Research Paper

USP10 deletion inhibits macrophage-derived foam cell formation and cellular-oxidized low density lipoprotein uptake by promoting the degradation of CD36

Xiaohong Xia^{1,2,*}, Tumei Hu^{2,*}, Jinchan He², Qiong Xu¹, Cuifu Yu², Xiaolin Liu¹, Zhenlong Shao², Yuning Liao², Hongbiao Huang², Ningning Liu¹

¹Guangzhou Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Vascular Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China ²Guangzhou Municipal and Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Protein Modification and Degradation, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China

*Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Ningning Liu, Hongbiao Huang; email: liuningning@gzhmu.edu.cn, huanghongbiao@gzhmu.edu.cnKeywords: atherosclerosis, foam cell, CD36, USP10, degradationReceived: March 28, 2020Accepted: August 14, 2020Published: November 10, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Xia et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Foam cell formation process is involved in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (AS). Activation of this biological process depends on lipid uptake by scavenger receptors, such as CD36, SR-A and SR-B1. Among these receptors, CD36 is the principal one because it dominates roughly 50% lipid uptake in monocytes. In this study, our western blotting and RT-qPCR assays revealed that USP10 inhibition promotes the degradation of CD36 protein but does not change its mRNA level. In addition, Co-IP results showed that USP10 interacts with CD36 and stabilizes CD36 protein by cleaving poly-ubiquitin on CD36. Significantly, USP10 promotes foam cell formation. Immunofluorescence and Oil red O staining assays show that inhibition or knockdown of USP10 suppresses lipid uptake and foam cell formation by macrophages. In conclusion, USP10 promotes the development and progression of atherosclerosis through stabilizing CD36 protein expression. The regulation of USP10-CD36 may provide a significant therapeutic scheme in atherosclerosis.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases are the main causes of incidence and mortality all over the world. Atherosclerosis (AS) is regarded as a most important driver, characterized by infiltration of macrophages in the aorta and uncontrolled lipid metabolism [1]. Evidence now supports that macrophage foam cell is a critical element of AS lesions and promotes the development of AS [2, 3]. Firstly, macrophages are formed by the migrates of monocytes to intima for differentiation. Macrophages metabolism and phagocytosis of oxidized low-density liporotein (oxLDL) are upregulated and then the transported factors bring lipid from cells to the vessel walls. The foam cells are developed to promote progression of AS once the oxLDL intake is more than the capacity of macrophage metabolism. Growing reports demonstrated that the important early step is foam cell formation in the development of atherosclerosis [4–8]. So controlling foam cell formation is significant in the inhibition of AS.

OxLDL intake plays a vital process in atherosclerotic plaque formation. In this progression of macrophagesuptake oxLDL needs some factors, including scavenger receptor-A (SR-A), lectin-like oxLDL receptor 1 (Lox-1), CD36 [9, 10]. Not only lipid uptake, but also cholesterol efflux can induce foam cell formation. The process is regulated by ATP-binding cassette transporters A1 and G1 (ABCA1 and ABCG1) and scavenger receptor class B type 1 (SR-B1) [11, 12]. CD36 is a most driver in the formation of foam cells among these factors. Blocking CD36 expression using antibody can induce 50% inhibition of monocyte-derived macrophages and reduce the capacity of oxLDL binding [13, 14]. The stimulation of oxLDL triggers protein expression of CD36 to promote foam cell formation. In apo $E^{-/-}$ mice of genetic deletion of CD36, foam cell formation is decreased. More and more studies explore the regulation of CD36 to mediate the development of AS [15, 16]. Protein expression involves in function. It has been reported that CD36 expression can be degraded by ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) [17].

UPS consists of E1, E2, E3, 26S and deubiquitinases (DUBs). DUBs are well known enzymes that regulate the ubiquitin cycle through cleaving the ubiquitin chains from their substrates [18]. DUBs play vital role in the cellular processes [19]. Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 10 (USP10) belongs to mammalian deubiquitinases. It has been reported that USP10 mediates cancer progression via stabilizing some substrates, such as p53, STRT6, AMPK, FLT3 and androgen receptor [20–24]. In our previous study, we have reported that USP10 stabilizes skp2 to promote the development of CML [25]. But the pathophysiology of USP10 in atherosclerosis has not been validated.

