Distinct effects of epirubicin, cisplatin and cyclophosphamide on ovarian somatic cells of prepuberal ovaries

Serena Marcozzi¹, Valerio Rossi¹, Giulia Salvatore¹, Francesca Di Rella², Massimo De Felici¹, Francesca Gioia Klinger¹

¹Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, Section of Histology and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy ²Medical Oncology, Department of Senology, National Cancer Institute, IRCCS Foundation G. Pascale, Naples, Italy

Correspondence to: Francesca Gioia Klinger, Massimo De Felici; email: klinger@uniroma2.itKeywords: epirubicin, cisplatin, cyclophosphamide, ovary, chemotherapyReceived: August 6, 2019Accepted: November 8, 2019Published: November 11, 2019

Copyright: Marcozzi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

In vitro culture models were used to characterize the effects of chemotherapeutic drugs and of LH on somatic cells from prepuberal mouse ovaries. All cell types (pre- and granulosa cells, pre-thecal and OSE cells) underwent apoptosis following Epirubicin (0.5µM) exposure for 24hrs (about 60%) and 48hrs (>80%). Cisplatin (10µM) and the Cyclophosphamide active metabolite, Phosphoramide Mustard (10µM), didn't cause apoptosis in 90% of pre-thecal and pre-granulosa cells up to 72hrs of exposure, although they suffered extensive DNA damage and cell cycle arrest, and acquired stress induced premature senescence (SIPS) features. Cultured granulosa cells didn't show evident DNA damage and remained viable without acquiring SIPS features; OSE cells were resistant to apoptosis and SIPS but not to DNA damage. These latter, like pre-thecal and pre-granulosa cells, were able of efficient DNA repair involving MLH1-dependent MMR pathways. SIPS features were also observed in ovary after *in vivo* treatment with Cisplatin. LH (200mIU/mL) didn't significantly influence apoptosis, SIPS and DNA damage but favoured DNA repair. These results show that somatic cells of prepuberal ovary response to drugs in different ways, either undergoing apoptosis or SIPS, either showing resistance to Cisplatin and Phosphoramide Mustard. Moreover, a new role of LH in promoting DNA repair was shown.

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian reserve exhaustion causes menopause, a phenomenon that occurs in women of an average age of 50 years. Instead, the prevalence of menopause before the age of 40 years is about 1%, of 0.1% at 30 years of age and uncommon during adolescence [1, 2]. This physiological phenomenon is the result of an ovary incapability to regularly produce new oocytes after birth. Indeed, all the oocytes individually enclosed in primordial follicles (PMFs) present in the ovary during all women postnatal age, are widely considered to be generated only during the embryonic stage, giving rise to a basically non-renewable stockpile termed ovarian reserve.

Under normal conditions, approximately 1–2 million PMFs are present at birth within woman's ovaries. Among these, only around 400 follicles will reach the pre-ovulatory stage and undergo ovulation, releasing an oocyte available for fertilization. The remaining ~99% of the follicles are progressively fated to undergo programmed cell death, the so-called atresia, without producing a fertilizable oocyte [3–7]. Wallace and Kelsey [7], mathematically estimated that in the majority of 30 year old women is present only 12% of their maximum pre-birth number of PMFs and that this percentage decline to 3% at the age of 40. This process continues until fewer than a thousand follicles remain in the ovary defining the beginning of the menopause [7, 8]. However, it is now well recognized that, under certain

circumstances, this process can be deregulated leading to an acceleration of the ovarian reserve exhaustion, a phenomenon collectively known as Premature Ovarian Insufficiency (POI) [9].

Emerging evidences suggests that also iatrogenic agents, such as anticancer regimen (radio and chemotherapy), would be able to reduce fertility in premenopausal women damaging PMFs stockpile. Advancements in early diagnoses and new treatments have greatly increased the survival rate of women with cancer, with a five-year survival rate of 69% in women, value that increase considering only younger patients (15-44 years old) [10]. However, chemo- and radiotherapy can cause long term side effects such as gonad toxicity: in women, therapeutic treatments are able to induce a partial or total reduction of ovarian reserve and premature menopause and infertility [11]. The effects of early menopause may be important, especially at younger biologic ages, with rapid and substantial effects not only on withdrawal of sex hormones and possibility of absence of menarche in pre-pubertal patients, but also on lipid and carbohydrate metabolism [12-14]. For these reasons, in order to guarantee a good quality of future life to survivors, there is a growing interest in the prevention of gonadotoxicity. In the last twenty years, many studies have been focused on the identification of substances that given earlier or together with chemotherapy, protects ovaries from gonadotoxicity [15, 16].

In line with this, our group recently demonstrated the protective effect of Luteinizing Hormone (LH) against ovotoxicity induced by Cisplatin on the ovary of prepuberal mice, both in vitro and *in vivo*. The finding of a subset of ovarian somatic cells expressing the receptor for LH in these ovaries, suggested that the protection exerted by the hormone was indirect and mediated by these somatic cells [17].

The primary aims of the present work are, therefore, to characterize the effects of three common chemotherapeutic drugs, Cisplatin (CS) and Epirubicin (EPI) and Cyclophosphamide (CPM) on the somatic cells of the prepuberal mouse ovaries, to identify their target cells, the action mechanism of such drugs and how LH could interfere with such mechanisms

RESULTS

Characterization of the ovarian somatic cell populations in culture

Ovarian somatic cells obtained from 8dpp ovaries were cultured for 4 days under the conditions described in M&M. From 2 up to 4 days of culture, a mixture of four distinct cell types was observed under a phase contrast (PH) microscope. For the most part, cells were a mashup of loose confluent fibroblastoid cells with multipolar shape mixed with cells showing a flattened polygonal shape typical of epithelial cells (Figure 1A, 1B). However, colonies of compact small size cells or large epithelioid cells with small nucleus were also detected (Figure 1C, 1D).

Cells were analyzed by RT-PCR, WB, IHC and IF, at different culture times (between day 1 and day 4) for the expression of markers of epithelial, granulosa (GCs) and follicular theca (TCs) cells (pan-CKs; AMH and FOXL2; Gli1, LHCGR, CYP17A1 and α SMA, respectively).

RT-PCR analyses showed that the transcripts of all these proteins were expressed at significant levels by the cultured cells at T0 (Figure 1E). The expression of FOXL2 and LHCGR, was confirmed at protein level by WB (Figure 1F–1H). Except for increasing level of CYP17A1 (a marker of steroidogenic TCs), no significant changes in the expression of all other markers during the culture period were observed (Figure 1E–1H).

IHC and IF showed that the about 40%-50% of the fibroblastoid cells and almost all dispersed and in colonies epithelioid cells, were positive for FOXL2. We considered such scattered cells as stromal precursor granulosa cells (pGCs), and the large epithelioid cells in compact colonies as granulosa cells (GCs), based on FOXL2 positivity, morphologies and on published observations. In fact, in early postnatal mouse ovaries it was previously described the presence of granulosa cells from follicles, activated immediately after birth and of a subset of stromal cells representing the precursors of granulosa cells that populate the cortical primordial follicles forming at this stage [18]. Of the remaining fibroblastoid cells about 35%-45% were aSMA positive (possibly pre-thecal cells, pTC) [19] while the rest (5%-15%) appeared negative for both markers. Double IF for FOXL2 and aSMA showed that these markers never overlapped. Finally, pan-CK positive cells corresponded to the epithelioid cells in small colonies (likely ovarian surface epithelium, OSE, cells) (Figure 1I-1N). As note, the percentage of the cells positive to these markers remained substantially unchanged during the culture time (data not shown).

