Research Paper

Risk of hospitalization from drug-drug interactions in the Elderly: realworld evidence in a large administrative database

Floor Swart¹, Giampaolo Bianchi^{2,3}, Jacopo Lenzi⁴, Marica Iommi⁴, Lorenzo Maestri², Emanuel Raschi², Marco Zoli^{2,3}, Fabrizio De Ponti^{2,3}, Elisabetta Poluzzi^{2,3}

¹School of Medicine, Vrije University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
²Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
³Centre of Studies and Research on the Elderly, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
⁴Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Correspondence to: Elisabetta Poluzzi; email: elisabetta.poluzzi@unibo.itKeywords: drug-drug interactions, adverse drug reactions, elderly, case-control study, real-world evidenceReceived: May 6, 2020Accepted: August 22, 2020Published: October 5, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Swart et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess the risk of hospitalization associated with the concomitant prescription of 10 highly prevalent drug-drug interactions (DDIs) among all individuals aged ≥65 residing in Bologna's area, Italy. We used incidence density sampling, and the effect of current (last month) and past (≥30 days before) exposure to DDI was investigated through conditional multivariable logistic regression analysis.

Two DDIs were associated with increased hospitalization due to DDI related conditions: ACE-inhibitors/ diuretics plus glucocorticoids (current DDI: OR 2.36, 95% CI 1.94-2.87; past DDI: OR 1.36, 95% CI 1.12-1.65) and antidiabetic therapy plus current use of fluoroquinolones (OR 4.43, 95% CI 1.61-11.2). Non-Steroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) increased the risk of re-bleeding in patients taking Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (OR 5.56, 95% CI 1.24-24.9), while no significant effect was found in those without a history of bleeding episodes. Concomitant prescription of NSAIDs and ACE-inhibitors/diuretics in patients with a history of high-risk conditions was infrequent.

Within the pattern of drug prescriptions in the older population of Bologna's area, we distinguished DDIs with actual clinical consequences from others that might be considered generally safe. Observed prescribing habits of clinicians reflect awareness of potential interactions in patients at risk.

INTRODUCTION

Elderly patients are particularly susceptible to adverse drug reactions (ADRs) because of changes in pharmacokinetics and/or pharmacodynamics occurring with aging [1]. The higher prevalence of chronic diseases in these patients leads to increased drug consumption and greater number of adverse events, including those caused by drug-drug-interactions (DDIs).

In order to minimize the number of DDIs in this population, lists such as the American Geriatrics

Society Beers Criteria® (AGS Beers Criteria®) have been developed to assist clinicians in prescribing the most appropriate drugs, while recent literature has focused on (promising) tools to identify, describe and limit potential DDIs through electronic decision support systems or pharmacist led-interventions [2–4].

Nevertheless, the prevalence of DDIs is high and has been the cause of the increasing incidence of hospitalization of older patients over the past decade [1, 5–9]. Notably in view of their vulnerability due to comorbidities such as cardiovascular diseases, it is often difficult to clearly attribute hospital admission to the concomitant use of interacting drugs. Therefore, older patients are often excluded from studies, although they are presumably most susceptible to ADRs.

In order to properly assess the benefit-risk ratio when prescribing drugs, clinicians need evidence of the actual risk of DDIs in real-world population, including patients with increased vulnerability.

The aim of this study based on real-world data was to assess the risk of hospitalization associated with the concomitant prescription of 10 highly prevalent DDIs in Bologna area for all individuals aged \geq 65, thereby including also patients with increased vulnerability.

RESULTS

The number of subjects included in the study changed greatly according to the chronic drugs of interest: more specifically, population sizes ranged from 146,418 for antihypertensives to 7249 for NOACs. The diagrams depicting selection of the study populations for each interaction analysis are reported in Supplementary Figures 1–10. As shown in Table 1, the overall incidence rates of hospitalization observed in the cohorts eligible for matching ranged from 0.6 (analysis #4 [SSRIs plus NSAIDs]; analysis #10 [SSRIs plus ASA] to 8.4 per 1000 person-months (analysis #3, diuretics plus NSAIDs).

Table 2 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the cases (i.e., patients hospitalized during follow-up) and matched controls. The mean age of cases was 82.2±7.6 years, while mean time-to-event was 2.7±1.8 months. Most cases were found in analysis #2 (ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics plus glucocorticoids, n=1993), #1 (ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs, n=1687), #8 (antihypertensives plus α blockers, n=1407) and #3 (diuretics plus NSAIDs, n=1101). In the other interaction analyses the number of cases was much lower, ranging from 28 (analysis #6, NOACs plus NSAIDs) to 110 (analysis #9, antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones). The distribution of sex, age, follow-up duration and history of high-risk comorbidities was virtual identical in cases and controls, thereby confirming proper matching on these variables. Compared to the controls, cases made more extensive use of antidiabetics and interfering medications, and had higher Elixhauser comorbidity scores; except for analyses #5 to #7 (vitamin K antagonists and NOACs), cases took >4 concurrent drugs more frequently. In analysis #3 (diuretics plus NSAIDs) there was the highest number of interfering drug users (>80%).

Table 3 and Figure 1 show the results of the primary analysis. After adjusting for potentially confounding factors, we found that the combination of antihypertensive therapy (ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics) and glucocorticoids (analysis #2) was associated with an increased risk of hospitalization. This association reached statistical significance for both current (adi. OR 2.36; 95% CI 1.94-2.87; P <0,001) and past users (adj. OR 1.36; 95% CI 1.12-1.65; P 0.002). The vast majority of these hospitalizations were due to cardiovascular diseases (37.5% heart failure, 32.5% cerebrovascular events. 12.0% AMI, 5.8% hypertensive crisis), while the remaining ones were due to acute kidney failure (10.6%) and hyponatremia (1.7%). We also found an increased risk of hospitalization among current users of antidiabetics and fluoroquinolones (analysis #9: adj. OR 4.43; 95% CI 1.61-11.2; P 0.003); complications of diabetes accounted for the most hospitalizations (90.9%), followed by hypoglycemic coma (9.1%). In analysis #4 (SSRIs plus NSAIDs) and #5 (vitamin K antagonists plus NSAIDs) current users showed an increased risk, but failed to achieve statistical significance (analysis #4: adj. OR 2.88, 95% CI 0.97-8.59; analysis #5: adj. OR 7.01, 95% CI 0.98-50.4). These two interaction analyses had limited statistical power due to the low number of cases exposed to DDIs, as also confirmed by the large minimum detectable effect sizes (analysis #4: OR 3.92; analysis #5: OR 7.61).

When we stratified cases and matched controls by the presence of hospitalizations for high-risk conditions in the previous 3-year period, the results were generally consistent with those of the primary analysis, with the exception of analysis #4 (Table 4 and Figure 2). Current use of SSRIs plus NSAIDs was significantly associated with an increased risk of hospitalization for patients who had a history of disease (adj. OR 5.56; 95% CI 1.24-24.9; P 0.025).

Sensitivity analyses

When we adjusted the models for prevalent user status, the results were virtually coincident with those of the primary analysis (Supplementary Table 4); the combination of ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics and glucocorticoids was significantly associated with an increased risk of hospitalization (past use: adj. OR 1.36, 95% CI 1.12-1.64, *P* 0.002; current use: adj. OR 2.35, 95% CI 1.93-2.86, *P* <0.001).

When we examined whether DDIs were associated with an increased risk of either hospitalization or specialist examination/consultation, whichever occurred first, results were not fully consistent with those of the

#	Interaction analysis	Hospital admissions*	Person- months of follow-up	Incidence rate (95% CI) per 1000 person- months
1	ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	1935	652,862.8	3.0 (2.8-3.1)
2	ACEIs/ARBs or Diuretics plus glucocorticoids	2300	713,899.0	3.2 (3.1-3.4)
3	Diuretics plus NSAIDs	1523	182,199.3	8.4 (7.9-8.8)
4	SSRIs plus NSAIDs	81	128,789.2	0.6 (0.5-0.8)
5	Vitamin K antagonists plus NSAIDs	98	76,188.3	1.3 (1.0-1.6)
6	NOACs plus NSAIDs	32	42,339.5	0.8 (0.5-1.1)
7	Vitamin K antagonists plus antibiotics/antimycotics	98	76,188.3	1.3 (1.0-1.6)
8	Antihypertensive plus α-blockers	1617	850,808.1	1.9 (1.8-2.0)
9	Antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones	135	173,630.1	0.8 (0.7-0.9)
10	SSRIs plus ASA	81	128,789.2	0.6 (0.5-0.8)

Table 1. Overall incidence rates of hospitalization due to conditions potentially induced by DDI.

* DDI-related conditions requiring hospital admissions are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Cl, confidence interval.

primary analysis (Table 5). The directions of the odds (risks) changed for analysis #1 (ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs), #3 (diuretics plus NSAIDs), #5 (vitamin K antagonists plus NSAIDs), #6 (NOACs plus NSAIDs), #7 (vitamin K antagonists plus antibiotics/ antimycotics) and #10 (SSRIs plus ASA). However, the increased risk associated with taking ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics plus glucocorticoids (adj. OR 1.95; 95% CI 1.72-2.20; P < 0.001) and antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones (adj. OR 1.52; 95% CI 1.23-1.89; P < 0.001) was confirmed.

Considering patients who had been hospitalized for specific conditions as exposed to NSAIDs even in the absence of recent reimbursed prescriptions, results did not change appreciably and, again, the increased risk associated with concurrent use of SSRIs and vitamin K antagonists (analyses #4, #5) failed to achieve statistical significance (Table 6).

