Research Paper

The oncogenic role of LncRNA FAM83C-AS1 in colorectal cancer development by epigenetically inhibits SEMA3F via stabilizing EZH2

Weinan Xue^{1,*}, Fan Wang^{2,*}, Peng Han¹, Yanlong Liu¹, Bomiao Zhang¹, Xinyue Gu¹, Yue Wang³, Mingqi Li¹, Yashuang Zhao², Binbin Cui¹

¹Department of Colorectal Surgery, Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, Harbin 150081, China ²Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Harbin Medical University, Harbin 150081, China ³Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Wright State University, Fairborn, OH 45435, USA *Co-first authors

Correspondence to: Binbin Cui, Yashuang Zhao; email: cuibinbin34@163.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0135-2142;zhao_yashuang@263.net, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7425-5773Keywords: SEMA3F, colorectal cancer, FAM83C-AS1, EZH2, ZRANB1Received: April 28, 2020Accepted: July 14, 2020Published: October 27, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Xue et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Inactivation of Semaphorin 3F (SEMA3F) is involved in colorectal cancer development. However, the mechanism by which SEMA3F is regulated remains elusive. Deregulation of IncRNAs have been implicated in multiple human malignancies, including colorectal cancer (CRC). To date, it is still unclear whether and how IncRNA regulates SEMA3F expression and mediates CRC progression. Here we identify the oncogenic role of IncRNA FAM83C antisense RNA 1 (FAM83C-AS1) in CRC. FAM83C-AS1 is upregulated in tumor tissues and cells of CRC, which is negatively correlated with SEMA3F expression. Reciprocally, knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 exhibits inhibitory effects on the malignant transformation of CRC. Moreover, our data uncover that FAM83C-AS1 enhances methylation of SEMA3F promoter H3K27me3 via upregulating methyltransferase enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit (EZH2). Specifically, FAM83C-AS1 stabilizes EZH2 protein through recruiting the zinc finger RANBP2-type containing 1 (ZRANB1). Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* rescue assays exhibit that SEMA3F is dispensable for the tumor-promoting effects of FAM83C-AS1 on CRC progression. Our data thus demonstrate that the epigenetic role of FAM83C-AS1 in suppression of SEMA3F expression through stabilization of EZH2 to drive CRC progression, which may be conducive to discovering novel therapeutic targets for the treatment of CRC.

INTRODUCTION

As one of the most prevalent malignant tumor around the world, the mortality rate of colorectal cancer (CRC) is increasing, which caused approximately 694,000 deaths annually [1]. The progression of CRC is a multifactorial disease process, involving germline and somatic mutations, especially some pivotal oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes [2, 3]. Recent progress in early detection and intervention strategies has improved the overall survival rate of patients with CRC to some extent. Unfortunately, due to high rate of recurrence and metastasis after surgery, unfavorable prognosis poses a severe threat to patients with advanced CRC [4–6]. Tumor metastasis, the primary factor leading to therapeutic failure among patients with advanced CRC, is involved in the mechanism of tumor cells acquiring enhanced invasive capacity and spreading to remote organs [7, 8]. Over the past years, numerous efforts have been made to understand the pathogenesis of CRC so as to develop more effective therapies for patients with CRC. As a result, novel therapeutic methods, such as immunotherapy and targeted molecular therapy, have been adopted in clinical practice, which has

significantly improved the prognosis [9]. Nonetheless, molecular mechanisms involved in the development and progression of CRC are particularly complicated and aberrantly expressed genes have been closely related to biological processes leading to CRC progression [10, 11]. Therefore, further exploration of the underlying mechanism will be of great value to change the landscape of treatment for CRC.

Genomic and transcriptomic sequencing has revealed that human genome may be transcribed into two types of RNA molecules: one is noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) and the other is protein-coding mRNAs [12]. Unlike mRNAs that serve as template for protein synthesis, ncRNAs participate in the biological processes and function directly in the form of RNA [13]. In addition, ncRNAs can be further classified into different subclasses according to their length and function. Long ncRNAs (lncRNAs) are identified as a group of ncRNAs with a length of over 200 nucleotides [14]. Additionally, lncRNAs are functionally defined as transcripts that possess little or no protein-coding potential, which is largely due to lack of an open reading frame [12, 15]. Existing evidence has demonstrated that lncRNAs are involved in cancer progression through interacting with a variety of signaling molecules [14, 16]. Studies have revealed that IncRNAs act as a crucial regulator of tumorigenesis by eliciting biological effects along with regulatory mechanisms in various human cancers, owing to their interactions with a variety of other molecules. LncRNAs play a key role in numerous cellular events, including chromatin modification, gene regulation, transcriptional and post-translational regulations [17]. Competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) and RNA binding proteins (RBPs) are the key factors involved in most prevalent post-transcriptionally regulatory mechanisms of lncRNAs in human cancers, including CRC. For example, lncRNA HIF1A-AS2 mediates CRC progression through miR-129-5p/DNMT3A (DNA cytosine-5-methyltransferase 3A) axis [18]; LncRNA PCA3 promotes prostate cancer progression through sponging miR-218-5p and modulating HMGB1 (high mobility group box protein 1) [19] and LncRNA OCC-1 suppresses cell growth by destabilizing HuR protein in CRC [20]. Moreover, other common mechanisms were also involved in tumor progression. For instance, lncRNA SLCO4A1-AS1 promotes tumor cell proliferation and metastasis via activating the β -catenin-dependent Wnt pathway in CRC [21]. LncRNA HOXB13-AS1 promotes glioma progression by regulating HOXB13 gene methylation through EZH2 [22].

