Research Paper

Coupling of serum CK20 and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* as promising biomarker for colorectal cancer diagnosis: from bioinformatics screening to clinical validation

Zhongjian Liu^{1,2,*}, Hui Tang^{1,2,*}, Wen Zhang^{1,2}, Jinli Wang^{1,2}, Lilan Wan^{1,2}, Xisha Li^{1,2}, Yuping Ji³, Na Kong³, Yanfang Zhang³, Jiangang Wang³, Zhang Fan³, Qiang Guo^{1,2}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, The First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, Kunming, China ²The Affiliated Hospital of Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, China ³Department of Gastroenterology, The Third People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, Kunming, China ^{*}Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Qiang Guo, Zhang Fan; email: gqkj003@sina.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0636-5548;2873144767@qq.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1528-7353Keywords: colorectal cancer, serum diagnostic biomarkers, DNA methylation, CK20, CLIP4Received: September 7, 2021Accepted: December 13, 2021Published: December 29, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common and lethal malignancies. The identification of minimally invasive and precise biomarkers is an urgent need for the early diagnosis of CRC. Through bioinformatics analysis of 395 CRC tissues and 63 CRC cell lines, CK18, CK20, de-methylated *HPDL* and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* were identified as candidate serum biomarkers. Then, a training cohort consisting of 60 CRC, 30 colorectal adenomas (CA) and 33 healthy controls and a validation cohort consisting of 60 CRC, 30 CA and 30 healthy controls were enrolled. In the training cohort, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) showed that CK18 and CK20 were all significantly higher in CRC and CA. CK18 diagnosed CRC with 46.67% sensitivity and 87.3% specificity; CK20 diagnosed CRC with 28.33% sensitivity and 90.47% specificity. Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) indicated that de-methylated *HPDL* and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* were significantly detected in CRC and CA. De-methylated *HPDL* diagnosed CRC with 36.67% sensitivity and 93.65% specificity and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* with 73.33% sensitivity and 84.13% specificity. Random combined analysis suggested that CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* diagnosed CRC with 91.67% sensitivity and 82.54% specificity. In the validation cohort, CK20 diagnosed CRC with 36.7% sensitivity and 88.3% specificity and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* with 80% sensitivity and 85% specificity. CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* diagnosed CRC with 95% sensitivity and 81.7% specificity. Compared with serum biomarkers reported before, CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* possessed the potential to be a new effective and precise diagnostic biomarker for CRC.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignancies worldwide and has high mortality rates. In recent years, the incidence of CRC has risen. CRC has become the third most common cancer among males and the second most common cancer among females [1]. CRC development is a complex multistep process that involves a gradual progression from adenomatous polyps to adenomas, and then to malignant carcinomas [2]. From a clinical perspective, CRC is difficult to diagnose early, as patients do not present with symptoms such as colorectal bleeding or anemia until later stages, and the survival rate decreases as the stage of diagnosis increases. Therefore, early detection and rapid diagnosis are important for CRC screening and treatment. Blood serum contains a certain amount of secretory proteins and cell-free DNA (cfDNA) derived from all cells in the body and could be a useful material for screening CRC.

Currently, several serum markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA199), carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), carbohydrate antigen 242 (CA242) and alpha fetoprotein (AFP) have been applied for diagnosing and monitoring CRC in the clinic [3, 4]. These biomarkers achieved 10.39~46.59% sensitivity and 80~95% specificity in diagnosing CRC [5, 6].

Aberrant DNA methylation changes have previously been shown to be an early event in the development of CRC [7] can be detected in cfDNA, making it an ideal and useful biomarker for the early detection of CRC [8, 9]. Currently, various tumor suppressor genes have emerged as potential blood-based methylation markers for CRC including *APC*, *MGMT*, *hMLH1*, *HLTF*, *ALX4*, *NGFR*, *TMEFF2*, *NEUROG1*, *SERP2*, *VIM*, *RASSF2A*, *WIF1*, *RUNX3* and *SEPT9* with sensitivities spanning from 34% to 90% and specificities ranging from 69% to 100% [10, 11].

With the vast amounts of CRC transcriptomics and DNA methylomics data that are continuously generated and easily accessed from published sources, it is possible to use bioinformatics to screen biomarkers for CRC diagnosis, specifically and systematically. In this study, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) [12], Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) [13], Gene Expression Profiling Interactive (GEPIA) [14], Human Protein Atlas (HPA) [15], UCSC [16], UALCN [17] and MEXPRESS [18] were used to screen specific secretory protein-encoding genes, de-methylated overexpressed genes and hypermethylated underexpressed genes in CRC tissues and cell lines. Then, these candidate biomarkers in CRC cell lines and clinical serum samples including CRC, colorectal adenoma (CA) patients and healthy controls, were detected and the relationship with clinicopathologic parameters and their value as CRC diagnostic markers were analyzed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioinformatics analysis

mRNA data of 395 CRC patients were downloaded from the TCGA database. The "limma" package was used to calculate the DEGs between CRC tissues and normal colorectal tissues, and the filter was applied according to the thresholds $|log_2FC|>1$ and *P* value <0.01. Specifically overexpressed or underexpressed genes in CRC tissues were verified by GEPIA. Overexpressed genes in CRC cell lines were selected by CCLE. Genes that encoded secretory proteins were screened according to HPA. The methylation status in CRC tissues and the CpG island locations of candidate genes were checked by UALCAN, MEXPRESS and UCSC.

Clinical specimens

Serum and tissue samples were obtained from the First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province and the Third People's Hospital of Yunnan Province with informed consent, comprising a training cohort (60 CRC, 30 colorectal CA and 33 healthy controls) and a validation cohort (60 CRC, 30 CA and 30 healthy controls). The diagnosis of CRC was verified by endoscopy and pathological biopsy. None of the patients had received prior radiotherapy, chemotherapy or surgery treatment when blood samples were collected. In addition, 1 placental sample was used as a control to test the methylation status of *HPDL* and *CLIP4*.

Cell culture and treatment

Seven human CRC cell lines (HT29, HCT116, SW480, SW620, RKO, DLD-1 and LOVO) and one normal colon cell line (CCD841CON) were obtained from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). All of cell lines were cultured in DMEM medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (BI) and 100 IU/ml penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco) and maintained in 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. For de-methylation treatment, cultured cells were incubated with 10 μ m 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (Sigma, USA) for 3 days with medium changed every day.

Quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) and Real-time PCR (RT-PCR)

The mRNA expression of candidate genes was analyzed by Q-PCR and RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted with a Tissue Total RNA Isolation Kit (TSINGKE, China) and cDNA was obtained with a PrimerScript[™] RT Reagent Kit (TSINGKE, China). Q-PCR was performed with $2 \times Taq$ PCR Master Mix (TIANGEN, China). Real-time PCR was performed with EvaGreen 2×qPCR MasterMix (Takara, Japan) in a CFX96TM Real-Time PCR System (BioRad, USA). The PCR reaction conditions were listed as follows: predenaturation at 94°C for 1.5 min, 30 cycles of predenaturation at 94°C for 10 s, annealing at 60°C for 20 s, extension at 72°C of 30 s, and ultimate extension at 72°C of 1 min. Primer sequences (10 µM concentration), annealing temperatures, and product sizes are listed in Table 1. The expression of the assayed genes was normalized to GAPDH.

Table 1. Primer sequences and product length.

