Research Paper

Propofol ameliorates renal ischemia/reperfusion injury by enhancing macrophage M2 polarization through PPARγ/STAT3 signaling

Zhaohui Liu¹, Yanli Meng², Yu Miao³, Lili Yu¹, Qianjie Wei¹, Yuqing Li⁴, Bing Zhang⁴, Qiannan Yu¹

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, Hebei, China ²Department of Gastroenterology, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, Hebei, China ³Department of Neurosurgery, Cangzhou Central Hospital, Cangzhou, Hebei, China ⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Botou Hospital, Botou, Cangzhou, Hebei, China

Correspondence to: Zhaohui Liu; email: zhaohuiliu789@163.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7467-9795Keywords: renal ischemia/reperfusion injury, propofol, peritoneal macrophage, inflammatory responseAbbreviations: Pro: propofol; rl/R: renal ischemia/reperfusion; PMs: peritoneal macrophages; H/R: hypoxia/reoxygenationReceived: December 18, 2020Accepted: May 13, 2021Published: June 10, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Propofol (Pro) confers protection against renal ischemia/reperfusion (rI/R) injury through incompletely characterized mechanisms. Since Pro has shown net anti-inflammatory properties as part of its beneficial effects, we examined the potential role of Pro in the modulation of macrophage polarization status during both rI/R injury *in vivo* and exposure of cultured peritoneal macrophages (PMs) to hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R). Rats were subjected to 45-min r/IR surgery or a sham procedure and administered PBS (vehicle) or Pro during the ischemia stage. Pro administration attenuated rI/R-induced kidney damage and renal TNF- α , IL-6, and CXCL-10 expression. Enhanced macrophage M2 polarization, evidenced by reduced iNOS and increased Arg1 and Mrc1 mRNA levels, was further detected after Pro treatment both in the kidney, after rI/R *in vivo*, and in H/R-treated PMs. Pro administration also repressed phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (p-STAT1) and increased p-STAT3, p-STAT6, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR γ) mRNA levels in H/R-exposed PMs. Importantly, siRNA-mediated PPAR γ silencing repressed Pro-mediated STAT3 activation in PMs and restored proinflammatory cytokine levels and prevented macrophage M2 marker expression in both rI/R-treated rats and cultured PMs. These findings suggest that Pro confers renoprotection against rI/R by stimulating PPAR γ /STAT3-dependent macrophage conversion to the M2 phenotype.

INTRODUCTION

Renal ischemia/reperfusion (rI/R) injury remains a chief problem for patients undergoing partial kidney resection and kidney replacement therapy [1, 2]. The high metabolic and oxygen demand and the extensive microvasculature of the kidneys make these organs particularly susceptible to I/R complications, which may lead to acute kidney injury (AKI) and progression to chronic kidney disease (CKD) [3]. Moreover, besides compromising kidney integrity and function, r/IR may also trigger dysfunction in distant organs, including lungs, heart, brain, and liver [4]. During the reperfusion stage, activation of the vascular endothelium facilitates the adherence and infiltration of immune cells, including lymphocytes, neutrophils, macrophages, and dendritic cells. While some immune cells participate actively in tissue healing, the release of proinflammatory mediators such as TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 by activated macrophages, T cells, and neutrophils triggers an inflammatory response that contributes to tissue damage and dysfunction [5, 6].

Due to insufficient understanding of the cellular players and the molecular mechanisms involved, current therapeutic strategies are scarce and often show limited benefits. Whereas perioperative interventions, such as ischemic preconditioning, fluid management, and intermittent hemodialysis may reduce rI/R injury and AKI development in selected surgical patients, pharmacological approaches have so far yielded disappointing or mixed results [7, 8]. Therefore, numerous preclinical studies, including our own, continue to explore both the specific contribution of innate immunity and potential approaches to restrict the inflammatory response, attenuate oxidative damage, modulate autophagy, and stimulate angiogenesis in the setting of rI/R injury [9–13].

Propofol (Pro), an intravenous anesthetic, is generally used for the sedation of surgical patients [14, 15]. Remarkably, Pro administration has shown to attenuate organ I/R injury and improve surgical outcomes in clinical and preclinical settings [11, 16-18]. As our past studies attest, lessening the production of proinflammatory cytokines lies at the core of Pro's cytoprotective effects against rI/R injury [11, 16]. Therefore, the potential modulatory actions of Pro on macrophage transition from a proinflammatory (M1) to an anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotype is of great interest [19]. In the present study we used an in vivo rI/R model and an in vitro macrophage hypoxia/reperfusion (H/R) model to evaluate the effects of Pro administration on kidney inflammation and macrophage polarization status, and investigated the molecular mechanisms intervening in these effects.

