The observed decrease in 5mC, indicative of global DNA hypomethylation, may be attributed to the observed reduction in the levels of maintenance and *de novo* DNA methyltransferases DNMT1 and 3a. It also may reflect the presence of oxidative lesions themselves that were previously demonstrated to decrease the expression and activity of DNMTs, leading aberrant DNA methylation patterns.

The reduced levels of DNMT1 and DNMT3a constitute anther important finding. These proteins are important for maintenance of DNA methylation and synaptic plasticity in the adult brain [34]. Their reduced expression has been associated with blast-induced neurotrauma, loss of synaptic functions in forebrain neurons[35], and several neurodegenerative diseases and brain aging. Inactivating mutations in DNMT1 are associated with hereditary sensory neuropathy and adult-onset dementia [36].

The observed persistent increase in the levels of 5hmC is also important, because changes in DNA hydroxymethylation have been implicated in neurodegeneration and brain aging [37, 38]. Recent studies link decreases in 5mC and increases in 5-hmC to newly discovered demethylation functions of TET proteins, and TET-mediated enzymatic oxidation of 5mC [25, 39]. Nevertheless, the cellular levels of Tet proteins were unchanged following MMC exposure in the present study. Two recent investigations reported similar accumulations of 5hmC in brain tissues without changes in the TET levels [22, 40]. Thus, the increase in 5hmC observed in the present study is perhaps not associated with its role in DNA demethylation. Its precise role, as well as its locus specificity, need further clarification.

Altered cellular levels of 5mC and 5 hmC, in turn, may affect gene expression as well as genome stability, emphasizing the importance of analyzing the precise locus-specific distribution and plasticity of these changes in chemo brain and other neurological conditions. Increased oxidative stress, altered methylation and aberrant gene expression were all ob-





served in the PFC of MMC-exposed female animals 3 weeks post-treatment. This may indicate possible interconnectivity of these molecular processes. Indeed, MMC can cause oxidative damage, which leads to altered DNA methylation and aberrant gene expression. The aberrant expression of oxidative pathways, as shown in our study, may also lead to accumulation of oxidative damage, thereby creating a 'damage loop' and causing persistence of molecular changes in the PFC (Figure 5).

The cytotoxic chemotherapy-induced changes observed in the PFC constitute yet another seminal finding. The vast majority of previous chemo brain studies focused on the hippocampus, due to its role in neurogenesis, whereas the PFC, a key regulatory region, has been under-investigated. Several clinical research articles pointed out an important role of the PFC in chemo brain [41, 42], and one mouse model-based analysis reported PFC effects upon application of a targeted cancer drug everolimus [43]. The current study opens new avenues for the analysis of chemo brain and the role of PFC damage in its etiology and pathogenesis.

The molecular changes observed in this chemo brain study have also been reported to play key parts in neurodegeneration and aging (Figure 5). Indeed, increased oxidative damage and altered levels of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation are established signs of aging [33, 38, 44]. Furthermore, a recent clinical research article suggested a link between aging and cancer treatments and called for basic and model-based research to dissect the link between these two key clinical conditions [18]. In light of this need, our study establishes the initial mechanistic links between chemotherapy-induced chemo brain and brain aging, and provides an important roadmap for future analysis.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Animals and treatment. Forty-five days old BALB/c male and female mice, average weight 25-27 grams and 22-24 grams, respectively, were housed in a temperature-controlled (24°C) room with a 12-h lightdark cycle. The mice were randomly allocated to the following groups: (i) MMC-treated: (ii) CPP-treated: (iii) controls (10 males and 10 females). CPP and MMC doses were calculated using the body surface area conversion from approximating the recommended human clinical dose levels in the range of 400-1800  $mg/m^2$ (http://reference.medscape.com/drug/cytoxancyclophosphamide-342214) and 20 mg/m2(http://reference.medscape.com/drug/mitomycin-342124), accordingly.