Gene	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Annealing tem	g Amplification size (bp)
Quantitative PC	CR		
ADHFE1	F:GTGAGAGTGGAACCAACGGATTC R:AGCAGCCTTACAGGT	GTCCATG 60	120
ASCL2	F:CGCCTACTCGTCGGACGACAG R:GCCGCTCGCTCGGCT	TCCG 60	140
B3GNT3	F:AGGCACAGACTCACGGAGACAT R:GTTGAGCACGAAGCT	GGCGTTG 60	128
CCL24	F:TGAGAACCGAGTGGTCAGCTAC R:TTCTGCTTGGCGTCCA	GGTTCT 60	153
CDX1	F:GAGAAGGAGTTTCATTACAGCCG R:GTTCACTTTGCGCTCC	CTTTGCC 60	132
CDX2	F:ACAGTCGCTACATCACCATCCG R:CCTCTCCTTTGCTCTG	CGGTTC 60	102
CEACAM5	F:GCCTCAATAGGACCACAGTCAC R:CAGGTTAAGGCTACA	GCATCCTC 60	115
CHRDL1	F:GGCTCTTTCAGAATCGGCAACC R:AGAGACTGGGAAGGC	CACAGGTT 60	113
CLIP4	F:CTGTGAAGTGCCTCTTGGAGCA R:GCTTGATTTCCTTAGC	CAGTGGCT 60	141
CPXM2	F:CAGAGGATCGACAGAATGTCCC R:CATCCAGGCTATGAC	TGCTCTG 60	119
CST1	F:TGTGCCTTCCATGAACAGCCAG R:CTGGCACAGATCCCT	AGGATTC 60	130
CYP2S1	F:GATGGACGGTTCAGGAAGCATG R:GGAGAAGGCTTGTAG	GATGGTG 60	126
DEFA5	F:CTCCAGGAAAGAGCTGATGAGG R:TCGGCAATAGCAGGT	GGCTCTT 60	141
DEFA6	F:ATGACCAGGACTTTGCCGTCTC R:CATGACAGTGCAGGT	CCCATAG 60	140
EPCAM	F:GCCAGTGTACTTCAGTTGGTGC R:CCCTTCAGGTTTTGCT	CTTCTCC 60	122
EPHB2	F:CGCCATCTATGTCTTCCAGGTG R:GATGAGTGGCAACTT	CTCCTGG 60	130
FERMT1	F:CCAACTCTATGAGCAAGCCAGG R:CCTGTGTTTCAGCAGA	ACAACGAC 60	128
GFRA1	F:CATAGACTCCAGTAGCCTCAGTG R:GTCACATCGGAGCCA	TTGCCAA 60	153
GMDS	F:TGAGTTCCTGCTGGAGAAAGGC R:CAAGGCAGGTACTGT	CAGTGAG 60	161
GSTM2	F:AGATCACCCAGAGCAACGCCAT R:GGCTGTCCATAAACT	GGTTCTCC 60	117
HPDL	F:AGCCAGGAAAGGAGAGGCAGAT R:GGACTTGGTGAAGAC	CTGAAGC 60	119
IHH	F:GGACGCTATGAAGGCAAGATCG R:CAGCGAGTTCAGGCG	GTCCTT 60	150
KCNE3	F:GCCGTGATGACAACTCCTACATG R:CACTACGCTTGTCCAG	CTTTGCG 60	114
KRT8	F:ACAAGGTAGAGCTGGAGTCTCG R:AGCACCACAGATGTG	TCCGAGA 60	121
KRT18	F:GCTGGAAGATGGCGAGGACTTT R:TGGTCTCAGACACCA	CTTTGCC 60	119
KRT20	F:CTGAGGTTCAACTAACGGAGCTG R:AACAGCGACTGGAGG	TTGGCTA 60	151
LGALS4	F:GGAACAGCCTTCTGAATGGCTC R:CCATTGGCGTAAACC	FTGAAGCG 60	130
LGR5	F:CCTGCTTGACTTTGAGGAAGACC R:CCAGCCATCAAGCAG	GTGTTCA 60	100
MUC3A	F:TCTTACACCTCGACTCCCGT R:TTGGGGACGTGGTTG	TATGG 60	262
MUC5B	F:CTGCTACGACAAGGACGGAAAC R:AAGGCTGTGAGCGCA	CTGGATG 60	112
MUC13	F:TGGCTGTAACCAGACTGCGGAT R:GCATCAGGACACTTG	AGACTGG 60	123
NFE2L3	F:CCAGTTGCTTTCATCACAGCCTG R:CACATCCTGACTTATA	AGCCTGGC 60	142
OLFM4	F:GACCAAGCTGAAAGAGTGTGAGG R:CCTCTCCAGTTGAGCT	TGAACCA 60	138
PDLIM4	F:TGATGACAGCAAGGCTCAGGCA R:AGGCTTGGTCTGCCA	ICTTCTG 60	123
PRR15	F:CCTGACACCTATGCCCAAACAG R:CGTCCTGAGTTGGAG	ACCTTGA 60	146
SLC12A2	F:CCTCTACACAAGCCCTGACTTAC R:CGTGAGTTTGGAGCA	CCTGTCA 60	124
SPINK4	F:TGCCAGTGGCAGCAGGAAAGC R:CCAAGCAGAGCTGGC	ATTCATTC 60	144
SRMS	F:CCTCCTCAGAAGATGAACGACC R:GGATGGACTTCTCCTC	CCGTCTA 60	197
UCHL1	F:CAGTTCAGAGGACACCCTGCTG R:CCACAGAGCATTAGG	CTGCCTT 60	122
GAPDH	F:GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG R:ACCACCCTGTTGCTG		131
Methylation-spe			
HPDL	M F:ATTAGTTTAGGATTGAGAGTTTCGA R:GACGAACACGTAAAA	AACGAT 60	137
	U F:ATTAGTTTAGGATTGAGAGTTTTGA R:CTACCCAACAAACAC		143
CLIP4	M F:AGACGGGTAAGATTAGGTTTTCG R:ACTAACAACGTCTAC	GAAATATCGC 60	173
	U F:AAGATGGGTAAGATTAGGTTTTTG R:CTAACAACATCTACA		173

Abbreviations: F: forward primer; R: reverse primer; M: methylation; U: unmethylation; bps: base pairs.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Commercial ELISA kits were used to measure CEA, CK18, CK20, MUC13, CK8 and EPCAM (CUSABIO,

China). Experiments were performed according to the manufacturers' instructions. Optical density (OD) values were read at a wavelength of 450 nm using a 96-well microplate. All determinations were performed in duplicate.

DNA extraction and bisulfite conversion

Genomic DNA from tissues and cells was extracted by using a TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (TIANGEN, China). Genomic DNA from serum samples was extracted by the Axy Prep Body Fluid Viral DNA/RNA Miniprep Kit (Axy Prep, China). Complete bisulfite conversion of GC-rich DNA was performed by using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold[™]. Kit (Zymo Research, USA).

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP)

The methylation status of HPDL and CLIP4 was detected by methylation-specific PCR assay utilizing abovementioned bisulfite-modified DNA as the templates, according to the previously mentioned protocols [19]. The methylated and de-methylated specific primer sequences (10 µM concentration), annealing temperatures, and product sizes are listed in Table 1. PCR products were evaluated by electrophoresis on ethidium bromide (EB)-stained 2% agarose gels. The sample was considered de-methylated HPDL when only a visible band was detected in unmethylation primer allele. The sample was considered hyper-methylated CLIP4 when a visible band was detected in the methylation primer allele. All of the samples were amplified twice to check the accuracy of the results.

Statistical analysis

The differences in CEA, CK18, CK20, MUC13, CK8 and EPCAM among the study groups were compared via nonparametric analysis. The correlations between CK18, CK20, de-methylated *HPDL*, hyper-methylated *CLIP4* and clinicopathologic parameters were evaluated by the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. To evaluate the validity of each studied parameter, sensitivity and specificity were used. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 (SPSS Inc., USA).

RESULTS

High levels of serum CK18 and CK20 were detected in CRC and CA patients

TCGA and GTEx analysis revealed 2658 genes highly expressed in CRC tissues (log₂-fold >1, P < 0.01). Compared with human normal tissues, 100 genes were specifically overexpressed in CRC tissues (fold log₂ >3, P < 0.01) (Supplementary Table 1). Among them, 74 genes were overexpressed in CRC cell lines (rank Top 3) (Supplementary Table 2), and 16 genes encoded secretory proteins (Supplementary Table 3; Figure 1A).

Then, O-PCR was used to detect the expression of 16 genes in 7 CRC cell lines (HT29, HCT116, SW480, SW620, RKO, DLD-1, LOVO) and 1 normal colon cell line (CCD841CON). It was found that CEACAM5, KRT8, KRT18, KRT20, MUC13 and EPCAM were significantly overexpressed in CRC cell lines (Figure 1B). With ELISAs to test serum CEA (encoded by CEACAM5), CK8 (encoded by KRT8), CK18 (encoded by KRT18), CK20 (encoded by KRT20), MUC13 and EPCAM in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls, the results showed that CEA, CK18 and CK20 were significantly higher in CRC and CA patients than in healthy controls (all P < 0.05) (Figure 1C). GEPIA, HPA and CCLE also verified CEA, CK18 and CK20 overexpressed in CRC tissue; CEA and CK20 specifically increased in CRC cell lines (Figure 2).