IF on ovary sections from 8dpp mice confirmed the specificity of the FOXL2 and α SMA markers showing that only GCs of the various follicle types were FOXL2 positive, whereas α SMA was confined to the TCs layers of growing follicles (Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B). Similarly, GCs spreading out from *in vitro* cultured



Figure 1. Morphological and molecular characterization of cultured ovarian somatic cells. (A–D) Phase contrast (PH) observations after 24 hrs of culture. (A) White and black arrowheads indicate scattered epithelioid and fibroblastoid cells, respectively. (B) Fibroblastoid cells at higher density. (C) A little colony of small epithelioid cells (red arrowheads) surrounded by fibroblastoid cells. (D) A large colony of polygonal epithelioid cells. Scale bar = 100 µm. (E) RT-PCR analyses for granulosa (AMH and FOXL2) and theca (LHCGR, Gli1 and CYP17A1) cells markers (GAPDH was used as housekeeping gene). (F) WB analysis for

LHCGR and FOXL2 after increasing culture times. (GAPDH was used as housekeeping protein). (**G**, **H**) Densitometric quantification of proteins relative expression is reported. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three analyses. (**I-M**) Representative field of IF for FOXL2, α SMA and pan-CK after 24 hrs of culture, scale bar = 100 μ m. (**I**, **J**) FOXL2 identifies cells with different morphologies: (**I**) scattered epithelioid and fibroblastoid cells (white and yellow arrowheads, respectively); blue arrowheads pointed fibroblastoid FOXL2 negative cells and (**J**) a large colony of polygonal epithelioid cells (white circle). (**K**, **L**) Double IF for α SMA and FOXL2. Cells positive for α SMA showed a fibroblastoid morphology. Note that IF for α SMA and FOXL2 negative cells; blue arrowheads indicate α SMA positive and FOXL2 negative cells, white arrowheads FOXL2 positive and α SMA negative cells; blue arrowheads indicate a double negative cell). (**M**) Little colony of pan-CK positive small epithelioid cells. (**N**) Quantification of the FOXL2, α SMA and pan-CK positive and triple-negative cells. (**O**, **P**) Follicles isolated from 16dpp mouse ovaries cultured for 24 hrs. (**O**, **P**) Follicular cells, spread out to form an epithelioid cell monolayer, showed FOXL2 (red) positivity.

oocyte-free secondary follicles obtained from 16dpp mice showed morphological features and FOXL2 positivity like putative GCs (Figure 10, 1P).

The Click-iT EdU proliferation assay performed on the cultured cells indicated that for the most part, the scattered putative pGCs, pTCs and OSE cells in colonies were proliferating, whilst GCs in large colonies and spreading out from secondary follicles were not (Figure 2).

Epirubicin induces apoptosis and extensive DNA damage in all ovarian somatic cells

In order to characterize the EPI effect on ovarian somatic cells, the cell cultures were exposed to 0.5 μ M EPI (corresponding to about 0.3 μ g/mL), a concentration in the high therapeutic range [20].

Propidium Iodide (PI) cells fluorescence, evaluated by flow cytometry, after 8 to 48 hrs of culture, indicated that, while in the control group the percentage of cells in sub-G1 phase (considered apoptotic cells) remained stable ($1.46 \pm 0.34\%$), it increased significantly in the presence of EPI from 16 hrs ($6.1 \pm 0.2\%$) onwards and reached 63.16 ± 4.05% at 20-24 hrs and 82.03 ± 1.52% at 48 hrs (Figure 3A, 3B).

In situ IF for the phosphorylated form of H2AX (γ H2AX), a marker of DNA damage, showed that EPI caused a progressive rapid increase of the positive cells number, reaching 80% after 4 hrs of culture (CTRL = $3.3 \pm 0.9\%$ vs EPI 4h = 79.7 ± 2.4%) and maintained up to 95.33 ± 2.60% after 24 hrs (Figure 3C, 3D). These last results were confirmed by WB analyses (Supplementary Figure 2).

Cisplatin does not induce apoptosis in the ovarian somatic cells but causes stress-induced premature senescent in putative pGCs and pTCs

In order to analyze the effect of CS on ovarian cell populations, cultures were exposed to 10 μ M CS (corresponding to about 3 μ g/mL) up to 72 hrs. This

concentration was chosen on the basis of our previous results [17], in the high therapeutic range [21, 22].

Flow cytometric analyses showed that, differently from EPI, CS caused only a slight increase of the percentage of apoptotic cells both after 48 hrs (CTRL = $1.46 \pm 0.34\%$ vs $CS = 8.05 \pm 1.29\%$), and 72 hrs ($CTRL = 1.46 \pm 0.34\%$ vs $CS = 12.85 \pm 0.98\%$) of culture. At the same time, it was evident that CS treatment resulted in a progressive accumulation of cells in G2/M stage that peaked at 36 hrs (16 hrs: $47.32 \pm 1.43\%$; 24 hrs: $58.51 \pm 1.53\%$; 36 hrs: $73.45 \pm 0.53\%$; 72 hrs: 72.92 ± 5.85%) (Figure 4A–4C). Such cell cycle arrest was confirmed by a marked decrease of the Ki67 (about 7-fold) and increase of p21 (about 8-fold) transcripts during the same time frame (Figure 4D, 4E) and by the Click-iT EdU proliferation assay (Figure 4F, 4G). Surprisingly, this assay showed that the majority of putative OSE cells continued to proliferate in spite of CS treatment (Figure 4G).

Observations under the PH microscope showed that, between 48 and 72 hrs of CS treatment, 60%–70% of the putative pGCs and pTCs acquired a large and flattened morphology, typical of stress-induced premature senescent (SIPS) cells (Figure 4H). Such morphological change was not evident in the putative GCs and OSE cells, these latter colonies showing appreciable size increase (not shown).

We also found that virtually all cells with large and flat morphologies following CS exposure, acquired high activity for the senescent associated β gal (SA- β gal), a typical feature of senescent cells [23] (Figure 4I, 4J). Conversely, putative GCs and OSE cells were SA- β gal positive both in the control and Cs-treated conditions (not shown).

Taken together these results indicated that the actively proliferating putative pTC and pGCs, present in our cultures, reacted to CS acquiring SIPS features rather than undergoing apoptosis, while putative GCs were resistant to the drug probably because contact inhibited. On the other hand, putative OSE cells were CS resistant despite active proliferation. Since SIPS usually takes place following the activation of the DNA damage response (DDR) pathways, we next investigate the incidence of DNA damage in the cultured cells. IF staining for γ H2AX showed a progressive increase of the number of positive cells up to about 60% of the total cells at 16 hrs (60.95 ± 4.22%) and 48 hrs (58.21 ± 3.31%) from the CS exposure followed by a rapid decrease to 27.73 ± 2.37% at 72 hrs (Figure 5A, 5B). It is likely that such a decrease testifies

ongoing DNA repair since not increased apoptotic level was detected at this time (see the results above). The changes of γ H2AX amount in the cultured cells detected by WB follow a similar pattern (Supplementary Figure 3A, 3B). The in situ staining pattern of MLH1 (a protein involved in the DNA mismatch repair system (MMR), showing the most part of cells positive and a progressive increase of staining intensity up to 72 hrs (Figure 5G, 5H), on one side confirmed the kinetics of



Figure 2. Analysis of proliferation state of cells in culture. Representative double staining for Click-iT EdU (green) and FOXL2 (red) on cultured cells (A–C) and isolated secondary follicles (D) after 24 hrs of culture. Orange and white arrowheads indicate proliferating FOXL2 positive and negative cells, respectively (A'-A''' higher magnification images from A). (C) GCs in large colonies and (D) GCs spreading out from secondary follicles were negative for Click-iT EdU proliferation assay. Scale bar = 100µm.

