Research Paper

Ribosomal DNA methylation in human and mouse oocytes increases with age

Ramya Potabattula¹, Tom Trapphoff², Marcus Dittrich^{1,3}, Kinga Fic⁴, Grazyna E. Ptak⁴, Stefan Dieterle^{2,5}, Thomas Haaf¹

¹Institute of Human Genetics, Julius Maximilians University, Würzburg, Germany
 ²Fertility Center, Dortmund, Germany
 ³Department of Bioinformatics, Julius Maximilians University, Würzburg, Germany
 ⁴Malopolska Centre of Biotechnology (MCB), Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
 ⁵Division of Reproductive Medicine and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Witten/Herdecke University, Dortmund, Germany

Correspondence to: Thomas Haaf; email: thomas.haaf@uni-wuerzburg.deKeywords: bisulfite pyrosequencing, human and mouse GV oocytes, ovarian aging, ribosomal DNA methylation,single oocyte analysisReceived: September 24, 2021Accepted: February 8, 2022Published: February 14, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Potabattula et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

An age-dependent increase in ribosomal DNA (rDNA) methylation has been observed across a broad spectrum of somatic tissues and the male mammalian germline. Bisulfite pyrosequencing (BPS) was used to determine the methylation levels of the rDNA core promoter and the rDNA upstream control element (UCE) along with two oppositely genomically imprinted control genes (*PEG3* and *GTL2*) in individual human germinal vesicle (GV) oocytes from 90 consenting women undergoing fertility treatment because of male infertility. Apart from a few (4%) oocytes with single imprinting defects (in either *PEG3* or *GTL2*), the analyzed GV oocytes displayed correct imprinting patterns. In 95 GV oocytes from 42 younger women (26-32 years), the mean methylation levels of the rDNA core promoter and UCE were $7.4\pm4.0\%$ and $9.3\pm6.1\%$, respectively. In 79 GV oocytes from 48 older women (33-39 years), methylation levels increased to $9.3\pm5.3\%$ (*P* = 0.014) and $11.6\pm7.4\%$ (*P* = 0.039), respectively. An age-related increase in oocyte rDNA methylation was also observed in 123 mouse GV oocytes from 29 4-16-months-old animals. Similar to the continuously mitotically dividing male germline, ovarian aging is associated with a gain of rDNA methylation in meiotically arrested oocytes. Oocytes from the same woman can exhibit varying rDNA methylation levels and, by extrapolation, different epigenetic ages.

INTRODUCTION

In developed countries, the trend towards delayed parenthood has been constantly increasing. For economic, social, political, and cultural reasons, many parents postpone their wish for children beyond the optimal biological age [1]. This has led to an everincreasing demand for assisted reproductive technologies and prenatal diagnostic testing. For decades, it is well known that the oocyte aneuploidy rate and, consequently, the risk for fertility problems, miscarriages, and children with Down syndrome (and other chromosome disorders) increases with maternal age. Major underlying factors of reduced fertility at advanced maternal age are the decline in ovarian reserve [2] and the increased rate of chromosomal nondisjunction due to the prolonged meiotic arrest [3, 4]. In addition, aggregation of meiotic double-stranded breaks [5], loss of homologous recombination proteins [6] and cohesins [7], accumulation of oxidative stress [8], and a severe bottle neck in mitochondrial DNA segregation [9] may contribute to the maternal age-related medical problems. Transcriptome analyses revealed numerous age-related changes in oocyte gene expression including genes for cell cycle regulation, energy production, and other critical pathways [10–13].

In the male germline, the number of spermatogonial cell divisions increases from 35 at puberty to >800 at 50 years [14]. During each cell division, not only the DNA sequence but also its epigenetic modifications must be copied to the daughter cells. Considering that the error rate during this copying process is at least one order of magnitude higher for epigenetic information than for genetic information [15], the sperm epigenome can be expected to acquire 10-100 times more agerelated epimutations than DNA sequence mutations. Accumulating evidence suggests an association between advanced paternal age and DNA methylation changes in the sperm epigenome and also in the next generation [16-18]. In contrast to the well-studied effects of advanced paternal age on the sperm epigenome, little is known about the possible impact of maternal age on the epigenome of the non-dividing meiotically arrested oocyte that is transcriptionally silenced at the end of the oocyte growth phase. During growth, the oocyte accumulates large numbers of ribosomes that are contributed to the preimplantation embryo for gene expression before zygotic gene activation [12, 19]. Methylcytosine staining revealed an age-related decrease in global DNA methylation in oocytes of aged female mice [20], whereas a review of more recent studies reported a trend towards increased global DNA methylation in aged germinal vesicle (GV) oocytes [21].

Repetitive elements like ribosomal DNA (rDNA) comprise over two-thirds of the human genome [22]. Recently, we have shown that different repeat DNA families, including (peri)centromeric satellite DNAs, interspersed retrotransposons, and rDNA gain methylation in the aging male mammalian germ line [23]. The human genome is endowed with several hundred (315±104) rDNA transcription units, each containing more than 1,500 CpG sites [24]. Promoter methylation of the tandemly arrayed transcription units on the acrocentric short arms leads to epigenetic rDNA silencing [25], whereas gene body methylation may enhance rDNA transcription [26]. In turn, rDNA transcription affects ribosome biogenesis, overall protein synthesis, and essentially each cellular process. The nucleolus is the central organelle for protein production during oocyte growth which can be expected to have an impact on the quality of the oocyte and the resulting preimplantation embryo [12, 27].

Interestingly, the correlation between biological aging and rDNA methylation has been conserved across a broad spectrum of somatic tissues and species [28–31]. In contrast to other epigenetic clocks which are built on the methylation levels of highly selected CpGs scattered throughout the genome [32–34], rDNA methylation may reflect functional changes in nucleolar biology during aging and in age-related conditions [35, 36]. Although age-associated rDNA methylation has been proposed as a universal age predictor [31], ovarian aging has not been studied so far. Here, we have analyzed rDNA methylation of individual human oocytes from ovum pick-ups for assisted reproduction as well as mouse GV oocytes.