Based on the results of previous studies, semaphorin 3F (SEMA3F) has been proved to have inhibitory effect on

cell proliferation, metastasis as well as stemness in CRC [23, 24]. Given that lncRNAs can mediate the progression of human malignancies by regulating mRNA expression transcriptionally or post-transcriptionally, we attempted to explore the potential lncRNA that mediates disease progression by regulating SEMA3F expression in CRC. This study was aimed to identify the lncRNA that interacts with SEMA3F in CRC and unveil the underlying molecular mechanisms of disease progression.

RESULTS

Opposite effects of FAM83C-AS1 and SEMA3F in colorectal cancer development

In the attempt to determine the candidate lncRNA that contributes to CRC progression, we first explored the Omnibus Gene Expression GEO Database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds/) and identified 242 lncRNAs that were upregulated in CRC tissues under specific conditions (threshold: adjusted P < 0.01, logFC > 2) (Supplementary Table 1). To further screen out the desired lncRNAs, we utilized RT-qPCR assay to identify the top 5 lncRNAs (PCAT7, FAM83C-AS1, LINC00144, HMGB3P1 and AC016735.1) that were remarkably upregulated in CRC tissues as compared to corresponding non-tumor tissues (Figure 1A). To identify the lncRNA that could interact with SEMA3F in CRC, we then used the Spearman's correlation analysis of the gene expression data. As shown in Figure 1B, only the expression of FAM83C-AS1 was negatively correlated with the expression of SEMA3F. Moreover, the expression of FAM83C-AS1 in patients with advanced CRC was higher as relative to those in CRC patients at earlier stages (Figure 1C). In addition, a significantly higher expression of FAM83C-AS1 was observed in metastatic CRC tissues in contrast to nonmetastatic CRC tissues (Figure 1D). Interestingly, through analyzing the mRNA level by RT-qPCR assay, we found that CRC cell lines, particularly RKO and SW620 cells, expressed high level of FAM83C-AS1 as compared with normal intestinal cell line (HCoEpiC) (Figure 1E).

To study the subcellular localization of FAM83C-AS1, we first designed the specific probes for FAM83C-AS1 through the Coding-Potential Assessment Tool (CPAT) (<u>http://lilab.research.bcm.edu/cpat/index.php</u>) (Figure 1F). Afterwards, subcellular fractionation and FISH assays were conducted in RKO and SW620 cells. As shown in Figure 1G, the distribution of FAM83C-AS1 was a bit denser in nucleus than in cytoplasm. We then used siRNAs to specifically silence the expression of FAM83C-AS1 in RKO and SW620 cells (Figure 1H). Notably, the expression of SEMA3F was dramatically

increased along with down-regulation of FAM83C-AS1 (Figure 1I). Taken together, the expression of FAM83C-AS1 is selectively increased in CRC tissues, which is negatively correlated with SEMA3F expression.

Knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 suppresses the progression of CRC

To study the role of FAM83C-AS1 in the development of CRC, we silenced the endogenous FAM83C-AS1 in RKO and SW620 cell lines. As illustrated in Figure 2A and 2B, silence of FAM83C-AS1 limited the proliferation of RKO and SW620 cells as relative to control cells. We then used Transwell and Woundhealing assays to assess the role of FAM83C-AS1 in the invading and migrating abilities of CRCs. As shown in Figure 2C and 2D, downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 curbed the invasion and migration of RKO and SW620 cells compared with cells transfected with scramble. To determine whether FAM83C-AS1 deficiency suppresses the biological process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), we employed western blot and immunofluorescence staining assays. As shown in Figure 2E, higher amount of E-cadherin and lower amount of N-cadherin were detected in cells in absence of FAM83C-AS1. Furthermore, we also detect whether FAM83C-AS1 affects the colonic ability of tumor. As shown in Figure 2F, compared with control cells, FAM83C-AS1 depletion exhibited significant tumor suppressive effect on the sphere formation ability of tumor cells. Consistent to this result, the percentages of CD133⁺ and CD44⁺ cells were found to decrease with the knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 in RKO and SW620 cells marked with CD133⁺ or CD44⁺, indicating that downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 blocks the cancer stemness (Figure 2G). In summary, we identify the oncogenic role of FAM83C-AS1 in CRC progression.

FAM83C-AS1 inhibits SEMA3F expression in an EZH2-dependent manner

To further explore the underlying mechanism involved in the potential regulatory effect of FAM83C-AS1 on SEMA3F in CRC, we used the luciferase reporter assay. As shown in Figure 3A, knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 suppressed the luciferase activity of SEMA3F promoter, indicating that FAM83C-AS1 regulated the transcription level of SEMA3F. Based on the efforts of exploring the genome bioinformatics site the UCSC (http://genome.ucsc.edu/), we discovered that SEMA3F possessed the potential of binding to EZH2 (Figure 3B). Thus, it was speculated that FAM83C-AS1 might regulate trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27) (H3K27me3) of SEMA3F promoter. To further confirm these results, we used ChIP assays and found that

