Human longevity is influenced by many genetic variants: evidence from 75,000 UK Biobank participants

Luke C. Pilling¹, Janice L. Atkins¹, Kirsty Bowman¹, Samuel E. Jones², Jessica Tyrrell², Robin N. Beaumont², Katherine S. Ruth², Marcus A. Tuke², Hanieh Yaghootkar², Andrew R. Wood², Rachel M. Freathy², Anna Murray², Michael N. Weedon², Luting Xue³, Kathryn Lunetta^{3,4}, Joanne M. Murabito^{4,5}, Lorna W. Harries⁶, Jean-Marie Robine^{7,8}, Carol Brayne⁹, George A. Kuchel¹⁰, Luigi Ferrucci¹¹, Timothy M. Frayling², and David Melzer^{1,10}

¹Epidemiology and Public Health Group, University of Exeter Medical School, RILD Level 3, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK

²Genetics of Complex Traits Group, University of Exeter Medical School, RILD Level 3, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK

³School of Public Health, Department of Biostatistics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

⁴The Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA 01702, USA

⁵Section of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118, USA

⁶Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Exeter Medical School, RILD Level 3, Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital, Exeter, EX2 5DW, UK

⁷Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM U1198), 34394 Montpellier, France

⁸Ecole Pratique des Hautes Études (EPHE), 75014 Paris, France

⁹Cambridge Institute of Public Health, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 OSR, UK

¹⁰Center on Aging, University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT 06030, USA

¹¹National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA

Key words: aging, longevity, GWAS, genetic, human *Received:* 02/05/16; Accepted: 03/10/16; Published: 03/23/16 *Correspondence to:* David Melzer, PhD; *E-mail:* <u>D.Melzer@exeter.ac.uk</u>

Copyright: Pilling et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

Abstract: Variation in human lifespan is 20 to 30% heritable in twins but few genetic variants have been identified. We undertook a Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) using age at death of parents of middle-aged UK Biobank participants of European decent (n=75,244 with father's and/or mother's data, excluding early deaths). Genetic risk scores for 19 phenotypes (n=777 proven variants) were also tested. In GWAS, a nicotine receptor locus (CHRNA3, previously associated with increased smoking and lung cancer) was associated with fathers' survival. Less common variants requiring further confirmation were also identified. Offspring of longer lived parents had more protective alleles for coronary artery disease, systolic blood pressure, body mass index, cholesterol and triglyceride levels, type-1 diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease and Alzheimer's disease. In candidate analyses, variants in the TOMM40/APOE locus were associated with longevity, but FOXO variants were not. Associations between extreme longevity (mother >=98 years, fathers >=95 years, n=1,339) and disease alleles were similar, with an additional association with HDL cholesterol (p=5.7x10-3). These results support a multiple protective factors model influencing lifespan and longevity (top 1% survival) in humans, with prominent roles for cardiovascular-related pathways. Several of these genetically influenced risks, including blood pressure and tobacco exposure, are potentially modifiable.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last several decades, genetic studies conducted in-vitro and using model organisms have produced a stream of exciting findings linking specific pathways to major effects on aging [1], including, for example, gene knockouts in nutrient sensing pathways that cause dramatic life extension in C. elegans. However, humans outlive laboratory models many times over and humans suffer from several conditions that don't normally affect laboratory animals. Moreover, beyond obvious differences in rate of aging, body size and higher brain functions, genomic responses in mice poorly mimic human inflammatory responses [2]. It is therefore uncertain to what extent the laboratory findings and the 'single pathway major effect' model is relevant to human aging and longevity [3]. Additionally, in humans, health behaviors plus social and economic factors play a major role in explaining differences in survival, with smoking being a substantial negative factor [4].

In addition to environmental exposures, genetic variation is important: for example, studies conducted on heterozygotic and homozygotic twins consistently report heritability of longer human lifespans of 20-30% [5,6], with negligible heritability before age 60 years but increasing estimates at advanced ages [7]; the low early heritability is hypothesized to be due to more "accidental" or exposure-related deaths at younger ages. Well-powered genome wide association studies (GWAS) provide robust evidence on which common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) are associated with traits including longevity (selected references: [8–14]).

Deelen et al. recently reported that the T allele of variant rs2149954 (on chromosome 5g33.3) is associated with survival to 90 years of age (combined sample: 12,704 cases vs. 75,374 controls <65 years; combined p-value= 1.7×10^{-8}) [13]. This allele has previously been associated with lower blood pressure. The analysis also confirmed the association between variant rs4420638 (APOE/TOMM40 locus) and survival to age 90 ($p=3.4x10^{-36}$). In another recent meta-analysis of data from 6,036 participants of European descent who survived beyond 90 years versus 3,757 younger controls (aged 55 to 80 years) [14] no genome wide significant variants were identified. In candidate analyses the APOE locus was consistently associated across the participating cohorts, and some evidence was found to support the FOXO3 gene variants previously linked to longevity in candidate studies in Asian and other populations (best performing variant in discovery analysis rs10457180, uncorrected p-value=0.012 for a

priori SNP rs2802292). In smaller previous studies, the frequency of proven disease risk increasing SNP alleles appeared no different in long lived individuals, which was interpreted as showing that longevity is achieved independent of disease risk alleles [15,16].

Identifying genetic variants associated with longevity using unbiased methods is challenging. As described above, a common 'direct' approach has been to compare older study volunteers with younger participants from the next generation, but this approach may be biased by the many changes in exposures (e.g. early infectious diseases, changing medical treatment) and increasing life expectancy across the generations. Ideal comparisons might be of exceptionally old individuals with those from a representative sample of their own generation who died at younger ages, but obtaining DNA samples from controls who died decades earlier is challenging. An 'indirect' approach to the ideal design, based on the assumption that longevity is partly a genetic transmissible trait, is to access DNA from offspring and test for variations associated with the longevity of their parents. The middle-aged offspring of long-lived parents have less cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes, and all-cause mortality compared to offspring whose parents died at younger ages [17], consistent with the inheritance of longevity associated genetic variants. This better health status in offspring showed a linear association with advancing parental age, with a slightly stronger association with mother's compared to father's age at death. Given that offspring inherit their DNA from two parents who might have died at very different ages, associations with longevity in offspring are diluted and samples 3-4 times larger than the direct younger vs older approach are needed. In fact, Tan et al [18] estimate that 1,500 participants of at least one long-lived parent would be needed to achieve >90% power to detect less common alleles (5% frequency) with effects of 0.85 (in binary analysis of offspring of 1 long-lived parent vs. controls) with 95% confidence.

In the current analysis we aimed to identify common genetic variants (prevalence $\geq 1\%$) associated with longer parental lifespan. We focused firstly on a broad range of survival, aiming to identify associations relevant to the interplay of aging and age-related disease (i.e. of relevance to geroscience) [19]. We then also analyzed associations with extreme parental survival or longevity, which we defined as top 1% of survival. To achieve the sample sizes required, we utilized data from UK Biobank. We first performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and then used genetic risk scores (GRS) of known variants to test the hypothesis that offspring of longer-lived parents have lower genetic-risk of common risk traits and diseases. In these analyses we excluded 'premature' deaths and included middle aged participants only (age 55 to 70), so that the range of parents' ages were relevant to 'normal' aging. Analyses including younger biobank respondents would include large numbers of parents who were still relatively young for studying longer lifespan, biasing estimates toward early mortality.