RESULTS

Methylation analysis of imprinted genes in human oocytes

Imprinted genes show a parent-of-origin-specific expression of either the paternal or the maternal allele [37]. They are generally used as controls to exclude somatic cell contamination in epigenetic studies on mature germ cells. Here, we established a multiplex PCR assay including the oppositely imprinted control regions of *PEG3* (maternally methylated) and *GTL2* (paternally methylated) as well as two target regions (core promoter and UCE) of the rDNA transcription unit.

Most (153 of 174; 88%) oocytes showed >80% methylation for the maternally imprinted (paternally expressed) *PEG3* and <20% methylation for the paternally imprinted (maternally expressed) *GTL2* gene (Figure 1). A small percentage (7 of 174; 4%) displayed an abnormal methylation pattern of one imprinted gene but not of the other. For 14 of 174 (8%) oocytes, we did not obtain PCR products of *PEG3* and/or *GTL2* for methylation analysis. Taken together, we can exclude somatic cell contamination and inferior oocyte quality in our cohort. The mean (\pm standard deviation, SD) methylation in the analyzed oocytes was 90±19% (median 98%) for *PEG3* and 7.6±17% (median 1.0%) for *GTL2*.

Age-related increase in oocyte rDNA methylation in humans and mice

A positive correlation between male age and sperm rDNA methylation has been conserved in the male germline of different mammalian species [23]. To test whether a comparable age effect exists in the female germline, bisulfite pyrosequencing (BPS) of the rDNA core promoter and UCE was performed using individual human GV oocytes. Ninety-five oocytes were obtained from 42 "younger" women between 26 and 32 years and 79 oocytes from 48 "older" women from 33 to 39 years (Supplementary Figure 1). To test for the methylation differences between the two age groups, linear mixed-effect models were used accounting for the repeated measures of multiple oocytes per woman. For the rDNA promoter amplicon, the mean (±SD) methylation was 7.4±4.0% (median 6.4%) for the younger women and 9.3±5.3% (median 7.9%) for the older women (Figure 2). For the UCE, the mean methylation was 9.3±6.1% (median 6.9%) in the younger group and 11.6±7.4% (median 9.2%) in the older group. The between-group difference was significant for both the core promoter (P = 0.014) and UCE (P = 0.039). Both the rDNA core promoter (Spearman's rho = 0.23; P = 0.039) and UCE (rho = 0.24; P = 0.038) methylation were positively correlated with donor age (Supplementary Figure 2). Moreover, there was a significant positive correlation (Spearman's rho = 0.42, *P* <0.0001) between the methylation levels of the rDNA core promoter and the rDNA UCE (Supplementary Figure 3A). Our results suggest that human ovarian aging is associated with increasing oocyte methylation of the rDNA promoter and UCE. In contrast, the body mass index (BMI) did not show a significant or trend correlation with rDNA methylation.

To uncover whether this maternal age effect has been evolutionarily conserved, oocyte methylation of the

rDNA (spacer and core) promoter regions and gene body (18S and 28S rDNA) was analyzed in the aging mouse model. Forty-one GV oocytes were obtained from ten 4-months-old, 51 from ten 5-7-months-old, and 31 from nine 11-16-months-old females. Correlation of raw oocyte methylation with age was significant or trend significant for the spacer promoter (Spearman's rho = 0.27; P = 0.004), core promoter (rho = 0.20; P = 0.03), and 28S rDNA (rho = 0.17; P = 0.06), whereas no significant changes were observed for 18S rDNA (Figure 3). Mean methylation of all oocytes per animal showed similar correlations (rho = 0.32 for the spacer promoter, 0.20 for the core promoter, 0.08 for 18S rDNA, and 0.19 for 28S rDNA), albeit not reaching significance, probably due to the smaller number of donors (Supplementary Figure 4). The oocyte methylation levels of any two analyzed mouse rDNA regions were significantly (rho = 0.43-0.83; P < 0.001) correlated with each other (Supplementary Figure 3B).

rDNA methylation variation between germ cells of the same donor

Forty-two women contributed multiple (up to 6) oocytes to this study (Supplementary Figure 1). Interestingly, for some donors (i.e. 2, 4, 8, 12, 21, 25, and 42), both





rDNA UCE and core promoter methylation varied dramatically (in the order of 10-20%) between different oocytes from the same retrieval (Figure 4). It seems plausible that overall methylation variation increases with the number of oocytes obtained from the same women. However, huge methylation variation was also observed between 2 or 3 oocytes, i.e. from donors 1, 4, and 8, and relatively little variation between 4 to 6 oocytes, i.e. from donors 17 and 18. The majority (24 of 42; 57%) of women displayed similar methylation levels (<5% variation) in different oocytes and rDNA amplicons. Some graphic examples are women 3, 19, 23, 24, 27, and 33 (Figure 4). Considering that the technical variation of bisulfite pyrosequencing is 1-2% for genomic DNA samples with millions of DNA molecules, <5% methylation variation between individual oocytes of the same women demonstrates the reliability of our measurements. Similar methylation variation between individual oocytes (up to 9) from the same donor were observed in the aging mouse model (Supplementary Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

Age-dependent changes in nucleolar structure, gene expression and methylation in oocytes

The 45S pre-rRNA transcript of the rDNA transcription unit is spliced into 5.8S, 18S, and 28S rRNAs, which are assembled in the ribosomal subunits of the nucleolus. Alterations in nucleolar biology are thought to play a functional role in the aging process [35, 36]. In both humans [38] and mice [39, 40], functional nuclear architecture has been used to classify GV oocytes into two main types: A larger group with "surrounded nucleolus (SN)" which may be able to fully sustain early embryo development and a smaller group with "not surrounded nucleolus (NSN)" which usually leads to developmental arrest. The rDNA in SN oocytes with a dense ring of chromatin around the nucleolus is transcriptionally silenced, whereas the uncondensed chromatin in NSN oocytes is still transcriptionally active. Oocytes from reproductively old mice have a





higher percentage of nucleoli with giant fibrillary centers, indicative of increased rDNA transcription, and more ribosomes in the cytoplasm than oocytes from younger animals [12]. Collectively, these results suggest that alterations in chromatin compaction and transcriptional silencing during the oocyte growth phase impact oocyte quality. The age-associated decline of female fertility appears to be primarily due to reduced oocyte quality rather the quantity [27, 41].