Lastly, to account for immeasurable time bias, we restricted the analyses to cases and controls who spent <50% of their matched follow-up periods in the hospital. The results of this sensitivity analysis were virtually coincident with those of the primary analysis (Supplementary Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In the large population assessed in this study, we found that the current use of glucocorticoids was associated with a 2.36-fold increase in the risk of hospitalization for cardiovascular diseases or acute kidney failure among older patients under ACE-inhibitors, angiotensin-receptor blockers or diuretics. An increased risk also emerged for patients who had used glucocorticoids in the past, although it was lower (1.36fold). This risk was even higher in patients with highrisk conditions, although statistical significance was maintained in the low-risk population.

Additionally, we found a significant association between current use of fluoroquinolones and hospitalization for diabetic complications in patients taking antidiabetic drugs (4.54-fold).

In patients with SSRI therapy and a history of bleeding episodes, current use of NSAIDs showed a 5.56-fold increase risk ratio of recurrence of bleeding.

High-risk conditions led to a small increase in the risk ratio for a few DDIs. In contrast to our hypothesis, this phenomenon was not shown for all DDIs; as a matter of fact, fewer prescriptions of NSAIDs occurred in patients taking ACEI/ARBs or diuretics (Table 4, analyses #1 and #3), suggesting that physicians are cautious in prescribing NSAIDs when the patient has a history of acute kidney injury or cardiovascular disease.

We did not find a significantly increased risk ratio for all the other potential DDIs, suggesting that they have only minimal clinical consequences, at least within the pattern of drug use in the older population of Bologna's area (for instance, NSAIDs seem safe in patients treated with ACEIs/ARBs).

# Interaction analysis	Group	n	Females *	Age (years) *	Follow- up (months) *	History of high-risk comorbidities* †	Livin g in rural areas	Previous use of antidiabetic s	Elixhauser comorbidit y score	Concurren t use of >4 drugs	Concurren t use of interfering drugs‡
#1 ACEIs/ARBs plus	Cases	168 7	886 (52.5)	81.5 [7.7]	2.7 [1.8]	670 (39.7)	312 (18.5)	433 (25.7)	3.8 [6.0]	1168 (69.2)	1029 (61.0)
NSAIDs	Control s	15 968	8389 (52.5)	81.4 [7.8]	2.6 [1.8]	6325 (39.6)	3096 (19.4)	2937 (18.4)	2.5 [4.8]	9465 (59.3)	7272 (45.5)
#2 ACEIs/ARBs or	Cases	199 3	1047 (52.5)	82.3 [7.7]	2.7 [1.8]	945 (47.4)	378 (19.0)	491 (24.6)	5.3 [7.0]	1372 (68.8)	1247 (62.6)
diuretics plus glucocorticoids	Control s	18 762	9822 (52.4)	82.1 [7.8]	2.6 [1.8]	8974 (47.8)	3735 (19.9)	3595 (19.2)	3.4 [5.7]	11 172 (59.5)	8723 (46.5)
#3 Diuretics plus	Cases	110 1	570 (51.8)	83.7 [7.4]	2.3 [1.8]	696 (63.2)	212 (19.3)	289 (26.3)	8.1 [7.5]	718 (65.2)	962 (87.4)
NSAIDs	Control s	919 4	4661 (50.7)	83.6 [7.5]	1.9 [1.6]	6050 (65.8)	1899 (20.7)	2179 (23.7)	6.5 [6.8]	5438 (59.1)	7373 (80.2)
#4 SSRIs plus	Cases	69	44 (63.8)	82.2 [7.4]	2.6 [1.8]	27 (39.1)	18 (26.1)	13 (18.8)	3.9 [7.2]	47 (68.1)	50 (72.5)
NSAIDs	Control s	638	404 (63.3)	82.2 [7.1]	2.5 [1.7]	253 (39.7)	134 (21.0)	107 (16.8)	2.2 [4.8]	374 (58.6)	360 (56.4)
#5 Vitamin K	Cases	61	31 (50.8)	82.5 [6.5]	2.5 [1.8]	21 (34.4)	5 (8.2)	15 (24.6)	5.4 [6.3]	27 (44.3)	24 (39.3)
antagonists plus NSAIDs	Control s	478	252 (52.7)	82.4 [6.5]	2.1 [1.6]	167 (34.9)	77 (16.1)	97 (20.3)	5.1 [6.6]	227 (47.5)	151 (31.6)
#6 NOACs plus	Cases	28	15 (53.6)	81.8 [6.0]	2.6 [1.7]	11 (39.3)	8 (28.6)	5 (17.9)	4.6 [6.1]	11 (39.3)	9 (32.1)
NSAIDs	Control s	263	139 (52.9)	82.0 [5.8]	2.5 [1.6]	105 (39.9)	44 (16.7)	34 (12.9)	4.1 [5.9]	106 (40.3)	51 (19.4)
#7 Vitamin K antagonists plus	Cases	61	31 (50.8)	82.5 [6.5]	2.5 [1.8]	21 (34.4)	5 (8.2)	15 (24.6)	5.4 [6.3]	27 (44.3)	24 (39.3)
antibiotics/antimycoti cs	Control s	499	258 (51.7)	82.5 [6.7]	2.2 [1.6]	173 (34.7)	101 (20.2)	83 (16.6)	4.8 [6.5]	237 (47.5)	157 (31.5)
#8 Antihypertensives	Cases	140 7	934 (66.4)	81.9 [7.8]	2.9 [1.8]	86 (6.1)	245 (17.4)	263 (18.7)	3.1 [5.8]	932 (66.2)	635 (45.1)
plus α-blockers	Control s	13 112	8695 (66.3)	81.7 [7.8]	2.8 [1.8]	789 (6.0)	2570 (19.6)	2065 (15.7)	1.8 [4.4]	7996 (61.0)	3766 (28.7)
#9 Antidiabetics plus	Cases	110	50 (45.5)	78.7 [8.1]	2.6 [1.7]		24 (21.8)	93 (84.6)	4.1 [6.4]	65 (59.1)	48 (43.6)
fluoroquinolones	Control s	942	434 (46.1)	78.6 [7.9]	2.4 [1.6]	-	208 (22.1)	870 (92.4)	1.9 [4.6]	524 (55.6)	475 (50.4)
	Cases	69	44 (63.8)	82.2 [7.4]	2.6 [1.8]	27 (39.1)	18 (26.1)	13 (18.8)	3.9 [7.2]	47 (68.1)	30 (43.5)
#10 SSRIs plus ASA	Control s	622	395 (63.5)	82.2 [7.2]	2.4 [1.7]	247 (39.7)	126 (20.3)	108 (17.4)	2.8 [5.4]	328 (52.7)	198 (31.8)

Table 2. Characteristics of patients hospitalized during follow-up (cases) and matched controls, by interaction analysis. Values are counts (percentages) or mean [standard deviation].

* Matching variable.

⁺ Hypertensive crisis, acute myocardial infarction, heart failure or kidney failure (analyses #1-3), hypertensive crisis, cerebrovascular event, intracranial bleeding, gastrointestinal bleeding or other hemorrhagic diathesis (analyses #4-7, #10), syncope or orthostatic hypotension (analysis #8).

‡ Interfering medications are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

# Interaction	Exposure to		Matched	OR (9	5% CI)	Minimum	
analysis	DDI	Cases	controls	Crude	Adjusted*	detectable OR†	
#1 ACEIa/ADDa mlua	No	1549 (91.8)	14 676 (91.9)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#1 ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	Past	78 (4.6)	776 (4.9)	0.92 (0.72-1.18)	0.93 (0.73-1.19)	1.36	
INSAIDS	Current	60 (3.6)	516 (3.2)	1.11 (0.84-1.45)	1.06 (0.80-1.40)	1.44	
#2 ACEIs/ARBs or	No	1698 (85.2)	17 299 (92.2)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
diuretics plus	Past	142 (7.1)	904 (4.8)	1.55‡ (1.29-1.87)	1.36‡ (1.12-1.65)	1.34	
glucocorticoids	Current	153 (7.7)	559 (3.0)	2.89‡ (2.39-3.49)	2.36‡ (1.94-2.87)	1.42	
#2 Divertian plus	No	1038 (94.3)	8734 (95.0)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#3 Diuretics plus NSAIDs	Past	36 (3.3)	228 (2.5)	1.16 (0.81-1.67)	1.26 (0.87-1.83)	1.63	
NSAIDS	Current	27 (2.5)	232 (2.5)	0.94 (0.63-1.41)	0.97 (0.64-1.46)	1.63	
	No	58 (84.1)	577 (90.4)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#4 SSRIs plus	Past	6 (8.7)	42 (6.6)	1.34 (0.53-3.39)	1.21 (0.47-3.08)	2.94	
NSAIDs	Current	5 (7.2)	19 (3.0)	2.62 (0.95-7.22)	2.88 (0.97-8.59)	3.92	
#5 Vitamin K	No	57 (93.4)	467 (97.7)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
antagonists plus	Past	2 (3.3)	7 (1.5)	2.14 (0.44-10.4)	2.03 (0.37-11.1)	5.73	
NSAIDs	Current	2 (3.3)	4 (0.8)	5.52 (0.90-33.8)	7.01 (0.98-50.4)	7.61	
#6 NOACs plus	No	27 (96.4)	255 (97.0)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
NSAIDs	Past	0 (0.0)	5 (1.9)	n/a	n/a	7.86	
NSAIDS	Current	1 (3.6)	3 (1.1)	3.07 (0.32-29.5)	3.72 (0.36-38.6)	10.0	
#7 Vitamin K	No	57 (93.4)	449 (90.0)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
antagonists plus	Past	1 (1.6)	25 (5.0)	0.27 (0.04-2.04)	0.27 (0.03-2.09)	3.35	
antibiotics or antimycotics	Current	3 (4.9)	25 (5.0)	0.98 (0.29-3.31)	0.82 (0.23-2.89)	3.30	
що л	No	1289 (91.6)	11 958 (91.2)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#8 Antihypertensives	Past	54 (3.8)	498 (3.8)	0.99 (0.73-1.33)	1.00 (0.74-1.36)	1.44	
plus α-blockers	Current	64 (4.5)	656 (5.0)	0.90 (0.68-1.19)	0.89 (0.67-1.19)	1.39	
#0 Antidiabation plug	No	96 (87.3)	896 (95.1)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#9 Antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones	Past	7 (6.4)	31 (3.3)	2.00 (0.83-4.77)	2.00 (0.81-4.90)	3.23	
nuoroquinoiones	Current	7 (6.4)	15 (1.6)	4.54‡ (1.77-11.7)	4.43‡ (1.61-11.2)	4.40	
	No	42 (60.9)	400 (64.3)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#10 SSRIs plus ASA	Past	15 (21.7)	119 (19.1)	1.17 (0.63-2.19)	1.33 (0.69-2.56)	2.27	
	Current	12 (17.4)	103 (16.6)	1.12 (0.57-2.21)	1.20 (0.58-2.46)	2.36	