FAM83C-AS1 knockdown decreased H3K27me3 occupancy at the promoter of SEMA3F and EZH2 binding to the promoter of SEMA3F (Figure 3C and 3D). To investigate the role of EZH2 in this process, we used siRNA to silence the endogenous EZH2 in RKO and SW620 cells (Figure 3E). As shown in Figure 3F, the luciferase activity of SEMA3F promoter was significantly enhanced in EZH2-silencing cells as relative to control cells. Accordingly, knockdown of EZH2 augmented both the mRNA and protein level of SEMA3F (Figure 3G). Subsequently, the result of RIP assay demonstrated that SEMA3F bound to EZH2 in RKO and SW620 cells (Figure 3H). Later on, through analyzing by RT-qPCR and western blot assays, FAM83C-AS1 depletion had no distinct impact on mRNA expression of EZH2 but downregulated the protein expression of EZH2 (Figure 3I). Besides, EZH2 knockdown exhibited no observable effect on the expression of FAM83C-AS1 (Figure 3J). Further, the decreases in H3K27me3 occupancy at SEMA3F promoter and EZH2 binding to SEMA3F promoter induced FAM83C-AS1 knockdown were reversed by EZH2 upregulation (Figure 3K). Moreover, EZH2 overexpression facilitated FAM83C-AS1-mediated downregulation of the mRNA and protein level of SEMA3F (Figure 3L). Taken together, FAM83C-AS1 suppresses SEMA3F expression through interacting with EZH2 in CRCs.

FAM83C-AS1 stabilized EZH2 protein via binding to ZRANB1

To explore the post-transcriptionally mechanism of FAM83C-AS1 in modulation of EZH2 expression, we treated cells with MG132, a kind of proteasome inhibitor. As shown in Figure 4A, EZH2 protein expression was decreased along with the downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 in RKO and SW620 cells. Reciprocally, the inhibitory effects of FAM83C-AS1 on EZH2 expression was attenuated by the addition of MG132 (Figure 4A). We then treated the RKO and SW620 cells with CHX and found that knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 decreased protein stability of EZH2 (Figure 4B). Previous studies have revealed that ZRANB1 binding to EZH2 resulted in EZH2 deubiquitination and thereby stabilized EZH2 protein in breast cancer [25]. Herein, we speculated that FAM83C-AS1 might regulate EZH2 protein stability through binding to ZRANB1. The result of RNA pulldown assay demonstrated the binding capacity between FAM83C-AS1 and ZRANB1 (Figure 4C and 4D). Subsequent RIP assays further validated that FAM83C-AS1 bound to ZRANB1 in RKO and SW620 cells (Figure 4E). We next transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 into RKO and SW620 cells, and we found that no significant changes in the expression of mRNA and



Figure 1. Opposite effects of FAM83C-AS1 and SEMA3F in CRCs. (A) The expression of 242 IncRNAs in CRC tissues and corresponding non-tumor tissues was detected using microarray analysis, and the expression of PCAT7, FAM83C-AS1, LINC00144, HMGB3P1 and AC016735.1 was detected in CRC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues using RT-qPCR. (B) The correlation between SEMA3F and IncRNAs (PCAT7, FAM83C-AS1, LINC00144, HMGB3P1 and AC016735.1) was analyzed using Spearman's correlation analysis. (C) FAM83C-AS1 expression was detected using RT-qPCR in early and advanced stages of CRC patients. (D) FAM83C-AS1 expression in metastatic CRC tissues and non-metastatic CRC tissues was detected using RT-qPCR. (E) FAM83C-AS1 expression in CRC cell lines and normal human colonic epithelial cell line (HCoEpiC) was examined by RT-qPCR. (F) The coding potential of FAM83C-AS1 was obtained from CPAT. (G) The subcellular localization of FAM83C-AS1 was determined by subcellular fractionation and FISH assays. (H) The efficiency of FAM83C-AS1 was evaluated using RT-qPCR. (I) SEMA3F expression in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC was detected using RT-qPCR and western blot analyses. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.







Figure 3. FAM83C-AS1 inhibits SEMA3F expression through interacting with EZH2 in CRC tumor cells. (A) The interaction between FAM83C-AS1 and SEMA3F promoter was tested by luciferase reporter assay. (B) SEMA3F was speculated to boast the potential of binding with EZH2 via UCSC. (C, D) H3K27me3 occupancy and EZH2 binding of the SEMA3F promoter in transfected cells were analyzed using ChIP. (E) The efficiency of EZH2 knockdown was detected using RT-qPCR. (F) The interaction between EZH2 and SEMA3F promoter was investigated using luciferase reporter assay. (G) RT-qPCR and western blotting assays were conducted to detect the mRNA and protein expression of SEMA3F after RKO and SW620 cells were transfected with sh-EZH2#1 or sh-NC. (H) RIP assay demonstrated that SEMA3F could bind to EZH2 in RKO and SW620 cells. (I) RT-qPCR and western blotting analyses were used to detect the mRNA and protein expression of EZH2 in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC. (J) FAM83C-AS1 expression in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC. (J) FAM83C-AS1 expression in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC. (J) FAM83C-AS1 expression in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-EZH2#1 or sh-NC was determined using RT-qPCR. (K) H3K27me3 occupancy and EZH2 binding to SEMA3F promoter in transfected cells were analyzed using ChIP. (L) mRNA and protein expression of SEMA3F in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with different plasmids were examined using RT-qPCR and western blotting analyses. ***P* < 0.01. n.s.: no significance.

protein expression of ZRANB1 (Figure 4F). Efficiency of ZRANB1 knockdown or overexpression in RKO and SW620 cells was determined using RT-qPCR and the result was satisfactory (Figure 4G). Additionally, enforced expression or knockdown of ZRANB1 had no obvious effect on the expression of FAM83C-AS1 (Figure 4H). Moreover, CoIP assay indicated that FAM83C-AS1 depletion inhibited the association of ZRANB1 with EZH2 (Figure 4I). More importantly, downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 or ZRANB1 in RKO and SW620 cells enhanced the EZH2 ubiquitination (Figure 4J). Our data thus demonstrate that FAM83C-AS1 binds to ZRANB1 and stabilizes EZH2 protein in CRCs.