An age-dependent increase in rDNA methylation has been observed in widely different somatic tissues and sperm samples of humans and other mammalian species [28–31]. An evolutionarily conserved rDNA methylation clock appears to operate in similar ways in the soma [31] and male germline [23]. This study shows for the first time that in humans maternal aging is associated with an overall statistically significant gain of rDNA UCE and core promoter methylation in meiotically arrested oocytes. Weak correlations between donor age and oocyte rDNA methylation of spacer promoter, core promoter and 28S rDNA were

also observed in the mouse model. In contrast to previous studies on age-related rDNA methylation changes in sperm, here we analyzed individual human oocytes. BPS of human semen samples representing millions of individual sperm revealed 0.33% (P <0.0001) increments in rDNA methylation per year for both the core promoter and the UCE [23]. A similar age effect with 0.18% (P = 0.075) and 0.28% (P =0.064) increments per year, respectively, was seen in human oocytes. It is noteworthy that the age effect on rDNA methylation in somatic tissues such as blood (0.06% increments per year; P < 0.01) is one order of magnitude smaller than in the germline [23]. The methylation levels of all analyzed rDNA regions (UCE and core promoter in humans; spacer and core promoter, 18S and 28S rDNA in the mouse) significantly correlated with each other. Thus, similar to the aging male mammalian germline, the entire rDNA transcription unit in oocytes may be susceptible to aging. In contrast to promoter regions, gene body methylation promotes transcription of rDNA [26].



Figure 3. Raw correlation between rDNA methylation and donor age in individual mouse oocytes. Scatter plot showing a positive correlation between donor age (x-axis in months) and methylation (y-axis in %) of the rDNA spacer promoter (red dots), core promoter (blue dots), 18S rDNA (mauve dots), and 28S rDNA (green dots). Altogether, 123 GV oocytes from 29 4-16-months-old mice were analyzed. Each dot represents an individual oocyte.

In somatic cells and spermatogonial stem cells, methylation changes could be due to errors in the maintenance of DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic drift. Since oocytes in aging women do not divide, active de novo methylation must occur at the rDNA UCE and promoter regions. Methylation of rDNA in somatic cells critically depends on activity of the maintenance DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 and the de novo DNA methyltransferase DNMT3B [42]. Recently, it has been shown that to some extent DNMT1 also catalyzes de novo methylation of specific repeats [43]. The upstream non-transcribed spacers might facilitate 5' to 3' spreading of methylation, an event commonly seen in age-related diseases [44]. In oocytes of aged female mice, both DNMT transcript and protein levels appear to be reduced [20, 45] and cytoplasm-to-nucleus trafficking of DNMTs during oocyte maturation may be compromised [21, 46].

Epigenetic clocks in germ cells

Recently, several epigenetic clocks were built on highly selected CpGs with age-related methylation changes to

estimate the biological age of cattle and human oocytes [47]. Overall, there was little overlap between agerelated CpGs in oocytes and blood and also a much lower number of significant CpGs in oocytes. In contrast to blood, where cells are continually renewed, oocytes already stop dividing in the fetal germline and from then on, their number is constantly declining. In this light, the female germline may be the first "organ" to fail during an organism's lifespan. Epigenetic clocks based on a subset of age-related CpGs suggest that immature bovine oocytes start at an older epigenetic age and age more slowly than somatic tissues [47]. The relationship (cause, consequence, or mere bystander) of clock CpGs to the aging process remains unclear [33]. It is generally assumed that the calculated DNA methylation age represents a surrogate marker that tracks the cumulative work done by an epigenetic maintenance system [32] and/or an age-dependent decay of the methylation landscape [33]. In contrast to these clock CpGs, the age-related rDNA methylation changes in both human sperm [23] and oocytes are higher than in somatic tissue (blood) and thought to be functionally relevant.





Some women displayed dramatic rDNA methylation variation (in the order of 10-20%) between individual oocytes from the same retrieval. Single-oocyte methylome analysis revealed that global CpG methylation patterns have been largely established in the immature GV oocytes and remain stable to the mature MII stage, whereas non-CpG sites methylation undergoes remodeling through the final stages of maturation [48]. In this study, immature GV oocytes that failed to resume final maturation were obtained from large antral follicles after ovarian stimulation and hCG priming. Studies to induce in vitro maturation to metaphase II in immature GV oocytes suggest that immature oocytes from stimulated cycles may represent a rather heterogeneous group [49, 50]. Although we cannot exclude the formal possibility that the observed rDNA methylation variation is due to different developmental stages of the studied oocytes, it is tempting to speculate that oocytes with extreme methylation values (>20%) and methylation variation are compromised in their developmental competence.

In the mouse model, the majority of oocytes of older females displayed reduced complexity and increased variation of the transcriptome, associated with reduced developmental potential compared to oocytes from younger animals. Interestingly, a limited number of "old" oocytes exhibited a "young-like" epigenome [13]. Single oocyte methylomes from aged mice displayed decreased global CpG methylation (of single-copy genes), whereas our results show increased rDNA methylation in aging mouse and human oocytes. This age-related gain in rDNA methylation appears to be conserved in both the male [23] and female mammalian germline, which may be considered a good indicator of functional significance. Consistent with the analysis of mouse oocyte transcriptomes, a proportion of oocytes from older women were endowed with rDNA methylation levels similar to those from younger oocytes. Considering that rDNA methylation of both oocytes and sperm increases with age, germ cells with lower methylation values may be epigenetically younger and, by extrapolation, have a higher chance to establish a pregnancy. In somatic tissues, the DNA methylation age is increasingly used as a biomarker for biological aging, lifetime prediction, and rejuvenating interventions [33, 34].

