Metabolic footprint of aging and obesity in red blood cells

Inés Domingo-Ortí¹, Rubén Lamas-Domingo², Andreea Ciudin^{3,4}, Cristina Hernández^{3,4}, José Raúl Herance^{5,6}, Martina Palomino-Schätzlein², Antonio Pineda-Lucena^{1,7}

¹Drug Discovery Unit, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Fe, Valencia 46026, Spain
 ²NMR Facility, Centro de Investigación Príncipe Felipe, Valencia 46012, Spain
 ³Diabetes and Metabolism Research Unit, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute, Barcelona 08035, Spain
 ⁴CIBERDEM (Instituto de Salud Carlos III), Madrid 28029, Spain
 ⁵Medical Molecular Imaging Research Group, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute, CIBBIM-Nanomedicine, Barcelona 08035, Spain
 ⁶CIBERBBN (Instituto de Salud Carlos III), Madrid 28029, Spain
 ⁷Medicinal Chemistry Laboratory, Centro de Investigación Médica Aplicada, Pamplona 31008, Spain
 Correspondence to: Antonio Pineda-Lucena, Martina Palomino-Schätzlein, José Raúl Herance; email: apinedal@unav.es,

Correspondence to: Antonio Pineda-Lucena, Martina Palomino-Schätzlein, José Raúl Herance; email: <u>apinedal@unav.es</u>, <u>mpalomino@cipf.es</u>, <u>raul.herance@vhir.org</u> Keywords: RBCs, NMR, metabolomics, aging, obesity

Received: October 28, 2020 Accepted: February 8, 2021 Published: February 19, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Domingo-Ortí et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Aging is a physiological process whose underlying mechanisms are still largely unknown. The study of the biochemical transformations associated with aging is crucial for understanding this process and could translate into an improvement of the quality of life of the aging population. Red blood cells (RBCs) are the most abundant cells in humans and are involved in essential functions that could undergo different alterations with age. The present study analyzed the metabolic alterations experienced by RBCs during aging, as well as the influence of obesity and gender in this process. To this end, the metabolic profile of 83 samples from healthy and obese patients was obtained by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy. Multivariate statistical analysis revealed differences between Age-1 (\leq 45) and Age-2 (>45) subgroups, as well as between BMI-1 (<30) and BMI-2 (\geq 30) subgroups, while no differences were associated with gender. A general decrease in the levels of amino acids was detected with age, in addition to metabolic alterations of glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway, nucleotide metabolism, glutathione metabolism and the Luebering-Rapoport shunt. Obesity also had an impact on the metabolomics profile of RBCs; sometimes mimicking the alterations induced by aging, while, in other cases, its influence was the opposite, suggesting these changes could counteract the adaptation of the organism to senescence.

INTRODUCTION

Changes in life expectancy due to better living conditions and healthcare, together with a decrease in birth rates, are resulting in an increasing aging population [1]. The aging process induces significant alterations in the human body and is characterized by many transformations, ranging from organs to cellular organelles, the whole process leading to a wide variety of modifications of biological functions (sensory, physiological, cognitive, physical, etc.). Aging is influenced by genetic and environmental factors [2] and has been associated with many pathological processes [3, 4]. In this context, to ensure a healthy environment and a good quality of life for aging population, it is of paramount importance to get a better understanding of the precise physiology of aging, a process that is still not well understood.

Many of the changes associated with aging, including free radical generation, advanced glycoxidation, end

products formation, lipid peroxidation or inflammatory responses, are linked to metabolism [5]. Therefore, the evaluation of global changes in metabolism could provide a valuable tool to advance in the understanding of this biological process. The metabolome, defined as the collection of small molecules characterizing a biological system, is the downstream result of genomic and proteomic activity, and provides important insights into the physiological regulation of the human body [6]. The personalized analysis of the metabolome for each individual informs about his/her genetics, lifestyle, age and environmental factors [7], and it is a promising tool for elucidating the mechanisms responsible for diverse physiological and pathophysiological states by exploring and integrating information from multiple pathways and networks [8].

Age-related metabolic alterations in humans have already been characterized in different biofluids, mostly in blood [9–22] and urine [16, 20, 23]). Metabolomic studies have been usually performed in plasma or serum samples [24–32] as they contain a high number of metabolites, and can be easily obtained and preserved. However, the metabolomic signature of the cellular blood fraction, that contains relevant information on the physiological condition of the organism [33], has so far been poorly assessed [12, 18].

Red Blood Cells (RBCs) are the most abundant cells in blood and contain a large amount of metabolites, providing a relevant source of information on the physiological status of an individual. The main biological function of RBCs is the transport of oxygen to body cells and the delivery of carbon dioxide to the lungs [34]. As they constitute an integral component of the blood, RBCs facilitate the transport of metabolites between organs, and its analysis could contribute to get a better understanding of the metabolic situation of the whole organism. RBCs possess their own metabolism, a relatively simple one due to the absence of nuclei and organelles [33]. Therefore, they exhibit a different metabolomic signature from the acellular blood fraction, making its study even more relevant. Furthermore, RBCs are abundant, easily accessible through standard blood analysis, and very sensitive to oxidative stress [35]. However, and despite its informative potential, metabolomics research on RBCs has been very limited.

Obesity is experiencing a worldwide epidemic increase and has become a major social and public health problem. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2016, more than 1900 million adults were found to be overweight, and 650 million of them were obese [36]. Besides the well-known impact on metabolic and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality,

obesity is associated with major physical, psychosocial, psychological and occupational complications that contribute to worsening individuals' quality of life and decreasing their life expectancy [37]. High body mass indexes (BMIs) have also been associated with diseases whose incidence increases with age (e.g., type II diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, liver and dysfunctions, hypertension, depression, kidnev musculoskeletal and respiratory disorders and some neoplastic processes) [38, 39]. In a study focused on the interactions between the aging hallmarks and obesity, Salvestrini et al. found that an excess of nutrients provokes cellular responses that lead to obesity, and ultimately contributes to an increase of the aging rate [40]. In fact, several alterations caused by obesity have also been found during aging, such as the accumulation of fat mass due to a decline on energy expenditure [41] and endocrinological changes, including decreased hormone levels and insulin and leptin resistance [42]. Therefore, it would be relevant to assess the metabolic signatures of both obesity and aging for getting a better understanding of potential common features.

A significant number of studies, based on the metabolomics analysis of plasma/serum [19, 43–50] and urine [48, 50, 51], have already been carried out on the metabolomics alterations associated with obesity. However, only few of them have used RBCs for the evaluation of these metabolic disorders. Bird et al. found increased folate levels in RBCs from obese patients [52] and Del Genio et al. carried out an analysis of the fatty acid composition of the membrane of RBCs of these individuals [53].

The impact of aging and obesity is different in women and men [54]. Gender has a strong impact on metabolism [55–58] and several studies have focused on the analysis of the differences in the metabolite composition of blood from women and men (plasma/serum [19, 21, 22, 49, 59–61]) and RBCs [62, 63]. However, although some of these studies have evaluated the effect of aging [22] and obesity [46], none of them have analyzed the metabolite content of RBCs.

In this context, the aim of this work was to evaluate the impact of aging on the metabolomics profile of RBCs obtained from a cohort of healthy individuals using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The alterations of these age-specific metabolomics profiles in obese and morbid-obese individuals were also studied. Finally, gender-specific differences in the age- and weight-associated metabolic changes of these individuals were characterized. Overall, we expect this study could contribute to get a better understanding of how RBCs could be used to analyze the metabolic changes associated with different physiological situations.

RESULTS

Metabolomics profile of RBCs

Good quality 1H-NMR spectra were obtained for the RBC extracts from the peripheral blood of subjects included in Table 1. Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1 display representative spectra from the Age-1 (<45 years) and the Age-2 (>45years) groups. The spectra had a good signal-to-noise ratio and allowed the detection and quantification of 55 different metabolites, as summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Chemical shift assignment of the metabolites was confirmed by metabolite spiking experiments (Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 2) and 2D NMR experiments (Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 2). It should be noted that a high number of those metabolites, including 2,3biphosphoglycerate (2,3-BPG), 3-methyladipate, 6phosphogluconate (6-PG), adenosine, adipate, AMP, ATP, choline, fumarate, glucose-1-phosphate (G1P), glutathione, inosine monophosphate (IMP), Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+). Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+), niacinamide. phosphoenolpyruvate, phosphocreatine, propylenglycol, succinate and UDP-glucose are not usually detected in human serum/plasma by NMR [64, 65].

A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed for all samples included in the study (Supplementary Figure 4) to identify potential outliers. This analysis revealed the existence of three samples located outside the 95% Hoteling's T-square. However, the spectra of these samples did not exhibit any abnormal features. Therefore, they were not excluded from the study as they could represent biological variation within the group of samples.

The PCA score plot was colored according to the age (Age-1 (\leq 45) vs Age-2 (>45), weight (BMI-1 (<30) vs BMI-2 (\geq 30)) and gender (M vs W) of the individuals to detect potential trends in the data according to these variables (Supplementary Figure 4). However, no clear separation between the subgroups could be observed. Therefore, discriminant analyses were pursued to evaluate the specific differences due to the age, BMI or gender subgroups of the individuals.

Age has a relevant impact on the metabolomic profile of RBCs

An initial evaluation of the impact of age on the metabolic profile of RBCs was derived from the analysis of an OPLS-DA (orthogonal projection to latent structures discriminant analyses) comparing samples from the BMI-matched Age-1 and Age-2 groups. Using this approach, a discriminant model was obtained

(R2Y(cum)=0.675, Q2(cum)=0.208), showing a different metabolic signature for the two groups (Figure 2A). The corresponding S-plot (Figure 2B) showed that an increase in metabolites associated with the metabolism of carbohydrates and a decrease in the levels of certain amino acids and glutathione were partially responsible for the discrimination between both age groups.

To further characterize the specific changes associated with age, an univariate statistical analysis of metabolites exhibiting VIP (variable importance for projection) values > 1 was also carried out. Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 3 summarize the results obtained based on the changes observed for different age-range groups (19<A1<40 years, 40<A2<60 years and 60<A3<75), as well as a graphical representation of the main metabolic pathways of RBCs. This analysis revealed that several metabolites from the Embden-Meyerhof glycolytic pathway, the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), the nucleotide metabolism, the glutathione metabolism as well as the Luebering-Rapoport shunt experienced important alterations with age. Furthermore, a general decrease in the levels of many amino acids was also detected, except for proline and asparagine that showed the opposite trend. Finally, the levels of adipate and betaine were found to be negatively correlated with age.

This evaluation also revealed that the metabolic alterations involving amino acids and glutathione were associated with changes at early ages, as the most important differences in the levels of these metabolites were found between the A1 and A2 groups. Interestingly, the changes associated with the glycolysis, the PPP or the Luebering-Rapport shunt were found to be more significant only when comparing the A2 and A3 groups.

Obesity plays a role in the metabolic composition of RBCs

The effect of BMI on the metabolomic profile of RBCs was also examined. Individuals were classified into non-obese (BMI-1< 30) and obese (BMI-2 \geq 30) to carry out this analysis. An OPLS-DA analysis of these two groups (Figure 4A, R2Y(cum)=0.75, Q2(cum)=0.413) revealed the existence of a number of metabolites contributing to the discrimination between these two groups (Figure 4B). An increase of different amino acids (glutamine, glycine and alanine), creatine, and betaine, as well as a decrease of histidine, proline, G1P and 2,3-BPG appeared to be the main changes responsible for the discrimination between both groups.

To further evaluate the alterations associated with obesity, univariate analyses were also carried out for all

Classification	Range	Subject number	Age*	BMI*	M/W
All	-	83	48.12 ± 1.56	30.85 ± 1.05	37/46
Age-1	≤45	31	34.07 ± 1.57	29.10 ± 1.40	11/20
Age-2	>45	31	51 ± 1.70	29.80 ± 0.97	10/21
A1	19-40	26	27.84 ± 1.30	22.30 ± 0.66	12/14
A2	40-60	13	51.00 ± 1.70	25.32 ± 0.93	8/5
A3	60-75	11	65.09 ± 1.12	26.91 ± 1.19	7/4
BMI-1	<30	39	50.93 ± 2.17	24.00 ± 0.58	22/17
BMI-2	≥30	35	51.67 ± 1.70	39.10 ± 1.17	12/23
B1	<30	17	51.35 ± 3.09	24.77 ± 0.83	9/8
B2	30-40	17	51.71 ± 2.92	33.60 ± 0.73	8/9
B3	>40	16	51.35 ± 2.04	45.43 ± 0.79	3/13
М	-	28	49.79 ± 2.65	33.46 ± 1.80	-
W	-	28	49.43 ± 2.72	30.65 ± 1.30	-

Table 1. Characteristics of the individuals included in the study.

(*): Age and BMI values are expressed as mean ±SEM (Standard Deviation of the Mean). M: Men. W: Women.

the metabolites assigned. The levels of most of them (6-PG, alanine, betaine, creatine, fumarate, glucose, glutamine, glycine, leucine, formate, valine and NAD+) were positively correlated with BMI, and some of them (2,3-BPG, G1P, histidine, proline and propylene glycol) showed the opposite trend. Figure 5 includes the box-

plots of metabolites exhibiting clear, albeit not significant, trends with BMI. This analysis was based on a classification of the samples on three subgroups according to the BMI values (B1, B2 and B3) of the individuals. Most amino acids showed a positive correlation with BMI, except for proline and asparagine





that exhibited an opposite trend, in contrast with the behavior observed for their variations with age. Glucose levels were found to be increased in obese individuals, as well as the levels of NAD+ and NADP+, formate, fumarate and betaine. On the other hand, 2,3-BPG and propylene glycol levels were negatively correlated with BMI.