FAM83C-AS1-EZH2-SEMA3F axis exacerbates CRC progression

To further explore the molecular mechanism of FAM83C-AS1 in CRC progression, we initially knocked down SEMA3F and overexpressed EZH2 in RKO cells (Figure 5A). As illustrated in Figure 5B, EZH2 upregulation or SEMA3F depletion led to the decline of SEMA3F expression. After overexpression of EZH2 or inhibition of SEMA3F, the stimulatory effects of FAM83C-AS1 on the proliferation of RKO cells was blocked (Figure 5C and 5D). Moreover, overexpression of EZH2 or SEMA3F deficiency limited the invasive and migratory capacities of RKO cells in presence of FAM83C-AS1 (Figure 5E and 5F). In addition, western blot and immunofluorescence assay revealed that EZH2 upregulation or SEMA3F depletion countervailed the restraining effect of FAM83C-AS1 deficiency on EMT process (Figure 5G). Besides, upregulated EZH2 or downregulated SEMA3F counteracted the suppressive effect on cell sphere formation ability mediated by FAM83C-AS1 depletion (Figure 5H). Furthermore, flow cytometric analysis suggested that the inhibitory effect on cell stemness induced by downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 were rescued by EZH2 overexpression or SEMA3F knockdown (Figure 5I). To conclude, our data thus demonstrate that FAM83C-AS1 contributes to CRC progression by stabilizing EZH2 expression and consequently impairing SEMA3F expression.

FAM83C-AS1 promotes colorectal cancer development by inhibiting SEMA3F expression

To investigate the biological function of FAM83C-AS1 *in vivo*, we subcutaneously injected the FAM83C-AS1silencing cells or control cells into nude mice. As shown in Figure 6A–6C, downregulation of FAM83C-AS1 restricted tumor growth as relative to control cell did. Moreover, downregulation of SEMA3F rescued the suppressive effect of FAM83C-AS1 on tumor growth, volume and weight (Figure 6A–6C). Besides, rather than upregulation of SEMA3F expression, silencing of FAM83C-AS1 also triggered downregulation of EZH2 in a SEMA3F-indepdent manner (Figure 6D, 6E). Moreover, immunohistochemistry assay revealed that downregulation of SEMA3F countervailed the effect of FAM83C-AS1 sponging on the expression of Ki67, PCNA, E-cadherin and N-cadherin, indicating that SEMA3F depletion promoted tumor growth and EMT process (Figure 6F). To investigate the role of FAM83C-AS1 in cancer metastasis, we intravenously injected mice with sh-FAM83C-AS1 cells, dual-silencing FAM83C-AS1 and SEMA3F cells and control cells, respectively. As shown in Figure 6G, HE staining for metastasis nodes in lungs revealed that, compared with control cells, silencing of FAM83C-AS1 limited the accounts of metastasis nodes, which could be rescued by FAM83C-AS1 knockdown. To sum up, our data demonstrate that FAM83C-AS1 elicits the oncogenic effects on CRC in vivo via downregulating the expression of SEMA3F.

DISCUSSION

CRC remains a lethal malignancy causing numerous cancer related deaths worldwide, and its pathogenesis involves malignant transformation of epithelial cells in the colon or rectum [1]. Enhanced survival capability of tumor cells is considerably important for the biological processes of CRC tumorigenesis and progression [26]. Besides, tumor metastasis, the biological course enabling tumor cells invade into remote organs and tissues, remains a stumbling block in the attempts to cure patients with advanced CRC [7, 8]. Numerous studies have focused on exploring the molecular mechanisms underlying CRC development and progression, particularly the CRC-related genes. Mounting evidence has confirmed that RNA molecules are involved in the tumorigenesis and progression of CRC. For instance, IncRNA PURPL suppresses basal p53 expression and drives CRC tumorigenicity [27]. LncRNA BLACAT1 predicts poor prognosis and promotes cell proliferation through epigenetically silencing p15 in CRC tumor cells [28]. LncRNA SNHG17 is associated with poor prognosis of CRC and promotes CRC cell proliferation via epigenetically silencing P57 [29]. LncRNA CRNDE contributes to proliferation of CRC cells through epigenetically silencing DUSP5/CDKN1A [30] Although SEMA3F has been reported to have inhibitory effect on CRC tumor cell proliferation, metastasis and stemness [23, 24], knowledge of the regulatory mechanism behind SEMA3F expression in CRC remains extremely limited. This study is the first attempt of its kind to explore the regulatory effect of lncRNA on SEMA3F expression in mediating CRC tumorigenesis and progression. Based on the results obtained from this study, IncRNA FAM83C antisense RNA 1 (FAM83C-AS1) was found to be highly expressed and negatively correlated with SEMA3F in CRC tumor tissues and cells.



Figure 4. FAM83C-AS1 stabilized EZH2 protein by binding to ZRANB1 in CRC. (A) EZH2 protein expression was analyzed using western blotting analysis in different groups. (B) After treatment with CHX (50 μ g mL⁻¹), EZH2 protein stability was evaluated in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC. (C, D) The binding capacity between FAM83C-AS1 and ZRANB1 was measured using RNA pull-down assay. (E) RIP assays further proved that FAM83C-AS1 could bind to ZRANB1 in RKO and SW620 cells. (F) mRNA and protein expression of ZRANB1 in RKO and SW620 cells transfected with sh-FAM83C-AS1#1 or sh-NC were examined using RT-qPCR and western blotting analysis. (G) The efficiency of ZRANB1 knockdown or overexpression was assessed using RT-qPCR analysis. (H) FAM83C-AS1 expression in transfected cells was detected by RT-qPCR. (I) CoIP assay was adopted for analyzing the effect of FAM83C-AS1 depletion on the binding of ZRANB1 to EZH2. (J) The relationship between EZH2 ubiquitination and FAM83C-AS1 or ZRANB1 was analyzed in different groups. ***P* < 0.01. n.s.: no significance.