RESULTS

Pro postconditioning reduces rI/R injury in rats

To ascertain that Pro confers protection against rI/R injury in vivo. Sprague Dawley rats were subjected to rI/R or sham surgery and infused, at the onset of ischemia and over the reperfusion stage, with PBS (vehicle) or Pro as described in Materials and Methods. Rats were sacrificed 24 h later and serum obtained for blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine (SCr) determinations. Results showed that Pro administration significantly reduced serum BUN (Figure 1A) and SCr (Figure 1B) levels in rats exposed to rI/R. In turn, H&E staining showed that Pro administration attenuated renal injury (Figure 1C) and resulted in lower ATN scores (Figure 1D) compared to rI/R+PBS treatment. Moreover, compared to the latter group, TUNEL staining revealed a significant reduction in apoptosis in kidney samples from rI/R+Pro-treated animals (Figure 1E, 1F). No obvious changes in serum markers, kidney histology, or apoptosis were noted in sham-operated rats that received PBS or Pro. These findings confirmed that Pro administration significantly lessened classical manifestations of rI/R injury in rats.

Pro administration attenuates rI/R-induced renal inflammation

The inflammatory immune reaction is a major determinant of rI/R injury. To evaluate whether the protective effect of Pro on kidney function and structure after I/R is associated with a reduced inflammatory response, renal expression of proinflammatory and antiinflammatory cytokines was quantified by real-time PCR. As shown in Figure 2A-2D, rI/R significantly activated the production of IL-6, TNF-a, CXCL-10, and IL-10 mRNA. Suggesting a net anti-inflammatory effect, Pro administration significantly repressed proinflammatory CXCL-10, IL-6, and TNF-a mRNA levels (Figure 2A-2C) but enhanced instead antiinflammatory IL-10 mRNA production (Figure 2D) in kidney samples from rI/R-challenged rats. Furthermore, Pro administration during rI/R reduced renal expression of iNOS, a marker of M1 macrophages (Figure 2E) and enhanced the expression of macrophage M2 markers Arg1 and Mrc1 (Figure 2F, 2G). In contrast, no significant changes in cytokine or macrophage marker expression were observed in sham-operated, control rats administered PBS or Pro. These results suggest that Pro protects the kidneys from rI/R injury by alleviating the inflammatory response and promoting M1-to-M2 transition in macrophages.

Pro treatment enhances M2 polarization in rat macrophages *in vitro*

Based on the above findings, and to determine whether Pro exposure can modulate the classical (M1) and alternative (M2) activation states in macrophages, rat peritoneal macrophages (PMs) were isolated and with Pro or vehicle (PBS) during incubated hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R) stimulation. Cytokine expression and M1/M2 polarization markers were evaluated by ELISA and real-time PCR, respectively. In line with our *in vivo* findings, H/R strongly induced the expression of IL-6, TNF- α , and IL-10 in the supernatant of cultured PMs. However, lower concentrations of proinflammatory IL-6 and TNF-a (Figure 3A, 3B) and higher concentrations of anti-inflammatory IL-10 (Figure 3C) were detected for H/R+Pro PMs compared to control (H/R only) cells. Meanwhile, whereas H/R exposure stimulated the expression of markers of both M1 (iNOS) and M2 (Arg1 and Mrc1) phenotypes, Pro treatment reduced iNOS expression (Figure 3D) and increased the production of Arg1 and Mrc1 mRNA (Figure 3E, 3F).

The critical influence of STAT3 and PPARy activation modulating macrophage M1/M2in phenotype conversion has been described in previous studies [20, 21]. Therefore, we conducted western blot assays to assess whether STAT3 and PPARy expression in PMs is affected by exposure to Pro. We found that H/R increased p-STAT1, p-STAT3, p-STAT6, and p-PPARy expression, whereas Pro incubation reduced p-STAT1 and increased p-STAT3, p-STAT6, and p-PPARy levels in H/R-treated PMs (Figure 3G, 3H). Once again, in control, non-H/R-treated cells, Pro alone did not alter the expression of these proteins. These findings indicate that Pro activates STAT3 and PPAR γ signaling in PMs exposed to H/R.

Pro promotes M2 polarization of H/R-challenged macrophages through the PPARγ/STAT3 pathway

To investigate whether PPAR γ /STAT3 signaling mediates the switch to the M2 phenotype induced by Pro in H/R-treated PMs, PPAR γ expression was suppressed in these cells by transfection with PPAR γ -directed siRNA. As indicated in Figure 4A, 4B, PPAR γ siRNA efficiently repressed PPAR γ activation in both



Figure 1. Propofol attenuates kidney damage induced by rl/R injury. Rats received rl/R or a sham procedure and Pro or vehicle (PBS) were administered via the femoral vein as described in Materials and Methods (n = 8 rats/group). Twenty-four hours after reperfusion, kidney injury was determined by assessing (A) serum BUN, (B) SCr, (C) kidney histopathology via H&E staining (200x magnification; scale bars = 100 μ m), and (D) ATN scores. (E) Representative images of TUNEL staining of kidney sections (200x magnification; scale bars = 50 μ m). DAPI was used for nuclear staining. (F) Quantification of TUNEL-positive cells in kidney sections. **P* < 0.05 between the indicated groups.