RESULTS

Our sample included 'white' British UK Biobank participants aged 55-70 years old (n=75,244 with data on fathers survival, mothers survival or both; Table 1). There were relatively few current smokers (8.3% overall), but smoking rates were higher in those with short lived parents. The mean age at death of fathers was 72.9 (range 46 to 105 years) and mothers 78.5 years old (range 57 to 107 years). Nearly half the participants (48.5%) were men. Three continuous phenotypes were utilized throughout this analysis; participant's father's age at death (n = 63,775), mother's age at death (n=52,776) and combined (normalized) mothers and fathers ages at death (n=45,627 with age at death data for both parents). We had 90.4% power to detect an allele of 5% minor allele frequency in the sample of 45,627 accounting for 0.1% of the variance in the phenotype after multiple-testing correction (alpha= 5×10^{-8}).

In addition we created a binary "extreme longevity" phenotype based on the top 1% of the age at death distribution for mothers and fathers (\geq 98 years and \geq 95 years, respectively). Of 45,627 participants with age at death data for both parents 907 had at least one parent who died within these ranges (1.99%). An additional 432 participants had at least one parent still alive who met the criteria; therefore 1,339 participants had at least one parent (alive or dead) who lived to an "extreme age" (3.2% of 42,273 participants; those with 'discordant' parents – one long-lived and one short-lived – are excluded). See Supplementary Figure 1 for a flowchart of the participants included in each of the four primary analyses.

	All participants			Long-lived group t			Medium-lived group t			Short-lived group l			
Phenotype	N ∞	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	p-value *
Age at recruitment	75,244	62.077	4.060	8,655	63.529	3.659	21,299	62.862	3.883	25,771	62.096	4.039	3.6E- 209
U	75,244	02.077	4.000	0,055	03.329	3.039	21,299	02.802	3.003	23,771	02.090	4.039	2.09 2.1E-
Body mass index (BMI)	75,038	27.654	4.687	8,633	26.970	4.358	21,248	27.537	4.588	25,683	28.040	4.812	2.1E- 80
Fathers age at death	63,775	72.925	11.095	7,171	81.844	12.456	21,299	76.973	6.143	23,984	64.396	10.209	n/a
Mothers age at death	52,776	78.473	9.489	6,043	87.215	9.789	21,299	82.130	5.269	21,422	71.808	9.009	n/a
Combined parent age death °	45,627	0.003	1.526	4,052	2.318	0.697	21,299	0.736	0.818	19,635	-1.336	0.978	n/a
													8.3E-
Sex (% males)	75,244	48.49%	n/a	8,655	49.10%	n/a	21,299	48.72%	n/a	25,771	48.79%	n/a	01
Smoking status (%													7.5E-
current)	74,990	8.34%	n/a	8,637	6.24%	n/a	21,237	7.78%	n/a	25,671	8.98%	n/a	16

Table 1. Summary statistics for the UK Biobank participants eligible for at least one analysis

t "Long-lived"=offspring of at least one long-lived parent, "medium-lived"=two medium-lived parents, "short-lived"= at least one short-lived parent. See methods.

 ∞ The total N of all participants is greater than the sum of long/medium/short-lived groups as the total includes participants eligible for any analysis (e.g. those with living parents already of extreme age, and participants with age-at-death information on only one parent), whereas the groups only contain participants eligible for analyses including offspring where age-at-death information is available for both parents.

* p-value from Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance test for significant difference in distribution of phenotype between the 3 longevity categories.

No test was performed on parent's age at death across the categories as the categories are derived from the age at death of the participants' parents.

 \circ Z-scored mother's and father's ages at death, summed

Genome Wide Association Study: smoking-related variants are associated with father's age at death

Of the 9,658,292 genetic variants included none were significantly associated $(p < 5x10^{-8})$ with "parent's age at death, combined" however one locus (36 variants in strong Linkage disequilibrium) on chromosome 15 was associated with "father's age at death," and 1 variant (on chromosome 22) with "mother's age at death" (see Supplementary Table 2 for the top 1,000 results from each GWAS; see Supplementary Figures 2-5 for Manhattan and QQ plots). We focused on the locus on chromosome 15 associated with father's age at death, because the variant associated with mother's age at death was of low frequency (3%) and not in a typical "peak" expected of robust results (see Supplementary Figures 6-7 for LocusZoom plots [20]). rs1051730 is in this loci (beta between G allele and father's age at death=-0.0269, se=0.0049, $p=3x10^{-8}$); the A allele of rs1051730 in the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha 3 subunit CHRNA3 gene has been linked to smoking fewer cigarettes and lower risks of lung cancer, although this variant does not influence the chances of starting to smoke [21]. This analysis included fathers who died at >46 years of age: including only father's aged ≥ 66 (the short-lived cut-off empirically calculated - see methods) reduces the association but it remains significant (beta= -0.0207, p= 6x10⁻⁶; sample size reduced from 63,775 to 47,094).

We tested rs1051730 against smoking-status (in logistic regressions using binary current/never smoking status as the outcome) in the participants and found that per G allele there is an increased likelihood of current smoking (OR=1.063, 95% CIs=1.020 to 1.108, p=0.003). Participants' smoking status is associated with father's age at death (per year OR=0.993, 95% CIs=0.991 to 0.996, p= 2×10^{-6}), however adjusting for smoking status (current/former/never) in the model of rs1051730 had little effect on the association with father's age at death (p= 4.7×10^{-8}).

The association between rs1051730 and mother's age at death (Beta=0.017, p=1.6x10-3) did not reach genome wide significance in our UK Biobank participants. We tested the association of rs1051730 with father's age at death in the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) generation 2, where 2033 participants were available (mean age at death of the fathers=77.4 years, SD=11.5, range=47.2 to 102.8). The association was non-significant, but was directionally consistent (per C allele coefficient= 0.008, p=0.98). However, the effects size of this SNP is modest and the power to detect the association in the FHS 1% was only (Quanto parameters: coefficient=0.0269, n=2033, MAF=0.17, alpha=0.05).

In the GWAS against the binary "extreme age" phenotype (at least one parent very long-lived) two variants (rs528161076 and rs75824829, on chromosome 7 and 9 respectively; see Supplementary Figures 8-9 for Locus Zoom plots) were found to be significant $(p < 5x10^{-8})$. rs75824829 may be anomalous as it is not in a "peak" of associations expected of robust results (Supplementary Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 9), however rs528161076 is in a distinct peak of variants in an intron of AP5Z1 (adaptor related protein complex 5, zeta 1 subunit, thought to be involved in homologous recombination DNA double-strand break repair). The association between rs528161076 and extreme longevity should still be interpreted with caution, as the variant is not associated with the continuous age at death variables (combined parent's age at death p=0.65, father's p=0.47 and mother's p=0.96).

In all four GWAS performed, no variants on the X or Y chromosomes were associated with the phenotypes $(p>1x10^{-5})$. For mitochondrial variants, the smallest p-values for mother's age at death, was $9x10^{-3}$.