De-methylated *HPDL* was observed in CRC and CA serum

Normally, DNA de-methylation can lead to genome instability and high expression of oncogenes. Based on the previous bioinformatics analysis results, among 74 specifically overexpressed genes, UCSC showed that 19 genes possessed CpG islands in their promoters or the first exon region (Supplementary Table 4; Figure 3A). Detecting the expression of 19 genes in 7 CRC cell lines and CCD841CON revealed that HPDL, LGR5, ASCL2, KCNE3, HNF4G, KRT8, KRT18, SLC12A2 and FERMT1 were significantly overexpressed in CRC cell lines (Figure 3B). To test the relationship between DNA methylation status and the expression of these genes in CRC, the expression of 9 genes in 7 CRC cell lines treated with 5'-aza-2'-deoxycytiding (DAC) were detected. As shown in Figure 3C and 3D, HPDL, KRT8, KRT18, FERMT1 and SLC12A2 were increased in CRC cell lines in response to DAC treatment. According to the CpG island region, MSP primers for these genes were designed and the methylation status of 5 genes in CRC cell lines and a normal colon cell line were tested. The results revealed that only HPDL presented more demethylation status in CRC cell lines (especially SW620) than CCD841CON (Figure 4A and 4B). GEPIA and CCLE demonstrated that HPDL was highly expressed in CRC tissues and CRC cell lines; MEXPRESS also revealed that CRC tissues possessed HPDL demethylated regions (Probes ID: cg13951491 and cg16593917) compared with normal tissue (Figure 5). These results indicated that HPDL overexpressed in CRC may be upregulated by DNA de-methylation.

Because serum contains a certain amount of DNA derived from lysed tumor cells, the methylation status of *HPDL* was detected in the serum of 60 CRC patients, 30 CA patients and 33 healthy controls. As shown in Figure 4C, *HPDL* de-methylation was detectable in

CRC and CA patients but not in healthy controls. Statistical analysis showed that the de-methylated frequency of serum *HPDL* was 36.7% (22/60) in CRC patients and 13.3% (4/30) in CA patients (Figure 4D). Additionally, representative cases consisting of 20 CRC, 10 CA patients and 10 healthy controls were selected to detect *HPDL* methylation status in serum and colorectal normal or tumor tissue from the same patient. The results indicated that the *HPDL* methylation status in serum was almost consistent with that in CRC tissues (Figure 4C).

Hyper-methylated *CLIP4* was identified in CRC and CA serum

DNA hyper-methylation is associated with tumor suppressor gene silencing and defects in cell cycle regulation, resulting in tumor development and progression. Comparing the top 250 underexpressed genes in TCGA (Supplementary Table 5) with the top 250 promoter hyper-methylated genes in UALCAN (Supplementary Table 6), we found that 9 genes showed underexpression and promoter hyper-methylation in



Figure 1. The serum levels of CEA, CK18, CK20, CK8, MUC13 and EPCAM in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls. (A) Screening specific genes that encode secretory proteins in CRC by bioinformatics. (B) Testing the expression of candidate serum biomarker genes by Q-PCR in 8 cell lines. (C) Detection of the serum levels of CEA, CK18, CK20, CK8, MUC13 and EPCAM in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls by ELISA.

CRC tissue. UCSC exhibited that 8 genes possessed CpG islands located in promoters (Figure 6A). Detecting the expression of 8 genes in 7 CRC cell lines and CCD841CON revealed that *CLIP4*, *GARA1* and *UCHL1* were underexpressed in CRC cell lines and overexpressed in a normal colon cell line (Figure 6B). As determined by Q-PCR and RT-PCR, after DAC treatment, *CLIP4* and *UCHL1* were upregulated in CRC cell lines (Figure 6C and 6D). According to the CpG islands located in the promoter, MSP primers were designed and tested the methylation status of 2 genes in CRC cell lines and a normal colon cell line. The results showed that *CLIP4* presented significant hyper-methylation in CRC cell lines and total de-methylation in a normal colon cell line (Figure 7A and 7B). GEPIA and UALCAN also indicated that *CLIP4* was underexpressed and hyper-methylated in CRC tissue (Figure 8). By detecting the methylation status of *CLIP4* in serum from 30 CRC, 20 CA patients and 33 healthy controls, it was found that *CLIP4* hyper-methylation was detectable in CRC and CA but not in healthy serum



Figure 2. The expression of CEA, CK18 and CK20 in tumor tissues and cancer cell lines. The mRNA expression of CEA (A), CK18 (B) and CK20 (C) in certain tumor tissues and CRC tissues was analyzed by GEPIA. The protein expression of CEA (A), CK18 (B) and CK20 (C) in CRC tissues was stained by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and analyzed by HPA. The mRNA expression of CEA (A), CK18 (B) and CK20 (C) in cancer cell lines was analyzed by CCLE. Abbreviations: COAD: colon adenocarcinoma; READ: rectum adenocarcinoma.

(Figure 7C). By statistical analysis, the hypermethylation frequency of serum *CLIP4* was 73.3% (44/60) in CRC and 33.3% (10/30) in CA patients (Figure 7D). Furthermore, representative cases consisting of 20 CRC patients, 10 CA patients and 10 healthy controls were chosen to detect the *CLIP4* methylation status in serum and colorectal normal or tumor tissue from the same patient. The results illustrated that the *CLIP4* methylation status in serum was completely consistent with that in CRC tissue (Figure 7C).

Clinical values of serum CK18 and CK20 and demethylated *HPDL* and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* for CRC diagnosis

A training cohort consisting of 60 patients with CRC (age range: 46–87 years), 30 patients with CA (age range: 26–77 years), and 33 healthy controls (age range: 33–75 years), and a validation cohort consisting of 60 CRC (age range: 43–88 years), 30 CA (age range: 35–82 years), and 30 healthy controls (age range: 31–72 years) were enrolled in this study. The baseline and clinical



HT29 HCT116 SW480 SW620 RKO DLD-1 LOV

Figure 3. Specific overexpressed and de-methylated genes in CRC tissues were screened by bioinformatics and verified in CRC cell lines by Q-PCR or RT-PCR. (A) Screening specific overexpressed and de-methylated genes in CRC tissues by bioinformatics. (B) Testing the expression of de-methylated genes by Q-PCR in CRC cell lines and a normal colon cell line. The expression of de-methylated genes was tested using Q-PCR (C) and RT-PCR (D) in CRC cell lines after treatment with DAC.

characteristics of the patients and controls are summarized in Table 2.

The relationships between CK18, CK20 or HPDL, CLIP4 methylation status and various clinicopathologic parameters in CRC patients are summarized in Table 3. According to the results, in the training cohort, CK18 was significantly correlated with TNM stage, differentiation grade, CEA and CA19-9 (all P < 0.05). CK20 was closely correlated with tumor size and CA199 (P < 0.05). De-methylated HPDL was apparently associated with tumor size, CEA and CA199 (P < 0.05). Hyper-methylated CLIP4 was markedly associated with differentiation grade and CEA (P < 0.05) in CRC patients. In the validation cohort, CK20 was significantly correlated with tumor location and CA199 (P < 0.05). Hyper-methylated *CLIP4* was closely associated with age, TNM stage, differentiation grade, lymphovascular invasion and CEA (all P < 0.05).

Further analysis suggested that under the best cutoff values defined by the tertiles method, in the training cohort, CK18 detected CRC with 46.67% sensitivity and 87.3% specificity; CK20 with 28.33% sensitivity and 90.47% specificity; de-methylated *HPDL* with 36.67% sensitivity and 93.65% specificity; and hyper-

methylated *CLIP4* with 73.33% sensitivity and 84.13% specificity. Random combined analysis suggested CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* with 91.67% sensitivity and 82.54% specificity. In the validation cohort, CK20 detected CRC with 36.7% sensitivity and 88.3% specificity; hyper-methylated *CLIP4* with 80% sensitivity and 85% specificity; and CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* with 95% sensitivity and 81.7% specificity, CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* was a potential diagnostic biomarker for CRC.