control and Pro-treated cells and blocked STAT3 activation in Pro-treated PMs. Notably, PPAR γ silencing also abrogated the effects of Pro on iNOS, Arg1, and Mrc1 expression, but did not affect their levels in PMs cultured without Pro (Figure 4C–4E). Also importantly, PPAR γ depletion abrogated the

reduction in pro-inflammatory IL-6 and TNF- α levels and the increase in anti-inflammatory IL-10 mRNA production induced by Pro (Figure 4F–4H). These findings suggest that Pro promotes polarization of H/Rchallenged macrophages towards the M2 phenotype by enhancing PPAR γ -mediated activation of STAT3.



Figure 2. Propofol postconditioning reduces renal proinflammatory cytokine levels and increases macrophage M2 polarization marker expression in rats subjected to rl/R. Real-time PCR determination of relative renal mRNA expression levels of (A) TNF- α , (B) IL-6, (C) CXCL-10, (D) IL-10, (E) iNOS, (F) Arg1, and (G) Mrc1. **P* < 0.05 between the indicated groups.

PPARγ activation mediates the renoprotective effect of Pro against rI/R injury by promoting macrophage M2 polarization

Finally, and to determine whether PPAR γ activation is involved in the renoprotective actions of Pro against rI/R, we silenced PPAR γ *in vivo* by administering a tail vein injection of a PPAR γ siRNA premixed with mannose-conjugated polymers 4 h before rI/R surgery. Analyses carried out 24 h post-reperfusion indicated that PPAR γ -siRNA treatment had no effect on sham rats but attenuated or abolished the renoprotective actions of Pro on rI/R-treated rats. This was evidenced by higher serum BUN and SCr concentrations, higher ATN scores for tissue samples, and increased numbers of TUNELpositive cells compared to both rI/R+Pro and rI/R+Pro+NS-siRNA treatment groups (Figure 5A–5F). In addition, compared to the last two groups, PPAR γ silencing significantly increased TNF- α , IL-6, and CXCL-10 mRNA levels and reduced IL-10 mRNA production in kidney samples from rats subjected to rI/R (Figure 5G–5J). Suggesting a critical role for Promediated PPAR γ activation in the conversion of renal macrophages to the M2 phenotype, PPAR γ depletion in rI/R-treated rats prevented the decrease in iNOS and the increase in Arg1 and Mrc1 mRNA levels induced by Pro administration (Figure 5K–5M).

DISCUSSION

Proinflammatory innate immune activation exerts a crucial role in driving the full progression of



Figure 3. Propofol promotes M2 polarization of macrophages *in vitro*. PMs incubated with Pro or vehicle were kept and under normoxia or exposed to 6-h hypoxia followed by 24-h reoxygenation (H/R). (A–C) ELISA measurements of TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-10 levels in culture supernatants. (D–F) Real-time PCR assessment of iNOS, Arg1, and Mrc1 mRNA expression in cell lysates. (G, H) Representative western blot images and corresponding densitometric analysis of total and phosphorylated STAT 1/3/6 and PPARy expression in cell lysates. Data were compared to control (Con) and GAPDH was used as loading control. *Con*: Normoxia+PBS; *Pro*: Normoxia+Pro; *H/R*: H/R+PBS. **P* < 0.05 between the indicated groups.



Figure 4. Propofol enhances M2 polarization of macrophages *in vitro* through the PPARy/ STAT3 pathway. PMs were transfected with PPARy-siRNA or a non-silencing control siRNA (NS-siRNA) and preincubated with Pro or vehicle before H/R treatment. (A, B) Representative western blot images and corresponding densitometric analysis of total and phosphorylated PPARy and STAT 3 expression in cell lysates. Data were compared to control (Con) and GAPDH was used as loading control. (C–E) Real-time PCR analysis of relative iNOS, Arg1, and Mrc1 mRNA levels in cell lysates. Data were normalized against corresponding measurements in non-transfected, non-treated macrophages. (F–H) ELISA measurements of TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-10 contents in culture supernatants. **P* < 0.05 between the indicated groups.