In this study, we sought to demonstrated that USP10 inhibition induced downregulation of lipid accumulation and foam cell formation. Moreover, CD36 expression is stabilized by USP10 through cleaving ubiquitin on CD36. These findings may represent a therapeutic scheme of cardiovascular disease.

RESULTS

USP10 mediates lipid uptake by macrophage

It has been reported that lipid intake is the first step in development of AS. Lipid is ingested by macrophage differentiated from monocyte. To detect the role of USP10 in lipid intake by macrophage, we used the oxLDL labeled by fluorescent dye Dil. The confocal assay demonstrated that USP10 inhibition induced fluorescent intensity, indicating that USP10 inhibitor (Spautin-1) reduced lipid uptake by THP1 and RAW264.7 cells (Figure 1A, 1B). In addition to inhibitor, we applied siRNA to further explore the function of USP10. Delightfully, USP10 deletion significantly decreased the lipid uptake in RAW264.7 cells (Figure 1C, 1D). Moreover, we also applied flow cytometry assay to demonstrate the ability of USP10 for lipid uptake. We found that Spautin-1 remarkably inhibited oxLDL uptake by macrophages (Figure 1E, 1F). The USP10 siRNA also blocked THP1 cells to intake oxLDL labeled by fluorescent dye Dil (Figure 1G, 1H).

USP10 inhibition or deletion diminishes the formation of foam cell

Given that USP10 inhibitor or siRNA significantly reduced Dil-oxLDL uptake by macrophages, we speculated whether foam cell formation is influenced by USP10. Firstly, we used oxLDL to incubate cell in THP1 and RAW264.7 cells. Oil red O assay showed that stained cell number was decreased in the treatment of USP10 inhibitor, suggesting that inhibition of USP10 blocks the foam cell formation in THP1 and RAW264.7 cells (Figure 2A, 2B). What is more, USP10 siRNA was applied. As shown in Figure 2C, 2D, knockdown of USP10 induced the same results using oil red O assay. These results demonstrated that USP10 involved in the formation of foam cell.

USP10 regulates the protein expression of CD36

CD36 represents a vital function in progression of AS through mediating lipid intake by macrophage. We have clarified that USP10 inhibition suppresses lipid uptake. To further explore the regulatory mechanism of USP10, we tested the molecular expression under treatment of USP10. Interestingly, western blotting showed that Spautin-1 downregulated the protein expression of CD36 in THP1 and RAW264.7 cells (Figure 3A, 3B). USP10 knockdown also inhibited the expression of CD36 protein (Figure 3C, 3D). To further conformed USP10 inducedlipid uptake just depends on CD36, we evaluated other scavengers expressions (SR-B1, SR-A, Lox-1, ABCA1 and ABCG1). Western blot assay was used and the results showed that protein expressions are not regulated by UP10 inhibitor and siRNA (Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B). To ensure the effect of USP10 on CD36 expression. we used FITC-labeled CD36 antibody to perform flow cytometry assay. We found that USP10 inhibitor significantly reduced CD36 expression (Figure 3E, 3F). Moreover, we further observed CD36 location after the treatment of USP10 inhibitor. The confocal assay showed that CD36 is a membrane protein and USP10 changed the expression but not affect the translocation of CD36 protein (Figure 3G).

OxLDL-induced the upregulation of CD36 is reduced by USP10 inhibition

As known, it is a positive loop between oxLDL and CD36 expression. Lipid uptake can be upregulated by





increasing CD36 expression and CD36 expression can be increased by oxLDL stimulation. Therefore, we assessed whether USP10 also regulated the protein expression of CD36 under oxLDL treatment. Western blot showed that increased expression of CD36 protein was suppressed by USP10 inhibitor or siRNA (Figure 4A, 4B). Using FITC-labeled CD36 antibody demonstrated that Spautin-1 significantly reduced oxLDL-induced increased CD36 expression (Figure 4C, 4D). CD36 location also was observed after the oxLDL + Spautin-1. We found that USP10 inhibition decreased the expression of CD36 in THP1 cells (Figure 4E).