DISCUSSION

Cytokeratin is a conserved group of proteins that form the cytoplasmic structure of epithelial cells and tissues. Cytokeratin 20 (CK20) is a type 1 cytokeratin. It is a prominent component of the intestinal epithelium. CK20 expression is confined to astrointestinal epithelium, urothelium, and Merkel cells of the epidermis, as well as malignancies that originate from the aforementioned sites [20]. According to previous studies, Y Imai indicated that CK20 expression in tumor tissues was an independent prognostic factor of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the colon and rectum [21]. As one of the most investigated markers for the



Figure 4. Serum de-methylated HPDL in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls. (A) Schematic illustration of the gene structure of *HPDL*, the CpG island region and the position of MSP primers. (B) Detected *HPDL* methylation status in 8 cell lines. Placental DNA (or treated by SSSI) represented a positive control of de-methylated or methylated status. Abbreviations: M: methylation; U: un-methylation. (C) Representative serum and tissue methylation status of *HPDL* in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls. (D) Frequency of serum *HPDL* methylation status in 60 CRC, 30 CA patients and 33 healthy controls.

detection of circulating CRC cells, CK20 mRNA in serum is widely tested by RT-PCR for predicting recurrence and poor prognosis of CRC [22–29]. However, the efficacy of CK20 protein in serum as a biomarker for early CRC screening and diagnosis is not clear. In this study, we offered a precise value of serum CK20 protein in CRC diagnosis with 28.33% sensitivity and 90.47% specificity in the training cohort and 36.7% sensitivity and 88.3% specificity in the validation cohort. We also detected that CK20 presented higher levels in CA patients with a rate of 16.67% in the training cohort. This result indicated that CK20 possessed diagnostic potential for early CRC screening.

CLIP4, as a member of the CAP-Gly domain containing linker protein (CLIP) family, which is involved in plusend binding of microtubules, has been implicated in immune response-related biological processes, cell migration and viability in certain cancer metastases [30]. Hyper-methylation of *CLIP4* has been shown diagnostic potential for CRC in serum [31]. S.O. Jensen reported that hyper-methylated *CLIP4* was capable of



Figure 5. The expression of HPDL in tumor tissues and cancer cell lines and the methylation status of HPDL in CRC tissues.

The mRNA expression of *HPDL* in certain tumor tissues and CRC tissues was analyzed by GEPIA. The mRNA expression of *HPDL* in cancer cell lines was analyzed by CCLE. The relationship between the expression and promoter methylation level of *HPDL* in CRC tissues was analyzed by MEXPRESS. The red frame showed *HPDL* methylation status in CRC and normal tissues (Probes ID: cg13951491 and cg16593917). Abbreviations: COAD: colon adenocarcinoma; READ: rectum adenocarcinoma.

distinguishing serum from CRC patients and healthy controls (the area under the curve was 0.88) [32]. By testing the methylation status in CRC serum, we found that serum hyper-methylated *CLIP4* detected CRC with a sensitivity of 73.33% and specificity of 84.13% in the training cohort and 80% sensitivity and 85% specificity in the validation cohort. We also detected hyper-methylated *CLIP4* in CA patients at a rate of 33.3% but not in healthy controls. This implied that serum *CLIP4* hyper-methylation could be used for early CRC screening.

Due to the highly heterogeneous nature of CRC, a single tumor marker is unlikely to become a stand-alone diagnostic test as the commonly insufficient sensitivity and/or specificity. Using a panel of tumor markers and testing with different methods for CRC diagnosis has the potential to be an effective approach. With systematic bioinformatics screening and clinical verification, our study showed that a combination of serum CK20 and hyper-methylated *CLIP4* was a novel

and effective biomarker for CRC diagnosis with 91.67% sensitivity and 82.54% specificity in the training cohort; and 95% sensitivity and 81.7% specificity in the validation cohort. It was more sensitive than CLIP4 hyper-methylated alone in stool specimens (90.3% sensitive, 88.4% specificity) [33]. Comparing with previous serum CRC biomarkers, CK20/hypermethylated CLIP4 was more effective than CEA/MMP-7/TIMP-1 (sensitivity: 70.3%, specificity: 91.3%) [34], RUNX3/SFRP1/CEA (sensitivity 84.71%) [35], LRG1/EGFR/ITIH4/ HPX/SOD3 (sensitivity: over 70%, specificity: 89%) [36], anti-SLP2/-p53/-SEC61B/-PLSCR1 (sensitivity: 64.1%, specificity: 80%) [37], miR-203a-3p/miR-145-5p/miR-375-3p/miR-200c-3p (sensitivity: 81.52%, specificity: 73.33%) [38], miR-144-3p/miR-425-5p/miR-1260b (sensitivity: 93.8%, specificity: 91.3%) [39], and less than CCL20/IL-17A (sensitivity: 96.1%, specificity: 96.5%) [40]. Elevated CCL20 and IL-17A levels may reflect inflammatory condition, which can increase the false-positive fraction (FPF) of CRC detection [40]. In comparison, CRC cells



Figure 6. Underexpressed and hyper-methylated genes were screened in CRC tissues by bioinformatics and verified in CRC cell lines by Q-PCR or RT-PCR. (A) Screening underexpressed and hyper-methylated genes in CRC tissues by bioinformatics. (B) Testing the expression of hyper-methylated genes by Q-PCR in CRC cell lines and a normal colon cell line. The expression of hyper-methylated genes was tested using Q-PCR (C) and RT-PCR (D) in CRC cell lines after treatment with DAC.



Figure 7. The serum hyper-methylated status of CLIP4 in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls. (A) Schematic illustration of the gene structure of *CLIP4*, the position of CpG islands and MSP primers. (B) Detecting *CLIP4* methylation status in 8 cell lines. Placental DNA (or treated by SSSI) represented a positive control for de-methylation or methylation. Abbreviations: M: methylation; U: un-methylation. (C) Representative serum and tissue methylation status of *CLIP4* in CRC, CA patients and healthy controls. (D) Frequency of serum *CLIP4* methylation status in 60 CRC, 30 CA patients and 33 healthy controls.



Figure 8. The expression of *CLIP4* in tumor tissues and cancer cell lines and the methylation status of *CLIP4* in CRC tissues. The mRNA expression of *CLIP4* in certain tumor tissues and CRC tissues was analyzed by GEPIA. The methylation level of *CLIP4* in CRC and normal tissues was analyzed by UALCAN. Abbreviations: COAD: colon adenocarcinoma; READ: rectum adenocarcinoma.

Characteristics –	Traiı	ning cohort (N =	= 123)	Validation cohort ($N = 120$)				
Characteristics –	Normal	CA	CRC	Normal	СА	CRC		
Age								
≤50	7 (21.2%)	5 (16.7%)	9 (15.0%)	14 (46.7%)	8 (26.7%)	7 (11.7%)		
>50	26 (78.8%)	25 (83.3%)	51 (85.0%)	16 (53.3%)	22 (73.3%)	53 (88.3%)		
Sex								
Male	13 (39.4%)	19 (63.3%)	39 (65.0%)	17 (56.7%)	23 (76.7%)	41 (68.3%)		
Female	20 (60.6%)	11 (36.3%)	21 (35.0%)	13 (43.3%)	7 (23.3%)	19 (31.7%)		
Tumor location								
Colon			22 (36.7%)			19 (33.9%)		
Rectum			38 (63.3%)			37 (66.1%)		
Tumor Size (cm)								
≤4			30 (50.0%)			22 (39.3%)		
>4			30 (50.0%)			34 (60.7%)		
TNM stage								
I + II			34 (56.7%)			16 (28.6%)		
III + IV			26 (23.3%)			40 (71.4%)		
Differentiation								
Well			7 (11.7%)			5 (8.9%)		
Moderate			48 (80%)			42 (75.0%)		
Poor			5 (8.3%)			9 (16.1%)		
Lymphovascular invasion								
Absent			36 (60.0%)			21 (37.5%)		
Present			24 (40.0%)			35 (62.5%)		
CEA								
<5 ng/ml			28 (46.6%)			21 (35%)		
\geq 5 ng/ml			32 (53.3%)			39 (65%)		
CA199								
<37 U/ml			46 (76.7%)			38 (73.1%)		
≥37 U/ml			14 (23.3%)			14 (26.9%)		
CA125								
<35 U/ml			54 (90.0%)			48 (92.3%)		
≥35 U/ml			6 (10.0%)			4 (7.7%)		

Table 2. Demographic and clinicopathologic characteristics of clinical cohorts.

Abbreviations: CA: colorectal adenomas; CRC: colorectal cancer.

overexpressed CK20 and showed hyper-methylated *CLIP4*. Serum CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* represented the tumor status of patients. The combination of serum CK20/hyper-methylated *CLIP4* could decrease FPF of CRC detection.