Heritability of parental longevity explained by genotyped common variants

We determined the variance in parental age at death explained by all the common genetic variation (minor allele prevalence >1%) robustly genotyped directly on the UK Biobank arrays (n=457,643; see methods). For combined parents age at death, the variance attributed to the measured genotypes was 8.47% (SD=1.06%); for mothers age at death 4.85% (SD=1.01%) and fathers age at death 5.35% (SD=1.04%). In a sensitivity analysis including all directly genotyped variants irrespective of minor allele frequency and missingness (n=845,997) the variance attributed for the combined age at death 6.08% (SD=1.21%) and fathers age at death 5.79% (SD=1.23%).

Genetic Risk Score associations

For each genetic risk score (GRS) we first verified the association with the best-fit phenotype corresponding to the reported association: for example the coronary artery disease (CAD) GRS was tested against prevalent coronary heart disease in the offspring (CHD= myocardial infarction or angina) (Table 2). All GRS were associated with their available phenotypes in UK Biobank in the expected direction, for example CAD GRS and CHD (per weighted allele increased OR=1.12, 95% CIs: 1.10 to 1.13, $p=1x10^{-64}$). The lipid GRS could not be tested directly, as serum lipid concentrations are not yet available, however all three separate risk scores

are significantly associated with CHD. Although there were only 28 participants with dementia, a significant association was seen with the Alzheimer's disease GRS (per weighted allele OR=1.52, 95% CIs: 1.17 to 1.98, $p=2x10^{-3}$). Telomere length was not assessed in the Biobank participants so validation was not possible.

We next tested associations between each GRS and parental age at death (combined mothers and fathers) in linear regression models plus 'extreme longevity' (at least 1 parent lived to the top 1% of the age at death distribution in UK Biobank) (Figure 1). These analyses included 76 statistical tests (19 GRS against 4 primary longevity phenotypes) and therefore we have 'starred' associations passing Benjamini-Hochberg correction only, although each test has a strong and distinct prior hypothesis (see full results in Supplementary Table 3). We observed associations in the expected directions (i.e. lower disease risk score or higher numbers of relatively protective alleles associated with older ages at death) between the combined parental age at death continuous trait and eight GRS'; for CAD (unadjusted $p=1.5 \times 10^{-11}$), LDL cholesterol (p=1.8x10⁻⁷), CAD without lipidassociated alleles ($p=1.8 \times 10^{-7}$), triglycerides ($p=1.0 \times 10^{-7}$) ²), systolic blood pressure (SBP, $p=1.2x10^{-4}$), BMI $(p=8.2x10^{-4})$, Inflammatory Bowel Disease $(p=7.0x10^{-3})$, T1D (7.5x10⁻³), and Alzheimer's disease ($p=1.4x10^{-2}$). Crohn's disease $(p=2.3x10^{-2})$ and breast cancer $(p=3.5 \times 10^{-2})$ were associated at nominal significance (p<0.05) but not after multiple-testing correction.

A number of GRS had similar trends for the extreme longevity association but become statistically nonsignificant in the binary analyses of offspring of longlived parents, which has reduced statistical power (binary analysis vs. continuous). The HDL cholesterol GRS was associated with extreme longevity (p=5.7x10⁻³) although this association did not reach statistical significance in the linear analysis of continuous age at death.

We observed consistent effect directions, but reduced effect size and significance in separate analyses of mother's age at death compared to father's (Supplementary Table 3); with the exception of Alzheimer's disease (AD) GRS which is only associated with mother's age at death but not father's (coefficient=-0.111, p= 3.9×10^{-4} ; coefficient=-0.001, p=0.99, respectively) and Triglyceride level (TG) GRS was only associated with fathers not mothers age at death (father's coefficient=-1.366, p= 9×10^{-4} ; mother's coefficient=-0.183, p=0.63, respectively). In linear regression models for AD and TG GRS there were no statistical interactions between mothers and fathers ages at death (p>0.05).

To illustrate combined effect sizes, we computed an estimate across the three GRS most robustly associated with parental longevity (unadjusted p<0.001): CAD, SBP and LDL. In total, 525 participants (of 45,627) were in the bottom quintile (20%) for all three GRS;

Table 2. Genetic Risk Score associations with corresponding phenotypes in 75,244 participants included for at least one analysis

Model	GRS (n SNPs)	Phenotype (n cases)	OR (95% CIs)	p-value
Logistic re	gression models	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	
	Coronary Artery Disease, CAD (42)	Prevalent CHD (5067)	1.12 (1.10 to 1.14)	<u>6.4</u> x10-55
	CAD, without LDL, HDL and TG SNPs (23)	Prevalent CHD (5067)	1.13 (1.11 to 1.16)	2.3 x10-36
	LDL cholesterol (49)	Prevalent CHD (5067)	2.97 (2.40 to 3.68)	1.3 x10-23
	HDL cholesterol (67)	Prevalent CHD (5067)	0.62 (0.50 to 0.78)	3.1 x10-05
	Triglycerides, TG (37)	Prevalent CHD (5067)	1.88 (1.43 to 2.46)	5.3 x10-06
	Stroke (4)	Prevalent stroke or TIA (1790)	2.04 (1.03 to 4.06)	4.0 x10-02
	Type-1 Diabetes (29)	Type-1 diabetes diagnosis (55)	2.35 (1.98 to 2.78)	9.8 x10-23
	Type-2 Diabetes (55)	Type-2 diabetes diagnosis (4,052)	5.29 (4.55 to 6.16)	1.7 x10-103
	Alzheimer's Disease (8)	Dementia diagnosis (28)	1.52 (1.17 to 1.98)	1.9 x10-03
	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (156)	Inflammatory Bowel Disease (654)	1.13 (1.10 to 1.16)	5.3 x10-22
	Crohn's Disease (139)	Prevalent Crohn's disease (250)	1.16 (1.11 to 1.21)	1.1 x10-12
	Ulcerative Colitis (87)	Prevalent ulcerative colitis (414)	1.17 (1.13 to 1.22)	4.4 x10-15
	Prostate Cancer (85) *	Prevalent prostate cancer (863)	1.18 (1.16 to 1.21)	2.5 x10-52
	Breast Cancer (65) *	Prevalent breast cancer (2036)	1.12 (1.11 to 1.14)	2.3 x10-43
	Telomere Length (7)	n/a		
Linear regression models		·	Coefficient (95% CIs)	p-value
	BMI (69)	Body mass index	7.07 (6.62 to 7.51)	2.8 x10-209
	Systolic Blood Pressure (26)	Systolic blood pressure	1.31 (1.14 to 1.47)	4.3 x10-57
	Age at menopause (52) *	Age at menopause	-1.79 (-1.92 to -1.65)	2.4 x10-154
	Forced Vital Capacity (6)	Forced Vital Capacity	0.001 (0.001 to 0.002)	7.0 x10-09
GRS = gen	netic risk score. OR = per weighted allele odds ratio.	CIs = confidence intervals.		
* Analysis	performed in male/female participants only, as dete	ermined by the phenotype		

these participants are the "lowest risk" group for these three cardiovascular traits. Correspondingly, 524 participants were categorized as having the "highest risk" (top 20% of genetic risk for all three traits). In adjusted logistic regression models, participants with the lowest genetic risk (highest number of protective alleles) had 3.25 times the likelihood of having at least 1 parent in the top 1% of ages at death, compared to those with the highest genetic risk (OR=3.25, 95%CI=1.3 to 8.1, p=0.012). Unadjusted prevalence: 19 participants (1.4% of 1,339) with at least one long-lived parent were in the bottom 20% for all three risk scores, where only 7 participants (0.5% of 1,339) were in the top 20% for all three risk scores.