Figure 5. FAM83C-AS1 mediated CRC progression by stabilizing EZH2 protein to decrease SEMA3F expression. (A) The efficiency of EZH2 overexpression or SEMA3F knockdown was estimated using RT-qPCR. (B) SEMA3F expression in transfected cells was examined using RT-qPCR and western blot assay. (C, D) The proliferation ability of transfected cells was analyzed using CCK-8 and colony formation assays. (E, F) Wound healing and transwell assays were used to evaluate the migrating and invading abilities of tumor cells in different groups. (G) EMT process in transfected cells was measured using western blotting analysis and immunofluorescence assay. (H) The sphere formation ability of transfected cells was analyzed using flow cytometer analysis. **P < 0.01.

Knockdown of FAM83C-AS1 suppresses CRC tumor cell proliferation, migration, invasion and stemness.

example, lncRNA GHET1 indicates poor prognosis and facilitates cell proliferation through silencing KLF2 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma [31]. LncRNA HOXB13-AS1 exacerbates glioma progression by regulating HOXB13 gene methylation through

Previous studies have revealed that lncRNAs were involved in modulation of gene transcription. For



Figure 6. FAM83C-AS1 promotes tumorigenesis of CRC *in vivo* by inhibiting SEMA3F expression. (A–C) Transfected RKO cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. Tumor growth curve was obtained by recording tumor volume every 4 days. After 28 days, mice were sacrificed for further evaluation of tumor volume and weight. (D) Expression of FAM83C-AS1, EZH2 and SEMA3F in different groups was detected using RT-qPCR. (E) Protein expression of EZH2 and SEMA3F in different groups was detected via western blotting analysis. (F) Expression of Ki67, PCNA, E-cadherin and N-cadherin in different groups was analyzed using immunohistochemistry assay. (G) HE staining for metastasis nodes in lungs was used for evaluating cell metastasis in different groups. ***P* < 0.01. n.s.: no significance.

interacting with EZH2 [22]. LncRNA HOTAIR motivates the self-renewal of leukemia stem cells by epigenetically silencing p15 [32]. More importantly, recent studies have clarified that lncRNA can mediate CRC progression by epigenetically repressing target gene expression [33, 34], which aroused our interest and formed the basis of the following explorations. In the current study, we demonstrate that FAM83C-AS1 transcriptionally regulate SEMA3F expression in CRC tumor cells. FAM83C-AS1 depletion led to decrease in histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27) trimethylation (H3K27me3) of SEMA3F promoter. Besides, enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit (EZH2) could bind to SEMA3F promoter and FAM83C-AS1. Briefly, FAM83C-AS1 promoted H3K27me3 of SEMA3F promoter through binding to EZH2, thereby inhibiting SEMA3F expression.

Based on the result of a previous study, we found that binding of zinc finger RANBP2-type containing 1 (ZRANB1) to EZH2 led to EZH2 deubiquitination, thereby stabilizing EZH2 protein expression in breast cancer [25]. Taken along with the results from this study that FAM83C-AS1 augmented EZH2 protein expression but not mRNA expression in CRC, we proceeded to investigate the underlying mechanism and boldly speculated that FAM83C-AS1 might stabilize EZH2 protein expression through binding to ZRANB1 in CRC tumor cells. Based on molecular mechanism related assays, we concluded that FAM83C-AS1 binding to ZRANB1 promoted EZH2 deubiquitination and thereby inhibited EZH2 downregulation, which led to the enhanced protein stability of EZH2 in CRC tumor cells. After the regulatory effect of FAM83C-AS1 in CRC tumor cells was studied in vitro, in vivo assays were successively conducted, which further manifested that FAM83C-AS1 contributed to CRC tumor growth and metastasis by inhibiting SEMA3F expression.

In conclusion, FAM83C-AS1 epigenetically suppressed SEMA3F through stabilizing EZH2 protein and thereby promoting CRC cell proliferation, metastasis and stemness (Supplementary Figure 1). Our findings thus provide evidence of the oncogenic role of FAM83C-AS1s in CRC tumorigenesis and progression both in vitro and in vivo, and shed light on developing promising therapeutic agents for treatment of CRC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and samples

A total of 70 colorectal cancer tissues as well as their matched adjacent normal tissues were acquired from CRC patients who were received surgical resections during the surgery. All the patients provided written informed consents and the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital. No participant was treated with radiotherapy or chemotherapy before the surgery. All the paired CRC samples were snap-frozen at -80°C in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analysis.

Microarray analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the 3 pairs of CRC tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissue specimens according to the instruction of TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for sample preparation, which was followed by microarray hybridization. The lncRNAs with differential expression were screened out as per the criteria of log2 fold change > 2 and adjusted p < 0.01, and the results were presented using the Heat map.

Cell lines and reagents

Human CRC cell lines (SW480, HT29, SW620, RKO) and normal human colonic epithelial cell lines (HCoEpiC) were all procured from ATCC (Manassas, VA); and the cells were separately incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. DMEM medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) in the presence of 1% antibiotics. And the RKO and SW620 cells were treated with 50 mg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) and 10 mM of MG132 (all from ENZO Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY), respectively.