USP10 stabilizes CD36

To better understand the role of USP10 on expression of CD36 protein, we sought to explore how USP10 stabilizes CD36 expression. We speculate whether the regulation is happen in the transcription level. Firstly, we used cycloheximide (CHX) to block protein synthesis. We found that the half-life period is shorter in the treatment of Spautin-1/USP10 siRNA and CHX than in the treatment of CHX in THP1 cells (Figure 5A–5C). Considering that CD36 is degraded by UPS, we used MG132 which blocking 20S proteasome activity to observe the protein expression of CD36. Western blot assay showed that blocking 20S proteasome activity

abrogated the inhibition of CD36 expression induced by Spautin-1 (Figure 5D, 5E). In addition to transcription level, we also explore the translation level. The result of RT-qPCR showed that mRNA level of CD36 has no effect by USP10 inhibition or knockdown (Figure 5F). The results suggested that USP10 regulated CD36 expression via transcription method rather than translation method.

USP10 interacts with CD36

Multiple studies have reported that DUBs promote the degradation of their substrate proteins [26]. In this study, we have clarified that USP10 is involved in CD36 protein stability. Then we speculated that CD36 protein substrate of **USP10**. We is coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) with antibodies against CD36 or USP10 followed by immunoblot (IB) with antibodies against USP10 or CD36. Western blot results showed that USP10 interacts with CD36 (Figure 6A, 6B). Moreover, we observed the cellular location of CD36 and USP10 using confocal assay. Cells were transfected with FLAG-USP10 (green) and we found that FLAG-tagged USP10 appeared to be co-localized with endogenous CD36 (Figure 6C). Next, we hypothesized that USP10 stabilizes CD36 via deubiquitination. To investigated the effect, we used



Figure 2. USP10 inhibition or deletion diminishes the formation of foam cell. (A, C) Cells were treated with Spautin-1 or USP10 siRNA and oxLDL (50 μ g/ml) for the indicated time. Cell was stained with oil red o. The represent images were showed from three independent experiments. (B, D) The number of stained cells were counted. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 versus each vehicle control.

Co-IP assay to test ubiquitinated CD36. As shown in Figure 6D, 6E, USP10 inhibition or knockdown significantly increased ubiquitinated CD36. Moreover, the K48-poly-ubiquitinated CD36 was upregulated by Spautin-1 or USP10 siRNA.

USP10-mediated lipid uptake depends on CD36 expression

We have studied what silencing USP10 induced the inhibition of lipid uptake and CD36 expression. To



Figure 3. USP10 regulates the protein expression of CD36. (A, C) THP1 and RAW264.7 cells were exposed to Spautin-1 or USP10 siRNA. Protein was extracted and subjected to western blot for expression of CD36. (B, D) The quantitation of CD36 band were performed. (E, F) The treated cell posted with Spautin-1 was stained with FITC-labeled CD36 antibody followed by flow cytometry. (G) Cells were stained with CD36 and Cy3-conjugated antibody and subjected to confocal microscopy. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 versus each vehicle control.

further verify whether USP10 mediates lipid uptake by macrophage, we overexpressed USP10 to evaluate lipid uptake using Dil-oxLDL. Conversely, the result of fluorescence intensity showed that overexpression of USP10 increased lipid uptake (Figure 7A). Moreover, given that USP10 regulated CD36 expression, we determine if re-introduction of CD36 would rescue the lipid uptake inhibition induced by USP10 inhibitor. To do so, THP1 cells were transfected with FLAG-CD36 plasmid or a control vector, followed by Spautin-1. Overexpression of CD36 was able to rescue USP10 inhibition-induced lipid intake (Figure 7B). These results suggested that CD36 is indispensable for USP10-meidiated lipid uptake by macrophage.

DISCUSSION

Atherosclerosis (AS) is а metabolic disorder accompanied by inflammation including adaptive immune and innate cells [27, 28]. A known pathological progression is that macrophages intake excessive amount of lipids resulting in foam cell formation. Forming foam cell is a hallmark of the early stages of AS involving in the formation of fatty streak. Blocking foam cell formation could be a plan for therapeutic target [29]. AS reaction from foam cell is generated by macrophages which are rooted in blood-borne and smooth muscle cells monocytes [30, 31]. In vitro, 50 µg/ml oxLDL for 24 h helps macrophages evolve



Figure 4. OxLDL-induced the upregulation of CD36 is reduced by USP10 inhibition. (A) Cells were treated with Spautin-1/USP10 siRNA, oxLDL or the combination of the two treatments. Protein was harvested for western blot assay to test CD36 expression. (B) The band of CD36 was counted. (C, D) The treated cells posted with Spautin-1 were stained FITC-CD36 antibody followed by flow cytometry. (E) THP1 cell was incubated with CD36 antibody and DAPI for cell nucleus. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 *versus* each vehicle control.