Animals from treated groups received intraperitoneal injections of either MMC (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; 5 mg/kg) or CPP (Sigma-Aldrich; 200 mg/kg) as 2 consecutive injections every other day, and were euthanized 3 weeks after chemotherapy to examine the persistent effects on the brain. The brains were excised and dissected, and the PFC and hippocampal tissues were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for subsequent analyses. All animal experimental procedures were approved by the University of Lethbridge Animal Welfare Committee.

Gene expression analysis. The hippocampus and PFC tissues of four animals per group were used for the analysis of gene expression profiles. Differential expression analyses were performed by the Illumina® GenomeStudio software using an Illumina-custom model. In brief, RNA was extracted from the hippocampus and the PFC tissues using TRIzol® Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), further purified using an RNAesy kit (Qiagen), and quantified using Nanodrop2000c (ThermoScientific). Afterwards, RNA integrity and concentration were established using 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent). Gene expression profiles were determined using Illumina MouseRef-8 v2.0 Expression BeadChip. Differential expression analyses were performed by the Illumina® GenomeStudio software using an Illumina-custom model. To process the data, all expression values were made positive by adding an offset (minus minimum value plus one). Next, all expression values were transformed into Log2 values and normalized by the quantile method. To reduce the number of differentially expressed genes and to increase the statistical significance of the outcome, the genes whose detection p-values in all the 8 compared samples (4 controls and 4 treatments) were greater than or equal to 0.01 were removed. The adjusted p-values from moderated t-statistics [45] were calculated using linear model and Bayes moderation. For the identification of differentially expressed genes, we have compared treatment samples (MMS and CPP) to appropriate sex (male or female), tissue type (prefrontal cortex or hippocampus) and controls (treated with saline). Differentially expressed genes were then extracted on the basis of the adjusted p-value 0.05 and fold change 1.5.

Several comparisons within control groups were made; these included comparison between male and female control samples, as well as between hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. The functional annotations of differentially expressed genes were performed using DAVID, GO (Gene Ontology) Elite, and GO-TermFinder [46]. Pathways were visualized using WikiPathways and DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.7 KEGG Pathways [47]. The Generally Applicable Gene-set Enrichment (GAGE) analysis implemented as Bioconductor package (version 2.18.0) was used to detect significantly perturbed metabolic and signalling canonical pathways [17, 48]. Gene set data containing KEGG pathways was obtained using the gageData Bioconductor package version 2.6.0. In order to detect significantly altered KEGG pathways, the matrix of normalized microarray expression values was loaded into R and processed using 'gage' function used in GAGE Bioconductor package with the following options specified: 'kegg.sets.mm' as a set of canonical pathways, saline treated samples used as reference, set size 10 to 500, experimental design was specified as "unpaired", fold changes were used as per gene statistics. Gage function was applied twice: once to detect pathways changed in the same direction (same.dir=T) and, alternatively, to detect pathway with bi-directional changes, where part of the genes are upand downregulated with no clear direction (same.dir=F). Significantly perturbed KEGG pathways were drawn using pathView Bioconductor package version 1.8.0 [48].

<u>Analysis of 8-oxo-7-hydrodeoxyguanosine, 5-</u> methylcytosine, and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in cerebellar DNA. DNA was extracted from PFC and CPP tissues using the Qiagen DNeasy Kit. The levels of 8-oxodG, 5mC, and 5hmC in mouse PFC and hippocampal tissue DNA were measured by liquid chromatography combined with electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as described previously [49].

Western immunoblotting. Western immunoblotting was conducted as described previously [50]. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against APE1, OGG1, DNMT1, DNMT3a, MeCP2 (1:1000, Abcam) and actin (1:2000, Abcam) overnight at 4° C. Primary antibody binding was detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and the Enhanced Chemiluminescence Plus System (Amersham Biosciences, Baie d'Urfé, Quebec). Chemiluminescence was detected using a FluorChem HD2 camera with FluorChem software (Cell Biosciences). The membranes were stained with Coomassie blue (BioRad, Hercules, CA) to confirm equal protein loading. Signals were quantified using NIH Image J64 software and normalised relative to actin or Coomassie staining.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Rommy Rodriguez-Juarez, Dr. Olena Babenko and Dr. Andrey Golubov for their technical support, and Dr. Igor Kovalchuk for technical support, advice and discussions.