Total RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Total RNAs were extracted from the specimens using the Trizol method (Invitrogen) according to the instruction for use provided by the supplier and cDNA was synthesized using the reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). RT-qPCR detection was performed using the Power SYBR[®] Green Master mix purchased from Applied Biosystems. The mRNA expression levels of the tested genes relative to GAPDH were determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

Subcellular fractionation

Subcellular fractionation assay was conducted to obtain cell nucleus and cell cytoplasm using the cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA purification kit (Norgen, Thorold, ON, Canada). Expression levels of FAM83C-AS1, GAPDH and U6 in cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of CRC cells were determined using the RT-qPCR method.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization assay (FISH)

Hybridization with air-dried CRC cell samples was performed using the specific probes for FAM83C-AS1-

FISH assay (from Ribobio Guangzhou, China) as per the protocol. Samples were counterstained with DAPI and viewed under a microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

Transfection

The specific short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) and small hairpin RNAs-negative control (shRNAs-NC), purchased from Genepharma Company (Shanghai, China), were used to silence the expression of FAM83C-AS1, EZH2 and SEMA3F in RKO and SW620 cells following transfection with Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) for 48 h. In addition, the pcDNA3.1 vectors and control vectors (NC, Genepharma) were employed to overexpress EZH2 and ZRANB1.

Western blot assay

After total protein were extracted using radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer, protein samples were subjected to 10% sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinyl difluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocked with 5% non-fat milk, the membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies, purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA) after dilution. GAPDH was used as an internal standard. After samples were washed with TBST for several times, protein bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY).

CCK-8 assay

Samples of CRC cells were cultured at logarithmic growth phase and inoculated to 96-well plates. After the samples were added with 10 μ l of Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) detection solution and incubated for 2 h, absorption was monitored at 450 nm by a microplate reader.

Colony formation assay

CRC cells were prepared and inoculated to the 6-well plates and were incubated for 14 days. Then, the cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.5% crystal violet, and finally the colony numbers were counted.

Wound-healing assay

RKO and SW620 cells seed in 6-well plates were transfected and cultured until the cells grew to confluent. Then the cells were wounded by scratching with 200 μ L sterile plastic pipette tips vertically against the well,

followed by rinsing in PBS buffer. The wounds were examined at time 0 and 24 h to assess cell migration.

Transwell assay

Tumor cell invasion and migration were analyzed using a Matrigel-coated transwell chamber (Corning Co, Corning, NY) as per the instruction. And 5000 CRC cell samples were added to the upper chamber with serumfree medium, with conditioned medium placed to the lower chamber. Invading cells were fixed and stained with crystal violet solution for 24 h. Five random fields were selected for cell counting.

Immunofluorescence (IF) test

After culturing for 24 h, CRC cells were transferred onto coverslips and fixed for 30 min; and were incubated first with specific antibodies against Ecadherin and N-cadherin overnight and then with FITC conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam). After rinsing for several times, fluorescent staining was used to observe the fluorescence intensity under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). DAPI-stained nuclei were analyzed for DNA content.

Sphere formation assay

CRC cells were seeded in 96-well ultralow attachment plates (Corning) with sphere formation medium at a density of 10 cells per well and incubated for 7 days. The number of sphere cells formed was then counted.

Flow cytometer analysis

Cell samples cultured in 1% BSA were incubated with 1 μ l PE-conjugated anti-human CD133 or CD44 antibody at 4°C for 1 h. After washing, the cells were analyzed with flow cytometer using the FACSCalibur system (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

Luciferase reporter assay

CRC cells were inoculated to 24-well plates and prepared for co-transfection with pGL3-vetcor (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI) covering SEMA3 promoter, pRL-TK-Renilla (Promega) and silencing the expression of plasmids of FAM83C-AS1 or EZH2. And after 48 h, luciferase reporter assay of SEMA3 promoter was performed using the dualluciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

The cross-linked chromatin was sheared into 200-1000 fragments to allow for immunoprecipitation with

specific antibodies. Normal mouse IgG was used as the negative control. And finally, expression of mRNA was measured using RT-qPCR.

RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay

 1×10^7 RKO and SW620 cells were harvested with lysis buffer and immunoprecipitation was carried out with antibodies using anti-IgG as negative control. After mixing with beads, the precipitates were determined using RT-qPCR.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (assay)

After lysing in the immunoprecipitation (IP) lysis buffer, cell lysates were reaped and cultured with antibodies and negative control of anti-IgG at 4°C, followed by incubation with beads for 2 h. The eluted protein samples were determined using western blot analysis.

RNA pull-down assay

The protein lysates derived from CRC cells were harvested and mixed with biotinylated RNA (or RNA antisense) and beads for 2 h. The RNA-protein mixed solution was analyzed using sliver staining or western blot method.

Animal study

The study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital. Male nude mice aged 6-8 weeks (National Laboratory Animal Center, Beijing, China) were used for the study. Transfected CRC cells were transplanted into the mice via subcutaneous injection for 28 days to the xenograft model and observe in vivo tumor growth in the nude mice. Tumor volumes were measured every 4 days in all mice. Then the mice were sacrificed at different time points after the transplantation by injection via tail vein. And the lungs were excised to evaluate the metastatic nodules on the surface of the lungs using hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining. All the tumor tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored in a refrigerator at -80°C until analysis.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining

The frozen tumor tissues were thawed and fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. After cutting into small pieces, the consecutive sections measured 4 μ m in thickness were used for IHC staining with antibodies against Ki67, PCNA, E-cadherin and N-cadherin (Abcam).