Figure 5. PPARy silencing inhibits Pro-mediated renoprotection and M2 polarization of kidney macrophages. Rats were injected with PPARy-siRNA or a negative control siRNA (NS-siRNA) before rl/R or sham surgery (n = 8/group). Twenty-four hours after reperfusion, kidney injury was determined by assessing (A) serum BUN, (B) SCr, (C) ATN scores, and (D) kidney histopathology via H&E staining (200x magnification; scale bars = 100 μ M). (E) Representative images of TUNEL staining of kidney tissues (200x magnification; scale bars = 50 μ M). DAPI was used for nuclear staining. (F) Quantification of TUNEL-positive cells in kidney sections. (G–J) Real-time PCR analysis of relative iNOS, Arg1, and Mrc1 mRNA expression levels in kidney samples. **P* < 0.05 between the indicated groups.

inflammatory kidney damage [22]. The latter is a key pathogenic event in rI/R injury and is largely mediated by the release, both locally and into the circulation, of endogenous ligands termed damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) [23]. Since DAMPs act mainly on dendritic cells and macrophages through pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) to activate the release of proinflammatory factors, therapeutic strategies aimed at modulating innate immune activation seem vital to lessen kidney damage mediated by I/R [22, 24]. Extensive evidence indicates that conversion of tissue-infiltrating macrophages from a proinflammatory M1 phenotype to an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype accelerates healing and promotes tissue neoangiogenesis following acute trauma and I/R injury [25]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show that Pro administration following acute kidney I/R injury induces the expression of macrophage M2 polarization markers in a PPARy/STAT3-dependent manner.

Many biological and nonbiological treatment approaches have been described to protect the kidney from I/R injury [26-28]. Among those, ischemic preconditioning is one of the most studied ones [27]. In turn, a number of natural compounds demonstrated renoprotective effects in the setting of rI/R by regulating the activity of proteases, reducing oxidative stress, angiogenesis, hampering stimulating and the inflammatory response [28, 29]. Recently, a number of innovative approaches based on administration of fetal kidney cells [30] and gene- and RNAi-based therapies [31, 32] have shown also promising preclinical results.

The renoprotective actions of pre- or post-ischemia Pro administration against rI/R injury have been substantiated by several preclinical studies. In rat models, mitigation of rI/R injury mediated by Pro has been associated with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects related to induction of HO-1 expression [33], decreased MDA production [34], reduced expression of immune cellderived mediators of inflammation and oxidative stress such as myeloperoxidase, TNF- α , and IL-1 β [35], and prevention of tubular apoptosis [24]. Details on the molecular mechanisms underlying Pro-mediated renoprotection were provided by Wei et al., who showed that Pro preconditioning reduced kidney injury by inhibiting the PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis in a mouse model of rI/R [16], and by Su et al., who reported that Pro preconditioning lessened rI/R injury in rats by preventing endoplasmic reticulum stress [36]. In turn, our preceding research showed that Pro post-conditioning attenuated rI/R-induced acute lung injury by inhibiting autophagy and apoptosis in rat lung cells [11].

While the above studies highlighted several mechanisms by which Pro mediates renal protection

following rI/R, its specific effects on macrophage activation status remain uncertain. Thus, based on available evidence supporting the anti-inflammatory actions of Pro in the reperfused kidney, we tested the hypothesis that prominent macrophage polarization towards the M2 phenotype contributes to Pro-mediated renoprotection. Through M1/M2 marker expression assays, we obtained robust, direct evidence *in vitro* and indirect evidence *in vivo* that macrophage M1-to-M2 transition takes place in the reperfused rat kidney after infusion of Pro. More importantly, a significant insight into the renoprotective mechanisms of Pro was herein provided by demonstrating a critical connection between PPAR γ activation and macrophage M2 conversion during the reperfusion stage.

PPARy, a member of the PPAR subfamily of nuclear receptors, is broadly expressed in several tissues, including the kidney [37, 38]. The anti-inflammatory activity of PPAR γ in cells of the myeloid lineage has been described as crucial in governing proinflammatory cytokine production, myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC) expansion, immunosuppression, and tumor progression [39, 40]. Significant roles of PPARy have also been discovered in organ I/R injury [41-43], and some studies have described the impact of PPARy signaling in the modulation of macrophage polarization status [20, 44]. In line with such evidence, our study showed that Pro exposure enhanced PPARy activation and promoted a switch towards an M2 activation state. This was evidenced by reduced iNOS and enhanced Mrc1 and Arg1 mRNA production both directly, through in vitro assays, but also indirectly, through expression analyses of kidney tissue ex vivo. In both instances, PPARy depletion enhanced M1 and prevented M2 macrophage marker expression and abrogated the reduction in proinflammatory cytokine production promoted by Pro.

Studies on the modulatory activity of Pro on macrophage polarization are limited. Kochiyama et al. reported that Pro suppressed production of IL-6 and IL-1 β but did not alter TNF- α production during M1 polarization of human macrophages. In contrast, mRNA levels of M2 markers such as IL-10, TGF- β , and CD206 were not impacted by Pro during M2 polarization [19]. Although species-specific differences need to be considered, these findings and ours strongly suggest that Pro attenuates kidney damage due to rI/R at least in part by halting M1 polarization and stimulating the M2 phenotype in mammalian macrophages.