DNA repair reported above for γ H2AX and the other suggested the involved of MMR pathways in this process. Cells stained with antibodies against RAD51 and ERCC1 implicated in homologous recombination (HR) and nucleotide excision repair (NER), respectively, showed a generalized constant low/average positivity throughout the tested period that did not allowed any certain conclusion (Supplementary Figure 4A, 4B).

Interestingly, the γ H2AX staining kinetics observed *in* situ occurred in all cultured cells except in putative CGs. In fact, these latter showed a constant background level of γ H2AX staining like control (24 hrs: % γ H2AX-positive scattered cells = 73.90 ± 1.17%; % γ H2AX-positive OSE cells = 63.2 ± 0.71%; % γ H2AX-positive GCs = 1.4 ± 0.16%) (Figure 5C–5E), suggesting resistance to CS-induced DNA damage. GCs spreading out from *in vitro* cultured oocyte-free secondary follicles treated with CS showed background γ H2AX staining like putative GCs (24 hrs: % γ H2AX-positive GCs from secondary follicles = 1.81 ± 0.20%) (Figure 5F).

Cisplatin induces markers of SIPS in cultured ovarian fragments and ovaries *in vivo*

To exclude that the senescence response by the ovarian cells to CS was due to the tissue disaggregation and/or to the in vitro conditions, we next analyzed the expression of stress-senescent markers in cultured CStreated fragments of ovaries and in ovaries dissected from in vivo CS- treated mice. For these analyses, we could employ only qRT-PCR for p21 and ki67, because detection of morphological changes and staining for SA-Bgal activity resulted unreliable both in the ovarian fragments and whole ovaries. The results showed that in ovarian fragments exposed to CS for 72 hrs, the levels of ki67 mRNA were significantly reduced about 2-fold and that of p21 mRNA increased about 9-fold in comparison to control (Figure 4D, 4E). A similar up regulation of the p21 transcripts in comparison to control was observed in ovaries after 72 hrs and 96 hrs from CS injection, whereas the expression of ki67 did not change significantly (Figure 4D, 4E).



Figure 3. Analysis of EPI-induced apoptosis in ovarian somatic cells. (**A**, **B**) Cells treated with 0.5 μ m EPI for the indicated times were analyzed by flow cytometry, sub-G1 phase represents apoptotic cells. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three different experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ****p<0.0001. (**C**) Representative IF for γ H2AX in the same cells at the indicated times, scale bar = 50 μ m. (**C'-C'''** higher magnification images from **C**). White and red arrowheads indicate γ H2AX positive and negative cells, respectively. (**D**) The graph reports the quantification of γ H2AX positive cells percentage scored in three different experiments. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ****p<0.0001.

The effects of cyclophosphamide on the ovarian somatic cells are similar to that caused by cisplatin

In order to analyze the effect of CPM on ovarian cell populations, cultured cells were exposed to 10 μ M of its active metabolite Phosphoramide Mustard (PM), for up to 120 hrs. This concentration (corresponding to about 3 μ g/mL) was chosen on the basis of previous studies performed on the mouse ovaries [24], in the range of CPM therapeutic dosage [25].

Flow cytometric analyses showed that similarly to CS but with a slight delay, PM caused a modest increase of the percent of apoptotic cells after 72 hrs (CTRL = 1.46 \pm 0.34% vs PM = 7.75 \pm 2.18%) and 120 hrs (CTRL = 1.46 \pm 0.34% vs PM = 15.61 \pm 1.69%) in comparison to the control (Figure 6A, 6B). Moreover, a progressive arrest of cells in G2/M stage occurred, reaching 60.78 \pm 1.68% after 120 hrs from PM addition to the culture medium (Figure 6A, 6C). At this time, cell cycle arrest in the PM-treated cells was confirmed by qRT-PCR



Figure 4. Analysis of CS-induced senescence in ovarian somatic cells *in vitro* **and** *in vivo*. (A–C) Cells treated with 10 μM CS for the indicated times, were analyzed by flow cytometry in order to quantify (C) cell cycle distribution and (B) apoptosis (sub-G1). Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.05 ****p<0.0001 Statistical differences *vs* 16hrs in the G2/M phase *b* = p<0.01 *d* = p<0.0001. No significant differences were observed between 36, 48 and 72 hrs in the G2/M phase. (D, E) Comparison of qRT-PCR analysis for (D) p21 and (E) ki67 between *in vitro* (dispersed ovarian somatic cells and ovarian fragments) and *in vivo* (ovaries from intraperitoneal injected mice) conditions. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three analyses. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.05 ****p<0.0001. (F, G) Representative staining for Click-iT EdU (green) in (F) scattered cells and in (G) colony of ovarian surface epithelium (OSE) cells (white circle) after 72 hrs of CS treatment. Red arrowheads indicate proliferating cells. Scale bar = 100µm. (H) Cultured ovarian somatic cells treated with CS acquired large and flattened morphology from 48 hrs onwards. (I, J) Representative image and quantification of SA-βgal activity positive cells after 72 hrs of CS treatment, scale bar = 100µm. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of four experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *response.



Figure 5. Analysis of CS-induced DNA damage in ovarian somatic cells *in vitro.* (**A**) Representative IF of cells stained with γ H₂AX (red) after 16, 36 and 72 hrs of treatment with 10 μ M CS. (**B**) The graph reports the quantification of γ H2AX positive cells percentage. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 ****p<0.0001; CS 48 hrs *vs* CS 72 hrs *d* = p<0.0001. Note that cells showed a progressive increase in percent of positive cells that peaked after 16 hrs followed by a marked decrease at 72 hrs. (**C**–**F**) Representative double IF for γ H2AX (green) and FOXL2 (red) in (**C**) scattered fibroblastoid and epithelioid cells, (**D**) a large epithelioid colony (**D**'–**D**''' higher magnifications from **D**), (**E**) a little colony of small epithelioid cells and (**F**) GCs from isolated secondary follicles after CS-treatment for 24 hrs. Scale bar = 100 μ m (**H**) The graph reports the Mean Correlated Total Cell Fluorescence (CTCF) in ovarian cells treated with/out CS as indicated. The fluorescence intensity was determined in each cell by ImageJ software. Data are expressed as means \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ***p<0.001; CS 16 hrs *vs* CS 24 hrs *a* = p<0.05; CS 36 hrs *vs* CS 48 hrs *d* = p<0.0001; CS 48 hrs *vs* CS 72 hrs *d* = p<0.0001. Note that cells showed a progressive increase in the nuclear expression of MLH1.

analyses for Ki67 and p21 genes (Figure 6D, 6E) and the proliferation assay (Figure 6F, 6G).

Observations under the PH microscope evidenced PMinduced morphological changes and increased SA- β gal activity in the cultured cells attributable to SIPS and comparable to that induced by CS (Figure 6H, 6J). Also, the pattern of cell positivity to γ H2AX in presence of PM, resembled that described for CS, although with faster kinetics in DNA damage and apparent repair (Figure 7A, 7B). As note, like for CS, putative GCs in large colonies and GCs from secondary follicles appeared resistant to the DNA damage detectable by γ H2AX staining caused by PM (16 hrs: % γ H2AX-positive scattered cells = 76.81 ± 1.16%; % γ H2AX-positive OSE cells = 68.05 ± 0.92%; % γ H2AX-positive GCs = 1.6 ± 0.23%; % γ H2AX-positive GCs from secondary follicles = 2.04 ± 0.20%) (Figure 7C–7F).

Finally, qRT-PCR performed on ovarian tissue fragments showed that after 72 hrs of culture, PM exposure induced about a 4-fold increase of the level of p21 mRNA and a 1.7-fold decreased of the Ki67 mRNA levels in comparison to control (Figure 6D, 6E).