In general, the most significant changes (Figure 5 and Supplementary Table 4) were found for the comparison between non-obese (B1) and obese subjects (B2), and only some marginal alterations were observed when comparing obese (B2) and morbid obese individuals (B3).

Gender does not have a significant impact on the metabolomics profile of RBCs

The effect of the gender of the individuals on the metabolic profile of RBCs was also assessed. To this end, an OPLS-DA analysis of age- and BMI-matched gender groups was performed. Interestingly, no significant model could be generated for the comparison between both groups, suggesting that the impact of gender on the metabolomics profile of RBCs is less pronounced than that of age or weight. Despite the negative result obtained for the multivariate analysis, an additional univariate analysis was carried out to evaluate each metabolite individually. None of the metabolites experiencing significant changes with age and BMI were influenced by gender. However, the univariate analysis of all the metabolites identified in RBCs showed significant statistical differences in the levels of threonine and ATP and a clear trend in adipic acid concentrations between men (M) and women (W) (Figure 6).

Correlation between the metabolomic profile of RBCs with age and weight

To further evaluate the effect of age and weight on the metabolomic profile of RBCs, different partial least squares (PLS) regression analyses were carried out based on these two variables. The PLS analysis versus age was carried out separately for the BMI-1 and BMI-2 groups, while the PLS analysis versus BMI was carried out for the Age-1 and Age-2 groups, to avoid interferences between both parameters as they both had an effect on the metabolomic signature of RBCs.

Regression models based on the age of the individuals were obtained for both BMI groups, and validated by cross validation and permutation (Figure 7A). However, for the PLS regression analysis as a function of the BMI values, a model could only be obtained for the Age-1 subgroup (individuals ≤45 years) (Figure 7B). Interestingly, the correlation obtained as a function of the BMI values was more robust than that obtained for age. Metabolites exhibiting significant VIP values are summarized in Figure 7C. In this figure, the first section refers to metabolites following the same general trend with age and BMI, and the second block includes metabolites sharing the same trend for the BMI-1 subgroup (age) and the Age-1 subgroup (BMI), which is exactly the opposite behavior of the BMI-2 subgroup (age). The third and fourth blocks display metabolites exhibiting opposite trends with age and BMI. As shown, many metabolites underwent similar changes in both age models (BMI-1 and BMI-2). However, for some metabolites, changes were different for both groups, including several amino acids, 2,3-BPG, G1P, adipate and formate. Interestingly, some other metabolites



Figure 2. OPLS-DA analysis of the metabolomic profile of RBCs of Age-1 (\leq 45 years) and Age-2 (>45 years) groups. (A) Score plot of the OPLS-DA model obtained. R2Y(cum)=0,675, Q2(cum)=0.208. Permutation test result: R2=(0.0, 0.249), Q2=(0.0, -0.276). CV-Anova: p-value=0.0388. (B) S-plot showing the most important metabolites contributing to the discrimination between the Age-1 and Age-2 groups. 2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphglycerate, 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate, G1P: glucose 1-phosphate.

followed a similar trend for BMI and age (e.g., aspartate, ATP and some organic acids -fumarate, lactate and pyruvate-). Furthermore, the results suggested that age induced a similar effect on non-obese (BMI-1)

individuals than BMI on younger individuals (Age-1). In other cases, changes associated with age and weight exhibited opposite trends (e.g. creatine, betaine and several amino acids, nucleotides and carbohydrates).



Figure 3. Main metabolomic pathways found in RBCs and summary of the most relevant age-associated metabolic alterations. Concentration values are normalized to total intensity. A1=between 19 and 40 years, A2 = between 40 and 60 years; and A3≥60years. Values are represented as mean \pm SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. P-values were calculated with a Student's t-test. 1,3BPG: 1,3-biphosphoglycerate, 2,3BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate, 3PG: 3-phosphoglycerate, 6-PG: 6-phosphoglycerate-deoxyhemoglobin, Cys: cysteine, F6P: fructose 6-phosphate, F16BP: fructose 1,6-biphosphate, G6P: glucose 6-phosphate, G1P: glucose 1-phoshate, G3P: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, G1I: glutamate, GII: glutamine, GSH: reduced glutathione, GSSG: oxidized glutathione, IMP: inosine monophosphate, MetHb: methaemoglobin, NAD+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, NADP+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, P5C: 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate, PEP: phosphoenopyruvate, Pro: proline, PRPP: phosphoribosyl pirophosphate, R-5-P: ribose 5-phosphate.

DISCUSSION

RBCs play a central role in human physiology by delivering oxygen and nutrients to the body cells. To carry out these activities, RBCs have to preserve their integrity by maintaining active an antioxidant defensive mechanism based on the glutathione redox system. In these circumstances, the analysis of the metabolic profile of RBCs not only provides information on the compounds transported by these cells in blood, it also informs on their own metabolic routes (glycolysis, Luebering-Rapoport shunt, fatty acid synthesis, nucleotide pathways, etc.) [66, 67]. Specifically, the characterization of the metabolomics profile of RBCs offers an opportunity to obtain relevant information, complementary to that provided by the acellular blood fraction [68], on the metabolic impact of different physiological processes. In this context, the focus of this study was to evaluate, for the first time, the impact of age, BMI and gender on the metabolomics profile of RBCs.

A discriminant multivariate analysis carried out to assess the impact of age on the metabolic profile of RBCs revealed that it was possible to obtain a statistically significant discriminant model when comparing the Age-1 (\leq 45 years) and Age-2 (>45 years) subgroups (Figure 2A), as it was suggested by Chaleckis et al. [18]. The main metabolites contributing to this discrimination were associated with the glycolytic pathway (6-PG, UDP-glucose, G1P and 2,3-BPG), as well as with alterations in the levels of certain amino acids (alanine, betaine, creatine, glycine and glutamate) and glutathione (Figure 2B).

An in-depth evaluation of the results, based on the univariate analysis of different age-range groups (Figure 3), revealed a significant decrease in the levels of pyruvate and NAD+, reflecting a down-regulation of the Embden-Meyerhof glycolytic pathway, as it was previously reported [69]. Reduced levels of acetate were also found as age increased, in agreement with the down-regulation of the glycolytic pathway. However, the lactate pool, end product of this pathway, exhibited a slight increase with age (Supplementary Table 3), perhaps reflecting that lactate cannot be further metabolized in the erythrocytes and is released to the general circulation. A correlation between elevated levels of lactate and age has also been found in brain studies [70], and associated with the onset of some diseases [71].

Age also had a strong impact on the PPP (Figure 3), as it is also shown in the pathway analysis performed with Metaboanalyst [72] (Supplementary Figure 5A). One of the main purposes of this pathway is to generate NADPH, a cofactor involved in the regeneration of reduced glutathione, necessary to prevent damage caused by oxidative stress on RBCs [73]. 6-PG, a key metabolite of this route, showed a statistically significant positive correlation with age [69]. This fact could be associated with a lack of glucose 6-phosphate isomerase, the enzyme that catalyzes the interconversion of glucose 6phosphate (G6P) and fructose 1-phosphate (F1P), channeling G6P to the PPP pathway [74].

The results did not reveal any decrease of GSH levels with age. However, as GSH is easily oxidized to GSSG, the study of total glutathione in RBCs could perhaps



Figure 4. OPLS-DA analysis of the metabolomic profile of RBCs of BMI-1 (BMI<30) and BMI-2 (BMI≥30) groups. (A) Score plot of the OPLS-DA model obtained. R2Y(cum)=0.75, Q2(cum)=0.413. Permutation test result: R2=(0.0, 0.248), Q2=(0.0, -0.362). CV-Anova: p-value=0.000016. (B) S-plot showing the most important metabolites contributing to the discrimination between non-obese and obese subjects. G1P: glucose 1-phoshate, 2,3BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate.



Figure 5. Box-plot comparison of the concentrations associated with the most relevant metabolites involved in the discrimination based on the BMI value. Metabolites that do not present statistically significant changes, but show clear trends with BMI, has been also included (NADP+, phenylalanine, phosphocreatine, asparagine). Concentration values are normalized to total intensity. Values are represented as mean±SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. P-values were calculated with a Student's t-test. For each box, the central line is the median, the edges of the box are the upper and lower quartiles, the whiskers extend the box by a further ±1.5 interquartile range (IQR) and outliers are plotted as individual points. 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate, NAD+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide NADP+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, 2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate, G1P: Glucose 1-phosphate.

provide a better reflection of the general redox status of the cells with age. This analysis revealed that the levels of total glutathione (GSH+GSSG) decreased with age (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 5A), as reported in previous studies based on the analysis of RBCs [12, 75]. This decrease would explain, at least partially, the damage suffered by RBCs with aging, since it is the primary antioxidant mechanism operating in RBCs. Interestingly, the precursors glycine, glutamine and glutamate [76] and the NAD+ pool [77] were also decreased (Figure 3), affecting glutamine and glutamate metabolism (Supplementary Figure 5A). Reduced NAD+ and NADP+ levels in blood plasma have been previously associated with aging [18, 44]. The concentration of these metabolites in RBCs is higher, being easier to detect by NMR than in plasma samples, where these signals overlap with the signals of proteins present in blood. For this reason, NAD+ blood levels are usually measured using other experimental approaches, such as LC-MS [78], enzymatic assays [79] or biosensors [80]. Therefore, the analysis of RBCs could be a complementary tool to standard blood plasma analysis for the determination of these molecules that are somehow associated with biological aging.

The PPP pathway is connected to the nucleotide metabolism through the PRPP (phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate) synthesis, involved in the generation of ATP and IMP. The study revealed an increase of the IMP levels, as well as a decrease, although not significant, of the ATP levels, as age increased, perhaps reflecting an alteration of the PPP pathway that would eventually lead to changes in the nucleotide metabolism (Figure 3). Moreover, the levels of G1P and UDPglucose, precursors of the synthesis of glycogen, experienced a significant increase with age (Figure 3), suggesting an accumulation of these molecules as a consequence of a decreased glycogen synthesis capacity due to the lower activity of glycogen synthase with age [81].

Furthermore, a group of metabolites transported by RBCs were found to experience significant alterations with age (Supplementary Figure 5A). Among them, almost all the amino acids showed a decrease with age (Figure 3), a process that has already been reported in previous studies based on the analysis of serum and plasma samples [10, 13, 18, 20, 49, 82, 83]. In particular, a decrease in the concentrations of branchedchain amino acids (BCAAs: valine, leucine and isoleucine) confirmed other studies performed in serum and plasma [18, 84, 85]. In addition to BCAAs, other essential (lysine, threonine) and non-essential amino acids (alanine, glycine, glutamine) exhibited a significant decrease with age, as it has been found in other studies performed on RBCs [12] and serum [22, 36, 71, 72]. The reduction of glutamine levels has been observed in RBCs upon oxidative stress [86] and has been linked to muscle loss with aging [87]. Glutamine is not considered to be an essential amino acid in homeostatic conditions; however, it can become essential in critical conditions such as cachexia [88] or aging, when muscle mass is more vulnerable [89].

Contrary to most of the publications on aging performed in serum and plasma [10, 13, 19, 22, 83, 84], a reduction of glutamate levels with age in RBCs (Figure 3) was found in our study. Previous studies have revealed an association between the decrease of glutamate levels and dementia, since it has an excitatory effect via N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor (NMDAR), that plays a critical role in synaptic plasticity and neuron survival. On the other hand, excessive NMDAR activity causes excitotoxicity and promotes cell death, underlying a potential mechanism of neurodegeneration





in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Therefore, it seems that glutamate has different effects in the brain depending on the receptors that are activated [90].

Proline and asparagine were the only non-essential amino acids whose levels increased with age (Figure 3), in agreement with results reported by Kouchiwa et al. [84] and Calvani et al. [83] in serum and plasma studies, respectively. High proline levels have been associated with the inhibition of the oxidative synthesis of this amino acid from pyrroline-5-carboxylate by NADPH and NADH consumption [9]. Thus, a proline increase with aging could have a protective effect in oxidative stress conditions. Asparagine is considered a non-essential amino acid, except when glutamine levels are low [91]. In this study, glutamine levels decreased with age, a finding that could reflect its consumption to generate asparagine.

RBC membrane, shape and turgor are also altered during aging, resulting in an increased osmotic fragility [92].



Figure 7. PLS analysis vs age and BMI. (A) PLS model vs age in BMI-1 group (BMI<30; R2Y(cum)= 0.355, Q2(cum)=0.207), Permutation test result: R2=(0.0, 0.261), Q2=(0.0, -0.162), p from CV-ANOVA =0.084) and in BMI-2 subjects (BMI \geq 30; R2Y(cum)= 0.294, Q2(cum)=0.185), Permutation test result: R2=(0.0, 0.149), Q2=(0.0, -0.0799), p from CV-ANOVA =0.038). (B) PLS model vs BMI for Age-1 individuals. R2Y(cum)= 0.9, Q2(cum)= 0.649, p= 0.00036, Permutation test result: R2=(0.0, 0.647), Q2=(0.0, -0.286), p from CV-ANOVA =0.0041 (C) Heatmap representation of the metabolites with variable importance in projection (VIP) values > 1 of the PLS regression models vs age or BMI. 2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphoshoglycerate, 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate, AMP: adenosine monophosphate, ATP: adenosine triphosphate, G1P: glucose 1-phosphate, IMP: inosine monophosphate, NAD+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate.