Figure 1. Genetic risk score associations with parents age at death. Forest plots show the relationship between the genetic risk scores (GRS, z-transformed) and 2 different parental longevity traits. Results from regression models (see methods). * indicates the association is significant after adjustment for multiple testing. (A) Linear regression results for combined parent's age at death. N=45,627. Coefficients ('coef') show the standard deviation (SD) difference in GRS per SD of parent's age at death. The results for `CAD (no Lipids)` and `LDL cholesterol` only appear identical due to rounding; the effects were very similar. (B) Logistic regression results for the binary trait "participants of at least one parent reaching the top 1% of the age-at-death distribution vs. participants who parents did not". Discordant participants (i.e. one long-lived and one short-lived) were excluded. n=42,273. n=1,339 participants of at least one long-lived parent (mother aged \geq 98 years or father aged \geq 95 years). Includes participants with alive parents reaching these limits. Odds Ratios (OR) show the likelihood of participants having at least 1 parent reaching the top 1% of age-at-death distribution per SD difference in GRS.

In a sensitivity analyses we removed variants mapped to the APOE locus from the risk scores (rs4420638 was included in the scores for CAD, LDL, HDL and AD) and assessed the associations with the parental age-at-death phenotypes. After exclusion the AD risk score is no longer associated with any of the parental age at death phenotypes. The effect sizes for CAD, LDL and HDL are attenuated but remain significant (see Supplementary Figure 10). Adjusting for presence of at least one ApoE-E4 allele in the models against continuous age-at-death has the same effect on the associations: the CAD GRS association changes from $(coef = -0.023, p = 1x10^{-10})$ to $(coef = -0.022, p = 2x10^{-10})$); the LDL GRS association changes from (coef= -0.28, $p = 6x10^{-8}$) to (coef= -0.22, $p = 1x10^{-4}$); the HDL GRS association changes from (coef= 0.078, p=0.15) to (coef= 0.050, p=0.37); the AD GRS association changes from (coef= -0.015, p=0.008) to (coef= 0.002, p=0.79).

Candidate genetic variants associated with longevity

We have highlighted the GWAS results for a number of SNPs a priori selected from the literature (see Supplementary Table 4 for the full details). We found significant (p<0.05, not 'genome-wide' significant $p < 5x10^{-8}$) associations between 4 of the 5 APOE/TOMM40 SNPs tested and parents age at death $(rs2075650 p=1x10^{-4}, rs429358 p=8x10^{-7}, rs7412)$ p=0.02, rs4420638 $p=3x10^{-5}$, but not rs405509 p=0.06). These variants are in moderate linkage disequilibrium (R² from 0.1 to 0.7). Of 12 FOXO3 variants assessed, none were significantly associated with a longevity phenotype (p>0.05). The 5q33 variant identified by Deelen at al. [13] is associated with combined parents age at death and father's, but not mother's age at death or extreme survival (p=0.005, p=0.01, p=0.1 and p=0.6, respectively). We observed modest associations with 2 of 7 variants at the CDKN2A locus (variants previously associated with coronary heart disease) with parent's age at death (rs1333049 G allele beta=0.015, p=0.0015; rs4977574 A allele beta=0.014, p=0.0018); the CDKN2A variants associated with type-2 diabetes were not associated with parent's longevity. Four variants were reported by Fortney to be associated with exceptional longevity; one is the APOE variant already discussed, and the other three are rs4977756 (CDKN2B/ANRIL), rs3184504 (SH2B3/ATXN2) and rs514659 (ABO). Only rs3184504 is associated with extreme longevity in this analysis (T allele beta=-0.0019, p=0.024), but rs4977756 was associated with continuous parent's age at death (G allele beta=0.017. p=0.025). The final SNP is not associated with any longevity phenotypes in our analysis.

Presence of at least one ApoE- ϵ 4 allele (10,237 of 44,574 participants included in the analysis against continuous parents age at death, after excluding 1,053 ϵ 2/ ϵ 4 participants) was associated with reduced age at parents death (coef= -0.088, 95% CI= -0.12 to -0.05, p=3x10⁻⁷) and reduced likelihood of having a parent in the top 1% of the age-at-death distribution (OR=0.78, 95% CI=0.66 to 0.91, p=0.002), compared to participants without an ϵ 4 allele. Presence of at least one ApoE- ϵ 2 allele (5,700 of 44,574) was associated with continuous parents age-at-death (coef= 0.052, 95% CI=0.01 to 0.09, p= 0.014) but not with having a parent in the top 1% of the age-at-death distribution (OR=1.09, 95% CI=0.91 to 1.31, p=0.37).

DISCUSSION

We report the largest analysis thus far of common genetic variants and normal aging related human longevity, based on the indirect approach of testing variant associations in offspring. We have excluded premature deaths and the large number of vounger biobank respondents whose parents were likely to be too young and who might bias results toward early deaths. We identified a genome-wide significant variant in the smoking-related CHRNA3 gene with father's longevity. We also identified a variant associated with extreme longevity, in the AP5Z1 gene locus, a gene encoding a homologous recombination DNA doublestrand break repair helicase protein [22], although this association with longevity appears less robust. In addition, we have shown that longevity is associated with having greater numbers of relatively protective alleles (i.e. lower genetic risk scores) for several cardiovascular traits including lipid levels, CAD (excluding variants associated with LDL and HDL), BMI, and blood pressure. Weaker associations were also found for risks scores for Alzheimer's disease and autoimmune diseases. While laboratory model work has shown major longevity effects of single genes or single pathways, our results tend to support a model of human longevity influenced by multiple smaller effect protective and risk variants in several pathways, most prominently in cardiovascular related phenotypes. Our findings also supports the Geroscience concept that chronic diseases and biological processes involved in aging and longevity share similar mechanisms and pathways; efforts to target them may help both delay or prevent the onset of chronic diseases while also increasing longevity [23].

The *CHRNA3* rs1051730 variant has previously been linked to smoking fewer cigarettes and lower risks of lung cancer [21], although this variant does not influence the chances of starting smoking. Our finding

of association with father's age at death is highly plausible given the strongly adverse effect of smoking on survival. The fact that this SNP is also associated with mother's age at death may support this finding, with the weaker (non-genome wide significant) association perhaps explained by the lower rates of smoking in women in the generation of parents being studied. Data on parental smoking and cause of death are not present in the Biobank data so we cannot assess this, however rs1051730 is associated with smoking in the participants (p=0.003, current vs. never) and participants smoking is associated with father's age at death ($p=2x10^{-6}$). Adjusting for participants' smoking status in the model of rs1051730 against father's age at death did not change the association. We have noted that our attempt to test this variant independently in the Framingham Heart Study was not successful, but this analysis is severely underpowered, underlining the relatively small effect of this SNP on father's longevity. Additionally, rs1051730 is located in a cluster of variants in high linkage disequilibrium covering several genes so the causal association could feasibly be via another mechanism (see Supplementary Figure 6).