In this study, we found several limitations, which should be regarded as preliminary research, and upcoming surveys should focus on several issues. First, CRCs can be characterized by their primary tumor location. Left-sided colon cancer (LCC), including rectum and right-sided colon cancer (RCC), is different in pathogeneses, molecular characteristics, incidences and prognoses. In LCC, chromosomal instability has been detected in approximately 75% more than 30% of RCCs [41]. With increased chromosomal instability, LCC has been associated with more frequent overexpression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) ligands, *EGFR*, *EREG*, *AREG*, *HERS*, *VEGF-1* and *COX-2* [42]. In RCC, Hypermutation is more prevalent. RCC has been shown to be associated with an increase in *RAS* and phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway

	Training cohort							Validation cohort				
Characteristics	CK18		CK20		HPDL		CLIP4		CK20		CLIP4	
Characteristics	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Hemi- methylated	Un- methylated	Hyper- methylated	De- methylated	Negative	Positive	Hyper- methylated	De- methylated
Age					·	•	•	•				·
≤50	5	4	8	1	7	2	6	3	3	4	3	4
>50	27	24	36	16	31	20	38	13	35	18	45	8
P value	0.5	588	0.205		0.281		0.449		0.405		0.025	
Sex												
Male	20	19	27	12	25	14	29	10	27	14	34	7
Female	12	9	16	5	13	8	15	6	11	8	14	5
P value	0.4	136	0.399		0.542		0.518		0.376		0.493	
Tumor location												
Colon	11	11	13	9	12	10	13	9	8	11	15	4
Rectum	21	17	30	8	26	12	31	7	27	10	31	6
P value	0.4	45	0.	09	0.2	212	0.0	057	0.	04	0.	72
Tumor Size (cm)												
≤4	18	12	25	5	24	6	22	8	15	7	16	6
>4	14	16	18	12	14	16	22	8	20	14	30	4
P value	0.2	219	0.0)42	0.0	007	0.0	614	0.5	577	0.1	.67
TNM stage												
I + II	22	12	24	10	22	12	22	12	8	8	6	10
III + IV	10	16	19	7	16	10	22	4	27	13	40	0
P value	0.0)39	0.5	533	0.5	506	0.0	074	0.	24	0.0	000
Differentiation												
Well	6	1	6	1	7	0	2	5	3	2	1	4
Moderate	26	22	35	13	30	18	37	11	28	14	36	6
Poor	0	5	2	3	1	4	5	0	4	5	9	0
P value	0.0)13	0.2	203	0.0	017	0.0	009	0.4	07	0.0	01
Lymphovascular inva	sion											
Absent	22	14	25	11	24	12	24	12	13	8	11	10
Present	10	14	18	6	14	10	20	4	22	13	35	0
P value	0.1	12	0.4	434	0.	35	0.	128	0.5	582	0.0	00
CEA												
<5 ng/ml	27	1	22	6	24	4	17	11	12	9	9	12
≥5 ng/ml	5	27	21	11	14	18	27	5	26	13	39	0
P value	0.0	000	0.2	206	0.0	001	0.0	038	0.3	325	0.0	00
CA199												
<37 U/ml	30	16	36	10	33	13	32	14	29	9	29	9
≥37 U/ml	2	12	7	7	5	9	12	2	3	11	14	0
P value	0.0	001	0.0)46	0.0	018	0).2	0.0	01	0.0	92
CA125												
<35 U/ml	31	23	40	14	33	21	40	14	31	17	39	9
≥35 U/ml	1	5	3	3	5	1	4	2	1	3	4	0
P value	0.	07	0.2	216	0.2	276	0.:	512	0.2	.85	0.4	56

Table 3. Correlation of serum biomarkers level or methylation status with clinicopathological characteristics.
--

P < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Table 4. Evaluation of serum biomarkers level or methylation status in detection of CRC.

Markers	Training	g cohort	Validatio	Dest and off males		
Markers	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity	Specificity	- Best cut-off value	
CEA	53.33%	85.71%	65.00%	83.30%	≥5 ng/ml	
CA199	23.33%	92.06%	26.90%	91.70%	≥37 U/ml	
CK18	46.67%	87.30%			≥3 ng/ml	
CK20	28.33%	90.47%	36.70%	88.30%	≥0.5 ng/ml	
HPDL	36.67%	93.65%			De-methylated	
CLIP4	73.33%	84.13%	80.00%	85.00%	Hyper-Methylated	
CEA or CLIP4	81.67%	73.02%				
CK18 or CLIP4	80.00%	77.78%				
CK20 or CLIP4	91.67%	82.54%	95.00%	81.70%		
HPDL or CLIP4	81.67%	80.95%				
CK20 or HPDL or CLIP4	93.33%	76.19%				

mutations, BRAF mutations, and $TGF\beta R2$ mutations. CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP)-high and microsatellite-high subtype (MSI) have also been detected in RCC [43]. According to our study, in the validation cohort, elevated levels of CK20 were significantly correlated with the tumor location of the colon, not the rectum. Therefore, whether the expression of CK20 in tumor tissues and the serum level of CK20 are different between LCC and RCC and whether serum CK20 could distinguish LCC from RCC need to be further studied. Second, serum CK20 mRNA is a biomarker of circulating CRC cells. Serum CK20 protein originates from circulating CRC cells or CRC tumor tissue, which urgently needs to be determined. Therefore, for serum CK20 protein-positive patients, serum CK20 mRNA should be detected, and CK20 protein in CRC tumor tissues should be examined by IHC. Third, bioinformatics and DNA methylomics showed that breast and gastric cancer tissues presented hyper-methylated CLIP4 [44-46]. The diagnostic value of hyper-methylated CLIP4 in serum for breast cancer and gastric cancer has not yet been reported. Thus, a study involving several cancer types should be conducted to verify the specificity of hyper-methylated CLIP4 and CK20/hyper-methylated CLIP4 for CRC diagnosis. Fourth, through clinical serum sample validation, we found that only the combination of CK20 and hyper-methylated CLIP4 displayed high sensitivity and specificity for CRC diagnosis. The reason is unclear. Therefore, the biological function of CK20 and CLIP4 in CRC and the relationship between them should be further explored. In addition, our study was performed on a limited number of CRC individuals (Only 120 patients were enrolled) from two centers. In the future, a study involving several hospitals/clinics from different regions covering a large population should be conducted to avoid overestimation of the sensitivity and specificity of serum CK20/hypermethylated CLIP4. Finally, although none of the CRC patients had received radiotherapy, chemotherapy or surgery treatment prior to blood collection, they had already been clinically diagnosed by endoscopy and pathological biopsy. Serum biomarkers would be more likely detectable in clinical patients than subclinical patients. Therefore, a large number of blood samples from a health examination center should be collected and serum CK20/hyper-methylated CLIP4 should be detected. Then, for patients positive for serum CK20 or hyper-methylated CLIP4 should be examined by endoscopy and pathological biopsy to verify the ability of serum CK20/hyper-methylated CLIP4 to diagnose CRC.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, from systematical bioinformatics screening to clinical serum sample validation, this study shows that the combination of serum CK20 and hypermethylated *CLIP4* is a novel effective biomarker for CRC diagnosis.

Abbreviations

ASCL2: Achaete-Scute Family BHLH Transcription Factor 2; CA: Colorectal adenomas; CA125: Carbohydrate antigen 125; CA19-9: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CCLE: Cancer cell line encyclopedia; CEA (CEACAM5): Carcinoembryonic antigen; cfDNA: Cell free DNA; CK8 (KRT8): Cytokeratin 8; CK18 (KRT18): Cytokeratin 18; CK20 (KRT20): Cytokeratin 20; CLIP4: CAP-Gly Domain Containing Linker Protein Family Member 4; CRC: Colorectal cancer; DAC: 5'-Aza-2'-deoxycytiding; ELISA: Enzymelinked immunosorbent assay: EPCAM: Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule; FERMT1: FERM Domain Containing Kindlin 1; GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase; GEPIA: Gene Expression Profiling Interactive: GTEx: Genotype-Tissue Expression; HNF4G: Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 Gamma; HPA: Human Protein Atlas; HPDL: 4-Hydroxyphenylpyruvate Dioxygenase Like; KCNE3: Potassium Voltage-Gated Channel Subfamily E Regulatory Subunit 3; LGR5: Leucine Rich Repeat Containing G Protein-Coupled Receptor 5; MSP: Methylation-specific PCR; MUC13: Mucin 13; SLC12A2: Solute Carrier Family 12 Member 2; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QG, HT and ZL provided the conception. ZL performed the computational analyses. FZ, YJ, NK, YZ and JW provided the clinical samples. ZL, WZ, JW, LW and XL did the experiments and drafted the paper. QG and HT reviewed the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all patients for participation in this study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the following grants: 2019LCZXKF-XH13, 2020LCZXKF-XH01 and 2021LCZXXF-XH01 from Yunnan Digestive Endoscopy Clinical Medical Center Foundation [2X2019-01-02] for Health Commission of Yunnan Province; KHBSH-2020-001 from Post-doctor Foundation of The First People's Hospital of Yunnan Province; 2019FE001(-173) from Yunnan Provincial Foundation of Science and Technology Department and Kunming Medical University; 2018NS0264 from Yunnan Institute of Gastroenterology; 2018FF001(-049) from Yunnan Provincial Science and Technology Department; 2017-1-S-16759 from Kunming Science and Technology Bureau Program.