Interestingly, the decrease of the adipic acid levels observed in our study (Figure 3) could be associated with this phenomenon, as it has been described that dicarboxylic and tricarboxylic acids, such as adipic acid, might enter the RBC membrane, leaving the hydrophilic carboxylic groups outside, thus stabilizing the cell membrane and increasing osmotic resistance [93]. Additionally, we detected decreased betaine levels (Figure 3), a finding that has also been described to affect osmotic stability [94].

Interestingly, changes associated with metabolites transported by RBCs (amino acids) and the antioxidant system (glutathione) seem to take place earlier in life, as suggested by the comparison between the A1 and A2 subgroups, whereas alterations of the specific RBC metabolism (glycolysis, PPP, Luebering-Rapport shunt) only became apparent when comparing the A2 and A3 subgroups.

As for the impact of obesity on RBCs metabolism, a discrimination model between the BMI-1 and BMI-2 subgroups was also obtained (Figure 4A). To our knowledge, this is the first time this alteration is detected, as the only available studies on this issue had focused on specific alterations associated with the levels of fatty acids and folate acid [52, 53]. The S-plot (Figure 4B) revealed that the most significant changes associated with weight were an increase in the levels of some amino acids (glutamine, glycine an alanine), creatine and betaine, as well as a decrease in the concentrations of histidine, proline, 2,3-BPG and G1P. Supplementary Figure 5B displays a dot plot with the main metabolic pathways influenced by BMI (phenylalanine, glutathione, tryptophan, tyrosine, glutamine, glutamate, aspartate and alanine metabolism) after the integrative analysis of the metabolomics data.

The univariate analysis of the data (Figure 5), based on the evaluation of the changes in smaller subgroups (B1, B2, B3), revealed that BMI has the opposite effect of age in the concentrations of the amino acids. Thus, most of them showed an increase with BMI, whereas only proline, asparagine and histidine exhibited lower levels in obese individuals. Valine and leucine, BCAAs, increased with obesity, in agreement with the increase detected in blood plasma of these metabolites [48]. Glutamine, alanine and phenylalanine levels were also higher in obese individuals, as previously described in plasma/serum [7, 47, 48, 95], whereas glycine, whose levels also increased with BMI, showed the opposite trend in plasma studies [44, 47, 48]. These results concerning amino acids could perhaps be explained considering that obese subjects, suffering of overnutrition, have a relative IGF-1 deficiency that promotes catabolic pathways instead of protein synthesis [96]. Moreover, some studies suggest that the general increase of amino acids in obese individuals could be associated with the activation of the rapamycin complex1 (mTORC1) [97, 98]. Finally, glycine is involved in RBCs metabolism as a precursor of GSH [99], a compound that plays a central role in these cells, and it could explain the different levels of glycine found in RBCs and plasma samples. As opposed to the age-induced alterations, proline, asparagine and histidine levels declined with BMI. The levels of asparagine and histidine have also been reported to decrease with BMI in blood [43, 47, 49]; proline concentration, on the contrary, has been found to increase with BMI in plasma samples [44].

Furthermore, glucose levels increased with BMI in RBCs. Glucose blood levels are known to increase in obese subjects [43, 44]. Travis et al. described that elevated levels of glucose produce changes in RBCs metabolism [100]. Similarly, our results showed increased RBC creatine and phosphocreatine levels with BMI, as already reported in plasma [19, 44]. Taking into account that creatine can be obtained from food like meat or fish, this finding could be associated with a higher consumption of this kind of food by obese individuals [101].

between genders were much Differences less pronounced than alterations induced by aging or obesity. In fact, it was not possible to build any discriminant model between men and women. Previous studies have reported that gender has an effect on the levels of some blood metabolites, especially increased levels of amino acids have been detected in men [10, 22, 61, 84]. In our study, the univariate analysis of the metabolites detected in RBCs revealed that only threonine and ATP levels were significantly increased in men, while adipic acid seemed to be increased in women when compared with the levels found in men (Figure 6). Future studies including a higher number of samples could be required to detect subtle changes associated with gender.

The evaluation of correlations between age and BMI revealed diverse effects on the metabolic signature of RBCs. Good correlation models as a function of age were obtained, for both non-obese (BMI-1) and obese (BMI-2) individuals (Figure 7A). These analyses revealed that most of the metabolites contributing to the discrimination between age groups had the same trend in both BMI groups (Figure 7C). The pathway analysis (Supplementary Figure 5) showed that some of the alterations induced by age and BMI in RBCs matched in both studies. However, some of them exhibited opposite trends in BMI-1 and BMI-2 groups (e.g., several amino acids, 2,3-BPG, adipate, G1P,

phosphoenolpyruvate, dimethylamine, formate). revealing different regulations of some pathways in obese and non-obese individuals. Regarding BMI correlations, a good prediction model of BMI was obtained for Age-1 subjects, whereas no model could be obtained for the Age-2 subgroup of individuals (Figure 7B). A possible explanation for this finding could be the reduced dispersion of BMI values in that subgroup (BMI range (Age-1) = 20.98/50.04; BMI range (Age-2) = 22.76/40.95). Overall, although several metabolites (e.g., asparagine, betaine, creatine, glutamate) showed opposite tendencies in each study, the results suggested that roughly half of the metabolites detected in RBCs exhibited similar trends with age on non-obese (BMI-1) individuals as BMI on younger individuals (Age-1).

Obesity appears to accelerate some age-associated changes, as individuals with higher BMI levels had lower levels of pyruvate and ATP and increased concentrations of aspartate, lactate and fumarate (Figure 7C). Interestingly, betaine levels increased with obesity, showing an opposite trend to that shown by age. Surprisingly, betaine supplementation has been shown to decrease body fat [102, 103], and low betaine levels in plasma has been previously associated with a higher cardiovascular risk [104]. Therefore, the increased betaine levels detected in RBCs does not seem to correlate with general higher betaine level in plasma or organs.

Interestingly, although HbA1c levels have been described to increase with age [105], a slight decrease of glucose levels was observed with age in RBCs. Finally, creatine and phosphocreatine levels showed an increase with BMI, opposite to the behavior observed with age. Asrin et al. found elevated levels of creatinine, degradation product of creatine, in obese female [106]. The increment of creatinine levels has been associated with raised blood pressure, leading to renal damage and cardiovascular disease [107]. Therefore, this result suggests that obese individuals, with high levels of creatine, could have an increased risk for this pathology.

Overall, this study represents the first systematic evaluation of the effect of age and obesity on the metabolomic profile of RBCs by NMR. The results revealed that both variables have a very relevant impact on RBC metabolism by up/down-regulating specific pathways associated with these cells. From the experimental point of view, the procedure is simple and robust, and only requires small volumes (<0.5ml) of blood. Significant changes were detected between different age-range groups reflecting a progressive alteration of the intrinsic metabolism of RBCs, as well as the metabolite pool transported by these cells, with age. Furthermore, obesity also induced significant alterations on the metabolic profile of RBCs; sometimes, in the same direction as those induced by aging. In other cases, alterations induced by obesity and age displayed opposite trends; so obesity could counteract the natural evolution of RBCs with age, and complicate the adaptation of the organism to senesce. These results would have to be validated in further studies using larger cohorts to confirm the findings as well as their clinical utility, as they could provide a new opportunity for assessing the impact of obesity and aging in RBCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Solvents and reagents

Hank's balanced salt solution was purchased from Gibco (Madrid, Spain), Ficoll Paque, methanol, chloroform and Na_2HPO_4 from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain), D_2O and deuterated trimethylsilylpropanoic (TSP) acid from Eurisotop (Gif sur Yvette, France). All the products were used as supplied. Cryogenics gases were provided by Air-Liquide (Valencia, Spain).

Subjects

A cohort of 83 subjects, ages ranging between 19 and 71 years, were recruited at the Outpatient's Department of the Endocrinology Service of Vall d'Hebron (Barcelona; 46 individuals) and the Dr. Peset University Hospitals (Valencia; 37 individuals) for this multicentric pilot study. Smokers, patients with type I or type II diabetes, cardiovascular complications or any other comorbidity, as well as individuals under pharmacological treatment were excluded from the study.

Depending on the analysis being performed, different age/BMI/gender subgroups were generated to ensure the groups were gender and/or age/BMI-matched. For that reason, not all the samples could be included in each individual comparative analysis. To evaluate the effect of age, two BMI-matched sub-groups were generated, "Age-1" (≤45 years) and "Age-2" (>45 years). The age cutoff was selected based on reports describing that individuals from 45-years old on start experiencing sarcopenia, a condition associated with insulin resistance, diabetes and metabolic comorbidity, mortality [108]. morbidity and Similarly, to characterize metabolic alterations associated with weight differences, samples were also classified in two age-matched groups corresponding to BMI<30 ("BMI-1") and BMI≥30 ("BMI-2"), corresponding to nonobese and obese individuals, respectively [109]. Finally, women ("W") and men ("M") were separated in two different groups to assess the impact of gender.

Both groups were age- and BMI-matched to avoid any influence of age and BMI on RBCs metabolism. Following multivariate statistical analysis, these groups were further divided in three age and BMI groups to better evaluate the precise evolution of the metabolite levels. The resulting groups were "A1" (19-40 years), "A2" (40-60 years) and "A3" (60-75 years) for the evaluation of the age effect, and "B1" (<30), "B2" (30-40) and "B3" (>40) for the characterization of the BMI effect on the metabolism of RBCs. The classification of the subgroups and the physiological and demographic characteristics of the individuals included in the study are summarized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5.

The study was conducted according to the guidelines established in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures were approved by the Clinical Ethic Committees from the Vall d'Hebron (PR(AG)234/2015) and Dr. Peset University (CEIC140/14) Hospitals. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants before sample collection.

Isolation and storage of RBCs from peripheral blood

Peripheral blood was collected under fasting conditions, stored at 4° C and processed within the first hour. Biochemical analyses were performed at the biochemistry core facilities of the Vall d'Hebron and Dr. Peset University Hospitals to determine the plasma concentration of glucose, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), High Density Lipoprotein (HDL), Low Density Lipoprotein (LDL), triglycerides (TG), and insulin. BMI was calculated using the standard equations based on anthropometric information of the subjects [110].

5 mL of peripheral blood freshly extracted was poured carefully into a BD falcon tube containing 10 mL of Ficoll and left standing until the separation of a RBCs pellet in the bottom by gravity. Then, supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed twice with 10 mL of cool PBS 1x solution in a centrifuge at 200 g and 4° C for 20 minutes without brakes. Cell purity was higher than 97% as determined by flow cytometry. For storage, a volume of ice-cold methanol equivalent to the amount of the cell pellet was added and the samples frozen directly at -80° C.

Extraction of polar metabolites

Frozen samples were placed on ice and allowed to thaw for 5 min. Methanol was added until reaching a volume of 800 μ L in total, and then, 800 μ L of chloroform at 4° C were also added for the extraction. After 10 min, the samples were homogenized with a vortex, resuspended with a pipette and transferred to a plastic tube. For uniform cell breakage, the samples were placed in liquid nitrogen for 1 min and then allowed to thaw on ice for 2 min. This step was repeated twice. Afterwards, 1250 μ L of distilled water and 1250 μ L of chloroform were added and the sample was vortexed. Then, samples were centrifuged at 13000 g for 20 min at 4° C to facilitate the separation of the phases. The upper phase, containing polar metabolites in a mixture of water/methanol, was separated from the interphase and the lower chloroform phase, and then lyophilized for 2 hours. Extracts were stored at -80° C until the preparation of the samples for NMR analysis.

Samples for NMR analysis were placed on ice and allowed to thaw for 5 min. 550 μ l of phosphate buffer (100 mM Na₂HPO₄ in D₂O, pH 7.4), containing 0.1 mM deuterated TSP as internal standard, was added to the samples and the mixture was transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube. Samples were analyzed the same day and kept at 4° C.

NMR experiments

NMR spectra were recorded at 27° C on a Bruker AVII-600 using a 5 mm TCI cryoprobe and processed using Topspin3.2 software (Bruker Biospin, Germany). ¹H 1D noesy NMR spectra were acquired with 256 free induction decays (FIDs). 64k data points were digitalized over a spectral width of 30 ppm for an optimal baseline correction. A 4s relaxation delay was incorporated between FIDs and water presaturation was applied for aqueous samples. The FID values were multiplied by an exponential function with a 0.5 Hz line broadening factor. A water presaturation pulse of 25 Hz was applied throughout the relaxation delays to improve solvent suppression.

Total Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY) and multiplicity Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC) were acquired for representative samples. For each TOCSY and HSQC experiment, 256 t1 increments and 32 and 96 FIDs were collected, respectively. The relaxation delay was set to 1.5s and the experiments were acquired in the phase-sensitive mode. TOCSY spectra were recorded using a standard MLEV-17 pulse sequence with mixing times (spin-lock) of 65 ms.