We also analyzed associations with extreme longevity (here defined as at least one parent in the top 1% of age at death, ≥ 98 years for mothers or ≥ 95 years for fathers). The GWAS analysis revealed two suggestive genetic variants that require further study; rs528161076 is in a distinct peak of variants in an intron of AP5Z1, a gene encoding a homologous recombination DNA double-strand break repair helicase protein [22], and rs75824829 on chromosome 9 is ~50k from the nearest gene C9orf62 (chromosome 9 open reading frame 62) for which little information is available. For the AP5Z1 variant, this involves a C insertion after the T allele, with a prevalence 4.5% with at least one copy and is enriched amongst the those with top 1% longevity parents: of 1,335 participants with at least one longlived parent, 84 have at least one of these insertions (6.3%) compared to 4.39% (1,796 of 40,871) of controls. Finding big enough independent samples to confirm these associations will be challenging.

For the genetic risk score analysis, several associations with continuous longevity become non-significant for extreme longevity, apparently due to lower sample sizes, but the pattern of GRS associations for both phenotypes were similar (Figure 1). More extreme definitions of longevity might yield different results but numbers of centenarian parents, for example, were too small to study. A possible exception to the generally similar risk pattern is the HDL cholesterol GRS, which was associated with extreme longevity but did not reach significance with continuous longevity. A number of other traits including type-1 diabetes GRS were close to significance, but these require additional study in a larger sample.

Associations between germline genetic variants and phenotypes provide strong evidence of causality because variants are inherited at the beginning of life. before any confounding by environmental or other factors can occur. Our results confirm the importance of several potentially manageable risk factors in achieving exceptional longevity, including adverse lipid levels, raised blood pressure (systolic and diastolic), adiposity (body mass index) and also smoking, as noted above. Although there has been some debate on whether there are paradoxical associations between obesity risks factors and survival in old age [24], our result suggests that genetically influenced life-long exposures in the expected directions (i.e. non-paradoxical effects) do influence human survival. This genetic evidence supports recent work on BMI and survival in a sample of 1 million older people, suggesting that paradoxical associations reflect reverse causation from weight loss in older people who already have major diseases associated with weight loss (Bowman et al, in review 2016).

Comparison with previous work

There is consistent epidemiological evidence for a relationship between longevity and lower rates of type-2 diabetes [17], yet previous evidence from the Leiden study (n=2415) and the Life Long Family Study (n=1562 in generation 1; n=3102 generation 2) suggested that offspring of long-lived participants do not have lower burden of type-2 diabetes risk alleles compared to their partners [16,25]. We also did not observe an association between the type 2 diabetes GRS and longevity in the UK Biobank participants, although small effects cannot be excluded.

There is evidence to suggest that long-lived individuals have reduced prevalence of Alzheimer's increasing alleles [16], which includes the TOMM40/APOE locus identified in multiple analyses of longevity [13,14,12,10]. We confirmed that the A allele of rs2075650 was positively associated with increased parental age at death (beta=0.039, p= 1×10^{-4}), however there was no significant association with "extreme longevity" (at least one parent in the top 1% of ages at death). The A allele, here associated with increased parents lifespan, is associated with decreased risk of dementia [26]. Presence of the ApoE-ɛ4 was associated with reduced likelihood of having a parent in the top 1% of survival (OR=0.78, p=0.002), consistent with previous reports on mortality risk [27]; however we do

not see a significant increase with presence of an $\epsilon 2$ allele (OR=1.09, p=0.37). We find that a variant on chromosome 5q33.3 previously associated with survival to age 90 [13] is associated (p=0.003) with combined parental age at death in a consistent direction (T allele associated with increased age at death), but not with extreme survival (p=0.6) in this analysis.

A recent study found that longevity-associated loci are enriched for disease-associated loci, consistent with our findings in Biobank [28]. The authors also performed a disease-weighted GWAS analysis, reporting 4 loci associated with exceptional longevity (\geq 90 years) with replication. We replicate the association between rs3184504 (mapped to *SH2B3/ATXN2*), previously shown to be associated with celiac disease [29], in our extreme longevity phenotype, but not the other three variants (although they are associated with continuous parent's age at death p<0.05). rs3184504 has also been associated with blood pressure and cardiovascular disease [30]. This suggests rs3184504 is associated with survival to exceptional ages, but the others require further evidence.

Previous analyses have found that long-lived individuals have lower low-density lipoprotein genetic risk than young controls [31,32], which we also observe (offspring of long-lived parents have lower LDLincreasing genetic risk score). Our results extend this work by showing associations also with triglyceride and HDL genetic risk scores, plus BMI and systolic blood pressure, as well as associations with non-lipid cardiovascular disease genetic risk traits.

Limitations

This study is limited to white British UK Biobank participants of Caucasian genetic descent, thus the results may not be applicable to other populations. We plan to address this in future collaborations. Evidence from GWAS studies identifying novel markers is strongest when associations are shown to replicate in independent samples, but unfortunately no large-scale replication resources are currently available. We have therefore been very cautious in reporting the novel markers, which await validation. However, the results_for previously proven SNPs (i.e. those with a high prior probability) are likely to be very robust, given our large sample size.

UK Biobank is a volunteer study that did not aim for population representativeness at baseline, although efforts were made to recruit a heterogeneous sample by varying geographic placement of examination sites, including in economically deprived areas; the final response rate was 5.47% [33]. It has been reported that with sufficient variation in the phenotypes being studied, results are generalizable to the wider UK population [34].

We are limited by the coverage of the genotyping microarray utilized; \sim 800,000 genetic variants were directly measured, allowing imputation of 73 million (9 million of which were common enough and high quality enough for inclusion in this GWAS). Many variants may exist outside of the data available for this study, in particular on the X, Y and mitochondrial chromosomes, for which imputed data are not available.

We have studied the normal range of parental ages at death, as well as extreme longevity (top 1% of survival). Some would argue that normal age at death is necessarily influenced by disease and has little relevance to aging. However, age is the major risk factor for most causes of death in later life, and the geroscience view sees aging as a major contributing factor to these diseases and deaths. Our extreme survival group is older than those studied in many of the previous GWAS of longevity [13,14], but did suffer from a somewhat limited sample size of n=1339: analyses with bigger samples of those attaining extreme ages are needed. Our longevity phenotypes do involve some repeat testing of the same individuals, but these phenotypes are closely related rather than being independent, and therefore should not have produced additional multiple testing problems.

We excluded early parental deaths based on an empirical approach [17,35] to avoid using arbitrary cutoffs. Only 13.6% of the fathers were aged between 46 and 60 at death (only 2% 46 to 50), suggesting that the exact cut-offs for premature deaths would have a modest effect on findings, especially as the results were similar for the extreme longevity group comparisons. The available information about the parents is very limited, with no dates of birth for those who had died and no cause of death information. We have excluded UK Biobank participants aged less than 55 to avoid adding large numbers of parents who are likely to be too young to have reached the longer lifespans which are our main focus in this analysis. The lack of cause of death data may have resulted in an underestimation of effect sizes on lifespan due to aging and related disease, as we have had to include e.g. accidental deaths unrelated to aging. It is unlikely that e.g. Second World War related parental deaths could have biased results, as few combatants were aged over 45, our earliest age of death for inclusion the analyses. The consistency of known allele results between normal and extreme ages at death also suggests that our findings are not driven by early deaths.

When comparing the effect sizes between different GRS, it is important to consider that each GRS explains a different proportion of its associated phenotype: this will in turn affect the interpretation of the association with longevity. For instance, only approximately 1% of the variance in mean length of the telomeres is explained by the 7 reported SNPs [36], whereas more than 10% of the variance in the blood lipids is accounted for by associated variants [37]; therefore the strength of association between these two phenotypes and longevity may not be proportionately represented by the effect sizes of the GRS longevity associations.