Statistical analysis

All data was presented as mean \pm SD determined by three independent experiments and processed using the SPSS.19.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) or PRISM 6 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Intergroup difference was analyzed using student's *t* test and oneway ANOVA, and *p* < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Correlation analysis was examined with Pearson's χ^2 analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

WNX conceived and designed the study, FW, XYG and YLL collected and interpreted the data, YW MQL and YSZ analyzed the data. PH and BMZ monitored the process. BBC drafted the manuscript. All authors read the paper and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The supports from all the staff in the hospital's laboratory are appreciated.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This study was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province of China (No. QC2018111), and Nn10 Program of Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, and China Scholarship Council (NO.201908230119).

REFERENCES

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65:87–108. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21262</u> PMID:<u>25651787</u>
- He D, Ma L, Feng R, Zhang L, Jiang Y, Zhang Y, Liu G. Analyzing large-scale samples highlights significant association between rs10411210 polymorphism and colorectal cancer. Biomed Pharmacother. 2015; 74:164–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2015.08.023</u> PMID:26349980
- Lévy J, Cacheux W, Bara MA, L'Hermitte A, Lepage P, Fraudeau M, Trentesaux C, Lemarchand J, Durand A, Crain AM, Marchiol C, Renault G, Dumont F, et al. Intestinal inhibition of Atg7 prevents tumour initiation through a microbiome-influenced immune response

and suppresses tumour growth. Nat Cell Biol. 2015; 17:1062–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3206 PMID:26214133

- Sadanandam A, Lyssiotis CA, Homicsko K, Collisson EA, Gibb WJ, Wullschleger S, Ostos LC, Lannon WA, Grotzinger C, Del Rio M, Lhermitte B, Olshen AB, Wiedenmann B, et al. A colorectal cancer classification system that associates cellular phenotype and responses to therapy. Nat Med. 2013; 19:619–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3175</u> PMID:<u>23584089</u>
- Nishihara R, Wu K, Lochhead P, Morikawa T, Liao X, Qian ZR, Inamura K, Kim SA, Kuchiba A, Yamauchi M, Imamura Y, Willett WC, Rosner BA, et al. Long-term colorectal-cancer incidence and mortality after lower endoscopy. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369:1095–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1301969</u> PMID:<u>24047059</u>
- Cunningham D, Atkin W, Lenz HJ, Lynch HT, Minsky B, Nordlinger B, Starling N. Colorectal cancer. Lancet. 2010; 375:1030–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60353-4</u> PMID:<u>20304247</u>
- Chaffer CL, Weinberg RA. A perspective on cancer cell metastasis. Science. 2011; 331:1559–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1203543</u> PMID:<u>21436443</u>
- Sasaki H, Miura K, Horii A, Kaneko N, Fujibuchi W, Kiseleva L, Gu Z, Murata Y, Karasawa H, Mizoi T, Kobayashi T, Kinouchi M, Ohnuma S, et al. Orthotopic implantation mouse model and cDNA microarray analysis indicates several genes potentially involved in lymph node metastasis of colorectal cancer. Cancer Sci. 2008; 99:711–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2008.00725.x

PMID:<u>18307535</u>

- 9. Li Y, Liang L, Dai W, Cai G, Xu Y, Li X, Li Q, Cai S. Prognostic impact of programed cell death-1 (PD-1) and PD-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression in cancer cells and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer. 2016; 15:55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-016-0539-x</u> PMID:27552968
- Depeille P, Henricks LM, van de Ven RA, Lemmens E, Wang CY, Matli M, Werb Z, Haigis KM, Donner D, Warren R, Roose JP. RasGRP1 opposes proliferative EGFR-SOS1-ras signals and restricts intestinal epithelial cell growth. Nat Cell Biol. 2015; 17:804–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3175</u> PMID:<u>26005835</u>
- 11. Markowitz SD, Bertagnolli MM. Molecular origins of

cancer: molecular basis of colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361:2449–60. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0804588 PMID:20018966

- Mattick JS, Makunin IV. Non-coding RNA. Hum Mol Genet. 2006; 15:R17–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddl046</u> PMID:<u>16651366</u>
- Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nat Rev Genet. 2011; 12:861–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3074 PMID:22094949
- Quinn JJ, Chang HY. Unique features of long noncoding RNA biogenesis and function. Nat Rev Genet. 2016; 17:47–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2015.10 PMID:26666209
- Noh JH, Kim KM, McClusky WG, Abdelmohsen K, Gorospe M. Cytoplasmic functions of long noncoding RNAs. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2018; 9:e1471. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1471</u> PMID:<u>29516680</u>
- Gibb EA, Brown CJ, Lam WL. The functional role of long non-coding RNA in human carcinomas. Mol Cancer. 2011; 10:38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-10-38</u> PMID:<u>21489289</u>
- Isin M, Dalay N. LncRNAs and neoplasia. Clin Chim Acta. 2015; 444:280–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2015.02.046</u> PMID:<u>25748036</u>
- Lin J, Shi Z, Yu Z, He Z. LncRNA HIF1A-AS2 positively affects the progression and EMT formation of colorectal cancer through regulating miR-129-5p and DNMT3A. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018; 98:433–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.12.058</u> PMID:<u>29278853</u>
- Zhang G, He X, Ren C, Lin J, Wang Q. Long noncoding RNA PCA3 regulates prostate cancer through sponging miR-218-5p and modulating high mobility group box 1. J Cell Physiol. 2019; 234:13097–109. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.27980 PMID:30569456
- Lan Y, Xiao X, He Z, Luo Y, Wu C, Li L, Song X. Long noncoding RNA OCC-1 suppresses cell growth through destabilizing HuR protein in colorectal cancer. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46:5809–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky214</u> PMID:<u>29931370</u>
- 21. Yu J, Han Z, Sun Z, Wang Y, Zheng M, Song C. LncRNA SLCO4A1-AS1 facilitates growth and metastasis of colorectal cancer through β-catenin-dependent Wnt pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2018; 37:222. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-018-0896-y</u> PMID:<u>30201010</u>