Possible impact of age-related rDNA methylation changes to the next generation

CpG methylation is a mechanism for regulating rDNA transcription and nucleolar activity. Promoter methylation inhibits assembly of the transcription initiation process and, consequently rDNA transcription and expression [25]. In contrast, gene body methylation prevents binding of repressive histone marks to

maintain transcription [26]. Advanced parental age is associated with increased methylation of rDNA UCE and core promoter in both oocyte and sperm, which may affect nucleolar biology of the resulting embryos. After fertilization, the rapidly dividing embryos are highly dependent on efficient ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis. Overall, the rDNA methylation of germ cells increases about 3% with every 10 years of parental age. A significant number of germ cells (from both younger and older women) display extreme rDNA methylation values of >20%. Impaired ribosome biogenesis due to rDNA hypermethylation may negatively influence embryo development. Until human embryonic genome activation at the eight-cell stage [51], early development entirely depends on maternally inherited RNAs and proteins. Subsequent embryonic development requires paternal and maternal rDNA transcription and highly efficient ribosome biogenesis. Despite enormous advances in embryo culture techniques, approximately half of human IVF/ICSI embryos arrest development before the blastocyst stage [52]. Accumulating evidence suggests that the rDNA epigenetic states during early development are not only important for nucleolar activity but also for higher-order functional organization of the embryonic genome [53]. Moreover, oocytes have to provide large quantities of ribosomes to the zygote at fertilization for translational activation of maternal RNAs before zygotic gene activation [19]. Therefore, alterations in oocyte rDNA promoter methylation in oocytes may adversely affect developmental potential.

Limitations

This study is based on immature human GV oocytes which were not suitable for IVF/ICSI. It is only possible to a limited extent to extrapolate findings from oocytes of women undergoing ICSI to the general population. Only few oocytes displayed imprinting defects in either GTL2 or PEG3, which are generally thought to be due to ovulation induction and other stressors during oocyte development [54]. In the human female germline, maternal methylation imprints are established during later stages of oocyte growth and for some genes may not be completed until shortly before pronuclear fusion [37]. However, consistent with the mouse model [13], the vast majority of human oocytes from both "younger" and "older" females displayed correct oocyte imprinting patterns. This also largely excludes somatic cell contamination and technical artefacts. For ethical reasons, it was not possible to collect mature human oocytes. Moreover, we only had oocytes from women with a limited age range (from 26 to 39 years). Although the analyzed oocytes appear to show the same age-dependent increase of rDNA methylation as sperm, the statistical significance for a maternal age effect is not quite as strong as for the paternal one.

BPS of single cells is challenging, because sodium bisulfite degrades most of the DNA, whereas too mild reaction conditions lead to incomplete conversion of cytosine. In our analysis of two imprinted genes, 88% of individual oocytes displayed the expected methylation patterns for both *PEG3* (median 98%) and *GTL2* (median 1%). Allele drop-out was observed in only 8% of cells. Compared to single-copy genes, single-cell BPS of several hundred copies of rDNA is much more robust. We estimate that the technical error is <5%, compared to about 1-2% for genomic DNA samples. In our opinion, methylation variation of 10-20% between individual oocytes reflects biological differences rather than experimental noise.

The observed age-related rDNA methylation changes in oocytes were small (in the order of several percentage points) and comparable to those in sperm [23]. Because of the enormous variation of DNA methylation patterns among individuals and among individual cells, there was considerable overlap in rDNA methylation values between oocytes from the older and the younger group. However, small effect size and large methylation variation do not necessarily exclude functional importance. Embryo development is a highly coordinated process, depending on numerous factors. Consistent with a multifactorial model, multiple changes of small effect size in the oocyte and/or sperm, exceeding a critical threshold, may cause developmental arrest.

In our study, maternal BMI did not reveal a significant effect on oocyte rDNA methylation. Smoking was not considered as a confounding factor, however the number of smokers in the analyzed cohort was low (<10%). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that our findings are restricted to oocytes retrieved after ovarian stimulation for human infertility treatment, increasing rDNA methylation is thought to be a hallmark of ovarian aging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study samples

Immature human GV oocytes were obtained by oocyte retrieval from large antral follicles after ovarian stimulation and hCG priming from women undergoing ICSI treatment due to male infertility. Women with endometriosis, polycystic ovary syndrome, cancer, and an anti-Mullerian hormone concentration <1 ng/ml were excluded. Altogether, 174 human GV oocytes were collected between 2018 and 2020 from 90 women and pseudonymized at the Fertility Center, Dortmund. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the distribution of age, body mass index (BMI), and the number of oocytes per donor. To avoid somatic cell contamination, oocytes were freed from the granulosa cells, rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and stored at -80° C until further investigation.

Mouse oocytes were isolated from the ovaries of 4-16months-old C57BL/6 mice after cervical dislocation. Animals were maintained in a temperature- and lightcontrolled room (22° C and 12-h light-dark cycle) and were provided with food and water ad libitum. The removed ovaries were kept in PBS at room temperature and immediately processed. GV oocytes were isolated by rupturing the ovary with the use of a 30-gauge needle in M2 medium to release cumulus-oocyte complexes. Denudation was performed by mechanical removal of cumulus cells with a small-bore pipette. Then, the oocytes were washed three times in PBS and snap-frozen in 3 µl volume of PBS in 200 µl PCR tubes. Altogether, 123 immature GV oocytes were collected from 29 4-16-months-old mice between 2020 and 2021 at the Malopolska Center of Biotechnology, Krakow. Frozen oocytes were stored in a -80° C freezer until further analysis.