Figure 6. Analysis of PM-induced senescence in ovarian somatic cells. (A–C) Cells treated with 10 μ M PM for the indicated time were analyzed by flow cytometry in order to quantify (C) cell cycle distribution and (B) apoptosis (sub-G1). Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ****p<0.0001. Statistical differences *vs* 16hrs in the G2/M phase *a* = p<0.05 *c* = p<0.001 *d* = p<0.0001. (D, E) Comparison of qRT-PCR for (d) p21 and (e) ki67 between *in vitro* cultured dispersed ovarian cells and ovarian fragments. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three analyses. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.01 ***p<0.001 ***p<0.0001. (F, G) Representative staining for Click-iT EdU (green) in (F) scattered cells and in (G) little colony (white circle) after 72 hrs of treatment with PM. Red arrowheads indicate proliferating cells. Scale bar = 100µm. (H) Cultured ovarian somatic cells acquired large and flattened morphology from 72 hrs of culture with PM. (I, J) Representative image and quantification of cells positive for SA-βgal activity after 120h of PM treatment. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of SA-βgal positive cells percentage scored in three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ***p<0.001. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ***p<0.001.

LH does not protect from EPI-induced apoptosis and does not prevent CS-induced SIPS but favors DNA repair

In previous studies, we reported that 200 mIU/mL LH, through its action on the somatic cells of prepuberal ovaries, protected PMF-enclosed oocytes (POs) from CS-induced apoptosis [17]. On the other hand, here we report that LH was unable to protect these oocytes from EPI-induced apoptosis (% healthy POs 24 hrs: CTRL = $95.24 \pm 0.49\%$; LH = $96.53 \pm 0.76\%$; EPI = $22.7 \pm 5.02\%$; EPI+LH = $24.72 \pm 7.10\%$) (Supplementary Figure 5). The distinct effects of EPI and CS on the somatic cells of prepuberal ovaries reported in the present paper, prompted us to investigate whether the hormone interfered with some of the drug effects.

The results in Supplementary Figures 6 and 7 shown that LH was unable to counteract the DNA damages

induced by EPI (Supplementary Figure 6A–6D) and the occurrence of all stress-induced processes caused by CS in the ovarian somatic cells (Supplementary Figure 7A–7H). Moreover, LH did not have a detectable effect on the initial DNA damage caused by CS measurable by γ H2AX staining. However, the hormone appeared to significantly accelerate the kinetics of DNA repair occurring in CS-treated cells evaluated both by γ H2AX (Figure 8A–8D) and MLH1 (Figure 9A, 9B) staining whereas it did not impinge upon the RAD51 and ERCC1 staining (Supplementary Figure 8A, 8B).

DISCUSSION

The morphological and molecular characterization of the somatic cells from the murine prepuberal ovaries performed in the present paper, indicated the presence, in the *in vitro* culture, of cell types belonging to two major lineages, namely granulosa and stromal-theca



Figure 7. Analysis of PM-induced DNA damage in ovarian somatic cells. (A) Representative IF for γ H2AX in somatic cells treated with PM. (B) The graph reports the quantification of γ H2AX positive cells percentage. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ****p<0.0001. CS 16 hrs *vs* CS 36 hrs *c* = p<0.001; CS 36 hrs *vs* CS 72 hrs *a* = p<0.05. Note an increase in the % of positive cells after 16 hrs of treatment followed by a progressive marked decrease up to 72 hrs. (**C**–**F**) Representative double IF for γ H2AX (green) and FOXL2 (red) in (**C**) scattered fibroblastoid and epithelioid cells, (**D**) a large epithelioid colony (**D**'–**D**''' higher magnification images from **D**), (**E**) a little colony of small epithelioid cells (white circle) and (**F**) GCs from isolated secondary follicles after PM-treatment for 24 hrs. Scale bar = 100µm.

cells. Within the granulosa cell lineage, it is possible to speculate that the scattered fibroblastoid and epithelioid FOXL2 positive cells represented phenotypes of granulosa cell precursors (pGCs) whereas the colonies of compact epithelioid cells, also positive for FOXL2, were granulosa cells (GCs) from primary and/or early secondary follicles present in small number in 8dpp ovaries. αSMA positive prethecal cells (pTCs) likely represent heterogeneous populations probably comprising cells expressing LHGCR. Finally, the pan-CK positive colonies of little epithelioid cells probably derived from OSE cells. Since all of these cell types in the ovary may be potential targets of chemotherapeutic agents, our *in vitro* model allowed us to investigate the individual effects of some of the most common of these drugs, such as EPI, CS and PM, on the various somatic cell populations present in prepuberal ovaries.



Figure 8. LH modulates the H2AX phosphorylation in somatic ovarian cells. (A) Representative IF for γ H2AX in cultured ovarian somatic cells treated with 10 μ M CS with/out 200 mIU/mL LH for the indicated times. Scale bar = 100 μ m. (B) The graph reports the quantification of the percentage of cells positive for γ H2AX. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of five experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.05 ****p<0.0001; Cs+LH group *vs* CS group *b* = p<0.01 *d* = p<0.0001. (C, D) Representative WB of γ H2AX in cells treated with CS with/out LH for the indicated times. Note a clear reduction of γ H2AX level at 72 hrs. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.001 ***p<0.001 ***p<0.001; statistical difference of CS group *vs* CS+LH group *b* = p<0.01 *c* = p<0.001.

Considering the effect of the three tested drugs on these cell populations under the conditions used in the present work, it was evident that whereas all cell types underwent apoptosis following EPI exposure, CS and PM caused similar responses but distinct from EPI and cell type dependent. In line with our results, Morgan et al. [20] showed that GCs of primordial and growing follicles of neonatal mouse ovaries were relatively resistant *in vitro* to CS in comparison to EPI that, on the contrary, caused massive degeneration of follicular cells. The fact that these drugs act by different and cell type-dependent manner should not be surprising because their action mechanisms are discrete. In fact, EPI acts by blocking topoisomerase II activity and by intercalating into the flat space between the bases of the DNA double helix, where it can act further to disrupt DNA replication and transcription. The major mechanism of action of CS and PM is to covalently bind to DNA forming adducts (for references, see [26–29]).

CS+LH



Figure 9. LH improves the DNA damage repair capability by somatic ovarian cells. (A) Representative IF of cells stained with MLH1 (green) after 16, 24, 36, 48 and 72 hrs of treatment with 10 μ M CS with/out 200 mIU/mL LH. Scale bar = 100 μ m. (B) The graph reports the Mean Correlated Total Cell Fluorescence (CTCF) in ovarian cells treated with/out CS and CS+LH as indicated. The fluorescence intensity was determined in each cell by ImageJ software. Data are expressed as means \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ****p<0.001 ****p<0.0001; statistical difference of CS group *vs* CS+LH group *p<0.05 ****p<0.0001; CS 16 hrs *vs* CS 24 hrs *a* p<0.05; CS 36 hrs *vs* CS 48 hrs *d* p<0.0001; CS 36 hrs *vs* CS 72 hrs *d* p<0.0001. Note that cells showed a progressive increase in the nuclear expression of MLH1 already after 16 hrs of treatment with Cs+LH.