Table 3. Odds ratios of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to DDI.

These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk of hospitalization. Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise.

* Adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2.

⁺ The minimum detectable odds ratio (rate ratio) is the smallest effect that yields a statistically significant result for a prespecified power (80%), sample size, and exposure probability among controls.

‡ Significant at the 0.05 level or less.

OR, odds ratio; *CI*, confidence interval.

When we added data on specialized visits and imaging to our outcome definition (such as nephrological visits), the direction of odds changed in most of the DDIs although none of these effect sizes was statistically significant. However, since most of the chronic disorders (e.g. diabetes) need strict monitoring of the trend of disease and the benefit-risk profile of drug therapies, increasing visits may be proof of increasing care rather than adverse outcome.

ACE-inhibitors (or diuretics) plus glucocorticoids

The increased risk of cardiovascular diseases in patients taking glucocorticoids is well known (at least 25%), as

well as its dose-dependence [10–15]. It should first be recognized that the activity of inflammatory disease in these patients (e.g. with rheumatoid arthritis) can increase *per se* the cardiovascular risk, thereby representing a confounder by indication [10]. Glucocorticoid mechanism of action is complex and involves elevated angiotensinogen synthesis, increased sympathetic nervous system activation, and mineralocorticoid-like action. Also the weight gain and the android fat distribution due to glucocorticoids seem to contribute [16].

As for DDI with ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics, glucocorticoids can antagonize their effect and cause a

loss of blood pressure control, thus secondary hypertension and pseudo-resistant hypertension [17]. Patients with a history of essential hypertension are certainly at greater risk of developing secondary hypertension due to from glucocorticoids and relevant cardiovascular diseases [16], and in fact the risk ratio found in our study was 2.36. The cumulative effect of glucocorticoids could also explain the still detectable, although lower, risk in patients with past exposure to glucocorticoids. As regards the mean age of our cases (82 years), it is in line with the higher risk of older patients to developing glucocorticoid-induced hypertension [10–18]. Patients taking glucocorticoids are even more likely to develop other well-known cardiovascular risk factors, such as diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia [16]. The direct



Figure 1. Forest plots of crude and adjusted odds ratios of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥ 2 months before) exposure to DDI, by interaction analysis. These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk of hospitalization compared to no exposure to DDI, and are presented on the log scale. *Note:* Odds ratios are adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2. *DDI*, drug-drug interaction; *CI*, confidence interval; *ACEIs*, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; *ARBs*, angiotensin II receptor blockers; *SSRIs*, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; *NOACs*, novel oral anticoagulants; *NSAIDs*, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; *ASA*, acetylsalicylic acid.

_		H	istory of high	-risk comor	bidities	No h	istory of hig	h-risk comor	bidities
Interaction analysis	Exposure to DDI	~	Matched	OR (95% CI)	~	Matched	OR (95	% CI)
anaiysis	10 001	Cases	controls	Crude	Adjusted*	- Cases	controls	Crude	Adjusted*
	No	627 (93.6)	5882 (93.0)	Ref.	Ref.	922 (90.7)	8794 (91.2)	Ref.	Ref.
#1 ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	Past	26 (3.9)	270 (4.3)	0.88 (0.58- 1.33)	0.95 (0.62- 1.44)	52 (5.1)	506 (5.2)	0.95 (0.70- 1.28)	0.92 (0.68- 1.24)
	Current	17 (2.5)	173 (2.7)	0.93 (0.56- 1.54)	1.00 (0.60- 1.68)	43 (4.2)	343 (3.6)	1.20 (0.87- 1.66)	1.07 (0.77- 1.49)
	No	766 (81.1)	8127 (90.6)	Ref.	Ref.	932 (88.9)	9172 (93.7)	Ref.	Ref.
#2 ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics plus glucocorticoids	Past	75 (7.9)	499 (5.6)	1.53† (1.18- 1.98)	1.35† (1.03-1.75)	67 (6.4)	405 (4.1)	1.58† (1.21-2.08)	1.38† (1.05-1.82)
gracocorricoras	Current	104 (11.0)	348 (3.9)	3.28† (2.59- 4.14)	2.72† (2.13-3.48)	49 (4.7)	211 (2.2)	2.33† (1.69-3.21)	1.88† (1.35-2.62)
	No	659 (94.7)	5784 (95.6)	Ref.	Ref.	379 (93.6)	2950 (93.8)	Ref.	Ref.
#3 Diuretics plus NSAIDs	Past	21 (3.0)	136 (2.2)	1.19 (0.74- 1.91)	1.33 (0.82- 2.15)	15 (3.7)	92 (2.9)	1.11 (0.63- 1.97)	1.16 (0.66- 2.07)
	Current	16 (2.3)	130 (2.2)	1.06 (0.63- 1.80)	1.15 (0.67- 1.97)	11 (2.7)	102 (3.2)	0.80 (0.42- 1.51)	0.77 (0.40- 1.47)
	No	22 (81.5)	230 (90.9)	Ref.	Ref.	36 (85.7)	347 (90.1)	Ref.	Ref.
#4 SSRIs plus NSAIDs	Past	2 (7.4)	15 (5.9)	1.30 (0.27- 6.26)	1.13 (0.20- 5.68)	4 (9.5)	27 (7.0)	1.37 (0.43- 4.34)	1.22 (0.38- 3.96)
	Current	3 (11.1)	8 (3.2)	3.92 (0.96- 16.0)	5.56† (1.24-24.9)	2 (4.8)	11 (2.9)	1.77 (0.39- 8.15)	1.68 (0.34- 8.21)
	No	19 (90.5)	152 (87.9)	Ref.	Ref.	38 (95.0)	297 (91.1)	Ref.	Ref.
#7 Vitamin K antagonists plus antibiotics or	Past	0 (0.0)	10 (5.8)	n/a	n/a	1 (2.5)	15 (4.6)	0.45 (0.06- 3.61)	0.40 (0.05- 3.43)
antimycotics	Current	2 (9.5)	11 (6.4)	1.50 (0.32- 7.08)	1.35 (0.25- 7.37)	1 (2.5)	14 (4.3)	0.58 (0.07- 4.50)	0.54 (0.07- 4.41)
	No	78 (90.7)	705 (89.4)	Ref.	Ref.	1211 (91.7)	11 253 (91.3)	Ref.	Ref.
#8 Antihypertensives plus α-blockers	Past	3 (3.5)	31 (3.9)	0.82 (0.22- 3.00)	1.11 (0.29- 4.23)	51 (3.9)	467 (3.8)	1.00 (0.73- 1.36)	1.00 (0. [°] 1.38)
	Current	5 (5.8)	53 (6.7)	0.82 (0.30- 2.25)	0.89 (0.32- 2.51)	59 (4.5)	603 (4.9)	0.91 (0.68- 1.22)	0.89 (0.0
#10 SSRIs plus ASA	No	19 (70.4)	141 (57.1)	Ref.	Ref.	23 (54.8)	259 (69.1)	Ref.	Ref.

Table 4. Odds ratios of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to DDI, stratified by history of high-risk comorbidities in the previous 3 years (see Supplementary Table 3).

Past	4 (14.8)	56 (22.7)	0.53 (0.17- 1.61)	0.62 (0.18- 2.08)	11 (26.2)	63 (16.8)	1.90 (0.88- 4.14)	1.91 (0.85- 4.33)
Current	4 (14.8)	50 (20.2)	0.59 (0.19- 1.81)	0.71 (0.22- 2.30)	8 (19.0)	53 (14.1)	1.76 (0.74- 4.19)	1.83 (0.71- 4.76)

These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk of hospitalization. Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise. Analyses #5 and #6 are not presented due to the limited number of patients exposed to DDI per stratum; history of high-risk comorbidities was not investigated in analysis #9.

* Adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2.

⁺ Significant at the 0.05 level or less.

effects of the glucocorticoid receptor on heart and blood vessels affect vascular function, remodeling and atherogenesis, and therefore also contribute to cardiovascular diseases [19].