#### Funding

The research has been supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research grant held by Olga Kovalchuk and Bryan Kolb. Anna Kovalchuk was a recipient of the Alberta Cancer Foundation Dr. Cyril Kay Graduate Scholarship, Alberta Innovates-Health Solutions and the CIHR-Canada Graduate Scholarships.

#### **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

#### **REFERENCES**

**1.** Soffietti R, Trevisan E and Ruda R. Neurologic complications of chemotherapy and other newer and experimental approaches. Handb Clin Neurol. 2014; 121:1199-1218.

**2.** Ahles TA, Root JC and Ryan EL. Cancer- and cancer treatmentassociated cognitive change: an update on the state of the science. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30:3675-3686.

**3.** Kaiser J, Bledowski C and Dietrich J. Neural correlates of chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment. Cortex; a journal devoted to the study of the nervous system and behavior. 2014; 54:33-50.

**4.** Vardy J, Wefel JS, Ahles T, Tannock IF and Schagen SB. Cancer and cancer-therapy related cognitive dysfunction: an international perspective from the Venice cognitive workshop. Ann Oncol. 2008; 19:623-629.

**5.** Wefel JS and Schagen SB. Chemotherapy-related cognitive dysfunction. Current neurology and neuroscience reports. 2012; 12:267-275.

**6.** Seigers R and Fardell JE. Neurobiological basis of chemotherapy-induced cognitive impairment: a review of rodent research. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2011; 35:729-741.

**7.** Seigers R, Loos M, Van Tellingen O, Boogerd W, Smit AB and Schagen SB. Cognitive impact of cytotoxic agents in mice. Psychopharmacology. 2015; 232:17-37.

**8.** Joly F, Rigal O, Noal S and Giffard B. Cognitive dysfunction and cancer: which consequences in terms of disease management? Psychooncology. 2011; 20:1251-1258.

**9.** Mitchell T and Turton P. 'Chemobrain': concentration and memory effects in people receiving chemotherapy - a descriptive phenomenological study. Eur J Cancer Care. 2011; 20:539-548.

**10.** Ahles TA, Saykin AJ, Furstenberg CT, Cole B, Mott LA, Titus-Ernstoff L, Skalla K, Bakitas M and Silberfarb PM. Quality of life of long-term survivors of breast cancer and lymphoma treated with standard-dose chemotherapy or local therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2005; 23:4399-4405.

**11.** Raffa RB. A proposed mechanism for chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment ('chemo-fog'). J Clin Pharm Ther. 2011; 36:257-259.

**12.** Christie LA, Acharya MM, Parihar VK, Nguyen A, Martirosian V and Limoli CL. Impaired cognitive function and hippocampal neurogenesis following cancer chemotherapy. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2012; 18:1954-1965.

**13.** Han R, Yang YM, Dietrich J, Luebke A, Mayer-Proschel M and Noble M. Systemic 5-fluorouracil treatment causes a syndrome

of delayed myelin destruction in the central nervous system. Journal of biology. 2008; 7:12.

**14.** Joshi G, Aluise CD, Cole MP, Sultana R, Pierce WM, Vore M, St Clair DK and Butterfield DA. Alterations in brain antioxidant enzymes and redox proteomic identification of oxidized brain proteins induced by the anti-cancer drug adriamycin: implications for oxidative stress-mediated chemobrain. Neuroscience. 2010; 166:796-807.

**15.** Myers JS. Neuropsychologic testing for chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2010; 678:55-69.

**16.** Briones TL and Woods J. Dysregulation in myelination mediated by persistent neuroinflammation: possible mechanisms in chemotherapy-related cognitive impairment. Brain Behav Immun. 2014; 35:23-32.