In conclusion, our research suggested that Pro postconditioning reduces kidney damage resulting from rI/R injury by enhancing M2 polarization of macrophages in a PPAR γ /STAT3/-reliant manner. Limitations of our

study include mainly lack of direct proof of Pro-induced M2 marker expression in macrophages *in situ* and demonstration of a direct modulatory role of STAT3 in this transition [45]. Nevertheless, our results suggest that strategies to activate PPAR γ signaling in target tissues might be effective to ameliorate organ damage and dysfunction resulting from I/R.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Renal ischemia/reperfusion model

Eight-week-old male Sprague-Dawley rats were acquired from Shanghai Lingchang Biotech Co., Shanghai, China. Rats were kept under specific pathogen-free conditions and were provided with standard chow and sterile acidified water ad libitum. All rats received humane care as stated by the protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Cangzhou Central Hospital. A rat model of rI/R injury was generated as described previously [46]. Rats were intraperitoneally sedated with chloral hydrate (10%, 0.3 g/kg) and bilateral renal ischemia was completed by clamping the renal pedicles with microvascular clamps. After 45 min of ischemia, the clip was removed to initiate kidney reperfusion. The rats were kept in a warm container (24° C-29° C) and sacrificed 24 h later. Sham rats underwent an identical procedure but without vascular blocking. At the onset of reperfusion, a bolus of Pro (10 mg/kg; dissolved in PBS) or an identical volume of PBS was infused continuously for 45 min through the left femoral vein, followed by infusion of Pro (5 mg/kg/h) or PBS for an additional 23 h [11]. Four experimental groups (n = 8 rats/group) were thus defined: 1) Sham+PBS (sham surgery plus PBS infusion); 2) Sham+Pro (sham surgery plus Pro infusion); 3) rI/R+PBS (rI/R surgery plus PBS infusion); and 4) rI/R+Pro (rI/R surgery plus Pro infusion). To minimize pain, all rats were pre-operatively treated with carprofen (6 mg/kg) via intraperitoneal injection.

Serum biochemistry and kidney histopathology

After 24 h of reperfusion, rats were sacrificed and blood and kidney sections were obtained. An AU5400 automated biological analyzer (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used to determine blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine (SCr) concentrations. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) were used to stain 4-µm paraffin-embedded kidney tissue sections. Acute tubular necrosis (ATN) standards were used to classify the severity of rI/R.

TUNEL analysis

Apoptosis analysis of kidney sections was performed using a TUNEL fluorescence detection kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Peritoneal macrophage culture

Rat peritoneal macrophages (PMs) were isolated as described previously [47]. In brief, animals were intraperitoneally injected with ice-cold PBS and peritoneal fluid was aspirated and centrifuged at 300 g for 5 min. After discarding the supernatant, the cells were washed twice with RPMI-1640 medium containing 15% FBS, inoculated into culture dishes, and placed in an incubator at 37° C/5% CO₂. After 2 h, nonadherent cells were washed out with PBS. The remaining cells (adherent PMs) were then replated and cultured overnight before downstream experiments. To simulate I/R in vitro, PMs were subjected to hypoxia/reoxygenation [H/R; hypoxia (1% O₂, 94% N₂, 5% CO₂) for 6 h and reoxygenation for 24 h]. In some studies, Pro (100 µM) or an equal volume of PBS (control) was added to the culture medium simultaneously with the H/R stimulus.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from frozen kidney sections and cultured macrophage lysates and reversetranscribed into cDNA using the SuperScript III System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) was used to perform realtime PCR following a standard protocol. Primer sequences utilized in these assays were listed in Table 1.

ELISA

IL-10, IL-6, and TNF- α concentrations in cell culture supernatants were determined using ELISA kits (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's procedures.

Western blotting

PM cultures were lysed to obtain total protein extracts. Antibodies against total and phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription proteins (t-STAT1/p-STAT1; t-STAT3/p-STAT3; t-STAT6/p-STAT6), total and phosphorylated peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (t-PPAR γ /p-PPAR γ), and GAPDH (all acquired from Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) were used to perform western blotting following a standard protocol.

siRNA-mediated PPARy silencing

PPAR γ siRNA (Beyotime, China) was used to deplete PPAR γ mRNA levels. Cultured PMs were transfected

Gene	Sequence
Rat-TNF-a	F5'-GCATGATCCGAGATGTGGAACTGG-3'
	R5'-CGCCACGAGCAGGAATGAGAAG-3'
Rat-IL-6	F5'-AGGAGTGGCTAAGGACCAAGACC-3'
	R5'-TGCCGAGTAGACCTCATAGTGACC-3'
Rat-CXCL-10	F5'-GGGATCCCTCTCGCAAGAA-3'
	R5'-CTCAGCGTCTGTTCATGGAAGT-3'
Rat-IL-10	F5'-CCCAGAAATCAAGGAGCATTTG-3'
	R5'-CAGCTGTATCCAGAGGGTCTTCA-3'
Rat-iNOS	F5'-TGGGTGAAAGCGGTGTTCTT-3'
	R5'-TAGCGCTTCCGACTTCCTTG-3'
Rat-Arg1	F5'-CTACCTGCTGGGAAGGAAG-3'
	R5'-GTCCTGAAAGTAGCCCTGTC-3'
Rat-Mrc1	F5'-GGGGTTGTTGCTGTTGATGT-3'
	R5'-GCTCGAAACGGAAAAGGTTC-3'
Rat-GAPDH	F5'-GTCCATGCCATCACTGCCACTC-3'
	R5'-GATGACCTTGCCCACAGCCTTG-3'