typical foam cells via two aspects which are lipid intake and cholesterol afflux. Both pathways, some molecules play main role for uptake and transport, including in CD36, SR-A, Lox-1, ABCG1, SR-B1 and ABCA1 [32]. Among these, CD36 is regarded as the most important receptor in foam cell formation. Compelling studies evidence that CD36 and its downstream signaling pathways in macrophages show crucial roles in AS [33, 34]. Even though numerous evidences show the role of CD36 in the formation of foam cell, more detailed molecular regulation mechanism that participate in this progression of AS and the critical process of foam cell formation is still necessary [35].

The protein stabilize of CD36 depends on ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) which includes the 19S, 20S and deubiquitinases (DUBs). DUBs takes charge of recognizing and removing ubiquitin moieties from their substrates [19]. In our previous study, we firstly reported that a DUB of CD36 is USP14 [36]. Significantly, we found that USP10 regulates the

expression of CD36 protein. USP10 belongs to mammalian DUBs. USP10 is well documented involving in the progression and development of several cancers. USP10 regulates different substrates, such as AMPK, p53, SKP2 and androgen receptor in cancer [20, 21, 24, 25]. To date, the role of USP10 in AS remains unknown.

In the current study, our primary objective is to explored function of USP10 in oxLDL uptake by macrophages. The results show that the inhibition or silence of USP10 significantly induce the decreased of lipid intake. What is more, the formation of foam cell is inhibited by USP10 inhibitor or siRNA in RAW264.7 and THP1 cells. To further know the regulation mechanism, we speculated if scavenger receptors is related in the process of USP10-induced foam cell formation. Western blotting analysis demonstrated that the protein expression of CD36 was suppressed by inhibiting or silencing USP10. Flow cytometry and confocal assay showed that USP10 only regulated



Figure 5. USP10 stabilizes CD36. (A, B) THP1 cells were treated with CHX or CHX+Spautin-1/USP10 siRNA for 0, 12, 24 and 36 h. CD36 expression was detected using western blot assay. (C) And then the band of CD36 was calculated. (D, E) Cells were treated with Spautin-1, MG132. Western blot was performed to test the expression of CD36. (F) Total RNA was extracted and RT-qPCR was performed to detected mRNA level of CD36. *p<0.05 *versus* Spautin-1 treatment.

protein expression of CD36 in cytomembrane rather than its translocation. Besides, we tested the regulation of USP10-CD36 under the oxLDL stimulation. OxLDL treatment induced the upregulation of CD36 protein. Importantly, USP10 deletion suppressed the increased of CD36 induced by oxLDL. Moreover, USP10 inhibition or silence did not trigger other receptors increase or decrease, including in ABCA1, ABCG1, Lox-1, SR-A, SR-B1.



Figure 6. USP10 interacts with CD36. (**A**, **B**) Protein lysates were extracted from THP1 cells and subjected to Co-IP assay. (**C**) Cells were transfected with FLAG-tagged USP10 for 48 h and then stained with FLAG and CD36 antibodies, followed by confocal assay. (**D**, **E**) Cells were treated with Spautin-1 or USP10 siRNA. Immunoprecipitated with CD36 beads was subjected to immunoblotted for Ub and K48.



Figure 7. USP10-mediated lipid uptake depends on CD36 expression. (A) Macrophage was transfected with FLAG-USP10 plasmid with different doses for 48 h, followed by Dil-oxLDL for 6 h. (B) Macrophage was treated with Spautin-1 and /or FLAG-CD36 plasmid for 48 h. Dil-oxLDL was added for the additional 6 h. DAPI for cell nucleus. The images were taken by confocal microscopy.



Figure 8. A proposed mechanism for USP10 to regulate foam cell formation via stabilizing the CD36 level.

Deeply, we sought to the regulation mechanism of CD36-USP10 in macrophages. Transcription and translation levels are contribute to protein expression. In transcription aspect, we explore the mRNA level of CD36. USP10 did not change the mRNA level of CD36. Then in translation level, we found that USP10 inhibitor promoted the degradation of CD36 and the MG132 which blocking 20S rescued the protein expression of CD36. Based on this, we assessed that USP10 regulated CD36 via UPS. Co-IP and confocal assays revealed that CD36 interacts USP10 and the co-localization of CD36 and USP10. Under treatment of USP10 deletion, the poly- and poly-K48 ubiquitin on CD36 were increased, suggesting USP10 stabilizes CD36 protein by removing its ubiquitin.