It is not possible to completely avoid glucocorticoids in patients with ACEIs/ARBs or diuretic therapy, since they are highly effective and sometimes crucial for diseases that require immediate suppression of inflammation and immune activity, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or rheumatoid arthritis. However, taking into account the results of this study, blood pressure monitoring is strongly recommended during glucocorticoid therapy, together with reduction in the length of glucocorticoid cycles.

Antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones

Our results are in line with previous studies that show an association between the use of fluoroquinolones and dysglycemia (hypo- or hyperglycemia) compared to antibacterial (macrolides). Gatifloxacin other was even withdrawn from the market, while levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and moxifloxacin are maintained on the market despite association with dysglycemia, as their benefit-risk profile remained Fluoroquinolones favorable [20-22]. are more frequently associated with hyperglycemia [23], although hypoglycemia can also lead to severe cases and even fatal outcomes [24]. We must acknowledge that infections in patients with diabetes are per se a common cause of dysglycemia. The high risk-ratio of 4.4 in the current study could be partly due to this effect, since we studied the effect of fluoroquinolones compared to absence of fluoroquinolones in patients taking antidiabetic drugs. On the other hand, the interaction with antidiabetic drugs can independently contribute to dysglycemia.

A recent analysis of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) on reports of hypoglycemia for various antimicrobial therapies also found an increased reporting of hypoglycemia in patients using fluoroquinolones (reporting odds ratio=1.6).

Inhibition of potassium-ATP(K-ATP) channels in pancreatic B-cells, with consequent insulin secretion increase [25], and inhibition of antidiabetic CYP metabolism by fluoroquinolones [26] have been postulated as mechanisms of hypoglycemia. Instead, mechanism of the more common fluoroquinoloneinduced hyperglycemia is to date unknown.

When antibiotic therapy is advised in patients with antidiabetic treatment, it is recommended to consider not only the antimicrobial potency of the antibiotics, but also the risk for potentially serious adverse effects. Albeit rare, fluoroquinolone-induced dysglycemia may be serious, so these medications are not recommended for this group of patients.

SSRIs plus NSAIDs

Increased bleeding risk for SSRIs plus NSAIDs is well described in the literature [27–30]. They both have antiplatelet activity, thereby they have a synergistic effect on hemostatic function. In the current study, we failed to achieve a statistically significant association between bleeding and use of NSAIDs in patients under SSRIs, although the risk ratio was about 3.

Instead, in patients with a previous episode of bleeding, we found a significant 5.6-fold risk ratio of bleeding in patients currently using NSAIDs concomitantly with SSRIs. leading us to conclude that patients with SSRI therapy and previous bleeding have a higher risk of recurrent bleeding when using NSAID. These results are in line with a previous retrospective study [31] and strongly suggest the importance of stringent assessment of both benefit-risk profile and appropriateness for each individual patient before prescribing SSRIs and NSAIDs.



Figure 2. Forest plots of adjusted odds ratios of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to DDI, stratified by history of high-risk comorbidities in the previous 3 years (see Supplementary Table 3). These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk of hospitalization compared to no exposure to DDI, and are presented on the log scale. Analyses #5 and #6 are not presented due to the limited number of patients exposed to DDI per stratum; history of high-risk comorbidities was not investigated in analysis #9. *Note:* Odds ratios are adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2.

# Interaction	Exposure to	_		OR (9	5% CI)	Minimum
analysis	DDI	Cases	Matched controls	Crude	Adjusted*	detectable OR†
	No	4396 (91.6)	41 902 (91.5)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
#1 ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	Past	243 (5.1)	2328 (5.1)	0.96 (0.84-1.11)	0.91 (0.79-1.05)	1.20
plus Normos	Current	161 (3.3)	1571 (3.4)	0.98 (0.83-1.15)	0.89 (0.75-1.05)	1.24
#2 ACEIs/ARBs	No	4868 (87.0)	49 010 (92.6)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
or diuretics plus	Past	359 (6.4)	2314 (4.4)	1.54‡ (1.37-1.73)	1.35‡ (1.20-1.52)	1.20
glucocorticoids	Current	370 (6.6)	1585 (3.0)	2.39‡ (2.12-2.68)	1.95‡ (1.72-2.20)	1.24
	No	2212 (94.6)	17 908 (94.6)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
#3 Diuretics plus NSAIDs	Past	72 (3.1)	547 (2.9)	0.90 (0.70-1.16)	0.91 (0.70-1.18)	1.39
1071123	Current	53 (2.3)	477 (2.5)	0.90 (0.67-1.20)	0.89 (0.66-1.19)	1.42
	No	1140 (88.6)	10 522 (90.6)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
#4 SSRIs plus NSAIDs	Past	83 (6.4)	638 (5.5)	1.14 (0.89-1.45)	1.12 (0.88-1.43)	1.39
1071103	Current	64 (5.0)	458 (3.9)	1.28 (0.98-1.68)	1.24 (0.95-1.63)	1.46
#5 Vitamin K	No	416 (97.2)	3376 (96.2)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
antagonists plus	Past	6 (1.4)	71 (2.0)	0.58 (0.25-1.36)	0.60 (0.25-1.42)	2.18
NSAIDs	Current	6 (1.4)	64 (1.8)	0.73 (0.31-1.70)	0.69 (0.29-1.62)	2.25
	No	364 (96.5)	3404 (95.5)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
#6 NOACs plus NSAIDs	Past	9 (2.4)	106 (3.0)	0.76 (0.38-1.52)	0.76 (0.38-1.52)	2.03
	Current	4 (1.1)	53 (1.5)	0.69 (0.25-1.91)	0.66 (0.24-1.83)	2.51
#7 Vitamin K	No	401 (90.3)	3412 (93.3)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
antagonists plus antibiotics or	Past	24 (5.4)	152 (4.2)	1.14 (0.73-1.79)	1.06 (0.67-1.67)	2.03
antimycotics	Current	19 (4.3)	94 (2.6)	1.79 (0.97-3.04)	1.67 (0.99-2.81)	2.13
#9 Antidiabetics	No	3634 (92.9)	30 728 (94.3)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
plus	Past	174 (4.5)	1231 (3.8)	1.08 (0.91-1.27)	1.16 (0.98-1.36)	1.26
fluoroquinolones	Current	103 (2.6)	619 (1.9)	1.42‡ (1.15-1.75)	1.52‡ (1.23-1.89)	1.38
	No	885 (70.2)	7751 (68.4)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
#10 SSRIs plus ASA	Past	204 (16.2)	1802 (15.9)	0.97 (0.82-1.14)	1.01 (0.86-1.20)	1.24
1 10/ 1	Current	171 (13.6)	1774 (15.7)	0.84 (0.71-1.00)	0.87 (0.73-1.04)	1.25

Table 5. Odds ratios of hospitalization/specialist examination (see Supplementary Table 1) associated with current (last month) and past (≥ 2 months before) exposure to DDI.

These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk. Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise.

* Adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2.

+ The minimum detectable odds ratio (rate ratio) is the smallest effect that yields a statistically significant result for a prespecified power (80%), sample size, and exposure probability among controls.

‡ Significant at the 0.05 level.

History of comorbidities

Usually, previous comorbidities increase the risk of adverse events. However, in this study we only found an increased risk ratio for ACE-inhibitors/diuretics plus glucocorticoids and for SSRIs plus NSAIDs. This inconsistency could be due to the prescribing habits of physicians, as recently showed by Nash et al. [32], who found fewer NSAID prescriptions among patients with kidney injury or heart failure that receive longterm custodial care. However, it should be acknowledged that some interaction analyses had limited statistical power.

On the other hand, these findings might indicate that having a history of diseases does not increase the risk. Recent studies and systematic reviews have shown a similar risk for cardiovascular or renal diseases as a Table 6. Odds ratios of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to NSAIDs.

	Exposure to		Matched	OR (95	5% CI)	Minimum	
# Interaction analysis	DDI	Cases	controls	Crude	Adjusted*	detectable OR†	
#1 ACEIs/ARBs plus	No	1507 (89.3)	14 344 (89.8)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
NSAIDs	Past	79 (4.7)	774(4.8)	0.94 (0.74-1.20)	0.94 (0.74-1.21)	1.36	
	Current	101 (6.0)	850 (5.3)	1.13 (0.92-1.41)	1.13 (0.91-1.40)	1.34	
#3 Diuretics plus	No	1009 (91.6)	8496 (92.4)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
NSAIDs	Past	38 (3.5)	234 (2.5)	1.20 (0.84-1.72)	1.31 (0.91-1.87)	1.62	
	Current	54 (4.9)	464 (5.0)	0.98 (0.73-1.31)	1.01 (0.75-1.36)	1.44	
	No	56 (81.2)	558 (87.5)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#4 SSRIs plus NSAIDs	Past	6 (8.7)	39 (6.1)	1.48 (0.58-3.75)	1.37 (0.53-3.51)	3.02	
	Current	7 (10.1)	41 (6.4)	1.73 (0.74-4.01)	2.08 (0.86-5.01)	2.95	
#5 Vitamin K	No	54 (88.5)	454 (95.0)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
antagonists plus	Past	2 (3.3)	7 (1.5)	2.21 (0.45-10.8)	2.07 (0.41-10.5)	5.82	
NSAIDs	Current	5 (8.2)	17 (3.6)	2.64 (0.93-7.51)	2.55 (0.90-7.25)	3.84	
"(A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)	No	27 (96.4)	244 (92.8)	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	
#6 NOACs plus NSAIDs	Past	0 (0.0)	5 (1.9)	n/a	n/a	7.68	
1157103	Current	1 (3.6)	14 (5.3)	0.67 (0.08-5.31)	0.70 (0.08-6.06)	4.76	

These odds ratios are unbiased estimates of the relative risk. Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise. Patients exposed to DDI are those who had a filled prescription for NSAIDs or went to the hospital for a condition indicating NSAID use (see Supplementary Table 4).