Conclusions

Longer lifespans in our studied Caucasian origin sample are influenced by multiple common risk and protective genetic variants. Cardiovascular trait variants are particularly prominent in associations with longevity. Several of these genetically influenced risks including tobacco exposure are potentially modifiable. Further work is needed in other ethnic groups and to test less common variants for associations with longevity.

METHODS

Between 2006 and 2010, 503,325 volunteers (aged 45 to 69 years old) were recruited from across the United Kingdom to the UK Biobank study [38]. Of these, 75,244 participants met the inclusion criteria for at least one analysis: participants aged between 55-70 years with complete genetics data and date of death data for either parent (additional participants were included if they had an alive parent that met the "long-lived" criteria for binary analyses). We chose this 55 to 70 age-range because usual intergenerational age gaps mean that very few participants below age 55 at baseline could have parents old enough to be longer lived, thus including younger ages would enrich and potentially bias our study toward premature and early deaths. Participants were excluded if they reported themselves as adopted, or if either parent died prematurely (fathers <46 years or mothers <57 years see methods below), or if their parent was still alive but not yet long-lived. Several longevity phenotypes are defined below based on the age at death of the participant's parents.

Parental age at death and longevity phenotypes. Participants were asked the age at which their parents had died (or their current age if still alive). Analyses were performed separately on mother's age at death and father's age at death, and also on a combined phenotype. To reduce the effect of higher ages at death

of mothers (compared to the fathers) we first ztransformed the mothers and fathers age at deaths before combining the z-scores into a single summed phenotype. Offspring of parents who died prematurely were excluded because the cause of death of the participant's parents was not asked, so we could not exclude accidental deaths explicitly. To determine the premature age at death cut-offs we used previously described methods to define the normal range of age at death for mothers and fathers separately, and excluded participants below these values (methods described here [17], description of method applied to UK Biobank here (Atkins et al. in review 2016)). In brief, non-linear least square regression models are used to fit a normal curve to the right-side of the distribution of parents age at death; the left half of the curve is then fitted and cutoffs determined (see [35] and [17])._The analysis identified the following cut-points for short. intermediate, and long-lived parents ages at death: for mothers (57 to 72 years, 73 to 92 years, and \geq 93 years, respectively) and for fathers (46 to 65 years, 66 to 89 years, and ≥ 90 years, respectively). Parents below the "short" definition are excluded as premature deaths.

We also defined a binary trait for an "extreme longevity" phenotype by determining the top 1% of age at death for mothers (\geq 98 years) and fathers (\geq 95 years) separately. We were able to increase the number of "long lived" parents in all the binary phenotypes by including participants who had responded to the question regarding the age of their parent if still alive. Participants with one long-lived and one short-lived parent were excluded from this analysis.

Finally, we defined two further binary traits for sensitivity analyses testing the consistency of results using a non-linear definition: offspring of at least one long-lived parent vs. offspring of two intermediate-lived parents, and offspring of at least one long-lived parent vs. offspring of at least one short-lived parent (very low numbers of participants with either "both long" or "both short" meant we used the aforementioned "at least one..." definitions). Supplementary Figure 1 contains a flow-chart showing how the phenotypes are derived and the numbers included in each analysis.

<u>UK Biobank genetics data.</u> We used genetic data available (autumn 2015) from 120,286 participants identified as 'white British' through self-report and verified through principal components analysis based on genotypes. Kinship coefficients were estimated and related individuals (3rd degree or higher) were removed to provide the maximal unrelated set of individuals. The central UK Biobank analysis team performed these analyses. Details of principal component analyses and kinship analyses can be found in the official UK Biobank genotyping document <u>(http://biobank.ctsu.</u> <u>ox.ac.uk/crystal/docs/genotyping_qc.pdf;</u> accessed 1st December 2015).

We used imputed genotypes available from the UK Biobank for association analyses. Briefly, phasing of individuals was carried out using SHAPEIT-2. Imputation was performed using IMPUTE2 and a combined 1000 Genomes / UK10K reference panel. Full details can be found in the official UK Biobank imputation document (http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/ crystal/docs/impute ukb v1.pdf; accessed 1st December 2015). After filtering for variants with MAF \geq 1%, missingness <1.5%, imputation guality >0.4 and with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) P>1x10⁻⁶ within the white British participants, 9,658,292 imputed autosomal variants were eligible for the analyses.

We also utilized data directly from the microarrays for variants on the X (n=19,381) and Y (n=284) chromosomes, and on the mitochondrial genome (n=135), which were unavailable in the imputed dataset.

Within "white British" principal components. We selected 95,535 independent SNPs (pairwise r2<0.1) directly genotyped with a minor allele frequency (MAF) $\geq 2.5\%$ and missingness <1.5% across all UK Biobank participants with genetic data available at the time of this study (n=152,732), and with HWE P>1x10-6 within the white British participants. Principal components were subsequently generated using FlashPCA and the first five adjusted for in all analyses [39].

<u>Power calculations.</u> Quanto software version 1.2.4 was utilized for power calculations with parameters: continuous, additive model, mean/SD of standardized outcome=0/1 [40].

<u>Genome Wide Association Study</u>. We used BOLT-LMM to model the associations between imputed variants (dosages) and each phenotype [41], which uses a linear mixed effects model approach. We looked at the results for variants with imputation quality >0.4, HWE p-values >1x10⁻⁶ and minor allele frequencies >0.1% in the white/British subset used for all analyses. For variants on the X, Y and mitochondrial chromosomes only in the directly-genotyped data we used Plink (v1.9) [42] in linear (additive) or binary (fisher) models, as appropriate, adjusted for the same covariates as above including the first 5 principal components from FlashPCA.

<u>Independent testing of GWAS results.</u> We obtained estimates of our genome wide significant results for fathers age at death GWAS in the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) generation 2 [43], with data on n=2033 offspring available. The inclusion criteria and model specification were the same as described for Biobank, with the exception that family structure was taken into account (using R package 'pedigreem').

Genetic heritability estimation. To estimate the variance in mean parental age at death (and age at death of mothers/fathers considered separately) explained by common genetic variants we utilized the BOLT-REML package [44]. This package uses Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML) methods for variance component estimation, in this case on the genetics data. We used SNPs that met the following criteria in the 120,286 individuals; variants were excluded if not in Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) (P<1x10-6), or had a minor allele frequency <1%, or an overall missing rate >1.5% in any individual batch, or were on the Y chromosome. This resulted in 457,643 directly genotyped variants for inclusion. We also performed an additional sensitivity analysis relaxing the exclusion criteria to include all 845,997 variants. BOLT-REML determines the "heritability" of phenotypes based on the variance components of the genetics data provided. Prior to analyses, phenotypes are adjusted (by taking the residuals from a linear regression analysis) for confounding factors (age, sex, array type, assessment center, principal components 1-5).