Data analysis

1H-NMR signals from the spectra were assigned to their corresponding metabolites with the help of 2D NMR experiments, and information from spectral databases (Human Metabolome Database (HMBD) [6], Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank (BMRB) [111]). In ambiguous cases, the assignment was confirmed by spiking the spectra with reference compounds. Spectra were normalized to total intensity, excluding glucose and solvent signals, to minimize the differences in concentration and experimental error during the extraction process. In order to avoid signal overlapping, optimal integration regions were defined for each metabolite (Supplementary Table 1). Integration was performed using the GSD deconvolution function from MestReNovav12 (Mestrelab Research, Spain).

For multivariate statistical analyses, metabolite tables generated from spectral integration were univariate scaled, each value being divided by the standard deviation of each variable, and mean centered for an easier interpretation of the data and to take into account the variations of small signals. PCA, PLS and OPLS-DA were performed with SIMCA-P 14.0 (Umetrics, Sweden). The analysis of metabolites found to be relevant in the OPLS-DA model was carried out based on the evaluation of score plots, and S-plots, representing the modelled covariation, p [1], versus the modelled correlation, p(corr) [112]. PLS and OPLS-DA models were validated by permutation (n= 100) and ANalysis Of VAriance testing of Cross-Validated predictive residuals (CV-ANOVA) [113]. VIP values were calculated to capture the importance of the variables to the model, and those signals with values>1 were considered relevant in the analyses [114].

The statistical significance of the differences between the means of the groups was obtained using the Student t test in R (The R Foundation). A p-value <0.05 (confidence level 95%) was considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations

¹H-NMR: Proton nuclear magnetic resonance; AMP: Adenosine monophosphate; ATP: Adenosine triphosphate; BCAAs: Branched-chain amino acids; BMI: Body mass index; BMRB: Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank; FID: Free induction decay; HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin; HDL: High density lipoprotein; HMDB: Human metabolome database; HSQC: Heteronuclear single quantum correlation; IMP: Inosine monophosphate; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; NAD+: Nicotinamide dinucleotide; adenine NADP+: Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; OPLS-DA: Orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis; PCA: Principal component analysis; PLS: Projection on latent structure; PPP: Pentose phosphate pathway; PRPP: Phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate; TG: Triglycerides; TOCSY: Total correlation spectroscopy; TSP: Trimethylsilylpropanoic acid; VIP: Variable importance in projection.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: M.P-S, I.D-O, A.P-L. and JR.H; Methodology: M.P-S., R.L-D, C.H. and I. D-O; Software: M.P-S, R.L-D and I.D-O; Formal analysis: M.P-S, R. L-D and I. D-O; Investigation: A.P-L, M.P-S, R. L-D, C.H, A.C. I.D-O and JR.H; Resources: A.P-L, M.P-S and JR.H; Writing—manuscript preparation: A.P-L, I. D-O, M. P-S, A.C. and JR.H; Writing – Original Draft Preparation, visualization: A.P-L, M.P-S and I.D-O; Supervision: A.P-L, M.P-S, R. L-D, C.H, A.C. I.D-O and JR.H.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. Leonor Puchades-Carrasco (DDU, IIS La Fe) and Ayelén Rojas-Benedicto for their support in the statistical analysis performed in R.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Carlos III Health Institute and the European Regional Development Fund (PI16/02064 and PI20/01588), the Agency for Management of University and Research Grants (AGAUR) of Catalonia (2017SGR1303) and the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (SAF2017-89229-R). Equipment employed in this work was partially funded by Generalitat Valenciana and ERDF funds (OP ERDF of Comunitat Valenciana 2014-2020).

REFERENCES

- United Nations. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. World population ageing 2019: Highlights. United Nations. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. 2019; 40–1307.
- Kerber RA, O'Brien E, Cawthon RM. Gene expression profiles associated with aging and mortality in humans. Aging Cell. 2009; 8:239–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2009.00467.x</u> PMID:<u>19245677</u>
- Jaul E, Barron J. Age-related diseases and clinical and public health implications for the 85 years old and over population. Front Public Health. 2017; 5:335. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00335</u> PMID:<u>29312916</u>
- 4. Lazarus NR, Harridge SD. The inherent human aging process and the facilitating role of exercise. Front Physiol. 2018; 9:1135.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01135 PMID:<u>30349481</u>

 Pamplona R, Barja G. An evolutionary comparative scan for longevity-related oxidative stress resistance mechanisms in homeotherms. Biogerontology. 2011; 12:409–35.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10522-011-9348-1 PMID:21755337

- Wishart DS, Feunang YD, Guo AC, Lo EJ, Marcu A, Grant JR, Sajed T, Johnson D, Li C, Sayeeda Z, Assempour N, Iynkkaran I, Liu Y, et al. DrugBank 5.0: a major update to the DrugBank database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018; 46:D1074–D1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1037</u> PMID:29126136
- Dunn WB, Broadhurst DI, Atherton HJ, Goodacre R, Griffin JL. Systems level studies of mammalian metabolomes: the roles of mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Chem Soc Rev. 2011; 40:387–426. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/b906712b</u> PMID:20717559
- Soltow QA, Jones DP, Promislow DE. A network perspective on metabolism and aging. Integr Comp Biol. 2010; 50:844–54. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq094 PMID:21031036
- Yeh GC, Harris SC, Phang JM. Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase in human erythrocytes. J Clin Invest. 1981; 67:1042–46. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci110115 PMID:6894153
- Darst BF, Koscik RL, Hogan KJ, Johnson SC, Engelman CD. Longitudinal plasma metabolomics of aging and sex. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:1262–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101837</u> PMID:<u>30799310</u>
- Yu Z, Zhai G, Singmann P, He Y, Xu T, Prehn C, Römisch-Margl W, Lattka E, Gieger C, Soranzo N, Heinrich J, Standl M, Thiering E, et al. Human serum metabolic profiles are age dependent. Aging Cell. 2012; 11:960–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00865.x</u> PMID:<u>22834969</u>
- Maurya PK, Kumar P, Chandra P. Biomarkers of oxidative stress in erythrocytes as a function of human age. World J Methodol. 2015; 5:216–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v5.i4.216</u> PMID:<u>26713282</u>
- Menni C, Kastenmüller G, Petersen AK, Bell JT, Psatha M, Tsai PC, Gieger C, Schulz H, Erte I, John S, Brosnan MJ, Wilson SG, Tsaprouni L, et al. Metabolomic markers reveal novel pathways of ageing and early development in human populations. Int J Epidemiol. 2013; 42:1111–19.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt094 PMID:23838602

- 14. Collino S, Montoliu I, Martin FP, Scherer M, Mari D, Salvioli S, Bucci L, Ostan R, Monti D, Biagi E, Brigidi P, Franceschi C, Rezzi S. Metabolic signatures of extreme longevity in northern Italian centenarians reveal a complex remodeling of lipids, amino acids, and gut microbiota metabolism. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e56564. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056564</u> PMID:23483888
- 15. Cody Johnson L, Cody L. Aging and the Plasma Metabolome: Relation to Physiological Function Recommended Citation. 2018. <u>https://scholar.colorado</u> .edu/iphy_gradetds/85
- 16. Lau CE, Siskos AP, Maitre L, Robinson O, Athersuch TJ, Want EJ, Urquiza J, Casas M, Vafeiadi M, Roumeliotaki T, McEachan RR, Azad R, Haug LS, et al. Determinants of the urinary and serum metabolome in children from six European populations. BMC Med. 2018; 16:202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-018-1190-8</u> PMID:<u>30404627</u>
- Jové M, Maté I, Naudí A, Mota-Martorell N, Portero-Otín M, De la Fuente M, Pamplona R. Human aging is a metabolome-related matter of gender. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2016; 71:578–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glv074</u> PMID:<u>26019184</u>
- Chaleckis R, Murakami I, Takada J, Kondoh H, Yanagida M. Individual variability in human blood metabolites identifies age-related differences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113:4252–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603023113</u> PMID:27036001
- Lawton KA, Berger A, Mitchell M, Milgram KE, Evans AM, Guo L, Hanson RW, Kalhan SC, Ryals JA, Milburn MV. Analysis of the adult human plasma metabolome. Pharmacogenomics. 2008; 9:383–97. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/14622416.9.4.383</u>
 PMID:18384253
- 20. Rist MJ, Roth A, Frommherz L, Weinert CH, Krüger R, Merz B, Bunzel D, Mack C, Egert B, Bub A, Görling B, Tzvetkova P, Luy B, et al. Metabolite patterns predicting sex and age in participants of the karlsruhe metabolomics and nutrition (KarMeN) study. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0183228. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183228</u> PMID:<u>28813537</u>
- Trabado S, Al-Salameh A, Croixmarie V, Masson P, Corruble E, Fève B, Colle R, Ripoll L, Walther B, Boursier-Neyret C, Werner E, Becquemont L, Chanson P. The human plasma-metabolome: reference values in

800 French healthy volunteers; impact of cholesterol, gender and age. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0173615. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173615 PMID:<u>28278231</u>

- 22. Pitkänen HT, Oja SS, Kemppainen K, Seppä JM, Mero AA. Serum amino acid concentrations in aging men and women. Amino Acids. 2003; 24:413–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-002-0338-0</u> PMID:<u>12768504</u>
- Gu H, Pan Z, Xi B, Hainline BE, Shanaiah N, Asiago V, Gowda GA, Raftery D. 1H NMR metabolomics study of age profiling in children. NMR Biomed. 2009; 22:826–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.1395</u> PMID:<u>19441074</u>
- 24. Corasaniti MT, Maiuolo J, Maida S, Fratto V, Navarra M, Russo R, Amantea D, Morrone LA, Bagetta G. Cell signaling pathways in the mechanisms of neuroprotection afforded by bergamot essential oil against NMDA-induced cell death *in vitro*. Br J Pharmacol. 2007; 151:518–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707237 PMID:<u>17401440</u>
- Chang W, Hatch GM, Wang Y, Yu F, Wang M. The relationship between phospholipids and insulin resistance: From clinical to experimental studies. J Cell Mol Med. 2019; 23:702–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.13984</u> PMID:<u>30402908</u>
- Armiñán A, Palomino-Schätzlein M, Deladriere C, Arroyo-Crespo JJ, Vicente-Ruiz S, Vicent MJ, Pineda-Lucena A. Metabolomics facilitates the discrimination of the specific anti-cancer effects of free- and polymerconjugated doxorubicin in breast cancer models. Biomaterials. 2018; 162:144–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.02.015</u> PMID:29448142
- Teng JF, Lee CH, Hsu TH, Lo HC. Potential activities and mechanisms of extracellular polysaccharopeptides from fermented trametes versicolor on regulating glucose homeostasis in insulin-resistant HepG2 cells. PLoS One. 2018; 13:e0201131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201131</u> PMID:<u>30024975</u>
- Stefanovic D, Erikson E, Pike LJ, Maller JL. Activation of a ribosomal protein S6 protein kinase in xenopus oocytes by insulin and insulin-receptor kinase. EMBO J. 1986; 5:157–60. PMID:<u>3514207</u>
- 29. Willard SS, Koochekpour S. Glutamate, glutamate receptors, and downstream signaling pathways. Int J Biol Sci. 2013; 9:948–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.6426</u> PMID:<u>24155668</u>

- Hospital Univesitari i Politècnic La Fe, Valencia; Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas. J.J.V.) and Genetics (C.E.). 2013. <u>http://fruitfly.org</u>
- Tiengo A, Valerio A, Molinari M, Meneghel A, Lapolla A. Effect of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and acetate on insulin and glucagon secretion in the perfused rat pancreas. Diabetes. 1981; 30:705–09. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.30.9.705</u> PMID:7021270
- Pérez-Rambla C, Puchades-Carrasco L, García-Flores M, Rubio-Briones J, López-Guerrero JA, Pineda-Lucena A. Non-invasive urinary metabolomic profiling discriminates prostate cancer from benign prostatic hyperplasia. Metabolomics. 2017; 13:52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-017-1194-y</u> PMID:<u>28804274</u>
- Hess JR, Solheim BG. Red blood cell metabolism, preservation, and oxygen delivery. Ross Princ Transfus Med. 2016; 97–109. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119013020.ch09
- 34. Kuhn V, Diederich L, Keller TCS 4t, Kramer CM, Lückstädt W, Panknin C, Suvorava T, Isakson BE, Kelm M, Cortese-Krott MM. Red blood cell function and dysfunction: redox regulation, nitric oxide metabolism, anemia. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2017; 26:718–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2016.6954</u> PMID:<u>27889956</u>
- 35. Mohanty JG, Nagababu E, Rifkind JM. Red blood cell oxidative stress impairs oxygen delivery and induces red blood cell aging. Front Physiol. 2014; 5:84. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00084</u> PMID:<u>24616707</u>
- World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight.
 2020. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/</u> detail/obesity-and-overweight
- Taylor VH, Forhan M, Vigod SN, McIntyre RS, Morrison KM. The impact of obesity on quality of life. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013; 27:139–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2013.04.004</u> PMID:<u>23731876</u>
- Kyrou I, Randeva HS, Tsigos C, Kaltsas G, Weickert MO. Clinical Problems Caused by Obesity. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, Chrousos G, de Herder WW, Dungan K, Grossman A, Hershman JM, Hofland J, Kaltsas G, Koch C, Kopp P, Korbonits M, et al, editors. Endotext. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; 2000. PMID:<u>25905207</u>
- 39. Pi-Sunyer X. The medical risks of obesity. Postgrad Med. 2009; 121:21–33.