* Adjusted for covariates shown in Table 3.

⁺ The minimum detectable odds ratio (rate ratio) is the smallest effect that yields a statistically significant result for a prespecified power (80%), sample size, and exposure probability among controls.

result of NSAID use in high-risk patients [33–35]. However, these studies only analyzed the use of NSAID, regardless of combination with other drugs, and the increased risk attributable to NSAIDs alone, if any, is probably too low to be detected in a cohort study.

Strengths and limitations

We conducted a large population-based study, which to our knowledge is the first real-world evidence study on 10 different potential DDISs that also takes into account the role of high-risk comorbidities. Methodological strengths include the study design, in which cases and controls are matched by follow-up duration, thereby preventing time-related bias [36]. In addition, drug exposure was collected from the OPD, avoiding possible recall bias [37].

As for chronic drugs of interest, we used the threshold of 80% in the MPR as a proxy of continuous use. We chose to lower this threshold for SSRIs and NOAC to 66% due to very high variability in average daily doses and above all probable lower dose than the DDD in older populations. This approach could have influenced the results, by overestimating the number of patients exposed to DDIs in both current- and past-user groups. Given the average time of follow up of 2.5 months, no strong impact is expected.

In addition, data from dispensing databases is subject to measurement error. A dispensed package does not indicate that the full package is consumed, which is mostly common for short-cycle drugs or drugs taken on an as-needed basis. Furthermore, the prescribed daily doses could differ from the DDDs, especially for glucocorticoids, and this might have affected the validity of our results. Unfortunately, administrative data do not allow differentiating between high- and low-dosage or between long- and short-term medication use.

The use of NSAIDs could be particularly underestimated by only considering recent reimbursed prescriptions; notably patients could use over-the-counter (OTC) NSAIDs or NSAIDs prescribed in the past.

By adding other diagnoses, specialist visits and imaging as a proxy for disease, or including patients with conditions indicating use of NSAID to the sensitivity analyses, we have tried to minimize the information bias of OTC NSAIDs and the possible underestimation of incidence of the outcome. previous research showed that OTC drugs likely contribute to a small amount of bias [38].

Lastly, we did not consider a wide range of high-risk comorbidities, and had no data on other patient characteristics that could influence the study outcome (e.g., imaging with contrast, smoking habits, lifestyle, blood pressure, indication for drugs or severity of diseases).

CONCLUSIONS

Among drug prescriptions in the elderly population of Bologna's area, our findings distinguished concomitant drug therapies with actual clinical consequences from other treatments that can be considered generally safe: out of 10 pairs of DDIs, clinical adverse events emerged for glucocorticoid use in patients using ACE-inhibitors or diuretics, and fluoroquinolone use in antidiabetic drug recipients. NSAIDs increased the risk of rebleeding in patients with SSRI therapy and a previous bleeding episode.

Observed prescribing habits of clinicians reflect high awareness of potential interactions in patients at risk, especially for NSAID prescription in patients taking antihypertensives who have a history of acute kidney injury or cardiovascular diseases. However, strict monitoring of patients exposed to the most clinically important DDIs and deprescribing initiatives are strongly recommended.

Future studies based on different data sources should focus on other variables potentially affecting susceptibility to ADRs due to DDIs, such as lifestyle, smoking habits, or severity of comorbidities, to help clinicians assess benefit-risk properties in an individual setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Setting and study population

The study population comprised residents of the Local Healthcare Authority of Bologna in Northern Italy (\approx 876,000 inhabitants), aged \geq 65, who were prescribed one of the chronic drugs of interest

between January 2017 and June 2017 (see left side of Table 7 for the detailed list of chronic drug therapies with ATC codes). For each subject, cohort entry was the date of a first dispensed prescription of a chronic drug over the 6-month recruitment period. All patients were followed up to 6 months after the cohort entry.

Data were retrieved from the Regional Health Authority Outpatient Pharmaceutical Database (OPD), which contains information on patients (unique identification number, sex and age), prescriptions (substance name, ATC code (WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, ATC classification index with DDDs, 2020. Oslo, Norway 2019), brand name, date of prescription filling, number of unit doses and number of packages) and prescribers; it does not include the actual prescribed daily dose of the drug. The OPD includes drugs reimbursed by the healthcare system that are prescribed by the primary care physician or the specialist, or directly dispensed by the hospital pharmacies [39].

Exposure to drug-drug interactions

The 10 DDIs considered in our study derive from the Italian experience on prevalence of potentially inappropriate prescriptions (see the right side of Table 7 for the detailed list of interacting drugs with ATC codes) [39–41]. Dispensed prescriptions of interacting drugs were retrieved from the OPD.

Study outcomes

The outcome of this study was represented by hospital admission due to a condition potentially induced by DDI as the principal diagnosis (see Supplementary Table 1 for the detailed list of DDI-related hospital admissions with ICD-9-CM codes for each interaction analysis). Data were retrieved from the Hospital Discharge Records (HDRs) Database, which can be linked to the OPD using the unique patient identifier.

All-cause deaths within 6 months of cohort entry were considered as censored events (source: Regional Mortality Register Database).

Potential confounders

Aiming to keep our study fully generalizable to older populations, we did not exclude patients with a history of high-risk comorbidities (e.g., patients taking angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors [ACEIs] or angiotensin receptor blockers [ARBs] with previous hospital admission for kidney failure). Instead, we

#	Chronic drug	ATC code	Interacting drug	ATC code
1	ACEIs/ARBs	C09	NSAIDs	M01A
	ACEIs/ARBs	C09		
2	Thiazide diuretics	C03A	Glucocorticoids	H02
	Loop diuretics	C03C		
	Diuretics			
3	Thiazide diuretics	C03A	NSAIDs	M01A
	Loop diuretics	C03C		
4	SSRIs	N06AB	NSAIDs	M01A
5	Vitamin K antagonists	B01AA	NSAIDs	M01A
	NOACs			
6	Dabigatran	B01AE07 NSAIDs		M01A
0	Rivaroxaban	B01AF01	INSAIDS	MOTA
	Apixaban	B01AF02		
			Antibiotics	
7	Vitamin V anta conista	B01AA	Macrolide	J01FA
/	Vitamin K antagonists	DUIAA	Fluoroquinolones	J01MA
			Antimycotics	J02
	Antihypertensives			
	Diuretics	C03		
8	β-blockers	C07	α-blockers	G04CA
	Calcium channel blockers	C08		
	ACEIs/ARBs	C09		
9	Antidiabetics	A10	Fluoroquinolones	J01MA
10	SSRIs	N06AB	ASA	B01AC06

Table 7. Summary of the DDIs investigated in the study.

Chronic drugs of interest and ATC codes are on the left side; interacting drugs and ATC codes are on the right side. *DDI*, drug-drug interaction; *ATC*, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; *ACEIs*, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; *ARBs*, angiotensin II receptor blockers; *SSRIs*, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; *NOACs*, novel oral anticoagulants; *NSAIDs*, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; *ASA*, acetylsalicylic acid.

adjusted all analyses for the presence of previous hospitalizations for these conditions (see Supplementary Table 3) over a lookback period of 3 years before the cohort entry (source: HDRs).

Other variables we analyzed to reduce the potential source of confounding were:

- Sex;
- Age;
- Degree of urbanization of the municipality where the patient lived, classified as city, towns/suburbs or rural, using the Eurostat's Degree of Urbanization (DEGURBA) classification system (revised definition, 2014);
- Use of antidiabetic drug therapies in the 6 months prior to cohort entry (as proxy of diabetes, representing a major cardiovascular risk factor); Elixhauser comorbidity score based on hospitalizations in the previous 3 years [42];

Number of concurrent medications during follow-up (>4 dispensations of different chemical subgroups– IV level ATC codes);

Use of interfering medications during follow-up that are known to be associated with the outcomes (see Supplementary Table 2).

Statistical analysis

Numerical variables were summarized as mean \pm standard deviation; categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages.

Association between exposure (taking interacting drugs) and outcomes (DDI-related hospitalizations) was assessed using a nested case-control design. In each interaction analysis, patients hospitalized during followup were defined as cases, and up to 10 controls were randomly selected and matched to each case by followup duration, age (5-year groups), sex and history of high-risk conditions (see Supplementary Table 3). An illustrative example of this technique, which is called "incidence density sampling", is provided in Supplementary Figure 11. We chose this approach to ensure an equal time window for measuring DDI exposure in cases and controls.

To focus the analyses on adherent users, cases and matched controls were excluded if the proportion of days covered by chronic medications between cohort entry and the matching date was <80% (<66% for SSRIs [analyses #4, #10] and vitamin K antagonists [#5, #7]). The proportion of days covered was estimated by using the medication possession ratio (MPR), which was based on the defined daily doses (DDDs; WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, ATC classification index with DDDs, 2020. Oslo, Norway 2019). The DDD represents the average adult dose used for the main indication of the drug and thereby allows approximate quantification of days supplied. We set a MPR of 80% as a proxy for chronic therapy of the drugs of interest, because the DDDs may be different from the prescribed daily doses, possibly leading to an underestimation of adherence.