DNA from individual human and mouse oocytes was extracted and bisulfite converted using the EZ DNA Methylation Direct Kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Irvine, CA, USA), which is particularly suited for small amounts of DNA. Briefly, 10 μ l of 2x digestion buffer and 1 μ l of proteinase K (20 μ g/ μ l) were added to the tube containing a single oocyte. After incubating for 20 min at 50° C, 130 μ l of bisulfite conversion mix was added to each sample. The conversion reaction was performed in a thermal cycler at 98° C for 8 min and 64° C for 3.5 h. Bisulfite-treated DNA was cleaned with a spin column following the manufacturer's recommendations and finally eluted in 10 μ l of elution buffer. The bisulfite conversion rate is estimated to be >99% and the DNA recovery rate approximately 80%.

Bisulfite pyrosequencing

PCR and pyrosequencing primers were designed with the Pyrosequencing Assay Design Software (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Multiplex PCR for the rDNA core promoter and UCE as well as the germline imprinting control regions of *PEG3* and *GTL2* was performed with single human oocytes. In the mouse, multiplex PCR of single oocytes was performed for the rDNA core promoter, spacer promoter, 18S, and 28S rDNA. The 25 μ l reaction mixture contained 2.5 μ l 10x PCR buffer with MgCl₂, 0.5 μ l (10 mM dNTPs) nucleotide mixture, 0.2 μ l (5 U/ μ l) FastStart Taq DNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 1.25 μ l (10 pmol/ml) of forward and reverse outer primers (Supplementary Table 1) and 10 μ l of bisulfiteconverted DNA as a template. Amplifications were carried out with an initial denaturation step at 95° C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 95° C for 30 sec, 60° C (for human oocytes) or 58° C (for mouse) for 30 sec, and 72° C for 45 sec, and a final extension step at 72° C for 5 min.

Nested singleplex PCRs for each of the 8 studied amplicons were carried out using 2 µl of the first-round multiplex PCR product as a template. The 25 µl reaction mixture consisted of 2.5 µl 10x PCR buffer with MgCl₂, 0.5 µl nucleotide mix, 0.2 µl FastStart Tag DNA polymerase, and 1.25 µl of forward and reverse inner primers (Supplementary Table 1). Cycling conditions were as follows: 95° C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 95° C for 30 sec, 60° C for 30 sec, and 72° C for 45 sec, and a final extension step at 72° C for 5 min. For PEG3, a 35-cvcle reaction with an annealing temperature of 57° C was performed. Pyrosequencing was done using the PyroMark Gold Q96 CDT reagent kit and Pyro Q-CpG software on the PyroMark Q96 MD system (Qiagen). Unmethylated and fully methylated DNA standards (Qiagen) were used as controls in each pyrosequencing run.

Statistical analysis

To compare groups with a similar age range and sample size in humans, two groups were evaluated: oocytes of women from 26 to 32 years were considered as "younger" and oocytes of women from 33 to 39 years as "older". Both descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 28. Comparison of the methylation differences between groups was performed using linear mixed-effect models as implemented in the library ('nlme') of the computational statistics software R (version 3.6.3). The methylation values were log-transformed and regressed against the age groups as a categorical factor including "donor" as a random factor in the model to account for within-subject correlation of the repeated measures from multiple oocytes from the same woman. Spearman's correlations were used for correlating the methylation levels between the analyzed rDNA amplicons (two in humans and four in mice). For correlation analysis between methylation of a given amplicon and donor age, the measurements of different oocytes were aggregated for each donor by average. A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant throughout the analysis.

Study approval

The study on human oocytes was approved by the ethics committee at Witten/Herdecke University (no. 240/2017 to S.D.). Written informed consent was obtained from all the participating women. Mouse ovaries were collected according to the guidelines of European Community Regulation 86/609 and the Polish Governmental Act on the protection of animals used for scientific or educational purposes (Dz.U. 2015 poz. 266).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TH designed the study. TH and RP wrote the manuscript. RP performed all the experiments. RP and MD analyzed the data. GEP and KF contributed the mouse; TT and SD the human study samples. All authors were involved in intellectual input, collection and interpretation of data.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the couples participating in the study.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the German Research Foundation (grant no. HA 1374/19-1 to TH), the National Science Centre, Poland (no. 2019/35/B/NZ4/03547 and 2021/41/B/NZ3/03507 to GEP), and Theramex Germany GmbH (to SD).

REFERENCES

- Mills M, Rindfuss RR, McDonald P, te Velde E, Reproduction ES, and ESHRE Reproduction and Society Task Force. Why do people postpone parenthood? Reasons and social policy incentives. Hum Reprod Update. 2011; 17:848–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmr026</u> PMID:21652599
- Nelson SM, Telfer EE, Anderson RA. The ageing ovary and uterus: new biological insights. Hum Reprod Update. 2013; 19:67–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dms043</u> PMID:23103636
- Eichenlaub-Ritter U. Oocyte ageing and its cellular basis. Int J Dev Biol. 2012; 56:841–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.120141ue</u> PMID:<u>23417406</u>
- MacLennan M, Crichton JH, Playfoot CJ, Adams IR. Oocyte development, meiosis and aneuploidy. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2015; 45:68–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.10.005</u> PMID:<u>26454098</u>
- 5. Gao Z, Moorjani P, Sasani TA, Pedersen BS, Quinlan AR, Jorde LB, Amster G, Przeworski M. Overlooked roles of

DNA damage and maternal age in generating human germline mutations. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116:9491–500. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901259116 PMID:31019089