Table 1. Primer sequences for qRT-PCR.

with 50 nM PPAR γ -targeted or non-silencing control siRNA (NS-siRNA) using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, USA) as instructed by the manufacturer. To deplete PPAR γ mRNA *in vivo*, 20 mg/kg PPAR γ siRNA (or a negative control siRNA) premixed with mannose-conjugated polymers (Polyplus-Transfection, Strasbourg, France) was injected via the tail vein 4 h before the beginning of kidney ischemia.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as the mean \pm S.D. Statistical analysis were performed with GraphPad Prism software using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test. *P* < 0.05 was considered significant.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.Z., M.Y., and Y.L. contributed to research conception and design. W.Q., L.Y., and Z.B. performed the experiments. M.Y. collected the data. L.Z., M.Y., and Y.L. analyzed and interpreted the data. L.Z. wrote the article. Y.L. and Y.Q. contributed to critical revision of the article, collaborated with statistical analyses, supervised the experiments, and obtained funding for the study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This study was supported by grants from the Medical Science Research Project of Hebei Health and Family Planning Commission (20211525 to L.Z.).

REFERENCES

- Howard MC, Nauser CL, Vizitiu DA, Sacks SH. Fucose as a new therapeutic target in renal transplantation. Pediatr Nephrol. 2021; 36:1065–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-020-04588-2</u> PMID:<u>32472330</u>
- Bonventre JV, Yang L. Cellular pathophysiology of ischemic acute kidney injury. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121:4210–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI45161</u> PMID:<u>22045571</u>
- Ninet S, Schnell D, Dewitte A, Zeni F, Meziani F, Darmon M. Doppler-based renal resistive index for prediction of renal dysfunction reversibility: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Crit Care. 2015; 30:629–35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.02.008</u> PMID:25746587
- Grams ME, Rabb H. The distant organ effects of acute kidney injury. Kidney Int. 2012; 81:942–48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2011.241</u> PMID:<u>21814177</u>
- 5. Thurman JM. Triggers of inflammation after renal ischemia/reperfusion. Clin Immunol. 2007; 123:7–13.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2006.09.008 PMID:<u>17064966</u>

- Kezić A, Stajic N, Thaiss F. Innate Immune Response in Kidney Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury: Potential Target for Therapy. J Immunol Res. 2017; 2017:6305439. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6305439</u> PMID:<u>28676864</u>
- Hobson C, Singhania G, Bihorac A. Acute Kidney Injury in the Surgical Patient. Crit Care Clin. 2015; 31:705–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2015.06.007</u> PMID:<u>26410139</u>
- Vanmassenhove J, Kielstein J, Jörres A, Biesen WV. Management of patients at risk of acute kidney injury. Lancet. 2017; 389:2139–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31329-6</u> PMID:<u>28561005</u>
- Liu Z, Zhang J, Li S, Jiang J. Artesunate Inhibits Renal Ischemia Reperfusion-Stimulated Lung Inflammation in Rats by Activating HO-1 Pathway. Inflammation. 2018; 41:114–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-017-0669-3</u> PMID:28921399
- Liu Z, Qu M, Yu L, Song P, Chang Y. Artesunate Inhibits Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion-Mediated Remote Lung Inflammation Through Attenuating ROS-Induced Activation of NLRP3 Inflammasome. Inflammation. 2018; 41:1546–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-018-0801-z</u> PMID:29730819
- Liu Z, Zhang J, Zhang F, Chang Y. Propofol postconditioning lessens renal ischemia/reperfusioninduced acute lung injury associated with autophagy and apoptosis through MAPK signals in rats. Gene. 2020; 741:144562. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.144562</u> PMID:32169629
- Liu Z, Li Y, Yu L, Chang Y, Yu J. Penehyclidine hydrochloride inhibits renal ischemia/reperfusioninduced acute lung injury by activating the Nrf2 pathway. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 12:13400–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103444</u> PMID:<u>32652517</u>
- Liu Z, Meng Y, Miao Y, Yu L, Yu Q. Propofol reduces renal ischemia/reperfusion-induced acute lung injury by stimulating sirtuin 1 and inhibiting pyroptosis. Aging (Albany NY). 2020; 13:865–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.202191</u> PMID:<u>33260147</u>
- 14. Fan Y, Wang H, Ma Q. Effects of sevoflurane and propofol anesthesia on intraoperative endothelial cell function in patients undergoing laparoscopic

cholecystectomy. J Int Med Res. 2020; 48:300060520918407. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060520918407