Genetic Risk Score (GRS) creation. For each trait we identified the most recent GWAS meta-analysis and downloaded the results tables. We selected the SNPs identified at genome wide significance $(p < 5x10^{-8})$ and extracted the corresponding genotype information from the imputed data in the UK Biobank data. For all SNPs included in a GRS we checked for high imputation quality (>0.9), no significant deviation from HWE $(p>1x10^{-6})$ and low missingness (<5% sample missing). We used the imputed genotype (dosage) information and effect size (from the meta-analysis) with Plink (v1.9) function 'scores' to generate the weighted GRS for each trait in each participant [42]. The Plink function uses genotype coding for each participant as 0, 1 or 2 trait-increasing alleles, which is multiplied by the effect size (coefficient or odds ratio) from the published study. The resulting "weighted allele score" is summed for all variants associated with a particular trait. See the accompanying Supplementary Methods document for the definition of each genetic risk score, and Supplementary Table 1 for the variants and effect sizes used to create the scores.

<u>Statistical analysis of Genetic Risk Scores</u>. Generalized linear regression models were used throughout (R v3.2.0), with adjustment for age, sex, array type ('axiom' or 'bileve'), assessment center (22 possible categories), and the first 5 genetic principal components. The regression linker functions 'logit' or 'Gaussian' were used respectively for logistic and linear outcomes. Forest plots comparing the associations between different GRS' and each outcome were generated using the R package 'rmeta' (v2.16). For these analyses the GRS was first z-transformed so that the statistics reported could be compared between each GRS on the forest plot. In the rest of the manuscript, we refer to the unstandardized, "per weighted allele" effects. In all respects except for the z-transformation the models were identical. Benjamini-Hochberg pvalues are calculated to correct for potential falsepositive associations (19 GRS against 4 primary longevity phenotypes).

<u>ApoE haplotype definition.</u> The ApoE haplotype is defined using two genetic variants (rs429358 and rs7412). We created a binary phenotype "any ϵ 4 alleles vs. rest" where ϵ 3/ ϵ 4 and ϵ 4/ ϵ 4 participants were grouped together (ϵ 2/ ϵ 4 participants were excluded), and the ϵ 2/ ϵ 2, ϵ 2/ ϵ 3 and ϵ 3/ ϵ 3 alleles were the control participants. A second phenotype "any ϵ 2 alleles vs. rest" is defined correspondingly.

Funding

This work was generously funded by an award to DM, TF, AM, LH and CB by the Medical Research Council MR/M023095/1. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource, under application 1417. The authors wish to thank the UK Biobank participants and coordinators for this unique dataset.

S.E.J. is funded by the Medical Research Council (grant: MR/M005070/1). J.T. is funded by a Diabetes Research and Wellness Foundation Fellowship. R.B. is funded by the Wellcome Trust and Royal Society grant: 104150/Z/14/Z. M.A.T., M.N.W. and A.M. are supported by the Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Award (WT097835MF). R.M.F. is a Sir Henry Dale Fellow (Wellcome Trust and Royal Society grant: 104150/Z/14/Z). A.R.W. H.Y., and T.M.F. are supported by the European Research Council grant: 323195:GLUCOSEGENES-FP7-IDEAS-ERC. The funders had no influence on study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

The Framingham Heart Study is supported by Contract No. N01-HC-25195 and HHSN2682015000011 and its contract with Affymetrix, Inc for genotyping services (Contract No. N02-HL-6-4278). The phenotype-genotype association analyses were supported by National Institute of Aging R01AG29451.

This work has made use of the resources provided by the University of Exeter Science Strategy and resulting Systems Biology initiative. Primarily these include high-performance computing facilities managed by Konrad Paszkiewicz of the College of Environmental and Life Sciences and Pete Leggett of the University of Exeter Academics services unit.

Conflict of interest statement

All authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of aging. Cell. 2013; 153:1194–1217.

2. Seok J, Warren HS, Cuenca AG, Mindrinos MN, Baker H V, Xu W, Richards DR, McDonald-Smith GP, Gao H, Hennessy L, Finnerty CC, López CM, Honari S, et al. Genomic responses in mouse models poorly mimic human inflammatory diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:3507–3512.

3. Melzer D, Frayling TM, Murray A, Hurst AJ, Harries LW, Song H, Khaw K, Luben R, Surtees PG, Bandinelli SS, Corsi A-M, Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, et al. A common variant of the p16(INK4a) genetic region is associated with physical function in older people. Mech Ageing Dev. 2007; 128:370–377.

4. Ostbye T, Taylor DH, Krause KM, Van Scoyoc L, Taylor Jr. DH, Krause KM, Van Scoyoc L. The Role of Smoking and Other Modifiable Lifestyle Risk Factors in Maintaining and Restoring Lower Body Mobility in Middle-Aged and Older Americans: Results from the HRS and AHEAD. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2002; 50:691.

5. Herskind AM, McGue M, Holm N V, Sørensen TI, Harvald B, Vaupel JW. The heritability of human longevity: a population-based study of 2872 Danish twin pairs born 1870-1900. Hum Genet. 1996; 97:319–323.

6. Mitchell BD, Hsueh WC, King TM, Pollin TI, Sorkin J, Agarwala R, Schäffer AA, Shuldiner AR. Heritability of life span in the Old Order Amish. Am J Med Genet. 2001; 102:346–352.

7. Hjelmborg JB, lachine I, Skytthe A, Vaupel JW, McGue M, Koskenvuo M, Kaprio J, Pedersen NL, Christensen K. Genetic influence on human lifespan and longevity. Hum Genet. 2006; 119:312–321.

8. Sebastiani P, Solovieff N, Dewan AT, Walsh KM, Puca A, Hartley SW, Melista E, Andersen S, Dworkis D a, Wilk JB, Myers RH, Steinberg MH, Montano M, et al. Genetic signatures of exceptional longevity in humans. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e29848.

9. Yashin AI, Wu D, Arbeev KG, Ukraintseva S V. Polygenic effects of common single-nucleotide polymorphisms on life span: when association meets causality. Rejuvenation Res. 2012; 15:381–394.

10. Nebel A, Kleindorp R, Caliebe A, Nothnagel M, Blanché H, Junge O, Wittig M, Ellinghaus D, Flachsbart F, Wichmann H-E, Meitinger T, Nikolaus S, Franke A, et al. A genome-wide association study confirms APOE as the major gene influencing survival in long-lived individuals. Mech Ageing Dev. n.d.; 132:324–330.

11. Malovini A, Illario M, Iaccarino G, Villa F, Ferrario A, Roncarati R, Anselmi CV, Novelli V, Cipolletta E, Leggiero E, Orro

A, Rusciano MR, Milanesi L, et al. Association study on long-living individuals from Southern Italy identifies rs10491334 in the CAMKIV gene that regulates survival proteins. Rejuvenation Res. 2011; 14:283–291.

12. Deelen J, Beekman M, Uh H-W, Helmer Q, Kuningas M, Christiansen L, Kremer D, van der Breggen R, Suchiman HED, Lakenberg N, van den Akker EB, Passtoors WM, Tiemeier H, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a single major locus contributing to survival into old age; the APOE locus revisited. Aging Cell. 2011; 10:686–698.

13. Deelen J, Beekman M, Uh H-W, Broer L, Ayers KL, Tan Q, Kamatani Y, Bennet AM, Tamm R, Trompet S, Guðbjartsson DF, Flachsbart F, Rose G, et al. Genome-wide association metaanalysis of human longevity identifies a novel locus conferring survival beyond 90 years of age. Hum Mol Genet. 2014; 23:4420–4432.