https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2009.11.2074 PMID:<u>19940414</u>

- 40. Salvestrini V, Sell C, Lorenzini A. Obesity may accelerate the aging process. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019; 10:266. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00266</u> PMID:<u>31130916</u>
- Villareal DT, Apovian CM, Kushner RF, Klein S, and American Society for Nutrition, and NAASO, The Obesity Society. Obesity in older adults: technical review and position statement of the American Society for Nutrition and NAASO, The Obesity Society. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005; 82:923–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/82.5.923</u> PMID:16280421
- 42. McKee A, Morley JE. Obesity in the Elderly. Endotext; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK532533/
- Cirulli ET, Guo L, Leon Swisher C, Shah N, Huang L, Napier LA, Kirkness EF, Spector TD, Caskey CT, Thorens B, Venter JC, Telenti A. Profound perturbation of the metabolome in obesity is associated with health risk. Cell Metab. 2019; 29:488–500.e2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.09.022</u> PMID:30318341
- 44. Ho JE, Larson MG, Ghorbani A, Cheng S, Chen MH, Keyes M, Rhee EP, Clish CB, Vasan RS, Gerszten RE, Wang TJ. Metabolomic profiles of body mass index in the framingham heart study reveal distinct cardiometabolic phenotypes. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0148361. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148361

PMID:26863521

45. Carayol M, Leitzmann MF, Ferrari P, Zamora-Ros R, Achaintre D, Stepien M, Schmidt JA, Travis RC, Overvad K, Tjønneland A, Hansen L, Kaaks R, Kühn T, et al. Blood metabolic signatures of body mass index: a targeted metabolomics study in the EPIC cohort. J Proteome Res. 2017; 16:3137–46. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b01062

PMID:28758405

46. Szymańska E, Bouwman J, Strassburg K, Vervoort J, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Ala-Korpela M, Westerhuis J, van Duynhoven JP, Mela DJ, Macdonald IA, Vreeken RJ, Smilde AK, Jacobs DM. Gender-dependent associations of metabolite profiles and body fat distribution in a healthy population with central obesity: towards metabolomics diagnostics. OMICS. 2012; 16:652–67.

https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2012.0062 PMID:<u>23215804</u>

47. Moore SC, Matthews CE, Sampson JN, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Zheng W, Cai Q, Tan YT, Chow WH, Ji BT, Liu DK, Xiao Q, Boca SM, Leitzmann MF, et al. Human metabolic correlates of body mass index. Metabolomics. 2014; 10:259–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-013-0574-1</u> PMID:25254000

- 48. Newgard CB, An J, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Stevens RD, Lien LF, Haqq AM, Shah SH, Arlotto M, Slentz CA, Rochon J, Gallup D, Ilkayeva O, et al. A branched-chain amino acid-related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and contributes to insulin resistance. Cell Metab. 2009; 9:311–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2009.02.002 PMID:<u>19356713</u>
- Dunn WB, Lin W, Broadhurst D, Begley P, Brown M, Zelena E, Vaughan AA, Halsall A, Harding N, Knowles JD, Francis-McIntyre S, Tseng A, Ellis DI, et al. Molecular phenotyping of a UK population: defining the human serum metabolome. Metabolomics. 2015; 11:9–26.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-014-0707-1 PMID:<u>25598764</u>

- 50. Yu HT, Fu XY, Xu B, Zuo LL, Ma HB, Wang SR. Untargeted metabolomics approach (UPLC-Q-TOF-MS) explores the biomarkers of serum and urine in overweight/obese young men. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2018; 27:1067–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.052018.07</u> PMID:30272855
- 51. Cho K, Moon JS, Kang JH, Jang HB, Lee HJ, Park SI, Yu KS, Cho JY. Combined untargeted and targeted metabolomic profiling reveals urinary biomarkers for discriminating obese from normal-weight adolescents. Pediatr Obes. 2017; 12:93–101. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12114 PMID:26910390
- 52. Bird JK, Ronnenberg AG, Choi SW, Du F, Mason JB, Liu Z. Obesity is associated with increased red blood cell folate despite lower dietary intakes and serum concentrations. J Nutr. 2015; 145:79–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.114.199117</u> PMID:<u>25527662</u>
- del Genio G, Ferreri C, Marfella R, Pournaras D, le Roux CW, del Genio F, Paolo L, Tolone S, Docimo L, Puca AA. Morbid Obesity is Associated to Altered Fatty Acid Profile of Erythrocyte Membranes. J Diabetes Metab. 2015; 6

https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6156.1000582

- 54. Mauvais-Jarvis F. Epidemiology of gender differences in diabetes and obesity. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017; 1043:3–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70178-3 1</u> PMID:<u>29224087</u>
- 55. Wu BN, O'Sullivan AJ. Sex differences in energy metabolism need to be considered with lifestyle

modifications in humans. J Nutr Metab. 2011; 2011:391809. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/391809 PMID:21773020

- 56. Arciero PJ, Goran MI, Poehlman ET. Resting metabolic rate is lower in women than in men. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1993; 75:2514–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1993.75.6.2514</u> PMID:<u>8125870</u>
- 57. Drolz A, Wewalka M, Horvatits T, Fuhrmann V, Schneeweiss B, Trauner M, Zauner C. Genderspecific differences in energy metabolism during the initial phase of critical illness. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2014; 68:707–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2013.287</u> PMID:24424078
- Ferraro R, Lillioja S, Fontvieille AM, Rising R, Bogardus C, Ravussin E. Lower sedentary metabolic rate in women compared with men. J Clin Invest. 1992; 90:780–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl115951</u> PMID:<u>1522233</u>
- 59. Kochhar S, Jacobs DM, Ramadan Z, Berruex F, Fuerholz A, Fay LB. Probing gender-specific metabolism differences in humans by nuclear magnetic resonancebased metabonomics. Anal Biochem. 2006; 352:274–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2006.02.033</u> PMID:<u>16600169</u>
- 60. Mittelstrass K, Ried JS, Yu Z, Krumsiek J, Gieger C, Prehn C, Roemisch-Margl W, Polonikov A, Peters A, Theis FJ, Meitinger T, Kronenberg F, Weidinger S, et al. Discovery of sexual dimorphisms in metabolic and genetic biomarkers. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7:e1002215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002215</u> PMID:<u>21852955</u>
- 61. Krumsiek J, Mittelstrass K, Do KT, Stückler F, Ried J, Adamski J, Peters A, Illig T, Kronenberg F, Friedrich N, Nauck M, Pietzner M, Mook-Kanamori DO, Suhre K, Gieger C, Grallert H, Theis FJ, Kastenmüller G. Genderspecific pathway differences in the human serum metabolome. Metabolomics. 2015; 11:1815–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-015-0829-0</u> PMID:<u>26491425</u>
- 62. Contreras-Zentella ML, Sánchez-Sevilla L, Suárez-Cuenca JA, Olguín-Martínez M, Alatriste-Contreras MG, García-García N, Orozco L, Hernández-Muñoz R. The role of oxidant stress and gender in the erythrocyte arginine metabolism and ammonia management in patients with type 2 diabetes. PLoS One. 2019; 14:e0219481.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219481 PMID:<u>31314811</u>

63. Straface E, Gambardella L, Mattatelli A, Canali E, Boccalini F, Agati L, Malorni W. The red blood cell as a gender-associated biomarker in metabolic syndrome: a pilot study. Int J Cell Biol. 2011; 2011:204157. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/204157 PMID:21941552

- 64. Nagana Gowda GA, Raftery D. Quantitating metabolites in protein precipitated serum using NMR spectroscopy. Anal Chem. 2014; 86:5433–40. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac5005103 PMID:24796490
- Nicholson JK, Foxall PJ, Spraul M, Farrant RD, Lindon JC.
 750 MHz 1H and 1H-13C NMR spectroscopy of human blood plasma. Anal Chem. 1995; 67:793–811.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00101a004
- 66. Palomino-Schätzlein M, Lamas-Domingo R, Ciudin A, Gutiérrez-Carcedo P, Marés R, Aparicio-Gómez C, Hernández C, Simó R, Herance JR. A translational *in vivo* and *in vitro* metabolomic study reveals altered metabolic pathways in red blood cells of type 2 diabetes. J Clin Med. 2020; 9:1619. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9061619</u> PMID:<u>32471219</u>
- Srivastava A, Evans KJ, Sexton AE, Schofield L, Creek DJ. Metabolomics-based elucidation of active metabolic pathways in erythrocytes and HSC-derived reticulocytes. J Proteome Res. 2017; 16:1492–505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00902</u> PMID:<u>28166632</u>
- Schuster S, Kenanov D. Adenine and adenosine salvage pathways in erythrocytes and the role of sadenosylhomocysteine hydrolase. A theoretical study using elementary flux modes. FEBS J. 2005; 272:5278–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04924.x</u> PMID:<u>16218958</u>
- 69. Magnani M, Piatti E, Serafini N, Palma F, Dachà M, Fornaini G. The age-dependent metabolic decline of the red blood cell. Mech Ageing Dev. 1983; 22:295–308. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-6374(83)90084-2</u> PMID:<u>6632999</u>
- 70. Ross JM, Öberg J, Brené S, Coppotelli G, Terzioglu M, Pernold K, Goiny M, Sitnikov R, Kehr J, Trifunovic A, Larsson NG, Hoffer BJ, Olson L. High brain lactate is a hallmark of aging and caused by a shift in the lactate dehydrogenase A/B ratio. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:20087–92. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008189107

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.10081892 PMID:21041631

 Phypers B, Pierce JMT. Lactate physiology in health and disease. Contin Educ Anaesthesia, Crit Care Pain. 2006; 6:128–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjaceaccp/mkl018

72. Chong J, Wishart DS, Xia J. Using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 for comprehensive and integrative metabolomics data analysis. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2019; 68:e86.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.86 PMID:<u>31756036</u>

- 73. Zhou Y, Meng Z, Gan H, Zheng Y, Zhu X, Wu Z, Li J, Gu R, Dou G. Time-course investigation of small molecule metabolites in MAP-stored red blood cells using UPLC-QTOF-MS. Molecules. 2018; 23:923. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23040923 PMID:29659551
- 74. Thomas Dörner PEL. Glucose 6 Phosphate Isomerase. In: Dennis RAB and EA, editor. Handbook of Cell Signaling (Second Edition). 2010; p: 2919–32.
- 75. Bardyn M, Rappaz B, Jaferzadeh K, Crettaz D, Tissot JD, Moon I, Turcatti G, Lion N, Prudent M. Red blood cells ageing markers: a multi-parametric analysis. Blood Transfus. 2017; 15:239–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.2450/2017.0318-16</u> PMID:<u>28518051</u>
- 76. I. Bernhardt JCE. Red Cell Membrane Transport in Health and Disease. 2003; 6221 p. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05181-8</u>
- 77. Rogers SC, Said A, Corcuera D, McLaughlin D, Kell P, Doctor A. Hypoxia limits antioxidant capacity in red blood cells by altering glycolytic pathway dominance. FASEB J. 2009; 23:3159–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-130666</u> PMID:19417084
- Trammell SA, Schmidt MS, Weidemann BJ, Redpath P, Jaksch F, Dellinger RW, Li Z, Abel ED, Migaud ME, Brenner C. Nicotinamide riboside is uniquely and orally bioavailable in mice and humans. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:12948. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12948

PMID:27721479

- 79. Karp MT, Raunio RP, Lövgren TN. Simultaneous extraction and combined bioluminescent assay of NAD+ and NADH. Anal Biochem. 1983; 128:175–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(83)90359-7</u> PMID:<u>6342464</u>
- 80. Yu Q, Pourmandi N, Xue L, Gondrand C, Fabritz S, Bardy D, Patiny L, Katsyuba E, Auwerx J, Johnsson K. A biosensor for measuring NAD⁺ levels at the point of care. Nat Metab. 2019; 1:1219–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-019-0151-7</u> PMID:<u>32694678</u>
- Khandelwal RL, Enno TL, Narayanan N. Effects of age on glycogen synthase and phosphorylase activities in rat liver. Mech Ageing Dev. 1984; 28:13–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-6374(84)90149-0</u> PMID:<u>6439952</u>
- 82. Chak CM, Lacruz ME, Adam J, Brandmaier S, Covic M, Huang J, Meisinger C, Tiller D, Prehn C, Adamski J,

Berger U, Gieger C, Peters A, et al. Ageing investigation using two-time-point metabolomics data from KORA and CARLA studies. Metabolites. 2019; 9:44. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo9030044</u> PMID:30841604