REFERENCES

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019; 69:7–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21551</u> PMID:<u>30620402</u>
- Grady WM, Carethers JM. Genomic and epigenetic instability in colorectal cancer pathogenesis. Gastroenterology. 2008; 135:1079–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.07.076</u> PMID:<u>18773902</u>
- Yu H. Reference intervals for gastrointestinal tumor markers (AFP, CEA, CA199 and CA724) in healthy adults of Han nationality in Chongqing by Roche ECLIA system. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2019; 79:484–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365513.2019.1661008 PMID:<u>31599689</u>
- Dong D, Zhang L, Jia L, Ji W, Wang Z, Ren L, Niu R, Zhou Y. Identification of Serum Periostin as a Potential Diagnostic and Prognostic Marker for Colorectal Cancer. Clin Lab. 2018; 64:973–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.7754/Clin.Lab.2018.171225</u> PMID:<u>29945311</u>
- Huo YR, Huang Y, Liauw W, Zhao J, Morris DL. Prognostic Value of Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA), AFP, CA19-9 and CA125 for Patients with Colorectal Cancer with Peritoneal Carcinomatosis Treated by Cytoreductive Surgery and Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy. Anticancer Res. 2016; 36:1041–9. PMID:26976996
- Gao Y, Wang J, Zhou Y, Sheng S, Qian SY, Huo X. Evaluation of Serum CEA, CA19-9, CA72-4, CA125 and Ferritin as Diagnostic Markers and Factors of Clinical Parameters for Colorectal Cancer. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:2732. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21048-y</u> PMID:29426902
- Esteller M, Herman JG. Cancer as an epigenetic disease: DNA methylation and chromatin alterations in human tumours. J Pathol. 2002; 196:1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1024</u> PMID:<u>11748635</u>

- Rex DK, Boland CR, Dominitz JA, Giardiello FM, Johnson DA, Kaltenbach T, Levin TR, Lieberman D, Robertson DJ. Colorectal Cancer Screening: Recommendations for Physicians and Patients from the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017; 112:1016–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2017.174</u> PMID:28555630
- 9. Danese E, Montagnana M, Lippi G. Circulating molecular biomarkers for screening or early diagnosis of colorectal cancer: which is ready for prime time? Ann Transl Med. 2019; 7:610. <u>https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2019.08.97</u> PMID:32047771
- Ng JM, Yu J. Promoter hypermethylation of tumour suppressor genes as potential biomarkers in colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2015; 16:2472–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16022472</u> PMID:25622259
- Okugawa Y, Grady WM, Goel A. Epigenetic Alterations in Colorectal Cancer: Emerging Biomarkers. Gastroenterology. 2015; 149:1204–25.e12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.011</u> PMID:<u>26216839</u>
- Lee JS. Exploring cancer genomic data from the cancer genome atlas project. BMB Rep. 2016; 49:607–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.5483/bmbrep.2016.49.11.145</u> PMID:<u>27530686</u>
- Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, Venkatesan K, Margolin AA, Kim S, Wilson CJ, Lehár J, Kryukov GV, Sonkin D, Reddy A, Liu M, Murray L, et al. The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature. 2012; 483:603–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11003</u> PMID:22460905
- 14. Tang Z, Li C, Kang B, Gao G, Li C, Zhang Z. GEPIA: a web server for cancer and normal gene expression profiling and interactive analyses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45:W98–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx247</u> PMID:28407145
- Colwill K, Gräslund S, and Renewable Protein Binder Working Group. A roadmap to generate renewable protein binders to the human proteome. Nat Methods. 2011; 8:551–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1607</u> PMID:21572409
- Zweig AS, Karolchik D, Kuhn RM, Haussler D, Kent WJ. UCSC genome browser tutorial. Genomics. 2008; 92:75–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2008.02.003</u> PMID:<u>18514479</u>

- Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, Creighton CJ, Ponce-Rodriguez I, Chakravarthi BVS, Varambally S. UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor Subgroup Gene Expression and Survival Analyses. Neoplasia. 2017; 19:649–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2017.05.002</u> PMID:<u>28732212</u>
- Koch A, De Meyer T, Jeschke J, Van Criekinge W. MEXPRESS: visualizing expression, DNA methylation and clinical TCGA data. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16:636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1847-z</u> PMID:<u>26306699</u>
- Chen MM, Zhao RC, Chen KF, Huang Y, Liu ZJ, Wei YG, Jian Y, Sun AM, Qin L, Li B, Qin Y. Hypomethylation of CTCFL promoters as a noninvasive biomarker in plasma from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Neoplasma. 2020; 67:909–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2020_190819N789</u> PMID:<u>32386482</u>
- 20. Moll R, Zimbelmann R, Goldschmidt MD, Keith M, Laufer J, Kasper M, Koch PJ, Franke WW. The human gene encoding cytokeratin 20 and its expression during fetal development and in gastrointestinal carcinomas. Differentiation. 1993; 53:75–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-0436.1993.tb00648.x</u> PMID:<u>8359595</u>
- Imai Y, Yamagishi H, Fukuda K, Okamura T, Ono Y, Ban S, Inoue T, Ueda Y. Expression of cytokeratin 20 indicates invasive histological phenotype in poorly differentiated colorectal adenocarcinoma. Anticancer Res. 2014; 34:159–67. PMID:24403457
- Kust D, Šamija I, Kirac I, Radić J, Kovačević D, Kusić Z. Cytokeratin 20 positive cells in blood of colorectal cancer patients as an unfavorable prognostic marker. Acta Clin Belg. 2016; 71:235–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17843286.2016.1177264</u> PMID:<u>27144776</u>
- Šamija I, Lukač J, Mubrin MK, Kirac I, Kovačević D, Kusić Z. Detection of cytokeratin-20-positive cells in preoperative and postoperative blood samples from colorectal cancer patients by real-time RT-PCR. Int J Biol Markers. 2013; 28:174–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.5301/jbm.5000003</u> PMID:<u>23558939</u>
- Molnár B, Galamb O, Kalmár A, Barták BK, Nagy ZB, Tóth K, Tulassay Z, Igaz P, Dank M. Circulating cellfree nucleic acids as biomarkers in colorectal cancer screening and diagnosis - an update. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. 2019; 19:477–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/14737159.2019.1613891</u> PMID:<u>31046485</u>

- Auf FA, El-Eibdy GK, Mosaad YM, El-Ghannam DM, El-Masry EE. Cytokeratin 20 and vascular endothelial growth factor as molecular markers in Egyptian patients with colorectal cancer. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2011; 17:160–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1078155210365006</u> PMID:20395351
- 26. Wong CS, Cheung MT, Ma BB, Pun Hui E, Chan AC, Chan CK, Lee KC, Cheuk W, Lam MY, Wong MC, Chan CM, Chan JK, Chan AT. Isolated tumor cells and circulating CK20 mRNA in pN0 colorectal cancer patients. Int J Surg Pathol. 2008; 16:119–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1066896907311901</u> PMID:18387990
- Tsouma A, Aggeli C, Lembessis P, Zografos GN, Korkolis DP, Pectasides D, Skondra M, Pissimissis N, Tzonou A, Koutsilieris M. Multiplex RT-PCR-based detections of CEA, CK20 and EGFR in colorectal cancer patients. World J Gastroenterol. 2010; 16:5965–74. PMID:<u>21157973</u>
- 28. Xu D, Li XF, Zheng S, Jiang WZ. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR detection for CEA, CK20 and CK19 mRNA in peripheral blood of colorectal cancer patients. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B. 2006; 7:445–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.2006.B0445</u> PMID:16691638
- Shen C, Hu L, Xia L, Li Y. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR detection for survivin, CK20 and CEA in peripheral blood of colorectal cancer patients. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2008; 38:770–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jjco/hyn105</u> PMID:18845519
- 30. Gaudet P, Livstone MS, Lewis SE, Thomas PD. Phylogenetic-based propagation of functional annotations within the Gene Ontology consortium. Brief Bioinform. 2011; 12:449–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbr042</u> PMID:<u>21873635</u>
- Jensen SØ, Øgaard N, Nielsen HJ, Bramsen JB, Andersen CL. Enhanced Performance of DNA Methylation Markers by Simultaneous Measurement of Sense and Antisense DNA Strands after Cytosine Conversion. Clin Chem. 2020; 66:925–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa100</u> PMID:32460325
- 32. Jensen SØ, Øgaard N, Ørntoft MW, Rasmussen MH, Bramsen JB, Kristensen H, Mouritzen P, Madsen MR, Madsen AH, Sunesen KG, Iversen LH, Laurberg S, Christensen IJ, et al. Novel DNA methylation biomarkers show high sensitivity and specificity for blood-based detection of colorectal cancer-a clinical biomarker discovery and validation study. Clin Epigenetics. 2019; 11:158.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0757-3 PMID:<u>31727158</u>