- 22. Xiong Y, Kuang W, Lu S, Guo H, Wu M, Ye M, Wu L. Long noncoding RNA HOXB13-AS1 regulates HOXB13 gene methylation by interacting with EZH2 in glioma. Cancer Med. 2018; 7:4718–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1718 PMID:30105866
- Rao J, Zhou ZH, Yang J, Shi Y, Xu SL, Wang B, Ping YF, Chen L, Cui YH, Zhang X, Wu F, Bian XW. semaphorin-3F suppresses the stemness of colorectal cancer cells by inactivating Rac1. Cancer Lett. 2015; 358:76–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2014.12.040</u> PMID:<u>25529012</u>
- 24. Zhou ZH, Rao J, Yang J, Wu F, Tan J, Xu SL, Ding Y, Zhan N, Hu XG, Cui YH, Zhang X, Dong W, Liu XD, Bian XW. SEMA3F prevents metastasis of colorectal cancer by PI3K-AKT-dependent down-regulation of the ASCL2-CXCR4 axis. J Pathol. 2015; 236:467–78. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4541 PMID:25866254
- 25. Zhang P, Xiao Z, Wang S, Zhang M, Wei Y, Hang Q, Kim J, Yao F, Rodriguez-Aguayo C, Ton BN, Lee M, Wang Y, Zhou Z, et al. ZRANB1 is an EZH2 deubiquitinase and a potential therapeutic target in breast cancer. Cell Rep. 2018; 23:823–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.078 PMID:29669287
- Zheng X, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Xu W, Ma W, Sun R, Zeng H. Synergistic inhibition of sunitinib and ethaselen against human colorectal cancer cells proliferation. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016; 83:212–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.06.040</u> PMID:27372405
- Li XL, Subramanian M, Jones MF, Chaudhary R, Singh DK, Zong X, Gryder B, Sindri S, Mo M, Schetter A, Wen X, Parvathaneni S, Kazandjian D, et al. Long noncoding RNA PURPL suppresses basal p53 levels and promotes tumorigenicity in colorectal cancer. Cell Rep. 2017; 20:2408–23.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.041 PMID:<u>28877474</u>

28. Su J, Zhang E, Han L, Yin D, Liu Z, He X, Zhang Y, Lin F, Lin Q, Mao P, Mao W, Shen D. Long noncoding RNA BLACAT1 indicates a poor prognosis of colorectal cancer and affects cell proliferation by epigenetically silencing of p15. Cell Death Dis. 2017; 8:e2665. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.83</u> PMID:<u>28277544</u>

- 29. Ma Z, Gu S, Song M, Yan C, Hui B, Ji H, Wang J, Zhang J, Wang K, Zhao Q. Long non-coding RNA SNHG17 is an unfavourable prognostic factor and promotes cell proliferation by epigenetically silencing P57 in colorectal cancer. Mol Biosyst. 2017; 13:2350–2361. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c7mb00280g</u> PMID:28933484
- Ding J, Li J, Wang H, Tian Y, Xie M, He X, Ji H, Ma Z, Hui B, Wang K, Ji G. Long noncoding RNA CRNDE promotes colorectal cancer cell proliferation via epigenetically silencing DUSP5/CDKN1A expression. Cell Death Dis. 2017; 8:e2997. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.328 PMID:28796262
- Jin L, He Y, Tang S, Huang S. LncRNA GHET1 predicts poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma and promotes cell proliferation by silencing KLF2. J Cell Physiol. 2018; 233:4726–34.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26257 PMID:29139562

- 32. Gao S, Zhou B, Li H, Huang X, Wu Y, Xing C, Yu X, Ji Y. Long noncoding RNA HOTAIR promotes the selfrenewal of leukemia stem cells through epigenetic silencing of p15. Exp Hematol. 2018; 67:32–40.e3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2018.08.005</u> PMID:30172749
- 33. Di W, Weinan X, Xin L, Zhiwei Y, Xinyue G, Jinxue T, Mingqi L. Long noncoding RNA SNHG14 facilitates colorectal cancer metastasis through targeting EZH2regulated EPHA7. Cell Death Dis. 2019; 10:514. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1707-x</u> PMID:<u>31273190</u>
- Zheng S, Lin F, Zhang M, Fu J, Ge X, Mu N. AK001058 promotes the proliferation and migration of colorectal cancer cells by regulating methylation of ADAMTS12. Am J Transl Res. 2019; 11:5869–78. PMID:31632555

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figure



Supplementary Figure 1. A graphical abstract of the regulatory mechanism of FAM83C-AS1 in CRC was shown in Figure 1.

Supplementary Table

Please browse the Full text version of this article to see the data of Supplementary Table 1.

Supplementary Table 1. LncRNAs with high expression in CRC tumor tissues were obtained by exploring the website of GEO DataSets.