In summary, we have uncovered the function of USP10 on foam cell formation via regulating CD36 protein degradation (Figure 8). The present study also has investigated that USP10 is a DUB of CD36 and as a potentially practicable scheme for AS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

and MG132 Spautin-1 were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). Control (SC-37007) and USP10 (SC-76811) siRNAs were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Human dillabeled oxLDL (YB-0010) oxidized low density lipoprotein (oxLDL) (YB-002) were from Yiyuan Biotechnologies (Guangzhou, China). Antibodies are as follows: anti-GAPDH (#5174), anti-USP10 (#8501), anti-ubiquitin (#3936), anti-K48-linkage Specific Polyubiquitin (#12805), anti-CD36 (ab13365), ABCA1 (#96292), ABCG1 (ab52617), SR-B1 (ab217318), SR-A (ab123946). Lox-1 was from R&D system. Oil Red O was from Sigma-Aldrich. CO-IP were obtained from Novus biologicals (USA).

Cell lines and culture conditions

THP1 cell from human and RAW264.7 cell from murine were purchased from ATCC (Manassaa, VA, USA). THP1 cells were cultured by RPMI 1640 with 10% FBS and stimulated by PMA (200 ng/ml). And RAW264.7 cells were cultured by DMEM ((Thermo Fisher Scientific)) with 10% FBS.

Oil red O staining

Cells were treated with USP10 inhibitor or siRNA for the indicated time. OxLDL was used to incubated with additional 24 h. Cell was fixed and washed with PBS, followed with Oil red O which dissolved with 0.5% in isopropyl alcohol for 3-5 min. Then 60% isopropanol and water were applied to wash cell. Cell nucleus was stained with hematoxylin and washed by water. Images were captured by optical microscope.

Dil-oxLDL uptake

Cell was treated with Spautin-1 or USP10 siRNA in confocal cuvette for the indicated time. OxLDL labeled by fluorescence was applied to incubated with cell for 6 h. Cell was fixed and washed followed by cell nucleus staining with DAPI. Images were captured and fluorescence intensity was counted by Image Pro Plus software.

Western blot and Co-IP

The assay was performed as we previously reported [37, 38]. Total protein was harvested in cell treated with the indicated treatment. For Co-IP assay, in our previous studies [25], protein was reacted with the mixture of antibodies and dynabeads for 1-2 h. Then the reaction was washed with PBS-T for three times and loading buffer was applied to suspended. Lastly, protein was subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by transferring to membrane. The membrane was incubated with antibodies including primary antibody and second antibody.

Plasmids and siRNA transfection

The assay was performed as same in our previously study [39]. The plasmid was purchased from Genechem (Shanghai, China). Cell was plated into dishes and cultured with the mixture of plasmid and lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Life Technologies). For siRNA transfection, cell was incubated with the mixture of iMAX and USP10 siRNA for 48 h.

Flow cytometry

The treated cells were stained by fluorescence-labeled oxLDL or FITC-labeled CD36 antibody. Cell was washed with PBS for two times, followed by flow Cytometry analysis. fluorescence intensity was counted.

Immunofluorescence

The immunofluorescence assay was performed as we reported [40]. Cell was plated into chamber slide and exposed to the indicated treatment. 0.1% Triton-X 100 dissolved with PBS was applied to react with cell and then 5% BSA was used to block for 30 min. Cell was incubated with primary antibody for one night at 4 °C and secondary antibody for 1 h. DAPI was used for cell nucleus. Images were taken using confocal microscope.

RT-qPCR

The assay was performed as same in our previous study [41]. The total RNA was extracted. And then the purity and concentration of RNA were evaluated at 260:280 nm. CDNA was synthesized using an equal amount of RNAs. mRNA was measured using realtime quantitative PCR. The primes are as following: CD36: forward: 5-TTTCCTCTGACATTTGCA **GGTCTA-3** and reverse: 5-AAAGGCATTG GCTGGAAGA-3; GAPDH: forward:5-ACCCA GAAGACTGTGGATGG-3 and reverse: 5-ACACATTGGGGGGTAGGAACA3.