- Titus S, Li F, Stobezki R, Akula K, Unsal E, Jeong K, Dickler M, Robson M, Moy F, Goswami S, Oktay K. Impairment of BRCA1-related DNA double-strand break repair leads to ovarian aging in mice and humans. Sci Transl Med. 2013; 5:172ra21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3004925</u> PMID:<u>23408054</u>
- 7. Jessberger R. Age-related aneuploidy through cohesion exhaustion. EMBO Rep. 2012; 13:539–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2012.54</u> PMID:<u>22565322</u>
- Sasaki H, Hamatani T, Kamijo S, Iwai M, Kobanawa M, Ogawa S, Miyado K, Tanaka M. Impact of oxidative stress on age-associated decline in oocyte developmental competence. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019; 10:811. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00811</u> PMID:31824426
- Zaidi AA, Wilton PR, Su MS, Paul IM, Arbeithuber B, Anthony K, Nekrutenko A, Nielsen R, Makova KD. Bottleneck and selection in the germline and maternal age influence transmission of mitochondrial DNA in human pedigrees. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116:25172–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906331116</u> PMID:<u>31757848</u>
- Steuerwald NM, Bermúdez MG, Wells D, Munné S, Cohen J. Maternal age-related differential global expression profiles observed in human oocytes. Reprod Biomed Online. 2007; 14:700–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60671-2</u> PMID:<u>17579982</u>
- Grøndahl ML, Yding Andersen C, Bogstad J, Nielsen FC, Meinertz H, Borup R. Gene expression profiles of single human mature oocytes in relation to age. Hum Reprod. 2010; 25:957–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/deq014</u> PMID:20147335
- Duncan FE, Jasti S, Paulson A, Kelsh JM, Fegley B, Gerton JL. Age-associated dysregulation of protein metabolism in the mammalian oocyte. Aging Cell. 2017; 16:1381–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12676</u> PMID:<u>28994181</u>
- 13. Castillo-Fernandez J, Herrera-Puerta E, Demond H, Clark SJ, Hanna CW, Hemberger M, Kelsey G. Increased transcriptome variation and localised DNA methylation

changes in oocytes from aged mice revealed by parallel single-cell analysis. Aging Cell. 2020; 19:e13278. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13278 PMID:33201571

14. Crow JF. The origins, patterns and implications of human spontaneous mutation. Nat Rev Genet. 2000; 1:40–7.

https://doi.org/10.1038/35049558 PMID:11262873

- Bennett-Baker PE, Wilkowski J, Burke DT. Ageassociated activation of epigenetically repressed genes in the mouse. Genetics. 2003; 165:2055–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/165.4.2055</u> PMID:<u>14704185</u>
- Jenkins TG, Aston KI, Pflueger C, Cairns BR, Carrell DT. Age-associated sperm DNA methylation alterations: possible implications in offspring disease susceptibility. PLoS Genet. 2014; 10:e1004458. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004458</u> PMID:25010591
- Atsem S, Reichenbach J, Potabattula R, Dittrich M, Nava C, Depienne C, Böhm L, Rost S, Hahn T, Schorsch M, Haaf T, El Hajj N. Paternal age effects on sperm FOXK1 and KCNA7 methylation and transmission into the next generation. Hum Mol Genet. 2016; 25:4996–5005. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddw328</u> PMID:<u>28171595</u>
- Potabattula R, Dittrich M, Böck J, Haertle L, Müller T, Hahn T, Schorsch M, Hajj NE, Haaf T. Allele-specific methylation of imprinted genes in fetal cord blood is influenced by cis-acting genetic variants and parental factors. Epigenomics. 2018; 10:1315–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/epi-2018-0059</u> PMID:30238782
- Winata CL, Korzh V. The translational regulation of maternal mRNAs in time and space. FEBS Lett. 2018; 592:3007–23. https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13183

PMID:29972882

- 20. Yue MX, Fu XW, Zhou GB, Hou YP, Du M, Wang L, Zhu SE. Abnormal DNA methylation in oocytes could be associated with a decrease in reproductive potential in old mice. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2012; 29:643–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-012-9780-4</u> PMID:<u>22618193</u>
- 21. Marshall KL, Rivera RM. The effects of superovulation and reproductive aging on the epigenome of the oocyte and embryo. Mol Reprod Dev. 2018; 85:90–105. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22951</u> PMID:<u>29280527</u>
- 22. de Koning AP, Gu W, Castoe TA, Batzer MA, Pollock DD. Repetitive elements may comprise over two-thirds of the human genome. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7:e1002384. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002384</u> PMID:22144907

23. Potabattula R, Zacchini F, Ptak GE, Dittrich M, Müller T, El Hajj N, Hahn T, Drummer C, Behr R, Lucas-Hahn A, Niemann H, Schorsch M, Haaf T. Increasing methylation of sperm rDNA and other repetitive elements in the aging male mammalian germline. Aging Cell. 2020; 19:e13181.

https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13181 PMID:32608562

- 24. Parks MM, Kurylo CM, Dass RA, Bojmar L, Lyden D, Vincent CT, Blanchard SC. Variant ribosomal RNA alleles are conserved and exhibit tissue-specific expression. Sci Adv. 2018; 4:eaao0665. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao0665 PMID:29503865
- 25. Santoro R, Grummt I. Molecular mechanisms mediating methylation-dependent silencing of ribosomal gene transcription. Mol Cell. 2001; 8:719–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(01)00317-3 PMID:11583633
- 26. Huang X, Zhang X, Zong L, Gao Q, Zhang C, Wei R, Guan Y, Huang L, Zhang L, Lyu G, Tao W. Gene body methylation safeguards ribosomal DNA transcription by preventing PHF6-mediated enrichment of repressive histone mark H4K20me3. J Biol Chem. 2021; 297:101195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101195 PMID:34520760
- 27. Duncan FE, Gerton JL. Mammalian oogenesis and female reproductive aging. Aging (Albany NY). 2018; 10:162-3. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101381 PMID:29410392
- 28. Oakes CC, Smiraglia DJ, Plass C, Trasler JM, Robaire B. Aging results in hypermethylation of ribosomal DNA in sperm and liver of male rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003: 100:1775-80. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0437971100 PMID:12574505
- 29. D'Aquila P, Montesanto A, Mandalà M, Garasto S, Mari V, Corsonello A, Bellizzi D, Passarino G. Methylation of the ribosomal RNA gene promoter is associated with aging and age-related decline. Aging Cell. 2017; 16:966-75.