In our model, the most part of the putative pTCs and pGCs did not undergo apoptosis following the addition of CS or PM to the culture medium but acquired characteristics typical of stress induced premature senescence (SIPS), a process that, as far as we know, was not previously described in such ovarian cells. Under our in vitro conditions, these cells undergo extensive DNA damage (yH2AX staining) and growth arrest (likely in G2/M), testified by flow cytometric analyses, in situ proliferation assay, decrease of Ki67 and increase of p21 transcripts, within 24 hrs of drug exposure. Moreover, they acquired a SIPS phenotype (large and flat morphology and SA-βgal activity) from 48-72 hrs onwards. On the other hand, the putative GCs did not undergo apoptosis and did not acquire the morphological SIPS features. Since SIPS occurred primarily in proliferating cells, the fact that at the time of the drug addiction these cells were, according to the Click-iT EdU assay, already cell cycle arrested because confluence is the likely explanation. A possibility supported by the fact that they were positive for SA- β gal activity also in the control condition [23]. Moreover, both putative GCs from 8dpp ovaries and GCs from secondary follicles from 16dpp ovaries, appeared resistant to DNA damage caused by CS and evaluated by yH2AX staining. Many studies made in cell lines demonstrated that CS resistance might be mediated through two broad mechanisms: first, a failure of enough drug to reach the target DNA, and second, a failure to achieve cell death after CS-DNA adduct formation. Many resistant cells show a pleomorphic phenotype consisting of altered pathways involving drug uptake, DNA-damage recognition and repair, and apoptosis (for a review, see [30]). Which mechanisms make GCs apparently resistant to CS remain to be investigated. Conversely, putative OSE cells were also resistant to CS-induced apoptosis and SIPS but not to DNA damage. Moreover, the majority of them, whilst repairing DNA damage after 72 hrs of drug treatment, continued to proliferate.

Regarding SIPS, here observed induced by CS and PM in pTCs and pGCs, it is generally thought that it is a form of cell death, irreversibly eliminating replicating cells. What directs a cell to undergo senescence or apoptosis remains unclear, but cell type, the kind of damaging agent and the dose administered may be important [31].

In our culture system, cells underwent SIPS following G2/M arrest and were characterized by increased level of p21 expression rather than p16 (this latter not shown). Moreover, in contrast to the notion reported above, in about half of SIPS-cells, γ H2AX foci were resolved after 72 hrs of drug treatment. The marked increase of the MLH1 staining in the most part of cells

preceding the decrease of the γ H2AX foci, confirmed the kinetics of the DNA repair and suggested the involvement of the MMR in such process. Evidence of other DNA repair pathways such as HR (RAD51 staining) and NER (ERRC1 staining) was not found.

Although, these findings suggest that DNA damage was recovered by such cells, it remained to be determined if all DNA damages were actually repaired. As a matter of fact, these cells maintained the SIPS phenotype and growth arrest at least for the following 7 days of culture (not shown).

The observation that CS and PM caused expression of cell cycle arrest markers also in cultured ovarian fragments (increased p21 and ki67 transcripts) and in ovaries in vivo (increased p21 transcripts) of comparable age, make it unlikely that SIPS is artificially induced by the drug as a consequence of the ovarian tissue disaggregation and/or to the in vitro conditions. This conclusion opens the question of the possible impact that such process has on the ovary under various stress agents including chemotherapy or during aging. In fact, whereas there is little doubt that SIPS in vivo can occur in a variety of tissues [32], less clear are its dynamics and consequences. Evidence exists that SIPS can suppress proliferation in cancer cells; however, senescent cells may be prone to genetic and epigenetic instability, which is also a hallmark of cancer cells [33]. Senescent cells can occur transiently (e.g., during embryogenesis, wound healing and tissue injury), with beneficial effects on tissue homeostasis and regeneration, or accumulate chronically in tissues detrimentally affecting the microenvironment by de- or trans- differentiation of senescent cells and their neighboring stromal cells [34]. A part of these latter effects is attributable to the fact that SIPS-cells are metabolically active and have undergone widespread changes in protein expression and secretion, ultimately developing the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [33, 35]. SASP includes the secretion of numerous pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and proteases that can have powerful either beneficial or deleterious paracrine activities depending on the physiological environment [36, 37]. In such a context, although the present data show that LH did not significantly influence the occurrence of SIPS, it remains possible that the hormone exerts an important control on SASP and on the anti-apoptotic action that the hormone responsive cells have on the primordial follicle reserve in the prepuberal and adult ovary [17]. On the other hand, LH appears to have an important positive action on the MMR pathways activated in the ovarian cells following the DNA damage caused by CS. The way through which the hormone regulates such process and its possible influence on SASP are object of ongoing researches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

CD-1 and transgenic p18 GFP/c-Kit [38] mice were housed and mated under standard laboratory conditions in an environmentally controlled room and treated using humane care in order to inflict the least possible pain. All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and carried out according to the Italian and European rules (D.L.116/92; C.E. 609/86; European Directive 2010/63/EU); authorization n°391/2016-PR.

Compounds and antibodies

Epirubicin (EPI) and Cisplatin (CS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dissolved in water and DMSO, respectively. Phosphoramide mustard (PM), the active metabolite of Cyclophosphamide, from National Cancer Institute and dissolved in DMSO. Human recombinant LH was a gift from Merck KGaA (Germany).

The following primary antibodies were used: Tubulin (T9026, Sigma-Aldrich); GADPH (G9545, Sigma-Aldrich); LHCGR (sc-25828, Santa Cruz); FOXL2 (ab5096, Abcam); yH2AX (05-636, Millipore); pancytokeratin (pan-CK, Z0622, Dako); aSMA (A2547, Sigma-Aldrich); RAD51 (sc-8349, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); (sc-17809, Santa Cruz ERCC1 Biotechnology); MLH1 (sc-271978, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies anti-goat were as follows: donkey (Jackson ImmunoResearch) (1:50000); goat anti-mouse (GE Healthcare) (1:5000); goat anti-rabbit (GE Healthcare) (1:5000). The following secondary antibodies were used for IF analysis: Cy3-labeled donkey anti-goat antibody (1:400); Alexa Fluor 488-labeled goat anti-mouse (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:500); Alexa Fluor 568labeled goat anti-mouse (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:500); Alexa Fluor 488-labeled goat anti-rabbit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:500).

In vivo treatment of animals

For each experimental group, twelve 8 *days postpartum* (dpp) CD1 mice were used. They were intraperitoneally injected with 30 μ l of physiological solution or equal volume of CS (5 mg/Kg).

In vitro culture of ovarian somatic cells

Ovaries were collected from 8dpp CD1 mice, cleared of the surrounding tissues and incubated in 1 mg/mL collagenase type I (Sigma-Aldrich) in α MEM for 1 hr at 37°C in agitation. Following collagenase removal, the

ovaries were suspended in the cultured medium (see below) and disaggregated by gently pipetting. Cell suspension was filtered through a 40 µm nylon filter (BD Falcon) while the retained tissue fragments were further incubated in 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min at 37°C in agitation, disaggregated and filtered as above. The cellular suspensions were then mixed and finally plated at 30.000 cells/cm². Culture was carried out in aMEM (Aurogene) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), L-glutamine, penicillin-G and streptomycin, pyruvic acid, N-acetyl-L-cysteine (all from Sigma-Aldrich) and ITS liquid media supplement (Gibco), at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in 95% humidified incubator. The day after (= T0 time point), 0.5 μ M EPI, 10 μ M CS or 10 µM PM was added to the culture medium of the treated groups. Where indicated, 200 mIU/mL LH was also added to the medium 1 hr before drugs.

Images were acquired under phase contrast microscope (Leitz Diavert) equipped with a DS-Fi1 camera (Nikon).

Culture of ovarian fragments

Ovaries were collected from 4dpp transgenic p-18 GFP/c-kit mice as reported in Rossi, Lispi [17]. Briefly, each ovary was cleaned and fragmented in 8 pieces. Fragments were, then, plated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in aMEM (Aurogene) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), L-glutamine, penicillin-G and streptomycin, pyruvic acid, N-acetyl-L-cysteine and ITS liquid media supplement (all from Sigma-Aldrich). After 4 days in culture, the ovarian fragments formed a thin layer of tissue containing GFP-positive oocyte visible under a fluorescence microscope. At this time (T0 time point), drugs were added to the culture medium at the concentrations indicated above. For the co-treatments with LH and chemotherapeutic agent, cells were preincubated with LH 1 hr before the addition of the drug. The number of morphologically healthy GFP-positive oocytes with a diameter of 20 µm (POs) was scored at T0 and after 24 hrs of treatment under a Leica CTR 6000 microscope (Watzlar, Germany).