Cases and controls were then classified into 3 mutually exclusive groups: (i) current exposure, (ii) past exposure and (iii) no exposure to DDI. A subject was considered currently exposed if the prescription of the interacting drug was detected in the 30-day period prior to matching date. The 'past-exposed group' included persons with the last prescription over 30 days before the matching date. Subjects who were not prescribed the interacting drug during the matched follow-up period were considered as non-exposed to DDI. Dispensed prescriptions of interaction drugs were also collected in the 2-month period prior to cohort entry: if a prescription was present and, on the basis of the number of DDDs contained in the packages, relevant doses also covered the days after the cohort entry, the patient was considered as exposed to DDI. We did this to mitigate possible underestimation in the number of prescriptions among cases and controls with short matched follow-up periods.

In a secondary analysis, we stratified cases and matched controls by the presence of previous hospitalizations for high-risk conditions (see Supplementary Table 3) to assess the effect of this variable in the main models (this was a matching variable). The association between DDI and outcomes was estimated using conditional logistic regression, which is appropriate for a time-matched nested case-control study. Results were expressed as odds ratios that, for the incidence density sampling used in this study, provide unbiased estimates of the relative risks (rate ratios) in the underlying cohort [43]. Regression models included all the potential confounders described above. All analyses were carried out by using Stata software, version 15 (StataCorp. 2017. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 15.* College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). The significance level was set at 0.05.

Sensitivity analyses

We conducted some sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of the findings from the primary analysis. First, we adjusted analyses by prevalent versus incident user status (presence versus absence of other dispensed prescriptions for the chronic drug of interest in the 6 months prior to cohort entry) to assess the potential confounding effect of being a prevalent or incident medication user. Second, we added information from the Outpatient Care Database to the outcomes to additionally assess the effect of including information on specialist visits and (non-)invasive imaging (see the codes in italics in Supplementary Table 1). Third, in an attempt to capture over-the-counter medications, we considered as NSAID users (either past or current) those patients who had been hospitalized for diseases that indicate NSAID use (Supplementary Table 5). Last, we excluded patients who spent >50% of their individual follow-up in the hospital, because drugs dispensed during inpatient treatment cannot be retrieved from the OPD, possibly leading to immeasurable time bias [44].

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was granted from the *Comitato Etico di Area Vasta Emilia Centro* (Submission Number 611/2019/OSS/AUSLBO). This retrospective study was carried out in conformity with the regulations on data management with the Italian law on privacy (Legislation Decree 196/2003 amended by Legislation Decree 101/2018).

Impact statement

We certify that this work is original research. It identified some specific drug-drug interactions with actual increased risk of hospitalization: ACE-inhibitors (or diuretics) plus glucocorticoids, antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones, SSRIs plus NSAIDs in patients with previous bleeding episodes. Many other potential drugdrug interactions with high prevalence (for instance, ACE-inhibitors plus NSAIDs) did not seem to have an impact on adverse clinical outcomes.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Study concept and design: FS, GB, EP; acquisition of data and analysis: JL, MI; interpretation of data: all Authors; drafting the article: FS, EP, JL; revising the

article: all Authors; final approval of the article: all Authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ippazio Cosimo Antonazzo (University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy) and Elisa Sangiorgi (Local Health Authority of Bologna, Bologna, Italy) in supporting data collection.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

GB, ER, FDP, MZ, EP received annual institutional funds of the University of Bologna (RFO – Ricerca Fondamentale Orientata). Floor Swart received a scholarship from Vrije University of Amsterdam for an Erasmus plus traineeship.

REFERENCES

 Parameswaran Nair N, Chalmers L, Peterson GM, Bereznicki BJ, Castelino RL, Bereznicki LR. Hospitalization in older patients due to adverse drug reactions -the need for a prediction tool. Clin Interv Aging. 2016; 11:497–505. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S99097 PMID:27194906

 McDonald EG, Wu PE, Rashidi B, Forster AJ, Huang A, Pilote L, Papillon-Ferland L, Bonnici A, Tamblyn R, Whitty R, Porter S, Battu K, Downar J, Lee TC. The MedSafer study: a controlled trial of an electronic decision support tool for deprescribing in acute care. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019; 67:1843–50.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16040 PMID:31250427

- Ammerman CA, Simpkins BA, Warman N, Downs TN. Potentially inappropriate medications in older adults: deprescribing with a clinical pharmacist. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019; 67:115–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15623</u> PMID:<u>30300947</u>
- Gray SL, Hart LA, Perera S, Semla TP, Schmader KE, Hanlon JT. Meta-analysis of interventions to reduce adverse drug reactions in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018; 66:282–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15195</u>

PMID:29265170

 Pedrós C, Quintana B, Rebolledo M, Porta N, Vallano A, Arnau JM. Prevalence, risk factors and main features of adverse drug reactions leading to hospital admission. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2014; 70:361–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-013-1630-5 PMID:24362489

- Moore N, Pollack C, Butkerait P. Adverse drug reactions and drug-drug interactions with over-thecounter NSAIDs. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015; 11:1061–75. https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S79135 PMID:26203254
- Oscanoa TJ, Lizaraso F, Carvajal A. Hospital admissions due to adverse drug reactions in the elderly. A metaanalysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2017; 73:759–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-017-2225-3</u> PMID:<u>28251277</u>
- Létinier L, Cossin S, Mansiaux Y, Arnaud M, Salvo F, Bezin J, Thiessard F, Pariente A. Risk of drug-drug interactions in out-hospital drug dispensings in France: results from the DRUG-drug interaction prevalence study. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 10:265. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00265</u> PMID:30967779
- El Morabet N, Uitvlugt EB, van den Bemt BJ, van den Bemt PM, Janssen MJ, Karapinar-Çarkit F. Prevalence and preventability of drug-related hospital readmissions: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2018; 66:602–08.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15244 PMID:29468640

- Wilson JC, Sarsour K, Gale S, Pethö-Schramm A, Jick SS, Meier CR. Incidence and risk of glucocorticoidassociated adverse effects in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2019; 71:498–511. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.23611 PMID:29856128
- Souverein PC, Berard A, Van Staa TP, Cooper C, Egberts AC, Leufkens HG, Walker BR. Use of oral glucocorticoids and risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease in a population based casecontrol study. Heart. 2004; 90:859–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2003.020180</u> PMID:15253953
- Lovell AR, Ernst ME. Drug-induced hypertension: focus on mechanisms and management. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2017; 19:39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-017-0736-z</u> PMID:28451850
- Michailidis T, Patoulias D, Charalampidis M, Keryttopoulos P. Causes of secondary hypertension from a single center in Northern Greece; a retrospective clinical study. Folia Med Cracov. 2018; 58:35–45. PMID:<u>30745600</u>
- 14. Panoulas VF, Douglas KM, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Metsios GS, Nightingale P, Kita MD, Elisaf MS, Kitas GD.

Long-term exposure to medium-dose glucocorticoid therapy associates with hypertension in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2008; 47:72–75.

https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kem311 PMID:<u>18077493</u>

- Verhoeven F, Prati C, Maguin-Gaté K, Wendling D, Demougeot C. Glucocorticoids and endothelial function in inflammatory diseases: focus on rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2016; 18:258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-016-1157-0</u> PMID:<u>27814748</u>
- 16. Fardet L, Fève B. Systemic glucocorticoid therapy: a review of its metabolic and cardiovascular adverse events. Drugs. 2014; 74:1731–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-014-0282-9</u> PMID:<u>25204470</u>
- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, Clement D, Coca A, De Simone G, Dominiczak A, Kahan T, Mahfoud F, Redon J, et al. 2018 Practice Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology and the European Society of Hypertension. Blood Press. 2018; 27:314–340. https://doi.org/10.1080/08037051.2018.1527177

PMID:<u>30380928</u> 18. Sundbøll J, Darvalics B, Horváth-Puhó E, Adelborg K,

- Jundbør J, Darvards D, Horvard Puno E, Adelborg K, Laugesen K, Schmidt M, Henderson VW, Sørensen HT. Preadmission use of glucocorticoids and risk of cardiovascular events in patients with ischemic stroke. J Thromb Haemost. 2018; 16:2175–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14283</u> PMID:<u>30179297</u>
- 19. Walker BR. Glucocorticoids and cardiovascular disease. Eur J Endocrinol. 2007; 157:545–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-07-0455</u> PMID:<u>17984234</u>
- 20. Aspinall SL, Good CB, Jiang R, McCarren M, Dong D, Cunningham FE. Severe dysglycemia with the fluoroquinolones: a class effect? Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49:402–08.

https://doi.org/10.1086/600294 PMID:19545207

- Chou HW, Wang JL, Chang CH, Lee JJ, Shau WY, Lai MS. Risk of severe dysglycemia among diabetic patients receiving levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, or moxifloxacin in Taiwan. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57:971–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit439</u> PMID:<u>23948133</u>
- Parekh TM, Raji M, Lin YL, Tan A, Kuo YF, Goodwin JS. Hypoglycemia after antimicrobial drug prescription for older patients using sulfonylureas. JAMA Intern Med. 2014; 174:1605–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.3293</u> PMID:<u>25179404</u>