- Calvani R, Picca A, Marini F, Biancolillo A, Gervasoni J, Persichilli S, Primiano A, Coelho-Junior HJ, Bossola M, Urbani A, Landi F, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. A distinct pattern of circulating amino acids characterizes older persons with physical frailty and sarcopenia: results from the BIOSPHERE study. Nutrients. 2018; 10:1691. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10111691 PMID:30404172
- 84. Kouchiwa T, Wada K, Uchiyama M, Kasezawa N, Niisato M, Murakami H, Fukuyama K, Yokogoshi H. Age-related changes in serum amino acids concentrations in healthy individuals. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2012; 50:861–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2011-0846</u> PMID:<u>22628330</u>
- Sarwar G, Botting HG, Collins M. A comparison of fasting serum amino acid profiles of young and elderly subjects. J Am Coll Nutr. 1991; 10:668–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.1991.10718185</u> PMID:<u>1770195</u>
- 86. Morris CR, Suh JH, Hagar W, Larkin S, Bland DA, Steinberg MH, Vichinsky EP, Shigenaga M, Ames B, Kuypers FA, Klings ES. Erythrocyte glutamine depletion, altered redox environment, and pulmonary hypertension in sickle cell disease. Blood. 2008; 111:402–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-04-081703</u> PMID:17848621
- 87. Meynial-Denis D. Glutamine metabolism in advanced age. Nutr Rev. 2016; 74:225–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuv052</u> PMID:<u>26936258</u>
- 88. Noé JE. L-glutamine use in the treatment and prevention of mucositis and cachexia: a naturopathic perspective. Integr Cancer Ther. 2009; 8:409–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1534735409348865</u> PMID:<u>19942578</u>
- Maykish A, Sikalidis AK. Utilization of hydroxyl-methyl butyrate, leucine, glutamine and arginine supplementation in nutritional management of sarcopenia-implications and clinical considerations for type 2 diabetes mellitus risk modulation. J Pers Med. 2020; 10:19. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm10010019

PMID:32213854

 Kandimalla R, Reddy PH. Therapeutics of neurotransmitters in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis. 2017; 57:1049–69. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-161118 PMID:28211810

91. Pavlova NN, Hui S, Ghergurovich JM, Fan J, Intlekofer AM, White RM, Rabinowitz JD, Thompson CB, Zhang J. As extracellular glutamine levels decline, asparagine becomes an essential amino acid. Cell Metab. 2018; 27:428–38.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.12.006 PMID:29337136

- 92. Araki K, Rifkind JM. Age dependent changes in osmotic hemolysis of human erythrocytes. J Gerontol. 1980; 35:499–505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/35.4.499</u>
 PMID:7400543
- 93. Mineo H, Amita N, Kawawake M, Higuchi A. Dicarboxylic acids with limited numbers of hydrocarbons stabilize cell membrane and increase osmotic resistance in rat erythrocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013; 1828:2379–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2013.06.002</u> PMID:<u>23770357</u>
- 94. Petronini PG, De Angelis EM, Borghetti P, Borghetti AF, Wheeler KP. Modulation by betaine of cellular responses to osmotic stress. Biochem J. 1992; 282:69–73.

https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2820069 PMID:1311562

- 95. Felig P, Marliss E, Cahill GF Jr. Plasma amino acid levels and insulin secretion in obesity. N Engl J Med. 1969; 281:811–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM196910092811503</u> PMID:<u>5809519</u>
- 96. Hawkes CP, Grimberg A. Insulin-like growth factor-I is a marker for the nutritional state. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev. 2015; 13:499–511. PMID:<u>26841638</u>
- 97. Lynch CJ, Adams SH. Branched-chain amino acids in metabolic signalling and insulin resistance. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014; 10:723–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2014.171</u> PMID:<u>25287287</u>
- 98. Morris C, O'Grada C, Ryan M, Roche HM, Gibney MJ, Gibney ER, Brennan L. The relationship between BMI and metabolomic profiles: a focus on amino acids. Proc Nutr Soc. 2012; 71:634–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665112000699</u> PMID:<u>22863201</u>
- 99. Alves A, Bassot A, Bulteau AL, Pirola L, Morio B. Glycine metabolism and its alterations in obesity and metabolic diseases. Nutrients. 2019; 11:1356. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11061356</u> PMID:<u>31208147</u>

100. Travis SF, Morrison AD, Clements RS Jr, Winegrad AI, Oski FA. Metabolic alterations in the human erythrocyte produced by increases in glucose concentration. The role of the polyol pathway. J Clin Invest. 1971; 50:2104–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI106704</u> PMID:4398937

101. Smith RN, Agharkar AS, Gonzales EB. A review of creatine supplementation in age-related diseases: more than a supplement for athletes. F1000Res. 2014; 3:222. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.5218.1

PMID:25664170

- 102. Sivanesan S, Taylor A, Zhang J, Bakovic M. Betaine and choline improve lipid homeostasis in obesity by participation in mitochondrial oxidative demethylation. Front Nutr. 2018; 5:61. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2018.00061</u> PMID:30042948
- 103. Gao X, Zhang H, Guo XF, Li K, Li S, Li D. Effect of betaine on reducing body fat-a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrients. 2019; 11:2480. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11102480 PMID:31623137
- 104. Guasch-Ferré M, Hu FB, Ruiz-Canela M, Bulló M, Toledo E, Wang DD, Corella D, Gómez-Gracia E, Fiol M, Estruch R, Lapetra J, Fitó M, Arós F, et al. Plasma metabolites from choline pathway and risk of cardiovascular disease in the PREDIMED (prevention with mediterranean diet) study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017; 6:e006524.

https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.117.006524 PMID:29080862

105. Dubowitz N, Xue W, Long Q, Ownby JG, Olson DE, Barb D, Rhee MK, Mohan AV, Watson-Williams PI, Jackson SL, Tomolo AM, Johnson TM 2nd, Phillips LS. Aging is associated with increased HbA1c levels, independently of glucose levels and insulin resistance, and also with decreased HbA1c diagnostic specificity. Diabet Med. 2014; 31:927–35.

https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12459 PMID:24698119

- 106. Asrin M, Nessa A, Hasan MI, Das RK. Blood pressure and serum creatinine in obese female. Mymensingh Med J. 2015; 24:34–39. PMID:25725665
- 107. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Perry JJ. Serum creatinine concentration and risk of cardiovascular disease: a possible marker for increased risk of stroke. Stroke. 1997; 28:557–63. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.28.3.557

PMID:9056611

- 108. Cherin P, Voronska E, Fraoucene N, de Jaeger C. Prevalence of sarcopenia among healthy ambulatory subjects: the sarcopenia begins from 45 years. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2014; 26:137–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-013-0132-8</u> PMID:<u>24129803</u>
- 109. Weir CB, Jan A. BMI Classification Percentile And Cut Off Points. 2020 Jul 10. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
 PMID:31082114
- 110. Keys A, Fidanza F, Karvonen MJ, Kimura N, Taylor HL. Indices of relative weight and obesity. Int J Epidemiol. 2014; 43:655–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu058</u> PMID:24691951
- 111. Ulrich EL, Akutsu H, Doreleijers JF, Harano Y, Ioannidis YE, Lin J, Livny M, Mading S, Maziuk D, Miller Z, Nakatani E, Schulte CF, Tolmie DE, et al. BioMagResBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36:D402– 08.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm957 PMID:17984079

112. Wiklund S, Johansson E, Sjöström L, Mellerowicz EJ, Edlund U, Shockcor JP, Gottfries J, Moritz T, Trygg J. Visualization of GC/TOF-MS-based metabolomics data for identification of biochemically interesting compounds using OPLS class models. Anal Chem. 2008; 80:115–22. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0713510

PMID:18027910

- Eriksson L, Trygg J, Wold S. CV-ANOVA for significance testing of PLS and OPLS[®] models. J Chemom. 2008; 22:594–600. https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.1187
- 114. Farrés M, Platikanov S, Tsakovski S, Tauler R. Comparison of the variable importance in projection (VIP) and of the selectivity ratio (SR) methods for variable selection and interpretation. J Chemom. 2015; 29:528–36.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.2736

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Representative full 1H-NMR spectra corresponding to Age-1 and Age-2 groups.



Supplementary Figure 2. Metabolite spiking NMR spectra with reference compounds. Red: ¹H-NMR spectrum of representative RBCs sample after the addition of 0.5 μ L of the indicated metabolite (100mM). Blue: ¹H-NMR spectrum of the same sample before the addition of the standard metabolite. Spectra were acquired with a 600MHz spectrometer using 128 FIDs at 300K.



Supplementary Figure 3. (A) Total Correlation Spectroscopy (TOCSY) and (B) Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC) NMR experiments acquired with a 600MHz spectrometer for representative samples. TOCSY and HSQC experiment were acquired using 256 t1 increments in both experiments, and 32 and 96 FIDs, respectively. The relaxation delay was set to 1.5s and the experiments were acquired in the phase-sensitive mode. TOCSY spectra were recorded using a standard MLEV-17 pulse sequence with mixing times (spin-lock) of 65 ms.



Supplementary Figure 4. PCA of all the samples. UV scaled, R2X= 0.872, Q2= 0.708. Score plot colored by age (A), BMI (B) and gender (C) groups.



Supplementary Figure 5. Metabolic pathway analysis plot using Metaboanalyst. Plots display several metabolic pathway alterations induced by age (**A**, comparison between the Age-1 and Age-2 groups) and BMI (**B**, comparison between BMI-1 and BMI-2 groups). The x-axis represents the pathway impact value calculated from the pathway topological analysis, and the y-axis corresponds to the log of the p-value obtained from the pathway enrichment analysis. Each dot represents a unique metabolic pathway. The color depends on its p-value, red being the most significant, and the radius on the pathway impact values.

Supplementary Tables

Metabolite	Bucket	t (ppm)	Metabolite	Bucket (ppm)		
2,3-BPG*	4,0561	4.099	Histidine	7,073	7,12	
2-HIV*	0,9119	0,9215	Histidine	7,834	7,865	
3-HB*	1,1698	1,1726	Histidine	7,8881	7,911	
3-HB	1,1791	1,1833	IMP*	8,23	8,24	
3-MA*	0,86	0,911	IMP	8,573	8,588	
6-PG*	3,83	3,838	Isoleucine	1,007	1,027	
6-PG	4,099	4,104	Lactate	4,1041	4,123	
6-PG	4,164	4,204	Leucine	0,94	0,946	
Acetate	1,915	1,925	Leucine	0,951	0,9808	
Acetoacetate	2,242	2,282	Lysine	1,5029	1,526	
Adenosine	4,425	4,441	Lysine	1,526	1,532	
Adenosine	4,445	4,466	Lysine	1,7	1,77	
Adenosine	8,34	8,36	Lysine	1,871	1,909	
Adipate	1,5327	1,5693	Lysine	1,9251	1,937	
Adipate	2,195	2,206	Methionine	2,6451	2,65	
Alanine	1,472	1,5	Myo-inositol	3,6221	3,632	
AMP*	8,597	8,617	NAD+*	8,416	8,425	
Asparagine	2,841	2,852	NAD+	8,839	8,854	
Asparagine	2,852	2,865	NAD+	9,137	9,161	
Asparagine	2,8651	2,879	NAD+	9,335	9,352	
Aspartate	2,657	2,682	NADH	8,472	8,489	
Aspartate	2,79	2,84	NADP+*	8,426	8,435	
Aspartate	2,685	2,71	NADP+	8,81	8,823	
ATP*	4,2281	4,25	NADP+	9,093	9,124	
ATP	4,274	4,319	NADP+	9,292	9,308	
ATP	4,595	4,632	Niacinamide	8,7	8,739	
ATP	8,262	8,291	Niacinamide	8,936	8,952	
Betaine	3,264	3,272	Nucleotides	5,937	5,957	
Betaine	3,9020	3,908	Nucleotides	5,965	6,004	
Choline	3,2031	3,214	Nucleotides	6,031	6,058	
Creatine	3,035	3,045	Nucleotides	7,9271	7,947	
Creatine	3,922	3,94	Ornithine	3,054	3,079	
Dimethylamine	2,717	2,726	PEP*	5,032	5,045	
Formate	8,454	8,469	PEP	5,126	5,138	
Fumarate	6,514	6,527	PEP	5,364	5,377	
Glucose	3,527	3,553	Phenylalanine	7,3351	7,35	
Glucose	4,64	4,67	Phenylalanine	7,362	7,402	
Glucose	5,232	5,248	Phenylalanine	7,3161	7,335	
G1P*	5,445	5,475	Phosphocreatine	3,045	3,052	
Glutamate	2,331	2,368	Proline	4,1231	4,128	
Glutamine	2,435	2,483	Proline	4,133	4,155	
Glycerol	3,669	3,673	Propylene glycol	1,1379	1,1565	
Glycine	3,553	3,57	Pyroglutamate	2,388	2,397	
GSH*	2,6	2,613	Pyruvate	2,368	2,3771	
0011	_,~	,	,	<i>y</i> =	_,e / / 1	

Supplementary Table 1. Metabolites identified in RBCs and NMR integration regions used in this study.

GSH	2,9911	3	Threonine	4,251	4,272
GSH	4,569	4,591	Tyrosine	6,891	6,922
GSSG*	2,9561	2,968	UDP-glucose	5,5651	5,642
GSSG	2,9841	2,991	Valine	0,9865	1,0063
GSSG	3,001	3,011	Valine	1,035	1,0565
GSSG	3,29	3,333			
GSSG	3,769	3,778			

*2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate; 2-HIV: 2-hydroxyisovalerate; 3-HB: 3-hydroxybutyrate; 3-MA: 3-methyladipate; 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate; AMP: adenosine monophosphate; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; G1P: glucose 1-phosphate; GSH: reduced glutathione; GSSG: oxidized glutathione; IMP: inosine monophosphate; NAD+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADP+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate.