- PMID:<u>33050753</u>
- 15. Sethi N, Dutta A, Puri GD, Panday BC, Sood J, Gupta M, Choudhary PK, Sharma S. Evaluation of Automated Delivery of Propofol Using a Closed-Loop Anesthesia Delivery System in Patients Undergoing Thoracic Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2021; 35:1089–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2020.09.101</u>

PMID:33036887

- 16. Wei Q, Zhao J, Zhou X, Yu L, Liu Z, Chang Y. Propofol can suppress renal ischemia-reperfusion injury through the activation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signal pathway. Gene. 2019; 708:14–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2019.05.023</u> PMID:<u>31082504</u>
- Yoo YC, Yoo KJ, Lim BJ, Jun JH, Shim JK, Kwak YL. Propofol attenuates renal ischemia-reperfusion injury aggravated by hyperglycemia. J Surg Res. 2013; 183:783–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2013.02.017

PMID:23498343

- Motayagheni N, Phan S, Eshraghi C, Nozari A, Atala A. A Review of Anesthetic Effects on Renal Function: Potential Organ Protection. Am J Nephrol. 2017; 46:380–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000482014</u> PMID:<u>29131005</u>
- Kochiyama T, Li X, Nakayama H, Kage M, Yamane Y, Takamori K, Iwabuchi K, Inada E. Effect of Propofol on the Production of Inflammatory Cytokines by Human Polarized Macrophages. Mediators Inflamm. 2019; 2019:1919538. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1919538

PMID:31007601

 Zhao M, Bian YY, Yang LL, Chen YQ, Wang YJ, Ma YT, Pei YQ, Li WL, Zeng L. HuoXueTongFu Formula Alleviates Intraperitoneal Adhesion by Regulating Macrophage Polarization and the SOCS/JAK2/STAT/ PPAR- γ Signalling Pathway. Mediators Inflamm. 2019; 2019:1769374.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1769374 PMID:31772499

 Quero L, Tiaden AN, Hanser E, Roux J, Laski A, Hall J, Kyburz D. miR-221-3p Drives the Shift of M2-Macrophages to a Pro-Inflammatory Function by Suppressing JAK3/STAT3 Activation. Front Immunol. 2020; 10:3087.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.03087 PMID:<u>32047494</u>

- Zheng L, Gao W, Hu C, Yang C, Rong R. Immune Cells in Ischemic Acute Kidney Injury. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2019; 20:770–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/1389203720666190507102529</u> PMID:<u>31060484</u>
- Meissner M, Viehmann SF, Kurts C. DAMPening sterile inflammation of the kidney. Kidney Int. 2019; 95:489–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2018.12.007</u> PMID:30784655
- 24. Jang HR, Rabb H. The innate immune response in ischemic acute kidney injury. Clin Immunol. 2009; 130:41–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2008.08.016</u> PMID:<u>18922742</u>
- 25. Ranganathan PV, Jayakumar C, Ramesh G. Netrin-1treated macrophages protect the kidney against ischemia-reperfusion injury and suppress inflammation by inducing M2 polarization. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2013; 304:F948–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00580.2012</u> PMID:23408164
- 26. Tian Y, Shu J, Huang R, Chu X, Mei X. Protective effect of renal ischemic postconditioning in renal ischemic-reperfusion injury. Transl Androl Urol. 2020; 9:1356–65.

https://doi.org/10.21037/tau-20-859 PMID:32676420

- Chen C, Sun L, Zhang W, Tang Y, Li X, Jing R, Liu T. Limb ischemic preconditioning ameliorates renal microcirculation through activation of PI3K/Akt/eNOS signaling pathway after acute kidney injury. Eur J Med Res. 2020; 25:10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-020-00407-4 PMID:32192513
- 28. Tian R, Wang P, Huang L, Li C, Lu Z, Lu Z, Wu A, Bao K, Mao W, Huang Q, Xu P. Sanqi Oral Solution Ameliorates Renal Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury via Reducing Apoptosis and Enhancing Autophagy: Involvement of ERK/mTOR Pathways. Front Pharmacol. 2020; 11:537147. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.537147</u> PMID:<u>33041791</u>
- Pang Y, Zhang PC, Lu RR, Li HL, Li JC, Fu HX, Cao YW, Fang GX, Liu BH, Wu JB, Zhou JY, Zhou Y. Andrade-Oliveira Salvianolic Acid B Modulates Caspase-1-Mediated Pyroptosis in Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury via Nrf2 Pathway. Front Pharmacol. 2020; 11:541426.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.541426 PMID:<u>33013384</u>