- Kabbara WK, Ramadan WH, Rahbany P, Al-Natour S. Evaluation of the appropriate use of commonly prescribed fluoroquinolones and the risk of dysglycemia. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015; 11:639–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.581280</u> PMID:<u>25960658</u>
- 24. Friedrich LV, Dougherty R. Fatal hypoglycemia associated with levofloxacin. Pharmacotherapy. 2004; 24:1807–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1592/phco.24.17.1807.52348</u>
 PMID:15585448
- Saraya A, Yokokura M, Gonoi T, Seino S. Effects of fluoroquinolones on insulin secretion and beta-cell ATP-sensitive K+ channels. Eur J Pharmacol. 2004; 497:111–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2004.06.032</u> PMID:15321742
- Kirchheiner J, Roots I, Goldammer M, Rosenkranz B, Brockmöller J. Effect of genetic polymorphisms in cytochrome p450 (CYP) 2C9 and CYP2C8 on the pharmacokinetics of oral antidiabetic drugs: clinical relevance. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2005; 44:1209–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-200544120-00002</u> PMID:<u>16372821</u>
- Tomlin AM, Reith DM, Woods DJ, Lloyd HS, Smith A, Fountain JS, Tilyard MW. A pharmacoepidemiology database system for monitoring risk due to the use of medicines by New Zealand primary care patients. Drug Saf. 2017; 40:1259–77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-017-0579-1</u> PMID:28766108
- Gaist D, García Rodríguez LA, Hald SM, Hellfritzsch M, Poulsen FR, Halle B, Hallas J, Pottegård A. Antidepressant drug use and subdural hematoma risk. J Thromb Haemost. 2020; 18:318–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14658</u> PMID:<u>31609047</u>
- Shin JY, Park MJ, Lee SH, Choi SH, Kim MH, Choi NK, Lee J, Park BJ. Risk of intracranial haemorrhage in antidepressant users with concurrent use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: nationwide propensity score matched study. BMJ. 2015; 351:h3517.

https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h3517 PMID:26173947

- Anglin R, Yuan Y, Moayyedi P, Tse F, Armstrong D, Leontiadis GI. Risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors with or without concurrent nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2014; 109:811–19. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2014.82 PMID:24777151
- 31. Park J, Jeon SR, Kim JO, Kim HG, Lee TH, Cho JH, Ko BM, Lee JS, Lee MS. Rebleeding rate and risk factors in

nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced enteropathy. J Dig Dis. 2018; 19:279–87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-2980.12600</u> PMID:<u>29696804</u>

- 32. Lind KE, Raban MZ, Georgiou A, Westbrook JI. NSAID use among residents in 68 residential aged care facilities 2014 to 2017: an analysis of duration, concomitant medication use, and high-risk conditions. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2019; 28:1480–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.4866</u> PMID:<u>31389118</u>
- Nash DM, Markle-Reid M, Brimble KS, McArthur E, Roshanov PS, Fink JC, Weir MA, Garg AX. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and risk of acute kidney injury and hyperkalemia in older adults: a population-based study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2019; 34:1145–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfz062</u> PMID:<u>31264694</u>
- 34. Zhang X, Donnan PT, Bell S, Guthrie B. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced acute kidney injury in the community dwelling general population and people with chronic kidney disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Nephrol. 2017; 18:256. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0673-8</u> PMID:<u>28764659</u>
- 35. Bouck Z, Mecredy GC, Ivers NM, Barua M, Martin D, Austin PC, Tepper J, Bhatia RS. Frequency and associations of prescription nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug use among patients with a musculoskeletal disorder and hypertension, heart failure, or chronic kidney disease. JAMA Intern Med. 2018; 178:1516–25. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.4273 PMID:30304456
- 36. Lenzi J, Rucci P, Castaldini I, Protonotari A, Di Pasquale G, Di Martino M, Perrone E, Forti P, Fantini MP. Does age modify the relationship between adherence to secondary prevention medications and mortality after acute myocardial infarction? a nested case-control study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2015; 71:243–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-014-1793-8</u> PMID:<u>25529226</u>
- 37. West SL, Savitz DA, Koch G, Strom BL, Guess HA, Hartzema A. Recall accuracy for prescription medications: self-report compared with database information. Am J Epidemiol. 1995; 142:1103–12.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117563 PMID:7485055

- Yood MU, Campbell UB, Rothman KJ, Jick SS, Lang J, Wells KE, Jick H, Johnson CC. Using prescription claims data for drugs available over-the-counter (OTC). Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2007; 16:961–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.1454</u> PMID:<u>17654746</u>
- Raschi E, Piccinni C, Signoretta V, Lionello L, Bonezzi S, Delfino M, Di Candia L, Di Castri L, Pieraccini F, Carati D, Poluzzi E, De Ponti F, and Emilia-Romagna elderly poly-treated patients research group. Clinically important drug-drug interactions in poly-treated elderly outpatients: a campaign to improve appropriateness in general practice. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2015; 80:1411–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12754 PMID:26303150
- Marengoni A, Pasina L, Concoreggi C, Martini G, Brognoli F, Nobili A, Onder G, Bettoni D. Understanding adverse drug reactions in older adults through drugdrug interactions. Eur J Intern Med. 2014; 25:843–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2014.10.001</u> PMID:<u>25312593</u>
- Onder G, Bonassi S, Abbatecola AM, Folino-Gallo P, Lapi F, Marchionni N, Pani L, Pecorelli S, Sancarlo D, Scuteri A, Trifirò G, Vitale C, Zuccaro SM, et al, and Geriatrics Working Group of the Italian Medicines Agency. High prevalence of poor quality drug prescribing in older individuals: a nationwide report from the italian medicines agency (AIFA). J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014; 69:430–37. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glt118 PMID:23913935
- van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, Quan H, Forster AJ. A modification of the elixhauser comorbidity measures into a point system for hospital death using administrative data. Med Care. 2009; 47:626–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819432e5</u> PMID:<u>19433995</u>
- 43. Breslow NE. Statistics in epidemiology: the case-control study. J Am Stat Assoc. 1996; 91:14–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1996.10476660</u>
 PMID:<u>12155399</u>
- Suissa S. Immeasurable time bias in observational studies of drug effects on mortality. Am J Epidemiol. 2008; 168:329–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn135</u> PMID:<u>18515793</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 2. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 3. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 4. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 5. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 6. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 7. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 8. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 9. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 10. Diagram depicting selection of the study population between January 2017 and June 2017.



Supplementary Figure 11. An illustrative example of the nested case-control design used in each interaction analysis. There are ten controls (*gray circles*) for every case (*black diamonds*). Follow-up periods for all the members of the cohort (*horizontal black lines*) begin at the zero-time on the time axis, which is the first date of a dispensed prescription of a chronic drug. Deaths (patients #4, #5 and #6) or no events before the end of the follow-up are treated as censored events (*x signs*). Controls are randomly selected from the risk sets (*vertical dashed lines*) of each case. A future case may be selected as a control for a prior case (patient #2), and a subject may be selected as a control for two different cases (patients #8 and #22). Drug-drug interactions are investigated between the cohort entry and the matching date.

Supplementary Tables

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Supplementary Table 1. DDI-related hospital admissions during follow-up (study outcomes), by interaction analysis.

Supplementary Table 2. Interfering drugs, i.e., drugs that could influence the association between DDIs and outcomes.

Supplementary Table 3. Hospital admissions for high-risk conditions 3-years prior to cohort entry, by interaction analysis.

#	Interaction analysis	Previous hospitalizations	ICD-9-CM code
1	ACEIs/ARBs plus	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	NSAIDs	Acute myocardial infarction	410.xx
		Heart failure	428.xx
		Kidney failure	580.xx-589.xx
2	ACEIs/ARBs or	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	diuretics plus glucocorticoids	Acute myocardial infarction	410.xx
		Heart failure	428.xx
		Kidney failure	580.xx-589.xx
3	Diuretics plus	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	NSAIDs	Acute myocardial infarction	410.xx
		Heart failure	428.xx
		Kidney failure	580.xx-589.xx
4	SSRIs plus	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	NSAIDs	Cerebrovascular event	430.xx-438.xx
		Intracranial bleeding	430.xx, 431.xx, 432.xx
		Gastrointestinal bleeding	578.xx, 569.3x, 562.12, 535.71, 535.61, 535.51, 535.41, 535.31, 535.21, 535.11, 535.01, 534.6x, 534.4x, 534.2x, 534.0x, 533.6x, 533.4x, 533.4x, 533.2x, 533.0x, 532.6x, 532.4x, 532.2x, 532.0x, 531.6x, 531.4x, 531.2x, 531.0x, 530.82, 530.7x, 530.4x, 530.21, 459.0x
		Other hemorrhagic diathesis	287.9x, 287.8x, 286.5x
5	Vitamin K	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	antagonists plus NSAIDs	Cerebrovascular event	430.xx-438.xx
	NSAIDS	Intracranial bleeding	430.xx, 431.xx, 432.xx
		Gastrointestinal bleeding	578.xx, 569.3x, 562.12, 535.71, 535.61, 535.51, 535.41, 535.31, 535.21, 535.11, 535.01, 534.6x, 534.4x, 534.2x, 534.0x, 533.6x, 533.4x, 533.4x, 533.2x, 533.0x, 532.6x, 532.4x, 532.2x, 532.0x, 531.6x, 531.4x, 531.2x, 531.0x, 530.82, 530.7x, 530.4x, 530.21, 459.0x