Metabolite	Assignment
2,3-BPG*	2D spectra
6-PG*	2D spectra
Acetate	2D spectra
Adipate	Spiking
Alanine	2D spectra
Asparagine	2D spectra
ATP*	2D spectra
Betaine	2D spectra
Creatine	Spiking
Formate	2D spectra
Fumarate	2D spectra
Glucose	Spiking
G1P*	2D spectra
Glutamate	2D spectra
Glutamine	2D spectra
GSH	Spiking
Glycine	2D spectra
Histidine	Spiking
IMP	Spiking
Leucine	2D spectra
NAD+*	2D spectra
NADP+*	Spiking
Phenylalanine	2D spectra
PEP*	Spiking
Phosphocreatine	Spiking
Proline	2D spectra
Propylene glycol	Spiking
Pyruvate	Spiking
UDP-Glucose	Spiking
Valine	2D spectra

Supplementary Table 2. Method of assignment of the significant metabolites of the study.

*2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate; 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; G1P: glucose 1-phosphate; GSH: reduced glutathione; IMP: inosine monophosphate; NAD+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADP+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate.

			Mean SEM			Fol	d change	(%)	P-value					
Metabolite	Bucke	t(ppm)	A1	A2	A3	A1	A2	A3	A1 vs. A2	A1 vs. A3	A2 vs. A3	A1 vs. A2	A1 vs. A3	A2 vs. A3
2,3-BPG	4.056	4.099	26.771	25.115	31.150	2.346	1.603	1.821	6 ± 11	16 ± 11	24 ± 9	0.656	0.280	0.020
6-PG	4.099	4.104	0.909	1.776	2.682	0.134	0.343	0.410	95 ± 24	195 ± 21	$51{\pm}25$	0.007	4.24E-06	0.102
Acetate	1.915	1.925	4.407	3.513	3.053	0.323	0.376	0.399	20 ± 13	31 ± 15	13 ± 17	0.109	0.025	0.412
Adipate	1.533	1.569	5.195	3.350	3.482	0.341	0.391	0.309	36±13	33 ± 11	4 ± 15	0.003	0.006	0.799
Alanine	1.472	1.500	14.062	10.581	10.519	0.825	1.223	0.458	25 ± 13	25 ± 7	1 ± 12	0.024	0.014	0.965
Asparagine	2.841	2.852	0.747	0.759	0.960	0.052	0.121	0.081	2 ± 17	29 ± 11	26 ± 18	0.912	0.038	0.200
ATP	8.262	8.291	6.127	5.331	5.684	0.422	0.632	0.424	13 ± 14	7 ± 10	7 ± 14	0.300	0.551	0.659
Betaine	3.264	3.272	10.415	7.648	9.319	0.693	1.168	0.868	27 ± 17	11 ± 11	22 ± 18	0.039	0.386	0.278
Glucose	5.232	5.248	3.534	2.088	1.530	0.572	0.650	0.248	41 ± 35	57 ± 23	27 ± 35	0.109	0.016	0.462
G1P	5.445	5.475	0.977	1.444	1.562	0.119	0.217	0.120	48 ± 19	60 ± 14	8 ± 17	0.048	0.008	0.655
Glutamate	2.331	2.368	10.344	8.889	7.625	0.634	1.207	0.364	14 ± 15	26 ± 8	14 ± 14	0.248	0.014	0.362
Glutamine	2.435	2.483	12.292	9.274	10.400	0.591	1.186	0.533	25 ± 14	15 ± 7	12 ± 14	0.015	0.071	0.424
Glutathione	3.290	3.333	17.624	14.089	15.801	0.810	1.424	0.836	20 ± 11	10 ± 7	12 ± 11	0.027	0.207	0.334
Glycine	3.553	3.570	9.139	8.202	7.638	0.442	0.519	0.324	10 ± 8	16 ± 6	7 ± 8	0.217	0.052	0.387
IMP	8.230	8.240	0.250	0.266	0.496	0.019	0.041	0.089	6 ± 17	98 ± 19	86 ± 24	0.690	3.06E-04	0.021
Lactate	4.104	4.123	6.603	7.344	7.678	0.425	0.36	0.549	11 ± 8	16 ± 10	5 ± 9	0.284	0.171	0.605
NAD+	9.335	9.352	0.685	0.507	0.455	0.056	0.061	0.033	26 ± 15	34 ± 11	10 ± 14	0.063	0.019	0.488
PEP	5.364	5.377	0.397	0.343	0.474	0.045	0.043	0.033	14 ± 17	19 ± 13	38 ± 14	0.469	0.326	0.029
Proline	4.133	4.155	2.580	3.149	3.047	0.118	0.239	0.142	22 ± 9	18 ± 7	3 ± 9	0.021	0.033	0.730
Pyruvate	2.368	2.377	2.154	2.218	1.881	0.073	0.112	0.065	3 ± 6	13 ± 5	15 ± 6	0.642	0.029	0.015
UDP-glucose	5.565	5.642	1.321	1.890	2.779	0.100	0.342	0.209	43 ± 20	110 ± 11	47 ± 20	0.039	2.98E-08	0.036
Valine	1.035	1.057	5.163	4.039	4.265	0.299	0.434	0.246	22 ± 12	17 ± 8	6±12	0.041	0.087	0.671

Supplementary Table 3. Metabolite levels and univariate statistical analyses corresponding to different age comparisons.

*2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate; 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; G1P: glucose 1-phosphate; IMP: inosine monophosphate; NAD+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate.

			Mean SEM				Fold	l change	(%)	P-value				
Metabolite	Bucket	t (ppm)	B1	B2	B3	B 1	B2	B3	B1 vs B2	B1 vs. B3	B2 vs B3	B1 vs B2	B1 vs B3	B2 vs B3
2,3-BPG*	4.056	4.099	25.214	20.140	18.214	1.582	2.156	2.365	20 ± 12	28 ± 14	10 ± 17	0.067	0.030	0.576
6-PG*	4.099	4.104	2.868	3.623	3.529	0.221	0.217	0.128	26 ± 10	23 ± 9	3±7	0.022	0.028	0.717
Adipate	1.533	1.569	3.606	3.328	2.613	0.384	0.418	0.528	8 ± 16	28 ± 23	21 ± 24	0.627	0.138	0.300
Alanine	1.472	1.5	11.011	17.781	18.116	1.046	1.482	1.563	61 ± 13	65 ± 13	2 ± 12	0.001	0.001	0.878
Asparagine	2.841	2.852	0.800	0.580	0.581	0.110	0.122	0.136	28 ± 25	27 ± 27	0 ± 31	0.191	0.220	1.000
Betaine	3.264	3.272	8.370	12.423	13.782	1.014	1.276	1.315	48 ± 16	65 ± 15	11 ± 14	0.018	0.003	0.464
Creatine	3.035	3.045	14.705	20.910	24.400	1.992	1.886	2.447	42 ± 16	66 ± 17	17 ± 13	0.031	0.004	0.267
Formate	8.454	8.469	0.632	1.034	0.992	0.078	0.132	0.130	64 ± 18	$57{\pm}18$	4 ± 18	0.013	0.023	0.823
Fumarate	6.514	6.527	0.205	0.306	0.316	0.029	0.035	0.034	49 ± 18	54 ± 18	3 ± 16	0.060	0.038	0.828
Glucose	5.232	5.248	2.182	3.919	5.728	0.563	0.662	1.262	80 ± 31	163 ± 34	46 ± 28	0.054	0.015	0.213
Glutamine	2.435	2.483	9.381	12.874	13.592	0.928	0.514	1.121	37 ± 11	45 ± 13	6 ± 9	0.002	0.007	0.564
Glycine	3.553	3.570	8.306	11.280	12.174	0.478	1.079	1.057	36 ± 11	47 ± 10	8 ± 13	0.017	0.002	0.558
Leucine	0.951	0.981	6.061	7.615	7.354	0.612	0.431	0.564	26 ± 12	21 ± 13	3 ± 10	0.046	0.130	0.715
NAD+*	9.335	9.352	0.493	0.659	0.626	0.058	0.052	0.054	34 ± 14	27 ± 15	5 ± 12	0.040	0.102	0.654
NADP+*	9.292	9.308	0.407	0.506	0.509	0.041	0.031	0.050	24 ± 12	25 ± 14	1 ± 12	0.160	0.171	0.958
Phenylalanine	7.335	7.350	0.573	0.678	0.664	0.054	0.040	0.044	18 ± 11	16 ± 12	2 ± 9	0.127	0.200	0.815
Phosphocreatine	3.045	3.052	2.809	3.425	3.562	0.272	0.179	0.262	22 ± 11	27 ± 12	4 ± 9	0.068	0.054	0.668
Proline	4.133	4.155	3.032	2.842	2.485	0.193	0.093	0.179	6± 7	18 ± 10	13 ± 8	0.382	0.047	0.082
Propyleneglycol	1.138	1.157	1.923	1.166	0.881	0.307	0.187	0.195	39 ± 23	54 ± 27	24 ± 27	0.043	0.007	0.300
Pyruvate	2.368	2.377	2.127	1.995	1.938	0.139	0.084	0.107	6 ± 8	9 ± 9	3±7	0.403	0.282	0.675
Valine	1.035	1.057	4.324	5.618	5.498	0.389	0.312	0.468	30±11	27 ± 12	2 ± 10	0.014	0.063	0.832

Supplementary Table 4. Metabolite levels and univariate statistical analyses corresponding to the comparison of different BMI subgroups.

*2,3-BPG: 2,3-biphosphoglycerate; 6-PG: 6-phosphogluconate; NAD+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NADP+: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate.

Supplementary Table 5.	Classification	of the individuals	included in the study.
			······································

Sample	Hospital	Gender	Age	BMI	Age-1/Age- 2	A1/A2/A3	BMI- 1/BMI-2	B1/B2/B
ERI 79	Peset	W*	26	20.60	-	A1	BMI-1	B1
ERI 84	Peset	W	21	23.10	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 85	Peset	W	19	21.10	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 87	Peset	W	25	24.20	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 91	Peset	\mathbf{W}	24	24.40	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 93	Peset	\mathbf{W}	45	22.70	Age-1	A2	BMI-1	B1
ERI 94	Peset	\mathbf{W}	50	35.80	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 96	Peset	W	39	21.70	-	A1	BMI-1	-
ERI 100	Peset	W	30	19.60	-	A1	BMI-1	B1
ERI 104	Peset	W	19	24.00	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 107	Peset	W	42	-	Age-1	A2	-	-
ERI 112	Peset	W	27	27.00	Age-1	A1	BMI-1	-
ERI 117	Peset	W	39	_	Age-1	-	BMI-2	-
ERI 118	Peset	W	48	40.73	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 121	Peset	W	40	39.00	Age-1	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 122	Peset	W	40	31.54	Age-1	-	BMI-2 BMI-2	B2
ERI 123	Peset	W	40	32.60	Age-1	_	BMI-2	B2
ERI 125	Peset	w	63	32.80	Age-2	_	BMI-2 BMI-2	B2 B2
ERI 128	Peset	W	32	33.35	Age-1	_	BMI-2 BMI-2	B2
ERI 120	Peset	W	45	45.78	Age-1	_	BMI-2 BMI-2	B2 B3
ERI 130	Peset	W	38	42.80	Age-1	-	BMI-2 BMI-2	B3
ERI 130 ERI 132	Peset	W	37	50.04	Age-1	_	BMI-2 BMI-2	B3
ERI 135	Peset	W	58	49.09	-		BMI-2 BMI-2	B3
ERI 135 ERI 137	Peset	W		42.08	-	-	BMI-2 BMI-2	B3
ERI 137 ERI 138	Peset	W	35	42.08 37.70	- Age-1	-	BMI-2 BMI-2	B3 B2
ERI 138 ERI 139	Peset	W	52	48.80	Age-1	-	BMI-2 BMI-2	B2 B3
ERI 139 ERI 162	Vall d'Hebron	W	52 65	23.63	- Age-2	A3	BMI-2 BMI-1	B3 B1
ERI 162 ERI 163	Vall d'Hebron	W	58	23.03 33.77	Age-2 Age-2	-	BMI-1 BMI-2	B1 B2
		W						B2 B2
ERI 168 ERI 169	Vall d'Hebron	W	70 69	31.96	Age-2	-	BMI-2	Б2 В1
	Vall d'Hebron			29.91	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	
ERI 171	Vall d'Hebron	W	58	41.02	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 172	Vall d'Hebron	W	63	47.30	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 173	Vall d'Hebron	W	55 59	43.97	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 174	Vall d'Hebron	W	58	48.60	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 177	Vall d'Hebron	W	58	50.22	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 180	Vall d'Hebron	W	58	45.77	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 314	Vall d'Hebron	W	63	-	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	-
UOM 1	Vall d'Hebron	W	54	22.76	Age-2	A2	BMI-1	B 1
UOM 2 ERI	Vall d'Hebron	W	39	18.96	-	A1	BMI-1	B1
JOM 3	Vall d'Hebron	W	45	24.16	Age-1	A2	BMI-1	B1
UOM 4	Vall d'Hebron	W	34	20.98	Age-1	A1	BMI-1	-
UOM 13	Vall d'Hebron	W	59	28.20	Age-2	A2	BMI-1	-
UOM 14	Vall d'Hebron	W	31	19.14	-	A1	BMI-1	-
UOM 16	Vall d'Hebron	W	68	25.51	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	-
UOM 21	Vall d'Hebron	W	37	23.87	Age-1	A1	BMI-1	-
UOM 26	Vall d'Hebron	W	31	19.16	-	A1	BMI-1	-
ERI 81	Peset	M *	52	23.70	-	A2	BMI-1	B1
ERI 83	Peset	Μ	25	23.40	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 90	Peset	Μ	25	23.90	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 97	Peset	Μ	47	23.40	Age-2	A2	BMI-1	B1