14. Broer L, Buchman AS, Deelen J, Evans DS, Faul JD, Lunetta KL, Sebastiani P, Smith J a, Smith A V, Tanaka T, Yu L, Arnold AM, Aspelund T, et al. GWAS of Longevity in CHARGE Consortium Confirms APOE and FOXO3 Candidacy. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014:1–9.

15. Beekman M, Nederstigt C, Suchiman HED, Kremer D, van der Breggen R, Lakenberg N, Alemayehu WG, de Craen AJM, Westendorp RGJ, Boomsma DI, de Geus EJC, Houwing-Duistermaat JJ, Heijmans BT, et al. Genome-wide association study (GWAS)-identified disease risk alleles do not compromise human longevity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:18046–18049.

16. Stevenson M, Bae H, Schupf N, Andersen S, Zhang Q, Perls T, Sebastiani P. Burden of disease variants in participants of the Long Life Family Study. Aging (Albany NY). 2015; 7:123–132.

17. Dutta A, Henley W, Robine JM, Langa KM, Wallace RB, Melzer D. Longer lived parents: Protective associations with cancer incidence and overall mortality. Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013; 68:1409–1418.

18. Tan Q, Zhao JH, Li S, Kruse TA, Christensen K. Power assessment for genetic association study of human longevity using offspring of long-lived subjects. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25:501–506.

19. Sierra F, Kohanski R. (Eds). Advances in Geroscience. 2016.

20. Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, Teslovich TM, Chines PS, Gliedt TP, Boehnke M, Abecasis GR, Willer CJ. LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:2336–2337.

21. Furberg H, Kim Y, Dackor J, Boerwinkle E, Franceschini N, Ardissino D, Bernardinelli L, Mannucci PM, Mauri F, Merlini PA, Absher D, Assimes TL, Fortmann SP, et al. Genome-wide metaanalyses identify multiple loci associated with smoking behavior. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:441–447.

22. Rebhan M, Chalifa-Caspi V, Prilusky J, Lancet D. GeneCards: integrating information about genes, proteins and diseases. Trends Genet. 1997; 13:163.

23. Kennedy BK, Berger SL, Brunet A, Campisi J, Cuervo AM, Epel ES, Franceschi C, Lithgow GJ, Morimoto RI, Pessin JE, Rando TA, Richardson A, Schadt EE, et al. Geroscience: Linking Aging to Chronic Disease. Cell. 2014; 159:709–713.

24. Dixon JB, Egger GJ, Finkelstein EA, Kral JG, Lambert GW. 'Obesity paradox' misunderstands the biology of optimal weight throughout the life cycle. Int J Obes (Lond). 2015; 39:82–84.

25. Mooijaart SP, van Heemst D, Noordam R, Rozing MP, Wijsman C a, de Craen AJM, Westendorp RGJ, Beekman M,

Slagboom PE. Polymorphisms associated with type 2 diabetes in familial longevity: The Leiden Longevity Study. Aging (Albany, NY). 2011; 3:55–62.

26. Yu C-E, Seltman H, Peskind ER, Galloway N, Zhou PX, Rosenthal E, Wijsman EM, Tsuang DW, Devlin B, Schellenberg GD. Comprehensive analysis of APOE and selected proximate markers for late-onset Alzheimer's disease: patterns of linkage disequilibrium and disease/marker association. Genomics. 2007; 89:655–665.

27. Lindahl-Jacobsen R, Tan Q, Mengel-From J, Christensen K, Nebel A, Christiansen L. Effects of the APOE ε2 allele on mortality and cognitive function in the oldest old. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2013; 68:389–394.

28. Fortney K, Dobriban E, Garagnani P, Pirazzini C, Monti D, Mari D, Atzmon G, Barzilai N, Franceschi C, Owen AB, Kim SK. Genome-Wide Scan Informed by Age-Related Disease Identifies Loci for Exceptional Human Longevity. PLOS Genet. 2015; 11:e1005728.

29. Hunt KA, Zhernakova A, Turner G, Heap GAR, Franke L, Bruinenberg M, Romanos J, Dinesen LC, Ryan AW, Panesar D, Gwilliam R, Takeuchi F, McLaren WM, et al. Newly identified genetic risk variants for celiac disease related to the immune response. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:395–402.

30. Huan T et al. Integrative network analysis reveals molecular mechanisms of blood pressure regulation. Mol Syst Biol. 2015; 11:799.

31. Postmus I, Deelen J, Sedaghat S, Trompet S, de Craen a. J, Heijmans BT, Franco OH, Hofman a., Dehghan a., Slagboom PE, Westendorp RG, Jukema JW. LDL cholesterol still a problem in old age? A Mendelian randomization study. Int J Epidemiol. 2015; 73:604–612.

32. Atzmon G, Rincon M, Schechter CB, Shuldiner AR, Lipton RB, Bergman A, Barzilai N. Lipoprotein Genotype and Conserved Pathway for Exceptional Longevity in Humans. PLoS Biol. 2006; 4:e113.

33. Allen N, Sudlow C, Downey P, Peakman T, Danesh J, Elliott P, Gallacher J, Green J, Matthews P, Pell J, Sprosen T, Collins R. UK Biobank: Current status and what it means for epidemiology. Heal Policy Technol. 2015; 1:123–126.

34. Manolio TA, Collins R. Enhancing the feasibility of large cohort studies. JAMA. 2010; 304:2290–2291.

35. Cheung SLK, Robine J. Increase in common longevity and the compression of mortality: the case of Japan. Popul Stud (NY). 2007; 61:85–97.

36. Codd V, Nelson CP, Albrecht E, Mangino M, Deelen J, Buxton JL, Hottenga JJ, Fischer K, Esko T, Surakka I, Broer L, Nyholt DR, Leach IM, et al. Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:422–427.

37. Global Lipids Genetics Consortium, Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Peloso GM, Gustafsson S, Kanoni S, Ganna A, Chen J, Buchkovich ML, Mora S, Beckmann JS, Bragg-Gresham JL, et al. Discovery and refinement of loci associated with lipid levels. Nat Genet. 2013; 45:1274–1283.

38. UK Biobank. UK Biobank genotype collections and QC on 150k participants, April 2014; http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/UKBiobank_genotyping_QC_docume ntation-web.pdf.

39. Abraham G, Inouye M. Fast principal component analysis of large-scale genome-wide data. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e93766.

40. Gauderman W, Morrison J. QUANTO 1.1: A computer

program for power and sample size calculations for geneticepidemiology studies 2006.

41. Loh P-R, Tucker G, Bulik-Sullivan BK, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Finucane HK, Salem RM, Chasman DI, Ridker PM, Neale BM, Berger B, Patterson N, Price AL. Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. Nat Genet. 2015; 47:284–290.

42. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, Sham PC. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007; 81:559–575.

43. Kannel WB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Garrison RJ, Castelli WP. An investigation of coronary heart disease in families. The Framingham offspring study. Am J Epidemiol. 1979; 110:281–290.

44. Loh P-R, Bhatia G, Gusev A, Finucane HK, Bulik-Sullivan BK, Pollack SJ, Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, de Candia TR, Lee SH, Wray NR, Kendler KS, O'Donovan MC, Neale BM, et al. Contrasting genetic architectures of schizophrenia and other complex diseases using fast variance-components analysis. Nat Genet. 2015; 47:1385–1392.