- 33. Cao Y, Zhao G, Cao Y, Chen Z, Liu X, Yuan M, Yang J, Wang X, Ma Y, Liu Z, Xiong S, Zheng M, Fei S. Feasibility of Methylated *CLIP4* in Stool for Early Detection of Colorectal Cancer: A Training Study in Chinese Population. Front Oncol. 2021; 11:647066. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.647066</u> PMID:<u>33968748</u>
- 34. Huang X, Lan Y, Li E, Li J, Deng Q, Deng X. Diagnostic values of MMP-7, MMP-9, MMP-11, TIMP-1, TIMP-2, CEA, and CA19-9 in patients with colorectal cancer. J Int Med Res. 2021; 49:3000605211012570. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/03000605211012570</u> PMID:<u>33942633</u>
- 35. Pasha HF, Radwan MI, Yehia AM, Toam MM. Circulating methylated RUNX3 and SFRP1 genes as a noninvasive panel for early detection of colorectal cancer. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019; 31:1342–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.00000000001532</u> PMID:<u>31524773</u>
- Ivancic MM, Megna BW, Sverchkov Y, Craven M, Reichelderfer M, Pickhardt PJ, Sussman MR, Kennedy GD. Noninvasive Detection of Colorectal Carcinomas Using Serum Protein Biomarkers. J Surg Res. 2020; 246:160–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2019.08.004

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2019.08.004 PMID:<u>31586890</u>

- 37. Fan CW, Kuo YB, Lin GP, Chen SM, Chang SH, Li BA, Chan EC. Development of a multiplexed tumor-associated autoantibody-based blood test for the detection of colorectal cancer. Clin Chim Acta. 2017; 475:157–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2017.10.022
 PMID:29074220
- Huang G, Wei B, Chen Z, Wang J, Zhao L, Peng X, Liu K, Lai Y, Ni L. Identification of a four-microRNA panel in serum as promising biomarker for colorectal carcinoma detection. Biomark Med. 2020; 14:749–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/bmm-2019-0605</u> PMID:<u>32672054</u>
- 39. Tan Y, Lin JJ, Yang X, Gou DM, Fu L, Li FR, Yu XF. A panel of three plasma microRNAs for colorectal cancer diagnosis. Cancer Epidemiol. 2019; 60:67–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2019.01.015</u> PMID:<u>30925282</u>
- 40. Wang D, Yuan W, Wang Y, Wu Q, Yang L, Li F, Chen X, Zhang Z, Yu W, Maimela NR, Cao L, Wang D, Wang J, et al. Serum CCL20 combined with IL-17A as early diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for human colorectal cancer. J Transl Med. 2019; 17:253. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-019-2008-y</u> PMID:<u>31387598</u>

 Shen H, Yang J, Huang Q, Jiang MJ, Tan YN, Fu JF, Zhu LZ, Fang XF, Yuan Y. Different treatment strategies and molecular features between right-sided and left-sided colon cancers. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21:6470–8. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i21.6470

PMID:26074686

- Huang X, Liu J, Mo X, Liu H, Wei C, Huang L, Chen J, Tian C, Meng Y, Wu G, Xie W, P C FJ, Liu Z, Tang W. Systematic profiling of alternative splicing events and splicing factors in left- and right-sided colon cancer. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:8270–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102319</u> PMID:31586988
- 43. Stintzing S, Tejpar S, Gibbs P, Thiebach L, Lenz HJ. Understanding the role of primary tumour localisation in colorectal cancer treatment and outcomes. Eur J Cancer. 2017; 84:69–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2017.07.016</u> PMID:<u>28787661</u>
- 44. Hu C, Zhou Y, Liu C, Kang Y. A novel scoring system for gastric cancer risk assessment based on the expression of three CLIP4 DNA methylationassociated genes. Int J Oncol. 2018; 53:633–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2018.4433</u>
 PMID:29901187
- 45. Chong Y, Mia-Jan K, Ryu H, Abdul-Ghafar J, Munkhdelger J, Lkhagvadorj S, Jung SY, Lee M, Ji SY, Choi E, Cho MY. DNA methylation status of a distinctively different subset of genes is associated with each histologic Lauren classification subtype in early gastric carcinogenesis. Oncol Rep. 2014; 31:2535–44. https://doi.org/10.2802/or.2014.2122

https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2014.3133 PMID:24737029

 Fan Y, He L, Wang Y, Fu S, Han Y, Fan J, Wen Q. CLIP4 Shows Putative Tumor Suppressor Characteristics in Breast Cancer: An Integrated Analysis. Front Mol Biosci. 2021; 7:616190.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2020.616190 PMID:<u>33575272</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. 100 genes specifically overexpressed in CRC tissue.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (\log_2 fold >1, *P* value <0.01) and CRC vs. Different part of normal tissues (\log_2 fold >3, *P* value <0.01)

CEACAM5 GPX2 RNF43 KRT18 UBD CST1 CCL20 ASCL2 FERMT1 NOX1 OLFM4 CYP2S1 EPCAM VIL1 MMP1 B3GNT3 IGHG4 CCL24 MISP MUC13 SPINK4 CDX2 LY6G6D CDX1 CDH17 IHH RP11-357H14.17 ATP10B MMP12 TSPAN8 PRR15 C6orf223 KRT8 UBE2SP2 MUC3A EPHB2 GUCY2C PLS1 NFE2L3 LGR5 CTD-2377D24.6 COL10A1 GMDS AP000349.2 KRT20 C021218.2 GPA33 PPP1R14D ACSL5 MEP1A LINC01559 BCL2L15 RP11-150012.3 C6orf222 GALNT4 HPDL TRPM2-AS RP5-881L22.5 SLC12A2 AP003774.1 CASC9 KCNE3 HNF4G C2CD4A TRIM15 AP0BEC1 FAM111B LINC01207 GPR35 EPST11 LINC00483 RP11-304L19.1 GALS4 RP11-474D1.3 ABHD11-AS1 EFA6 CALML4 AP000439.3 CASC21 EPS8L3 AC005355.2 HTR1D FUT4 AL3ST2 TMEM211 HOXB-AS4 UC5B CXCR3 RP11-234B24.2 DEFA5 ATF2 KRT8P11 FEZF1-AS1 RP11-187E13.1 RN7SKP54 POU5F1B SRMS AC016735.1 TLX1 XFP4

Supplementary Table 2. 74 genes specifically overexpressed in CRC cell lines.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (\log_2 fold >1, *P* value <0.01) and CRC vs. Different part of normal tissues (\log_2 fold >3, *P* value <0.01) and Over expression in CRC cell lines (Rank top 3)

CEACAM5 GPX2 RNF43 KRT18 CST1 ASCL2 FERMT1 NOX1 OLFM4 CYP2S1 VIL1 B3GNT3 CCL24 MISP MUC13 SPINK4 CDX2 CDX1 CDH17 IHH RP11-357H14.17 ATP10B TSPAN8 PRR15 C6orf223 KRT8 MUC3A EPHB2 GUCY2C PLS1 NFE2L3 LGR5 CTD-2377D24.6 GMDS KRT20 AC021218.2 GPA33 PPP1R14D ACSL5 MEP1A BCL2L15 RP11-150012.3 C6orf222 HPDL RP5-881L22.5 SLC12A2 KCNE3 HNF4G TRIM15 APOBEC1 GPR35 LINC00483 LGALS4 RP11-474D1.3 DEFA6 CALML4 AP000439.3 EPS8L3 AC005355.2 GAL3ST2 TMEM211 HOXB-AS4 MUC5B RP11-234B24.2 DEFA5 BATF2 KRT8P11 RP11-187E13.1 RN75KP54 POU5F1B SRMS AC016735.1 TLX1 RXFP4