The values presented are the mean \pm SEM of at least three independent experiments in which at least three fragments were scored for each experimental condition at T0 and after 24 hrs of culture.

Culture of follicular cells from secondary follicles

Ovaries were dissected from 16dpp CD1 mice, cleaned from the adipose tissues and placed in α MEM supplemented with 1mg/ml BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 mM HEPES (Gibco). They were punctured and minced in order to release follicles and those with more than one granulosa cells layer (early secondary follicles) were washed and transferred in culture medium using a glass micropipette to be cultured at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in 95% humidified incubator. Within 24 hrs, the follicle cells spread usually releasing the oocyte in the medium to form a compact epithelioid cell monolayer.

Flow cytometry

Adherent cells were collected and fixed in cold methanol/acetone (1:4) O.N. at 4°C. After incubation with RNase (100 μ g/mL in PBS) for 20 min at room temperature, cellular suspension was marked with propidium iodide (1 mg/mL in PBS, from Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min at room temperature. Samples were analyzed on a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences) with Cell Quest Pro software (Becton Dickinson). In each sample, 10000 events were counted. Data analysis was conducted with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence

For immunohistochemistry (IHC) and immunefluorescence (IF) on cultured cells, medium was removed and, after two-three washes in PBS, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at room temperature. For IF analysis on ovarian sections, ovaries were collected and fixed in 4% PFA for 4h. After dehydration, tissues were embedded in paraffin and sectioned (5 µm sections) following standard procedures. After deparaffinization and hydration, antigen retrieval in citrate buffer (pH 6) was performed. Both cultured cells and ovarian sections were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X in PBS for 10 min, blocked in 3% BSA for 30 min and then incubated with primary antibodies O.N. at 4°C: FOXL2 (1:200); αSMA (1:300); pan-CK (1:250); γH2AX (1:500); RAD51 (1:100); MLH1 (1:100); ERCC1 (1:100). Primary antibody binding was detected using fluorescent-dye conjugated secondary antibody (1 hr at room temperature). Hoechst was used as counterstain. Both cells and sections were mounted in PBS-glycerol (1:1) and analyzed by Leica DMI6000B microscope. For quantification of different cell populations in culture, at least 150 cells within randomly selected field were scored for a total of 500 cells in each separate experiment. For yH2AX staining, cells were considered positive if they displayed >4 nuclear dots or a fully stained nucleus [39, 40]; for each condition, at least 300 cells were scored in each separate experiment. For fluorescence intensity analysis, at least 300 cells were analyzed in each separate experiment using ImageJ software. The Correlated Total Cell Fluorescence (CTCF) for each cell was calculated using the following equation: CTCF = Integrated Density of selected cell - (Area of selected cell * Mean fluorescence of background readings). Data obtained were represented in figure as average of all cells analyzed.

Click-iT Plus EdU and SA-ßgal staining

The ability of cells to proliferate was estimated using Click-iT Plus EdU (Cat. n. C10637) purchased from Molecular Probe according to the manufacturer's instructions.

SA- β gal activity was detected as reported by Debacq-Chainiaux et al. [23]. Briefly, cells were fixed (2% formaldehyde/0,2% glutaraldehyde), and incubated with a staining solution, (40 mM citric acid/Na phosphate buffer, 5 mM K4[Fe(CN)6] 3H₂O, 5 mM K3[Fe(CN)6], 150 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM magnesium chloride and 1 mg/mL X-gal in distilled water). Staining was analyzed under a phase contrast microscope.

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Briefly, RNA was extracted from cultured cells, tissue fragments or ovaries using TRIzol (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer' instructions; RNA was then quantified with NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The first strand cDNA was synthesized from 500 μ g of RNA template by 'TransScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix' kit (TransGene Biotech) in a 20 μ l reaction.

RT-PCR amplification

For PCR amplification, 50 ng of cDNA were used. PCR was performed using 0.5 µM of forward and reverse primers (obtained from Sigma-Aldrich) and 'PCR Master Mix' kit (Thermo Fiser Scientific) in a total volume of 20 µl. Amplifications were performed using a PCR Thermal cycler (Mastercycler, Eppendorf) as follows: 1) initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, 2) followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, primer annealing at 55°C (for GAPDH, AMH and LHCGR) or 60°C (for FOXL2, Gli1 and CYP17A1) for 1 min and primer extension at 72°C for 1 min, 3) finally, 7 min at 72°C to ensure extension of remaining single strands. The reaction products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1,5% agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining under an UV light. GAPDH was used as internal control. GAPDH fw: AACTTTGG CATTGTGGAAGG, GAPDH rv: CCGTGTTCCTAC CCCCAATGTG; LHCGR fw: CCTGAGCATCTGTA ACACAG, LHCGR rv: TTCCTGAAAGCACAGCA GTG; Gli1 fw: TCCACAGGCATACAGGATCA, Gli1 rv: TGCAACCTTCTTGCTCACAC; CYP17A1 fw: TC TGGGCACTGCATCACG, CYP17A1 rv: GCTCCGA AGGGCAAATAACT; AMH fw: GCAGTTGCTAGTC

CTACATC, AMH rv: TCATCCGCGTGAAACAGCG; FOXL2 fw: AAGCCCCCGTACTCGTACGTGGCGC TCATC, FOXL2 rv: GTAGTTGCCCTTCTCGAACA TGTC.

Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

30 ng of reverse-transcribed cDNA was used for Real Time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad) and specific forward (F) and reverse (R) primers (from Sigma-Aldrich). Primers were used at a concentration of 0.5 µM and the reaction performed on a 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The thermal cycling conditions were Data from the reaction were collected and analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Relative quantization of gene expression was performed relating the signal in the treated group to that of the untreated group. GAPDH was used as internal reference. GAPDH fw: AACTTTGGCATTGTGGAAGG, GAPDH rv: CCGTGTTCCTACCCCCAATGTG; P21 fw: GCAGA TCCACAGCGATATCC, P21 rv: CAACTGCT CACTGTCCACGG; Ki-67 fw: AATCCAACT CAAGTAAACGGGG, Ki-67 rv: TTGGCTTGCTT CCATCCTCA.

Western blotting

For Western Blotting (WB) analysis, samples were lysed in a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF, 1mM sodium ovanadate, and protease inhibitors (all from Sigma-Aldrich). Samples were homogenized on ice by ultrasonic homogenization and proteins concentration determined by Bradford assay.

Proteins (about 30 µg) were resolved on SDSpolyacrylamide gel and transferred to a PVDF transfer membrane (GE Healthcare). Blots were blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk in PBS-T (0.05% Tween 20 in PBS) for 1h at room temperature and then incubated with primary antibodies (in 1% milk in PBS-T) O.N. at 4°C: Tubulin (1:1000); GAPDH (1:2000); LHR (1:200); FOXL2 (1:1000); γ H₂AX (1:1000). Membranes were, then, incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature and signals detected by peroxidase reaction using 'Clarity Western ECL Substrate' (Biorad). Immunoblots were quantitatively evaluated using ImageJ software (NIH).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism (software version 7.0, San Diego, CA). Results were given as mean \pm SEM and *P* value was determined by one-way

Anova and Bonferroni post-analyses. Statistical significance was based on *P* value: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001; a = p < 0.05, b = p < 0.01, c = p < 0.001, d = p < 0.0001.