https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12603 PMID:28625020

- 30. Flunkert J, Maierhofer A, Dittrich M, Müller T, Horvath S, Nanda I, Haaf T. Genetic and epigenetic changes in clonal descendants of irradiated human fibroblasts. Exp Cell Res. 2018; 370:322-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.06.034 PMID:29964050
- 31. Wang M, Lemos B. Ribosomal DNA harbors an evolutionarily conserved clock of biological aging. Genome Res. 2019; 29:325-33.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.241745.118 PMID:30765617

PMID:30241605

- 32. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R115. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115 PMID:24138928
- 33. Field AE, Robertson NA, Wang T, Havas A, Ideker T, Adams PD. DNA methylation clocks in aging: categories, causes, and consequences. Mol Cell. 2018; 71:882-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.008
- 34. Bell CG, Lowe R, Adams PD, Baccarelli AA, Beck S, Bell JT, Christensen BC, Gladyshev VN, Heijmans BT, Horvath S, Ideker T, Issa JJ, Kelsey KT, et al. DNA methylation clocks: challenges and aging recommendations. Genome Biol. 2019; 20:249. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1824-y PMID:31767039
- 35. Rattan SI. Synthesis, modifications, and turnover of proteins during aging. Exp Gerontol. 1996; 31:33–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/0531-5565(95)02022-5 PMID:8706803
- 36. Tiku V, Antebi A. Nucleolar function in lifespan regulation. Trends Cell Biol. 2018; 28:662-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.03.007 PMID:29779866
- 37. Prawitt D, Haaf T. Basics and disturbances of genomic imprinting. Med Genetik. 2020; 32:297-304. https://doi.org/10.1515/medgen-2020-2042.
- 38. Monti M, Calligaro A, Behr B, Rejo Pera R, Redi CA, Wossidlo M. Functional topography of the fully grown human oocyte. Eur J Histochem. 2017; 61:2769. https://doi.org/10.4081/ejh.2017.2769 PMID:28348419
- 39. Zuccotti M, Ponce RH, Boiani M, Guizzardi S, Govoni P, Scandroglio R, Garagna S, Redi CA. The analysis of chromatin organisation allows selection of mouse antral oocytes competent for development to blastocyst. Zygote. 2002; 10:73-8. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0967199402002101 PMID:11964094
- 40. Bonnet-Garnier A, Feuerstein P, Chebrout M, Fleurot R, Jan HU, Debey P, Beaujean N. Genome organization and epigenetic marks in mouse germinal vesicle oocytes. Int J Dev Biol. 2012; 56:877-87. https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.120149ab PMID:23417410
- 41. Steiner AZ, Pritchard D, Stanczyk FZ, Kesner JS, Meadows JW, Herring AH, Baird DD. Association between biomarkers of ovarian reserve and infertility

among older women of reproductive age. JAMA. 2017; 318:1367–76. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.14588 PMID:29049585

- Schmitz KM, Mayer C, Postepska A, Grummt I. Interaction of noncoding RNA with the rDNA promoter mediates recruitment of DNMT3b and silencing of rRNA genes. Genes Dev. 2010; 24:2264–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.590910</u> PMID:<u>20952535</u>
- Haggerty C, Kretzmer H, Riemenschneider C, Kumar AS, Mattei AL, Bailly N, Gottfreund J, Giesselmann P, Weigert R, Brändl B, Giehr P, Buschow R, Galonska C, et al. Dnmt1 has de novo activity targeted to transposable elements. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2021; 28:594–603.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-021-00603-8 PMID:<u>34140676</u>

- 44. Turker MS. Gene silencing in mammalian cells and the spread of DNA methylation. Oncogene. 2002; 21:5388–93. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1205599 PMID:12154401
- 45. Hamatani T, Falco G, Carter MG, Akutsu H, Stagg CA, Sharov AA, Dudekula DB, VanBuren V, Ko MS. Ageassociated alteration of gene expression patterns in mouse oocytes. Hum Mol Genet. 2004; 13:2263–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh241</u> PMID:<u>15317747</u>
- 46. Zhang L, Lu DY, Ma WY, Li Y. Age-related changes in the localization of DNA methyltransferases during meiotic maturation in mouse oocytes. Fertil Steril. 2011; 95:1531–4.e1.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.06.050
 PMID:20674893
- 47. Kordowitzki P, Haghani A, Zoller JA, Li CZ, Raj K, Spangler ML, Horvath S. Epigenetic clock and methylation study of oocytes from a bovine model of reproductive aging. Aging Cell. 2021; 20:e13349. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13349</u> PMID:<u>33797841</u>

- 48. Yu B, Dong X, Gravina S, Kartal Ö, Schimmel T, Cohen J, Tortoriello D, Zody R, Hawkins RD, Vijg J. Genomewide, single-cell DNA methylomics reveals increased non-CpG methylation during human oocyte maturation. Stem Cell Reports. 2017; 9:397–407. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.05.026</u> PMID:<u>28648898</u>
- 49. Reichman DE, Politch J, Ginsburg ES, Racowsky C. Extended *in vitro* maturation of immature oocytes from stimulated cycles: an analysis of fertilization potential, embryo development, and reproductive outcomes. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2010; 27:347–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-010-9416-5</u> PMID:20425141
- 50. Sirait B, Wiweko B, Jusuf AA, Iftitah D, Muharam R. Oocyte competence biomarkers associated with oocyte maturation: a review. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021; 9:710292.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.710292 PMID:<u>34527670</u>

- Eckersley-Maslin MA, Alda-Catalinas C, Reik W. Dynamics of the epigenetic landscape during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018; 19:436–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0008-z</u> PMID:29686419
- 52. McCollin A, Swann RL, Summers MC, Handyside AH, Ottolini CS. Abnormal cleavage and developmental arrest of human preimplantation embryos *in vitro*. Eur J Med Genet. 2020; 63:103651. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2019.04.008</u> PMID:30995534
- 53. Kresoja-Rakic J, Santoro R. Nucleolus and rRNA gene chromatin in early embryo development. Trends Genet. 2019; 35:868–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2019.06.005</u> PMID:<u>31327501</u>
- 54. Fauque P. Ovulation induction and epigenetic anomalies. Fertil Steril. 2013; 99:616–23. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.12.047</u> PMID:<u>23714436</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Age, BMI, and number of oocytes per women. (A) Percentage of donors and oocytes from younger (26–32 years) and older women (33–39 years). (B) Distribution of oocyte number per women in younger and older women. (C) Distribution of maternal BMI in younger and older women.