**17.** Luo W, Friedman MS, Shedden K, Hankenson KD and Woolf PJ. GAGE: generally applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009; 10:161.

**18.** Kesler SR. Default mode network as a potential biomarker of chemotherapy-related brain injury. Neurobiology of aging. 2014; 35 Suppl 2:S11-19.

**19.** Wang XM, Walitt B, Saligan L, Tiwari AF, Cheung CW and Zhang ZJ. Chemobrain: a critical review and causal hypothesis of link between cytokines and epigenetic reprogramming associated with chemotherapy. Cytokine. 2015; 72:86-96.

**20.** Joshi G, Sultana R, Tangpong J, Cole MP, St Clair DK, Vore M, Estus S and Butterfield DA. Free radical mediated oxidative stress and toxic side effects in brain induced by the anti cancer drug adriamycin: insight into chemobrain. Free radical research. 2005; 39:1147-1154.

**21.** Dizdaroglu M and Jaruga P. Mechanisms of free radicalinduced damage to DNA. Free radical research. 2012; 46:382-419.

**22.** Shpyleva S, Ivanovsky S, de Conti A, Melnyk S, Tryndyak V, Beland FA, James SJ and Pogribny IP. Cerebellar oxidative DNA damage and altered DNA methylation in the BTBR T+tf/J mouse model of autism and similarities with human post mortem cerebellum. PloS one. 2014; 9:e113712.

**23.** Rusyn I, Asakura S, Pachkowski B, Bradford BU, Denissenko MF, Peters JM, Holland SM, Reddy JK, Cunningham ML and Swenberg JA. Expression of base excision DNA repair genes is a sensitive biomarker for in vivo detection of chemical-induced chronic oxidative stress: identification of the molecular source of radicals responsible for DNA damage by peroxisome proliferators. Cancer research. 2004; 64:1050-1057.

**24.** Olinski R, Styczynski J, Olinska E and Gackowski D. Viral infection-oxidative stress/DNA damage-aberrant DNA methylation: separate or interrelated events responsible for genetic instability and childhood ALL development? Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2014; 1846:226-231.

**25.** Liyanage VR, Jarmasz JS, Murugeshan N, Del Bigio MR, Rastegar M and Davie JR. DNA modifications: function and applications in normal and disease States. Biology. 2014; 3:670-723.

**26.** Hamidi T, Singh AK and Chen T. Genetic alterations of DNA methylation machinery in human diseases. Epigenomics. 2015; 7:247-265.

**27.** Rusyn I, Asakura S, Li Y, Kosyk O, Koc H, Nakamura J, Upton PB and Swenberg JA. Effects of ethylene oxide and ethylene inhalation on DNA adducts, apurinic/apyrimidinic sites and expression of base excision DNA repair genes in rat brain, spleen, and liver. DNA repair. 2005; 4:1099-1110.

**28.** Liu D, Croteau DL, Souza-Pinto N, Pitta M, Tian J, Wu C, Jiang H, Mustafa K, Keijzers G, Bohr VA and Mattson MP. Evidence that OGG1 glycosylase protects neurons against oxidative DNA damage and cell death under ischemic conditions. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism. 2011; 31:680-692.

**29.** Lovell MA and Markesbery WR. Oxidative DNA damage in mild cognitive impairment and late-stage Alzheimer's disease. Nucleic acids research. 2007; 35:7497-7504.

**30.** Nakabeppu Y, Tsuchimoto D, Yamaguchi H and Sakumi K. Oxidative damage in nucleic acids and Parkinson's disease. Journal of neuroscience research. 2007; 85:919-934.

**31.** Klungland A and Bjelland S. Oxidative damage to purines in DNA: role of mammalian Ogg1. DNA repair. 2007; 6:481-488.