Abbreviations

AMH: Anti-müllerian hormone; CPM: Cyclophosphamide; Cisplatin; **CYP17A1:** CS: Cytochrome P450 17A1; DDR: DNA damage response; dpp: Days postpartum; EOC: Epithelial ovarian cancer; EPI: Epirubicin; ERCC1: Excision Repair 1; FOXL2: Forkhead box L2; GADPH: Glyceraldehyde 3phosphate dehydrogenase; GCs: Granulosa cells; Gli1: Glioma-Associated Oncogene Homolog 1; HR: Homologous Recombination; LH: Luteinizing hormone; LHCGR: Luteinizing hormone/Choriogonadotropin receptor; MLH1: MutL homolog 1; MMR: DNA Mismatch repair; NER: Nucleotide excision repair; OSE: Ovarian surface epithelium; pan-CKs: pan cytokeratin; pGCs: Precursor granulosa cells; PI: Propidium Iodide; PM: Phosphoramide mustard; PMF: Premature primordial follicle: POI: ovarian insufficiency; POs: Primordial follicle-enclosed oocytes RAD51: RAD51 Recombinase; SASP: (POs); senescence-associated secretory phenotype; SIPS: stress induced premature senescence; TCs: Follicular theca cells; α SMA: Alpha-smooth muscle actin; γ H2AX: phosphorylated form of H2A histone family member X.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.M., M.D.F. and F.G.K. designed the research study. S.M. and V.R. performed experiments. S.M. and G.S. analyzed the data and generated figures. M.D.F and F.G.K wrote the manuscript with input from F.D.R.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FUNDING

This work was supported by "Consolidate the foundation" Grant.

REFERENCES

- Coulam CB, Adamson SC, Annegers JF. Incidence of premature ovarian failure. Obstet Gynecol. 1986; 67:604–06. PMID:3960433
- 2. Pederson J, Kumar RB, Adams Hillard PJ, Bachrach LK. Primary ovarian insufficiency in adolescents: a case series. International Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology.

2015; 2015:13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13633-015-0009-z PMID: <u>25983758</u>

- 3. De Felici M. Embriologia umana. Morfogenesi, processi molecolari, aspetti clinici: Piccin-Nuova Libraria. 2013.
- Gougeon A. Dynamics of Human Follicular Growth: Morphologic, Dynamic, and Functional Aspects. The ovary, second edition. 2004. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012444562-8/50003-3
- Hsueh AJ, Billig H, Tsafriri A. Ovarian follicle atresia: a hormonally controlled apoptotic process. Endocr Rev. 1994; 15:707–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv-15-6-707</u> PMID:<u>7705278</u>
- Marcozzi S, Rossi V, Salustri A, De Felici M, Klinger FG. Programmed cell death in the human ovary. Minerva Ginecol. 2018; 70:549–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4784.18.04274-0</u> PMID:29999289
- Wallace WH, Kelsey TW. Human ovarian reserve from conception to the menopause. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e8772. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008772 PMID:20111701
- Hansen KR, Knowlton NS, Thyer AC, Charleston JS, Soules MR, Klein NA. A new model of reproductive aging: the decline in ovarian non-growing follicle number from birth to menopause. Hum Reprod. 2008; 23:699–708.

https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dem408 PMID:<u>18192670</u>

 Webber L, Davies M, Anderson R, Bartlett J, Braat D, Cartwright B, Cifkova R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama S, Hogervorst E, Janse F, Liao L, Vlaisavljevic V, Zillikens C, Vermeulen N, and European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) Guideline Group on POI. ESHRE Guideline: management of women with premature ovarian insufficiency. Hum Reprod. 2016; 31:926–37. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew027

PMID:27008889

- 10. American Cancer Society, Merck KGaA. Global burden of cancer in women. Current status, trends, and interventions. 2016.
- van Dorp W, Haupt R, Anderson RA, Mulder RL, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, Su HI, Winther JF, Hudson MM, Levine JM, Wallace WH. Reproductive Function and Outcomes in Female Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer: A Review. J Clin Oncol. 2018; 36:2169–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.76.3441</u> PMID:<u>29874135</u>

 Recchia F, Saggio G, Amiconi G, Di Blasio A, Cesta A, Candeloro G, Rea S. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogues added to adjuvant chemotherapy protect ovarian function and improve clinical outcomes in young women with early breast carcinoma. Cancer. 2006; 106:514–23.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.21646 PMID:16388519

- Arrigo T, Bertelloni S, Carcione L, De Luca F, De Sanctis C, Einaudi S, Pirazzoli P, Segni M, Urso L, Wasniewska M. Characterization of early presentation idiopathic ovarian failure in girls and adolescents. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2003; 16:835–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/JPEM.2003.16.6.835</u> PMID:12948295
- Papagianni V, Deligeoroglou E, Makrakis E, Botsis D, Creatsas G. Response to hormonal treatment of young females with primary or very premature ovarian failure. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2011; 27:291–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/09513591003632274</u> PMID:<u>21381875</u>
- Kim SY, Kim SK, Lee JR, Woodruff TK. Toward precision medicine for preserving fertility in cancer patients: existing and emerging fertility preservation options for women. J Gynecol Oncol. 2016; 27:e22. <u>https://doi.org/10.3802/jgo.2016.27.e22</u> PMID:26768785
- Spears N, Lopes F, Stefansdottir A, Rossi V, De Felici M, Anderson RA, Klinger FG. Ovarian damage from chemotherapy and current approaches to its protection. Hum Reprod Update. 2019. [Epub ahead of print]. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz027</u>

PMID:31600388

- Rossi V, Lispi M, Longobardi S, Mattei M, Di Rella F, Salustri A, De Felici M, Klinger FG. LH prevents cisplatininduced apoptosis in oocytes and preserves female fertility in mouse. Cell Death Differ. 2017; 24:72–82. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2016.97 PMID:27689876
- Mork L, Maatouk DM, McMahon JA, Guo JJ, Zhang P, McMahon AP, Capel B. Temporal differences in granulosa cell specification in the ovary reflect distinct follicle fates in mice. Biol Reprod. 2012; 86:37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.111.095208</u> PMID:<u>21976597</u>
- Liu C, Peng J, Matzuk MM, Yao HH. Lineage specification of ovarian theca cells requires multicellular interactions via oocyte and granulosa cells. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:6934. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7934</u> PMID:<u>25917826</u>
- 20. Morgan S, Lopes F, Gourley C, Anderson RA, Spears N. Cisplatin and doxorubicin induce distinct mechanisms

of ovarian follicle loss; imatinib provides selective protection only against cisplatin. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e70117. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070117 PMID:23922929

- 21. Pfeifle CE, Howell SB, Felthouse RD, Woliver TB, Andrews PA, Markman M, Murphy MP. High-dose cisplatin with sodium thiosulfate protection. J Clin Oncol. 1985; 3:237–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.1985.3.2.237</u> PMID:<u>4038510</u>
- Tropitzsch A, Arnold H, Bassiouni M, Müller A, Eckhard A, Müller M, Löwenheim H. Assessing cisplatin-induced ototoxicity and otoprotection in whole organ culture of the mouse inner ear in simulated microgravity. Toxicol Lett. 2014; 227:203–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2014.03.022</u>
 - PMID:24709139
- Debacq-Chainiaux F, Erusalimsky JD, Campisi J, Toussaint O. Protocols to detect senescence-associated beta-galactosidase (SA-betagal) activity, a biomarker of senescent cells in culture and in vivo. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4:1798–806.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.191 PMID:20010931

- Desmeules P, Devine PJ. Characterizing the ovotoxicity of cyclophosphamide metabolites on cultured mouse ovaries. Toxicol Sci. 2006; 90:500–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfj086</u> PMID:<u>16381661</u>
- 25. Jardine I, Fenselau C, Appler M, Kan MN, Brundrett RB, Colvin M. Quantitation by gas chromatographychemical ionization mass spectrometry of cyclophosphamide, phosphoramide mustard, and nornitrogen mustard in the plasma and urine of patients receiving cyclophosphamide therapy. Cancer Res. 1978; 38:408–15.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-03328-7_8 PMID:<u>620410</u>