Statistical analysis

The represent data are as mean±SD. Analyzing the statistical significance of differences using unpaired Student's t test. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 and GraphPad Prism 8.0. The level of p < 0.05 was regarded to be significant.

Abbreviations

AS: atherosclerosis; UPS: ubiquitin proteasome system; oxLDL: oxidized low-density lipoprotein; SR-A: scavenger receptor-A; Lox-1: lectin-like oxLDL receptor 1; ABCA1: ATP-binding cassette transporters A1; ABCG1: ATP-binding cassette transporters G1; SR-B1: scavenger receptor class B type 1; DUBs : deubiquitinases; USP10: Ubiquitinspecificpeptidase 10; CHX: cycloheximide; Co-IP: co-immunoprecipitation; IB: immunoblot.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HBH and NNL designed the experiments. XHX, TMH, JCH, QX, CFY, XLL, ZLS, and YNL performed the experiments, HBH, NNL wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

The study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81400231, 81670156), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (2020A 1515010040), the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (202002030107, 202002030344), Guangzhou health and family planning science and technology project(20201A011082), Guangzhou key medical discipline construction project fund.

REFERENCES

- Cicero AFG, Fogacci F, Giovannini M, Grandi E, D'Addato S, Borghi C, and Brisighella Heart Study group. Interaction between low-density lipoproteincholesterolaemia, serum uric level and incident hypertension: data from the Brisighella Heart Study. J Hypertens. 2019; 37:728–731. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.00000000001927</u> PMID:30817453
- Davies LC, Jenkins SJ, Allen JE, Taylor PR. Tissueresident macrophages. Nat Immunol. 2013; 14:986–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2705</u> PMID:<u>24048120</u>
- Wang L, Jiang Y, Song X, Guo C, Zhu F, Wang X, Wang Q, Shi Y, Wang J, Gao F, Zhao W, Chen YH, Zhang L. Pdcd4 deficiency enhances macrophage lipoautophagy and attenuates foam cell formation and atherosclerosis in mice. Cell Death Dis. 2016; 7:e2055. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2015.416</u> PMID:<u>26775706</u>
- Glass CK, Witztum JL. Atherosclerosis. The road ahead. Cell. 2001; 104:503–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00238-0</u> PMID:<u>11239408</u>
- Hotamisligil GS. Endoplasmic reticulum stress and atherosclerosis. Nat Med. 2010; 16:396–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nm0410-396</u> PMID:20376052
- Lusis AJ. Atherosclerosis. Nature. 2000; 407:233–41. https://doi.org/10.1038/35025203 PMID:11001066
- Mori M, Itabe H, Higashi Y, Fujimoto Y, Shiomi M, Yoshizumi M, Ouchi Y, Takano T. Foam cell formation containing lipid droplets enriched with free cholesterol by hyperlipidemic serum. J Lipid Res. 2001; 42:1771–81. PMID:11714846
- Oh J, Riek AE, Weng S, Petty M, Kim D, Colonna M, Cella M, Bernal-Mizrachi C. Endoplasmic reticulum stress controls M2 macrophage differentiation and foam cell formation. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287:11629–41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.338673</u> PMID:22356914
- Chistiakov DA, Bobryshev YV, Orekhov AN. Macrophage-mediated cholesterol handling in atherosclerosis. J Cell Mol Med. 2016; 20:17–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.12689</u> PMID:<u>26493158</u>
- Kunjathoor VV, Febbraio M, Podrez EA, Moore KJ, Andersson L, Koehn S, Rhee JS, Silverstein R, Hoff HF, Freeman MW. Scavenger receptors class A-I/II and CD36 are the principal receptors responsible for the uptake of modified low density lipoprotein leading to lipid loading in macrophages. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:49982–88.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M209649200 PMID:<u>12376530</u>