**32.** Sampath H, Vartanian V, Rollins MR, Sakumi K, Nakabeppu Y and Lloyd RS. 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (OGG1) deficiency increases susceptibility to obesity and metabolic dysfunction. PloS one. 2012; 7:e51697.

**33.** Swain U and Rao KS. Age-dependent decline of DNA base excision repair activity in rat cortical neurons. Mechanisms of ageing and development. 2012; 133:186-194.

**34.** Feng J, Zhou Y, Campbell SL, Le T, Li E, Sweatt JD, Silva AJ and Fan G. Dnmt1 and Dnmt3a maintain DNA methylation and regulate synaptic function in adult forebrain neurons. Nature neuroscience. 2010; 13:423-430.

**35.** Bailey ZS, Sujith Sajja VS, Hubbard WB and VandeVord PJ. Blast Induced Neurotrauma Leads To Changes In The Epigenome. Biomed Sci Instrum. 2015; 51:423-430.

**36.** Klein CJ, Botuyan MV, Wu Y, Ward CJ, Nicholson GA, Hammans S, Hojo K, Yamanishi H, Karpf AR, Wallace DC, Simon M, Lander C, Boardman LA, et al. Mutations in DNMT1 cause hereditary sensory neuropathy with dementia and hearing loss. Nature genetics. 2011; 43:595-600.

**37.** Sherwani SI and Khan HA. Role of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in neurodegeneration. Gene. 2015; 570:17-24.

**38.** van den Hove DL, Chouliaras L and Rutten BP. The role of 5hydroxymethylcytosine in aging and Alzheimer's disease: current status and prospects for future studies. Current Alzheimer research. 2012; 9:545-549.

**39.** Piccolo FM and Fisher AG. Getting rid of DNA methylation. Trends in cell biology. 2014; 24:136-143.

**40.** Chen H, Dzitoyeva S and Manev H. Effect of aging on 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in the mouse hippocampus. Restorative neurology and neuroscience. 2012; 30:237-245.

**41.** Mu L, Wang J, Cao B, Jelfs B, Chan RH, Xu X, Hasan M, Zhang X and Li Y. Impairment of cognitive function by chemotherapy: association with the disruption of phase-locking and synchronization in anterior cingulate cortex. Molecular brain. 2015; 8:32.

**42.** Kesler SR, Kent JS and O'Hara R. Prefrontal cortex and executive function impairments in primary breast cancer. Archives of neurology. 2011; 68:1447-1453.

**43.** Dubois M, Le Joncour V, Tonon MC, Anouar Y, Proust F, Morin F, Gandolfo P, Joly F, Hilber P and Castel H. Evaluation of the impact of the cancer therapy everolimus on the central nervous system in mice. PloS one. 2014; 9:e113533.

**44.** Romanucci M and Della Salda L. Oxidative Stress and Protein Quality Control Systems in the Aged Canine Brain as a Model for Human Neurodegenerative Disorders. Oxidative medicine and cellular longevity. 2015; 2015:940131.

**45.** Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology. 2004; 3:Article3.

**46.** Boyle EI, Weng S, Gollub J, Jin H, Botstein D, Cherry JM and Sherlock G. GO::TermFinder--open source software for accessing Gene Ontology information and finding significantly enriched Gene Ontology terms associated with a list of genes. Bioinformatics. 2004; 20:3710-3715.

**47.** Huang da W, Sherman BT and Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4:44-57.

**48.** Luo W and Brouwer C. Pathview: an R/Bioconductor package for pathway-based data integration and visualization. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29:1830-1831.

**49.** James SJ, Shpyleva S, Melnyk S, Pavliv O and Pogribny IP. Complex epigenetic regulation of engrailed-2 (EN-2) homeobox gene in the autism cerebellum. Translational psychiatry. 2013; 3:e232.

**50.** Silasi G, Diaz-Heijtz R, Besplug J, Rodriguez-Juarez R, Titov V, Kolb B and Kovalchuk O. Selective brain responses to acute and chronic low-dose X-ray irradiation in males and females. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2004; 325:1223-1235.