		Other hemorrhagic diathesis	287.9x, 287.8x, 286.5x
6	NOACs plus	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	NSAIDs	Cerebrovascular event	430.xx-438.xx
		Intracranial bleeding	430.xx, 431.xx, 432.xx
		Gastrointestinal bleeding	578.xx, 569.3x, 562.12, 535.71, 535.61, 535.51, 535.41, 535.31, 535.21, 535.11, 535.01, 534.6x, 534.4x, 534.2x, 534.0x, 533.6x, 533.4x, 533.4x, 533.2x, 533.0x, 532.6x, 532.4x, 532.2x, 532.0x, 531.6x, 531.4x, 531.2x, 531.0x, 530.82, 530.7x, 530.4x, 530.21, 459.0x
		Other hemorrhagic diathesis	287.9x, 287.8x, 286.5x
7	Vitamin K	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
	antagonists plus antibiotics or	Cerebrovascular event	430.xx-438.xx
	antimycotics	Intracranial bleeding	430.xx, 431.xx, 432.xx
		Gastrointestinal bleeding	578.xx, 569.3x, 562.12, 535.71, 535.61, 535.51, 535.41, 535.31, 535.21, 535.11, 535.01, 534.6x, 534.4x, 534.2x, 534.0x, 533.6x, 533.4x, 533.4x, 533.2x, 533.0x, 532.6x, 532.4x, 532.2x, 532.0x, 531.6x, 531.4x, 531.2x, 531.0x, 530.82, 530.7x, 530.4x, 530.21, 459.0x
		Other hemorrhagic diathesis	287.9x, 287.8x, 286.5x
8	Antihypertensives	Syncope	780.2, 992.1
	plus α-blockers	Orthostatic hypotension	458.0, 458.29, 458.8, 458.9, 785.50-785.59, 796.3
9	Antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones	-	-
10	SSRIs plus ASA	Hypertensive crisis	401.xx-405.xx
		Cerebrovascular event	430.xx-438.xx
		Intracranial bleeding	430.xx, 431.xx, 432.xx
		Gastrointestinal bleeding	578.xx, 569.3x, 562.12, 535.71, 535.61, 535.51, 535.41, 535.31, 535.21, 535.11, 535.01, 534.6x, 534.4x, 534.2x, 534.0x, 533.6x, 533.4x, 533.4x, 533.2x, 533.0x, 532.6x, 532.4x, 532.2x, 532.0x, 531.6x, 531.4x, 531.2x, 531.0x, 530.82, 530.7x, 530.4x, 530.21, 459.0x
		Other hemorrhagic diathesis	287.9x, 287.8x, 286.5x

щ		Exposure to	G	Matched	Adjusted OR	Us	ers
#	Interaction analysis	DDI	Cases	controls	(95% CI)	Prevalent	Incident
1	ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	No	1549 (91.8)	14 676 (91.9)	Ref.		
	-	Past	78 (4.6)	776 (4.9)	0.93 (0.72-1.18)	16 478 (93.3)	1177 (6.7)
		Current	60 (3.6)	516 (3.2)	1.05 (0.80-1.39)		
2	ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics	No	1698 (85.2)	17 299 (92.2)	Ref.		
	plus glucocorticoids	Past	142 (7.1)	904 (4.8)	1.36* (1.12-1.64)	19 464 (93.8)	1291 (6.2)
		Current	153 (7.7)	559 (3.0)	2.35* (1.93-2.86)	~ /	
3	Diuretics plus NSAIDs	No	1038 (94.3)	8734 (95.0)	Ref.		
	-	Past	36 (3.3)	228 (2.5)	1.26 (0.87-1.83)	8491 (82.5)	1804 (17.5)
		Current	27 (2.5)	232 (2.5)	0.96 (0.64-1.46)		
4	SSRIs plus NSAIDs	No	58 (84.1)	577 (90.4)	Ref.		
		Past	6 (8.7)	42 (6.6)	1.21 (0.47-3.11)	543 (76.8)	164 (23.2)
		Current	5 (7.2)	19 (3.0)	2.88 (0.97-8.59)		
5	Vitamin K antagonists plus	No	57 (93.4)	467 (97.7)	Ref.		
	NSAIDs	Past	2 (3.3)	7 (1.5)	1.88 (0.37-9.59)	424 (78.7)	115 (21.3)
		Current	2 (3.3)	4 (0.8)	7.60 (0.98-58.7)		
6	NOACs plus NSAIDs	No	27 (96.4)	255 (97.0)	Ref.		
		Past	0 (0.0)	5 (1.9)	n/a	224 (77.0)	67 (23.0)
		Current	1 (3.6)	3 (1.1)	4.07 (0.38-43.6)		
7	Vitamin K antagonists plus	No	57 (93.4)	449 (90.0)	Ref.		
	antibiotics or antimycotics	Past	1 (1.6)	25 (5.0)	0.28 (0.03-2.17)	449 (80.2)	111 (19.8)
		Current	3 (4.9)	25 (5.0)	0.88 (0.25-3.13)		
8	Antihypertensives plus α-	No	1289 (91.6)	11 958 (91.2)	Ref.		
	blockers	Past	54 (3.8)	498 (3.8)	1.01 (0.74-1.37)	13 791 (95.0)	728 (5.0)
		Current	64 (4.5)	656 (5.0)	0.89 (0.67-1.18)		
10	SSRIs plus ASA	No	42 (60.9)	400 (64.3)	Ref.		
	-	Past	15 (21.7)	119 (19.1)	1.38 (0.71-2.68)	552 (79.9)	139 (20.1)
		Current	12 (17.4)	103 (16.6)	1.21 (0.59-2.49)		

Supplementary Table 4. Odds ratios (ORs) of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to DDI.

These ORs are adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2 and for prevalent user status (≥1 prescriptions for the drug of interest 6-months prior to cohort entry). Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise. Analysis #9 (antidiabetics plus fluoroquinolones) is not presented because previous use of antidiabetics is included in the first model (Table 3).

* Significant at the 0.05 level

Supplementary	Table 5. Indications for NSAIDs use	(source: HDRs).
---------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------

Chronic/acute condition	Indication	ICD-9-CM code	
Chronic	Osteoarthrosis	715.xx, 718.0x	
	Disorders causing back pain	721.0x, 721.1x, 721.2x, 721.3x, 721.4x, 721.9x, 722.0x, 722.1x, 722.2x, 723.1x, 724.1x, 724.2x, 724.3x, 724.5x,	
	Rheumatic diseases, poly-arthropathies, chronic arthritis	711.2x, 713.xx, 714.xx, 719.3x, 720.xx, 725.xx 733.6x,	
Acute	Osteomyelitis, pathological fracture	730.xx, 733.1x,	
	Infectious Arthritis	7.11.0x, 711.1x, 711.3x, 711.4x, 711.5x, 711.6x, 711.7x, 711.8x, 711.9x	
	Chrystal arthropathies	712.xx	
	Non-chronic arthropathies	716.xx, 717.xx, 818.2x, 718.3x, 718.4x, 719.4x,	
	Soft tissue disorders, esenthesopathies, bursopathies, synovitis	719.2x, 726.xs, 727.xx,	
	Muscle related pain with NSAID indication	728.0x, 729.1x, 729.3x, 729.5x,	
	Cholelithiasis	574.xx	

Chronic diseases checked for 3 years prior to cohort entry; acute diseases checked during follow-up or 1 month prior to cohort entry.

#	Internetter en la d	Exposure to DDI	OR (95% CI)		Patients
	Interaction analysis		Crude	Adjusted*	excluded
1	ACEIs/ARBs plus NSAIDs	No	Ref.	Ref.	17
		Past	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	0.93 (0.73-1.19)	
		Current	1.11 (0.84-1.46)	1.06 (0.80-1.40)	
2	ACEIs/ARBs or diuretics plus	No	Ref.	Ref.	23
	glucocorticoids	Past	1.55† (1.29-1.87)	1.36† (1.12-1.65)	
		Current	2.91† (2.41-3.52)	2.38† (1.95-2.90)	
3	Diuretics plus NSAIDs	No	Ref.	Ref.	19
		Past	1.17 (0.81-1.68)	1.27 (0.88-1.84)	
		Current	0.94 (0.63-1.41)	0.97 (0.64-1.46)	
4	SSRIs plus NSAIDs	No	Ref.	Ref.	0
		Past	1.34 (0.53-3.39)	1.21 (0.47-3.08)	
		Current	2.62 (0.95-7.22)	2.88 (0.97-8.59)	
5	Vitamin K antagonists plus NSAIDs	No	Ref.	Ref.	1
		Past	2.14 (0.44-10.4)	2.03 (0.37-11.1)	
		Current	5.52 (0.90-33.8)	7.00 (0.98-59.7)	
6	NOACs plus NSAIDs	No	Ref.	Ref.	2
		Past	n/a	n/a	
		Current	2.99 (0.31-28.8)	3.61 (0.35-37.2)	
7	Vitamin K antagonists plus	No	Ref.	Ref.	1
	antibiotics or antimycotics	Past	0.27 (0.04-2.04)	0.27 (0.03-2.09)	
		Current	0.98 (0.29-3.31)	0.82 (0.23-2.89)	
8	Antihypertensives plus α- blockers	No	Ref.	Ref.	12
		Past	0.98 (0.73-1.33)	1.00 (0.74-1.36)	
		Current	0.90 (0.68-1.19)	0.89 (0.67-1.19)	
9	Antidiabetics plus	No	Ref.	Ref.	1
	fluoroquinolones	Past	2.00 (0.83-4.77)	2.00 (0.81-4.90)	
		Current	4.54† (1.77-11.7)	4.42† (1.60-11.3)	
10	SSRIs plus ASA	No	Ref.	Ref.	0
		Past	1.17 (0.63-2.19)	1.33 (0.69-2.56)	
		Current	1.12 (0.57-2.21)	1.20 (0.58-2.46)	

Supplementary Table 6. Odds ratios (ORs) of hospitalization associated with current (last month) and past (≥2 months before) exposure to DDI.

These ORs are unbiased estimates of the relative risk of hospitalization. Values are counts (percentages) unless stated otherwise. Patients with >50% of their matched follow-up periods in the hospital are excluded.

* Adjusted for covariates shown in Table 2.

+ Significant at the 0.05 level.