- 11. Sporstøl M, Mousavi SA, Eskild W, Roos N, Berg T. ABCA1, ABCG1 and SR-BI: hormonal regulation in primary rat hepatocytes and human cell lines. BMC Mol Biol. 2007; 8:5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-8-5</u> PMID:17241464
- Yvan-Charvet L, Wang N, Tall AR. Role of HDL, ABCA1, and ABCG1 transporters in cholesterol efflux and immune responses. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2010; 30:139–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.108.179283</u> PMID:<u>19797709</u>
- Nicholson AC, Frieda S, Pearce A, Silverstein RL. Oxidized LDL binds to CD36 on human monocytederived macrophages and transfected cell lines. Evidence implicating the lipid moiety of the lipoprotein as the binding site. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 1995; 15:269–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.15.2.269</u> PMID:7538425
- 14. Rahaman SO, Lennon DJ, Febbraio M, Podrez EA, Hazen SL, Silverstein RL. A CD36-dependent signaling cascade is necessary for macrophage foam cell formation. Cell Metab. 2006; 4:211–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2006.06.007</u> PMID:<u>16950138</u>
- Febbraio M, Podrez EA, Smith JD, Hajjar DP, Hazen SL, Hoff HF, Sharma K, Silverstein RL. Targeted disruption of the class B scavenger receptor CD36 protects against atherosclerotic lesion development in mice. J Clin Invest. 2000; 105:1049–56. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI9259

PMID:10772649

- Han J, Hajjar DP, Febbraio M, Nicholson AC. Native and modified low density lipoproteins increase the functional expression of the macrophage class B scavenger receptor, CD36. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:21654–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.272.34.21654</u> PMID:<u>9261189</u>
- Sun S, Tan P, Huang X, Zhang W, Kong C, Ren F, Su X. Ubiquitinated CD36 sustains insulin-stimulated Akt activation by stabilizing insulin receptor substrate 1 in myotubes. J Biol Chem. 2018; 293:2383–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.811471</u> PMID:<u>29269414</u>
- Huang H, Liao Y, Liu N, Hua X, Cai J, Yang C, Long H, Zhao C, Chen X, Lan X, Zang D, Wu J, Li X, et al. Two clinical drugs deubiquitinase inhibitor auranofin and aldehyde dehydrogenase inhibitor disulfiram trigger

synergistic anti-tumor effects *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:2796–808. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6425</u> PMID:<u>26625200</u>

- 19. Draker R, Sarcinella E, Cheung P. USP10 deubiquitylates the histone variant H2A.Z and both are required for androgen receptor-mediated gene activation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:3529–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1352 PMID:21245042
- 20. Deng M, Yang X, Qin B, Liu T, Zhang H, Guo W, Lee SB, Kim JJ, Yuan J, Pei H, Wang L, Lou Z. Deubiquitination and activation of AMPK by USP10. Mol Cell. 2016; 61:614–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.010</u> PMID:26876938
- 21. Faus H, Meyer HA, Huber M, Bahr I, Haendler B. The ubiquitin-specific protease USP10 modulates androgen receptor function. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2005; 245:138–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2005.11.011</u> PMID:16368182
- 22. Lin Z, Yang H, Tan C, Li J, Liu Z, Quan Q, Kong S, Ye J, Gao B, Fang D. USP10 antagonizes c-myc transcriptional activation through SIRT6 stabilization to suppress tumor formation. Cell Rep. 2013; 5:1639–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.029</u> PMID:<u>24332849</u>
- Weisberg EL, Schauer NJ, Yang J, Lamberto I, Doherty L, Bhatt S, Nonami A, Meng C, Letai A, Wright R, Tiv H, Gokhale PC, Ritorto MS, et al. Inhibition of USP10 induces degradation of oncogenic FLT3. Nat Chem Biol. 2017; 13:1207–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2486</u> PMID:28967922
- 24. Yuan J, Luo K, Zhang L, Cheville JC, Lou Z. USP10 regulates p53 localization and stability by deubiquitinating p53. Cell. 2010; 140:384–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.032</u> PMID:20096447
- Liao Y, Liu N, Xia X, Guo Z, Li Y, Jiang L, Zhou R, Tang D, Huang H, Liu J. USP10 modulates the SKP2/Bcr-Abl axis via stabilizing SKP2 in chronic myeloid leukemia. Cell Discov. 2019; 5:24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-019-0092-z</u> PMID:31044085
- 26. McClurg UL, Robson CN. Deubiquitinating enzymes as oncotargets. Oncotarget. 2015; 6:9657–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3922</u> PMID:<u>25962961</u>
- 27. Hansson GK, Hermansson A. The immune system in atherosclerosis. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12:204–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2001 PMID:21321594