ERI 109PesetM2528.80Age-1A1ERI 111PesetM39-Age-1A1BMI-1ERI 120PesetM5338.60Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 131PesetM5443.30BMI-2B3ERI 133PesetM4530.93Age-1-BMI-2B2ERI 134PesetM4635.01Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 160Vall d'HebronM7028.08Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM6425.51Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM5728.40Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM5728.40Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 176Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 176Vall d'HebronM5236.57Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5536.57Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2									
ERI 120PesetM5338.60 $Age-2$ -BMI-2B2ERI 125PesetM5345.06BMI-2B3ERI 131PesetM5443.30BMI-2B2ERI 133PesetM4635.01Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 134PesetM4635.01Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 160Vall d'HebronM6131.40Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM6425.51Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM6330.11Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 166Vall d'HebronM6330.11Age-2-BMI-1B1ERI 167Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 175Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 175Vall d'HebronM6030.00Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 179Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 312Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A3BMI-1 <t< td=""><td>ERI 109</td><td>Peset</td><td>Μ</td><td>25</td><td>28.80</td><td>Age-1</td><td>A1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	ERI 109	Peset	Μ	25	28.80	Age-1	A1	-	-
ERI 125 Peset M 53 45.06 - - BMI-2 B3 ERI 131 Peset M 54 43.30 - - BMI-2 B2 ERI 133 Peset M 45 30.93 Age-1 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 134 Peset M 46 35.01 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 160 Vall d'Hebron M 61 31.40 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 B1 ERI 164 Vall d'Hebron M 64 25.51 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 B1 ERI 165 Vall d'Hebron M 52 29.41 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 ERI 166 Vall d'Hebron M 57 28.40 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 ERI 170 Vall d'Hebron M 57 28.40 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 ERI 170 Vall d'Hebron M 61 26.98 Age-2 A3 BMI-2 B2 ERI 178 </td <td>ERI 111</td> <td>Peset</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>Age-1</td> <td>A1</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	ERI 111	Peset			-	Age-1	A1		-
ERI 131PesetM54 43.30 BMI-2B3ERI 133PesetM45 30.93 Age-1-BMI-2B2ERI 134PesetM46 35.01 Age-2-ABMI-2B2ERI 160Vall d'HebronM61 31.40 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM64 25.51 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2A3BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 $-$ Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM55 $-$ Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM55 $-$ Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM	ERI 120	Peset			38.60	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 133PesetM45 30.93 Age-1-BMI-2B2ERI 134PesetM46 35.01 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 160Vall d'HebronM70 28.08 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM61 31.40 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 164Vall d'HebronM64 25.51 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 166Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 175Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2A3BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM55 -40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM55 <td< td=""><td>ERI 125</td><td>Peset</td><td></td><td>53</td><td>45.06</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>BMI-2</td><td>B3</td></td<>	ERI 125	Peset		53	45.06	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 134PesetM46 35.01 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 160Vall d'HebronM70 28.08 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM61 31.40 Age-2A3BMI-2B2ERI 164Vall d'HebronM64 25.51 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 164Vall d'HebronM52 29.41 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 170Vall d'HebronM71 30.03 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 $Age-2$ -BMI-2B3ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 10Vall d'HebronM5	ERI 131	Peset	Μ	54	43.30	-	-	BMI-2	B3
ERI 160Vall d'HebronM7028.08Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 161Vall d'HebronM6131.40Age-2A3BMI-2B2ERI 164Vall d'HebronM6425.51Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM5229.41Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM6330.11Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM5728.40Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 170Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM6030.00Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 179Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM55-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3327.75 <td>ERI 133</td> <td>Peset</td> <td>Μ</td> <td>45</td> <td>30.93</td> <td>Age-1</td> <td>-</td> <td>BMI-2</td> <td>B2</td>	ERI 133	Peset	Μ	45	30.93	Age-1	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 161Vall d'HebronM61 31.40 Age-2A3BMI-2B2ERI 164Vall d'HebronM64 25.51 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM52 29.41 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 170Vall d'HebronM77 28.40 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 170Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 179Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 179Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 312Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'Hebron <td< td=""><td>ERI 134</td><td>Peset</td><td>Μ</td><td>46</td><td>35.01</td><td>Age-2</td><td>-</td><td>BMI-2</td><td>B2</td></td<>	ERI 134	Peset	Μ	46	35.01	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 164Vall d'HebronM6425.51Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 165Vall d'HebronM5229.41Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM6330.11Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM5728.40Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM7130.03Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM6030.00Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM5236.57Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 179Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM55-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 16Vall d'HebronM2620.38 <t< td=""><td>ERI 160</td><td>Vall d'Hebron</td><td>Μ</td><td>70</td><td>28.08</td><td>Age-2</td><td>A3</td><td>BMI-1</td><td>B1</td></t<>	ERI 160	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	70	28.08	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	B1
ERI 165Vall d'HebronM5229.41Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 166Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM71 30.03 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM66-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM70 22.85 Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM70 <td>ERI 161</td> <td>Vall d'Hebron</td> <td>Μ</td> <td>61</td> <td>31.40</td> <td>Age-2</td> <td>A3</td> <td>BMI-2</td> <td>B2</td>	ERI 161	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	61	31.40	Age-2	A3	BMI-2	B2
ERI 166Vall d'HebronM63 30.11 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 167Vall d'HebronM57 28.40 Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM71 30.03 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM61 26.98 Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 176Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM55 -40.95 Age-2-BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM55 29.04 Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM33 27.75 Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM33 27.75 Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM26 20.38 -A1BMI-1-UOM 16Vall d'HebronM <td< td=""><td>ERI 164</td><td>Vall d'Hebron</td><td>Μ</td><td>64</td><td>25.51</td><td>Age-2</td><td>A3</td><td>BMI-1</td><td>B1</td></td<>	ERI 164	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	64	25.51	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	B1
ERI 167Vall d'HebronM5728.40Age-2A2BMI-1B1ERI 170Vall d'HebronM7130.03Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 175Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 176Vall d'HebronM6030.00Age-2-BMI-2B2UOM 3Vall d'HebronM5236.57Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 179Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-1-ERI 179Vall d'HebronM59-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 312Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 10Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1 <td< td=""><td>ERI 165</td><td>Vall d'Hebron</td><td>Μ</td><td>52</td><td>29.41</td><td>Age-2</td><td>A2</td><td>BMI-1</td><td>B1</td></td<>	ERI 165	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	52	29.41	Age-2	A2	BMI-1	B1
ERI 170 Vall d'Hebron M 71 30.03 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 175 Vall d'Hebron M 61 26.98 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 B1 ERI 176 Vall d'Hebron M 60 30.00 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 178 Vall d'Hebron M 52 36.57 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 179 Vall d'Hebron M 55 40.95 Age-2 - BMI-2 B3 ERI 312 Vall d'Hebron M 59 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 313 Vall d'Hebron M 65 - Age-2 A3 BMI-1 - ERI 315 Vall d'Hebron M 60 - Age-2 A3 BMI-1 - ERI 315 Vall d'Hebron M 55 29.04 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 5 Vall d'Hebron M 38 22.38 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - <t< td=""><td>ERI 166</td><td>Vall d'Hebron</td><td>Μ</td><td>63</td><td>30.11</td><td>Age-2</td><td>-</td><td>BMI-2</td><td>B2</td></t<>	ERI 166	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	63	30.11	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B2
ERI 175Vall d'HebronM6126.98Age-2A3BMI-1B1ERI 176Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2UOM 3Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 179Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM59-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 5Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 10Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1B1UOM 17Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1	ERI 167	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	57	28.40	Age-2	A2	BMI-1	B1
ERI 176 Vall d'Hebron M 60 30.00 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 178 Vall d'Hebron M 52 36.57 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 178 Vall d'Hebron M 55 40.95 Age-2 - BMI-2 B3 ERI 312 Vall d'Hebron M 59 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 313 Vall d'Hebron M 65 - Age-2 A3 BMI-1 - ERI 315 Vall d'Hebron M 64 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 316 Vall d'Hebron M 60 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - UOM 5 Vall d'Hebron M 60 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 10 Vall d'Hebron M 38 22.38 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 12 Vall d'Hebron	ERI 170	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	71	30.03	Age-2	-	BMI-2	B2
UOM 3Vall d'HebronM60 30.00 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 178Vall d'HebronM52 36.57 Age-2-BMI-2B2ERI 179Vall d'HebronM55 40.95 Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM59-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A2BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-	ERI 175	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	61	26.98	Age-2	A3	BMI-1	B1
UOM 3 Vall d'Hebron M 52 36.57 Age-2 - BMI-2 B2 ERI 179 Vall d'Hebron M 55 40.95 Age-2 - BMI-2 B3 ERI 312 Vall d'Hebron M 59 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 313 Vall d'Hebron M 65 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 315 Vall d'Hebron M 65 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 315 Vall d'Hebron M 64 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - ERI 316 Vall d'Hebron M 60 - Age-2 A2 BMI-1 - UOM 5 Vall d'Hebron M 55 29.04 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 10 Vall d'Hebron M 38 22.38 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 12 Vall d'Hebron M 70 22.85 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 -		Vall d'Hebron	м	60	30.00	Δ σο 2		BMI 2	BJ
ERI 179Vall d'HebronM5540.95Age-2-BMI-2B3ERI 312Vall d'HebronM59-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-						•	-		
ERI 312Vall d'HebronM59-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM4224.22Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2829.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 19Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-						-	-		
ERI 313Vall d'HebronM65-Age-2A3BMI-1-ERI 315Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM4224.22Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 19Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-					40.95	-			B3
ERI 315Vall d'HebronM54-Age-2A2BMI-1-ERI 316Vall d'HebronM60-Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM5529.04Age-2A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM4224.22Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-2-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-					-	-			-
ERI 316Vall d'HebronM 60 - $Age-2$ A3BMI-1-UOM 5Vall d'HebronM 55 29.04 $Age-2$ A2BMI-1B1UOM 10Vall d'HebronM 38 22.38 $Age-1$ A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM 42 24.22 $Age-1$ A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM 70 22.85 $Age-2$ A3BMI-1-UOM 15Vall d'HebronM 70 22.85 $Age-2$ A3BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM 29 19.81 -A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM 28 29.04 $Age-1$ A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM 26 20.38 -A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM 24 32.69 $Age-1$ A1BMI-2-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM 26 22.04 $Age-1$ A1BMI-1-					-	-			-
UOM 5 Vall d'Hebron M 55 29.04 Age-2 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 10 Vall d'Hebron M 38 22.38 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 12 Vall d'Hebron M 42 24.22 Age-1 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 12 Vall d'Hebron M 42 24.22 Age-1 A2 BMI-1 B1 UOM 15 Vall d'Hebron M 70 22.85 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 - UOM 17 Vall d'Hebron M 33 27.75 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 17 Vall d'Hebron M 29 19.81 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 18 Vall d'Hebron M 28 29.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 -					-	Age-2			-
UOM 10Vall d'HebronM3822.38Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 12Vall d'HebronM4224.22Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 19Vall d'HebronM2829.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM2432.69Age-1A1BMI-2-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-					-	-			
UOM 12Vall d'HebronM4224.22Age-1A2BMI-1B1UOM 15Vall d'HebronM7022.85Age-2A3BMI-1-UOM 17Vall d'HebronM3327.75Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 18Vall d'HebronM2919.81-A1BMI-1-UOM 19Vall d'HebronM2829.04Age-1A1BMI-1-UOM 20Vall d'HebronM2620.38-A1BMI-1-UOM 22Vall d'HebronM2432.69Age-1A1BMI-2-UOM 23Vall d'HebronM2622.04Age-1A1BMI-1-						Age-2			B1
UOM 15 Vall d'Hebron M 70 22.85 Age-2 A3 BMI-1 - UOM 17 Vall d'Hebron M 33 27.75 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 17 Vall d'Hebron M 29 19.81 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 18 Vall d'Hebron M 28 29.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 19 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -						-			
UOM 17 Vall d'Hebron M 33 27.75 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 18 Vall d'Hebron M 29 19.81 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 19 Vall d'Hebron M 28 29.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -	UOM 12					-		BMI-1	B1
UOM 18 Vall d'Hebron M 29 19.81 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 19 Vall d'Hebron M 28 29.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -						Age-2			-
UOM 19 Vall d'Hebron M 28 29.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 - UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -						Age-1			-
UOM 20 Vall d'Hebron M 26 20.38 - A1 BMI-1 - UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -	UOM 18					-			-
UOM 22 Vall d'Hebron M 24 32.69 Age-1 A1 BMI-2 - UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -	UOM 19	Vall d'Hebron		28	29.04	Age-1	A1	BMI-1	-
UOM 23 Vall d'Hebron M 26 22.04 Age-1 A1 BMI-1 -						-			-
									-
UOM 24 Vall d'Hebron M 31 20.67 - A1 BMI-1 -						Age-1			-
	UOM 24	Vall d'Hebron	Μ	31	20.67	-	A1	BMI-1	-

*M = Men, W = Women; M and W in bold are the selected samples for gender analysis.