30. Gupta AK, Jadhav SH, Tripathy NK, Nityanand S. Fetal Kidney Cells Can Ameliorate Ischemic Acute Renal Failure in Rats through Their Anti-Inflammatory, Anti-Apoptotic and Anti-Oxidative Effects. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0131057. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131057 PMID:26086475

- Torras J, Cruzado JM, Herrero-Fresneda I, Grinyo JM. Gene therapy for acute renal failure. Contrib Nephrol. 2008; 159:96–108. https://doi.org/10.1159/000125614 PMID:18391587
- 32. de Ramon L, Jarque M, Ripoll E, Bestard O, Grinyo JM, Torras J. RNAi-Based Therapy in Experimental Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. The New Targets. Curr Pharm Des. 2016; 22:4651–57. https://doi.org/10.2174/138161282266616071910395 <u>5</u> PMID:27510493
- 33. Wang HH, Zhou HY, Chen CC, Zhang XL, Cheng G. Propofol attenuation of renal ischemia/reperfusion injury involves heme oxygenase-1. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2007; 28:1175–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7254.2007.00566.x</u> PMID:17640480
- 34. Yuzbasioglu MF, Aykas A, Kurutas EB, Sahinkanat T. Protective effects of propofol against ischemia/reperfusion injury in rat kidneys. Ren Fail. 2010; 32:578–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/08860220903548940</u> PMID:20486841
- 35. Wang H, Peng X, Huang Y, Xiao Y, Wang Z, Zhan L. Propofol Attenuates Hypoxia/Reoxygenation-Induced Apoptosis and Autophagy in HK-2 Cells by Inhibiting JNK Activation. Yonsei Med J. 2019; 60:1195–202. <u>https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2019.60.12.1195</u> PMID:31769251
- 36. Su M, Ren S, Zhong W, Han X. Impact of propofol on renal ischemia/reperfusion endoplasmic reticulum stress. Acta Cir Bras. 2017; 32:533–39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-865020170070000004</u> PMID:28793037
- 37. Singh AP, Singh N, Pathak D, Bedi PM. Estradiol attenuates ischemia reperfusion-induced acute kidney injury through PPAR-γ stimulated eNOS activation in rats. Mol Cell Biochem. 2019; 453:1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11010-018-3427-4</u> PMID:30194582
- 38. Reel B, Guzeloglu M, Bagriyanik A, Atmaca S, Aykut K, Albayrak G, Hazan E. The effects of PPAR-γ agonist pioglitazone on renal ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. J Surg Res. 2013; 182:176–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2012.08.020</u> PMID:<u>22981741</u>
- 39. Augimeri G, Giordano C, Gelsomino L, Plastina P, Barone I, Catalano S, Andò S, Bonofiglio D. The Role of

PPARγ Ligands in Breast Cancer: From Basic Research to Clinical Studies. Cancers (Basel). 2020; 12:2623. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12092623</u> PMID:<u>32937951</u>

- 40. Christofides A, Konstantinidou E, Jani C, Boussiotis VA. The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) in immune responses. Metabolism. 2021; 114:154338. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154338</u> PMID:32791172
- 41. Lin F, Xu L, Huang M, Deng B, Zhang W, Zeng Z, Yinzhi S. β-Sitosterol Protects against Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury via Targeting PPAR γ/NF- κB Signalling. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2020; 2020:2679409. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2679409 PMID:<u>32308701</u>
- Liu C, Ding R, Huang W, Miao L, Li J, Li Y. Sevoflurane Protects against Intestinal Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury by Activating Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma/Nuclear Factor-κB Pathway in Rats. Pharmacology. 2020; 105:231–42. https://doi.org/10.1159/000503727 PMID:31655824
- 43. Abdelrahman M, Sivarajah A, Thiemermann C. Beneficial effects of PPAR-gamma ligands in ischemiareperfusion injury, inflammation and shock. Cardiovasc Res. 2005; 65:772–81.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardiores.2004.12.008 PMID:<u>15721857</u>

- 44. Deng X, Zhang P, Liang T, Deng S, Chen X, Zhu L. Ovarian cancer stem cells induce the M2 polarization of macrophages through the PPARγ and NF-κB pathways. Int J Mol Med. 2015; 36:449–54. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2015.2230</u> PMID:<u>26035689</u>
- 45. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: *in vivo* veritas. J Clin Invest. 2012; 122:787–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI59643</u> PMID:22378047
- 46. Liu Z, Qu M, Yang Q, Chang Y. Lipoxin A4 ameliorates renal ischaemia-reperfusion-induced acute lung injury in rats. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2019; 46:65–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1681.13023</u> PMID:<u>30118542</u>
- Chen D, Zuo K, Liang X, Wang M, Zhang H, Zhou R, Liu X. Functional mechanism of AMPK activation in mitochondrial regeneration of rat peritoneal macrophages mediated by uremic serum. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0235960.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235960 PMID:<u>32986718</u>