- 28. Wolf D, Ley K. Immunity and inflammation in atherosclerosis. Circ Res. 2019; 124:315–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313591</u> PMID:<u>30653442</u>
- 29. Li AC, Glass CK. The macrophage foam cell as a target for therapeutic intervention. Nat Med. 2002; 8:1235–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1102-1235 PMID:<u>12411950</u>
- Boyle JJ, Johns M, Kampfer T, Nguyen AT, Game L, Schaer DJ, Mason JC, Haskard DO. Activating transcription factor 1 directs mhem atheroprotective macrophages through coordinated iron handling and foam cell protection. Circ Res. 2012; 110:20–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.247577</u> PMID:<u>22052915</u>
- Fogelman AM, Shechter I, Seager J, Hokom M, Child JS, Edwards PA. Malondialdehyde alteration of low density lipoproteins leads to cholesteryl ester accumulation in human monocyte-macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1980; 77:2214–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.77.4.2214</u>
 PMID:6769124
- 32. Yu XH, Fu YC, Zhang DW, Yin K, Tang CK. Foam cells in atherosclerosis. Clin Chim Acta. 2013; 424:245–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2013.06.006</u> PMID:<u>23782937</u>
- 33. Yu M, Jiang M, Chen Y, Zhang S, Zhang W, Yang X, Li X, Li Y, Duan S, Han J, Duan Y. Inhibition of Macrophage CD36 Expression and Cellular Oxidized Low Density Lipoprotein (oxLDL) Accumulation by Tamoxifen: A Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (Ppar)Γ-Dependent Mechanism. J Biol Chem. 2016; 291:16977–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.740092</u> PMID:27358406
- 34. Zhao L, Varghese Z, Moorhead JF, Chen Y, Ruan XZ. CD36 and lipid metabolism in the evolution of atherosclerosis. Br Med Bull. 2018; 126:101–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldy006</u> PMID:<u>29534172</u>
- 35. Silverstein RL, Febbraio M. CD36, a scavenger receptor involved in immunity, metabolism, angiogenesis, and behavior. Sci Signal. 2009; 2:re3.

https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.272re3 PMID:19471024

- 36. Zhang F, Xia X, Chai R, Xu R, Xu Q, Liu M, Chen X, Liu B, Liu S, Liu N. Inhibition of USP14 suppresses the formation of foam cell by promoting CD36 degradation. J Cell Mol Med. 2020; 24:3292–302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.15002</u> PMID:<u>31970862</u>
- 37. Liao Y, Liu N, Hua X, Cai J, Xia X, Wang X, Huang H, Liu J. Proteasome-associated deubiquitinase ubiquitinspecific protease 14 regulates prostate cancer proliferation by deubiquitinating and stabilizing androgen receptor. Cell Death Dis. 2017; 8:e2585. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2016.477</u> PMID:<u>28151478</u>
- 38. Xia X, Liao Y, Guo Z, Li Y, Jiang L, Zhang F, Huang C, Liu Y, Wang X, Liu N, Liu J, Huang H. Targeting proteasome-associated deubiquitinases as a novel strategy for the treatment of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Oncogenesis. 2018; 7:75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41389-018-0086-y</u> PMID:30250021
- Liao Y, Xia X, Liu N, Cai J, Guo Z, Li Y, Jiang L, Dou QP, Tang D, Huang H, Liu J. Growth arrest and apoptosis induction in androgen receptor-positive human breast cancer cells by inhibition of USP14-mediated androgen receptor deubiquitination. Oncogene. 2018; 37:1896–910. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-017-0069-z</u> PMID:29353883
- Liao Y, Guo Z, Xia X, Liu Y, Huang C, Jiang L, Wang X, Liu J, Huang H. Inhibition of EGFR signaling with spautin-1 represents a novel therapeutics for prostate cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2019; 38:157. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-019-1165-4 PMID:30975171
- Xia X, Liao Y, Huang C, Liu Y, He J, Shao Z, Jiang L, Dou QP, Liu J, Huang H. Deubiquitination and stabilization of estrogen receptor α by ubiquitin-specific protease 7 promotes breast tumorigenesis. Cancer Lett. 2019; 465:118–28.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2019.09.003 PMID:<u>31518603</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Figure



Supplementary Figure 1. USP10 mediates lipid uptake by macrophage. (A) Cells were treated with Spautin-1. Western blot assay was employed for ABCA1, ABCG1, SR-A, SR-B1 and Lox-1 protein expressions. (B) THP1 cell was exposed to USP10 siRNA and then test proteins expressions, including in ABCA1, ABCG1, SR-A, SR-B1 and Lox-1 protein expressions.