- Gómez-Ruiz S, Maksimović-Ivanić D, Mijatović S, Kaluđerović GN. On the discovery, biological effects, and use of Cisplatin and metallocenes in anticancer chemotherapy. Bioinorg Chem Appl. 2012; 2012:140284. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/140284 PMID:22844263
- 27. Siddik ZH. Cisplatin: mode of cytotoxic action and molecular basis of resistance. Oncogene. 2003; 22:7265–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1206933</u> PMID:14576837
- 28. McGowan JV, Chung R, Maulik A, Piotrowska I, Walker JM, Yellon DM. Anthracycline Chemotherapy and

Cardiotoxicity. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2017; 31:63–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-016-6711-0 PMID:28185035

- 29. Thorn CF, Oshiro C, Marsh S, Hernandez-Boussard T, McLeod H, Klein TE, Altman RB. Doxorubicin pathways: pharmacodynamics and adverse effects. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2011; 21:440–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/FPC.0b013e32833ffb56</u> PMID:<u>21048526</u>
- 30. Kelland L. The resurgence of platinum-based cancer chemotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007; 7:573–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2167 PMID:17625587
- Lee JJ, Kim BC, Park MJ, Lee YS, Kim YN, Lee BL, Lee JS. PTEN status switches cell fate between premature senescence and apoptosis in glioma exposed to ionizing radiation. Cell Death Differ. 2011; 18:666–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2010.139</u> PMID:<u>21072054</u>
- 32. Lee S, Schmitt CA. The dynamic nature of senescence in cancer. Nat Cell Biol. 2019; 21:94–101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0249-2</u> PMID:<u>30602768</u>
- Schosserer M, Grillari J, Breitenbach M. The Dual Role of Cellular Senescence in Developing Tumors and Their Response to Cancer Therapy. Front Oncol. 2017; 7:278. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2017.00278</u> PMID:<u>29218300</u>
- Banito A, Lowe SW. A new development in senescence. Cell. 2013; 155:977–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.050</u> PMID:24267881
- Kuilman T, Peeper DS. Senescence-messaging secretome: SMS-ing cellular stress. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9:81–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2560</u> PMID:<u>19132009</u>
- 36. Childs BG, Baker DJ, Kirkland JL, Campisi J, van Deursen JM. Senescence and apoptosis: dueling or complementary cell fates? EMBO Rep. 2014; 15: 1139–53.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439245</u>
 PMID:25312810
- Rodier F, Campisi J. Four faces of cellular senescence. J Cell Biol. 2011; 192:547–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201009094</u> PMID:<u>21321098</u>
- Cairns LA, Moroni E, Levantini E, Giorgetti A, Klinger FG, Ronzoni S, Tatangelo L, Tiveron C, De Felici M, Dolci S, Magli MC, Giglioni B, Ottolenghi S. Kit regulatory elements required for expression in developing hematopoietic and germ cell lineages. Blood. 2003; 102:3954–62.

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-04-1296 PMID:<u>12907433</u>

 Clingen PH, Wu JY, Miller J, Mistry N, Chin F, Wynne P, Prise KM, Hartley JA. Histone H2AX phosphorylation as a molecular pharmacological marker for DNA interstrand crosslink cancer chemotherapy. Biochem Pharmacol. 2008; 76:19–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2008.03.025 PMID:<u>18508035</u>

 Olive PL, Banáth JP. Kinetics of H2AX phosphorylation after exposure to cisplatin. Cytometry B Clin Cytom. 2009; 76:79–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.b.20450 PMID:18727058

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. IF analysis of 8dpp ovaries. Representative IF in histological sections of 8dpp ovaries showing (A) αSMA (green) and (B) FOXL2 (red) positivity in cells at the periphery and in GC layers of growing follicles, respectively. Scale bar = 100μm.



Supplementary Figure 2. WB analysis of γ H2AX expression in ovarian somatic cells treated with EPI. (A) Representative WB for γ H2AX in cultured ovarian somatic cells treated with 0.5 μ M EPI for the indicated times. (B) Densitometric quantification of the relative expression of γ H2AX normalized against tubulin. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three analyses. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 ****p<0.0001.



Supplementary Figure 3. Analysis of CS-induced senescence in ovarian somatic cells. (A) Representative WB of γ H2AX expression in ovarian somatic cells treated with CS. (B) Densitometric quantification of γ H2AX level normalized by tubulin. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of four analyses. Statistical differences *vs* control **p<0.01 ****p<0.0001; CS 48 hrs *vs* CS 72 hrs *d* = p<0.0001.



Supplementary Figure 4. Analysis of ERCC1 and RAD51 expression after CS treatment. (**A**, **B**) Representative IF of cells stained with ERCC1 (green, **A**) or RAD51 (green, **B**) after 16, 24, 48 and 72 hrs of treatment with 10 µM CS. Scale bar = 100 µm.



Supplementary Figure 5. LH has no effect on EPI-induced apoptosis of POs. (A) Ovarian fragments from P4 ovaries of p-18 c-Kit/GFP mice cultured for 4 days (T0) and incubated for 24 hrs in the presence 0.5μ M EPI with/out 200mIU/mL LH, scale bar = 100 μ m. (B) Percentage of healthy GFP oocytes after 24 hrs of culture in the presence of the indicated compounds; only GFP-positive oocytes with a diameter < 20 μ m (within PMF) were considered for the score (Rossi et al., 2017). Data are represented as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ****p<0.0001.



Supplementary Figure 6. LH has no effect on DNA damages induced by EPI in ovarian somatic cells. (A) Representative IF and quantification of ovarian somatic cells stained for γ H2AX (green) after 30', 1 hr and 4 hrs of treatment with 0.5 μ M EPI with/out 200 mIU/mL LH, scale bar = 100 μ m. (B) The graph reports the quantification of the percentage of cells positive for γ H2AX. Data are reported as mean \pm SEM of percent of γ H2AX positive cells scored in three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ****p<0.0001. (C) Representative WB and (D) densitometric quantification of γ H2AX in the same groups up to 8 hrs. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three analyses. Statistical differences *vs* control ****p<0.0001.



Supplementary Figure 7. LH has no effect on SIPS induced by CS in the ovarian somatic cells. (A–C) Representative flow cytometry of ovarian somatic cells treated with 10 μ M CS with/out 200mIU/mL LH. The graph reports result as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control *p<0.05 ****p<0.0001. Statistical differences *vs* 16hrs in the G2/M phase *d* = p<0.0001. (D, E) Comparison of qRT-PCR for (D) p21 and (E) ki67 between *in vitro* (ovarian somatic cells and ovarian fragments) and *in vivo* (ovaries from intraperitoneal injected mice) conditions. Data are shown as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *versus* control group *p<0.05 **p<0.01 ****p<0.0001. (F) Ovarian somatic cells acquiring large and flattened morphology typical of SIPS after 72 hrs of culture either in the presence either of CS and CS+LH, scale bar = 100µm. (G) Similar SA-βgal activity in the same groups, scale bar =100µm. (H) The graph reports the percent of cells showing SA-βgal activity at the indicated times. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM of three experiments. Statistical differences *vs* control ***p<0.001 ****p<0.001 ****p<0.001



Supplementary Figure 8. Analysis of ERCC1 and RAD51 expression after treatment with/out CS and LH. (A, B) Representative IF of cells stained with ERCC1 (green, A) or RAD51 (green, B) after 16, 24, 48 and 72 hrs of treatment with 10 μ M CS and with/out 200 mIU/mL LH Scale bar = 100 μ m.