Supplementary Table 3. 16 CRC specifical overexpressed genes which encoding secreted proteins.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (\log_2 fold >1, *P* value <0.01) and CRC vs. Different part of normal tissues (\log_2 fold >3, *P* value <0.01) and Over expression in CRC cell lines (Rank top 3) and Secreted proteins

KRT18 CST1 OLFM4 EPCAM CCL24 SPINK4 IHH KRT8 MUC3A DEFA6 MUC5B DEFA5 CEACAM5 MUC13 KRT20 LGALS4

Supplementary Table 4. 19 CRC specifical overexpressed genes possessed CpG islands in their promoters or the first exon region.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (\log_2 fold >1, *P* value <0.01) and CRC vs. Different part of normal tissues (\log_2 fold >3, *P* value <0.01) and Over expression in CRC cell lines (Rank top 3) and CpG islands in promoters or the first exon region

KRT18 CYP2S1 B3GNT3 CDX2 CDX1 IHH PRR15 KRT8 EPHB2 NFE2L3 GMDS SRMS ASCL2 FERMT1 LGR5 HPDL SLC12A2 KCNE3 HNF4G

Supplementary Table 5. Top 250 underexpressed genes in CRC tissue.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (log₂ fold <-1, *P* value <0.01)

TMBIM4 ARHGEF17 COL6A2 GFRA1 TXLNGY MAOB MBNL1-AS1 CES1 PODN GOLGA8A ACACB CA4 HMCN2 SFRP1 ITGA5 FBXL22 SLC22A17 CILP PHYHD1 PCSK1N CLDN5 CLIP4 IL11RA GREM2 GABBR1 PTGS1 MAP6 CLMP REEP1 ZEB1 GPRASP1 RP11-602.3 STON1 MRV11 ZG16 WISP2 CHGA EPHA7 C15orf52 SCGN APOD MFAP4 EBF4 MIR4697HG PALM MEG3 CSPG4 STARD9 TNS2 PDLIM4 PRRT2 ABI3BP DDR2 BHMT2 AKAP12 NKX2-3 PHLDB2 OSR1 AC002398.12 COL6A1 MEIS2 LINC01573 TGFB111 KDM5D GSTM1 TSPYL2 CCDC136 CAV1 S100B ARHGEF26 APBB1 PTGIS FGFR1 MYOCD CSRP1 RERG DPP6 CLIP3 RP11-166D19.1 MAGI2-AS3 UCHL1 CALD1 ANK2 PYGM DNAJB5 ACKR1 TMOD1 USP32P1 PDZD4 PER1 PDLIM7 GRIK5 L1CAM DTNA PLEKHO1 AGTR1 RGS2 SOX10 RASGRP2 PDLIM3 KANK2 ZBTB16 SVIL FERMT2 CFL2 PPP1R1A MST1L VIP RIC3 FENDRR ACTA2-AS1 BOC DACT3 CADM3 TMEM35 NPTX1 ADAMTS9-AS1 DTX3 ATP2B4 C8orf88 PRUNE2 CHRM2 NEXN BCHE PDZRN4 PDE2A FAM46B CLU SFRP5 RIMKLB PCP4 FAM107A PPP1R12B GPM6A MSRB3 SDPR LYNX1 CPXM2 SMTN SLC26A10 C20orf166-AS1 ADCY5 OGN RP11-286H15.1 ABCA8 SLC2A4 ADHFE1 SPARCL1 CFD RAMP1 FLNA LDB3 LYVE1 SCARA5 CCDC69 MAL PDK4 RPS4Y1 UBXN10-AS1 FAM129A MEIS1 NNAT TNXB SCN7A KCNMA1 RGMA SRPX AP000892.6 THBS4 NCAM1 CCL14 CHRDL1 SGCA AOC3 PRPH ASB2 MGP TPM2 MASP1 SORBS1 MRGPRF MYLK AL442127.1 GNAO1 JPH2 C2orf40 FXYD6 CASQ2 PLXNB3 CACNA1H GSTM2 MAMDC2 ANGPTL1 FLNC FABP4 PLP1 TAGLN CA1 MORN5 KČNMB1 ARHGEF25 TNS1 CORO6 ATP1A2 PRIMA1 MIR143HG RNA5SP216 HIF3A PLN TCEAL2 CRYAB LGI4 PRELP LMO3 ITGA7 PPP1R14A GPX3 HSPB7 POPDC2 LIMS2 MAB21L2 MYL9 FXYD1 PLIN4 PGM5 HAND1 PNCK RBFOX3 HAND2-AS1 PGM5-AS1 TACR2 C7 FHL1 DPT RBPMS2 HAND2 HSPB8 PSD SYNPO2 SPEG LMOD1 ADAM33 HSPB6 CNN1 RP11-39404.5 ADH1B SYNM ACTG2 MYH11 DES

Supplementary Table 6. Top 250 promoter hyper-methylated genes in CRC tissue.

CRC vs. Colon and Rectal normal tissue (Beta value: 0.7–0.5, *P* value <0.01)

VWC2 DPP10 EFCC1 KHDRBS2 FGF5 ADHFE1 PTPRT FAIM2 EYA4 DOK5 PREX2 GATA5 CMTM3 COL4A1 COL4A2 GFRA1 SNAP91 DPY19L2 CMTM2 DKFZP434H168 SYT9 RALYL FBN2 FAM19A4 SPATA32 INA ITGA8 ADAMTS5 FIGN PRDM14 CNKSR2 RAB6C IRX4 GALNT13 CFAP100 MARCH11 NALCN DYDC1 DYDC2 ST8SIA5 CHST10 LOC283392 TRHDE GPR26 ZNF582 BARHL2 MIR129-2 OTX2-AS1 LRFN5 PCDH10 EID3 PTPN5 FGF12 FOXE1 MIR137 MIR124-3 DOK6 CPXM2 MMP23B SORCS3 FNDC1 CRHR2 QRFPR GALNTL6 GSC2 GRIA4 SLC13A5 TMEM132C NPBWR1 NR2E1 CCDC178 GRWD1 CHL1 SOX17 CHRDL1 ST6GALNAC5 SLC16A12 COL26A1 RYR2 WDR86 SALLI PCDH8 FGF8 GLRB GRIK3 SORCS1 MMP16 GCM2 PTF1A DMRT3 OPRK1 UNC80 DBX2 LAMA1 DRD4 TFPI2 SDC2 SIM1 CIDEA ADGRA1 COL23A1 PDLIM4 VIPR2 CCAR2__BRINP1 SLITRK4 ZNF814 VAV3 ZNF132 LOC157627 ESX1 MKX SLC6A3 HCN1 PLPPR4 SHISA9 BHLHE23 CLDN10 NPY GABBR2 VSX1 ZNF75A SH3GL3 NR0B1 ADAM12 FOX12 GPR101 TCEAL2 FOXD2 FOXD2-AS1 NRK MYOD1 ZNF549 ADRA1A VSTM2B CIQL2 CFAP46 ITIH5 PAX7 PHOX2A SALL3 OLIG2 LINC00472 ZNF334 HOXA5 POU4F2 MSC FUT9 GAD2 EFEMP1 FAM19A5 HNF4G MDFIC NXPH2 SHISA2 EFS DNAH11 LDOC1 TCERG1L GRIA2 ADCY4 ZNF85 SLC18A3 SLC4A11 SOX3 SLC32A1 HOXA2 TWIST1 TRPC6 NID1 FGF3 ZNF667 FAM162B BTG4 ZNF415 NID2 CLIP4 LOC100188949 GPR83 SLC6A2 CDO1 GJD2 NELLI MIR348 TEME196 CRISPLD1 ADCYAP1 UTF1 PCDH17 CUX2 VEGFC STX1B HOXD10 SFRP2 GHSR CYP7B1 PTH2R RXRG SLC6A15 ADAMTS16 EXOC3L2 NEFH NPTX2 SIX6 SULT4A1 TMEM108 TBX20 ANKRD20A8P GPR27 UCHL1 FBN1 GALR2 TBX5 DRD5 ZFP42 JAM3 TLX3 ZNF542P AJAP1 SYNDIGI CCNA1 NCAM2 PCDHGC3 KDR WNK3 ADCY8 DGKI PDPN EML1 HS3ST3A1 FREM3 DTX1 EVC2 CDH3 KCNG1 FBX039 NGF ACSS3 TMEM132D CLVS2 HTR1A TDRD10 SHE TMEM26 GRM7 CCDC181 GSTM2 STAC FAM218A SLC6A11 USP44