Supplementary Figure 2. Correlation between mean methylation (of different oocytes from the same women) and maternal age for the rDNA core promoter and UCE. Scatter plots showing a significant positive correlation between maternal age (x-axis in years) and mean methylation (y-axis in %) of the rDNA core promoter and UCE. Altogether, 174 oocytes from 90 women (blue dots) were analyzed. The measurements of different oocytes were aggregated for each donor by average.



Supplementary Figure 3. (A) Correlation between human rDNA core promoter and UCE methylation. Scatter plot showing a significant positive correlation between the methylation of the rDNA UCE (y-axis in %) and the rDNA core promoter (x-axis in %) in individual human GV oocytes. The oocytes from younger women (26-32 years) are represented by green dots and those from older women (33-39 years) by red dots. (B) Correlation between the methylation levels of different mouse rDNA amplicons (spacer promoter, core promoter, 18S rDNA, and 28S rDNA). Scatter plot showing significant positive correlations (see table) between methylation of the rDNA spacer promoter (blue dots), 18S rDNA (green dots), and 28S rDNA (red dots) (y-axis in %), respectively, and the rDNA core promoter (x-axis in %) in individual mouse GV oocytes.



Supplementary Figure 4. Correlation between mean methylation (of different oocytes from the same animal) and donor age for the rDNA spacer promoter, core promoter, 18S and 28S rDNA. Scatter plots showing a positive correlation between donor age (x-axis in months) and mean methylation (y-axis in %) of the rDNA spacer promoter, core promoter, 18S and 28S rDNA. Altogether, 123 oocytes from 29 animals (blue dots) were analyzed. The measurements of different oocytes were aggregated for each donor by average.



Supplementary Figure 5. Methylation variation of multiple mouse oocytes from the same donor. Methylation variation of the rDNA core promoter (upper panel) and 28S rDNA (lower panel) between individual (color-coded) oocytes from the same donor.

Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Primers for multiplex (outer) and singleplex (inner) PCR and pyrosequencing of single human and mouse oocytes.

Multiplex assay for single human oocytes							
Target region	Primer	Sequence (5'-3') ^a	CpG number	Annealing temps			
Human rDNA upstream control element ^b	Forward outer	GTGTTTTGGGGTTGATTAGAGG		60° C			
	Reverse outer	ATCACCRATAAACCAAAACCCC					
	Forward inner	GTGTTTTTGGGTTGATTAGAGG		60° C			
	Reverse inner	*CATCCCAAAACCCAACCTCTCC					
	Sequencing	GGTTGATTAGAGGGTT	5				
Human rDNA core promoterc	Forward outer	GGTAGTTGTYGAGGGAGGGG		60° C			
	Reverse outer	AAAAAAACRTCCCCAACCTCC					
	Forward inner	GTTTTYGTTGTGAGTTAGGTAGAGTTT		60° C			
	Reverse inner	*AAAAAAACRTCCCCAACCTCC					
	Sequencing	GGTTTATGTGGGGGGAGAGGTTGT	9				
Human <i>GTL2</i> (paternally imprinted)	Forward outer	GAATTATAGGGAATGATGGTGTA		60° C			
	Reverse outer	CCAAAATACTAACTACTCCTTAAACA					
	Forward inner	AGGGTTAGGAAGTTTAGTAGGTTA		60° C			
	Reverse inner	*АСТАСТССТТАААСАААААААСАСАТААТ					
	Sequencing	GTAGTAAATTAAAGTGTATTAGAGA	5				
Human <i>PEG3</i> (maternally imprinted)	Forward outer	GGTTGTTGATTGGTTAGTATAG		60° C			
	Reverse outer	CACTCACCTCACCTCAATAC					
	Forward inner	GGTGTAGAAGTTTGGGTAGTTG		57° C			
	Reverse inner Sequencing	*CTCACCTCACCTCAATACTAC TGTTTATTTTGGGTTGGT	3				
	1 8						

Multiplex assay for single mouse oocytes							
Target region	Primer	Sequence (5'-3') ^a	CpG number	Annealing temp:			
Mouse rDNA spacer promoter ^d	Forward outer	GTGTTTAATTGTGTTTGTTTT		58° C			
	Reverse outer	CTCCTATATCACCAACCTAAAAAACCT					
	Forward inner	GGAGAAGTGGTGGGTGGG		60° C			
	Reverse inner	*CTCCTATATCACCAACCTAAAAAACCT					
	Sequencing 1	AGTGAGTGAATGTGG	4				
	Sequencing 2	ATTGGTTTGTATGGTTGA	3				
Mouse rDNA core promoter ^d	Forward outer	GGAGGAAAGTGATAGGTTATAGAGAAT		58° C			
	Reverse outer	TCCAAAAACCCTCTCTATCCC					
	Forward inner	TTGGGGAGGTGGTTTAAAAATGA		60° C			
	Reverse inner	*CCTCCAAAAACCCTCTCTAT					
	Sequencing 1	GAGGTGGTTTAAAAATGAT	2				
	Sequencing 2	GGATTTTAAAGGAATAATTGGT	3				
Mouse 18S rDNA	Forward outer	TTAATTTTTTAGAGGGATAAGTGG		58° C			
	Reverse outer	AAAACCTCACTAAACCATC					

	Forward inner	AGGTTTGTGATGTTTTTAGATGT		60° C
	Reverse inner	*AAAACCTCACTAAACCATC		
	Sequencing 1	TTTGTGATGTTTTTAGATGTT	4	
	Sequencing 2	ATTAAGTTTTTGTTTTTTGTATATA	4	
	Forward outer	TGGAGTAGAAGGGTAAAAGTT		58° C
	Reverse outer	CAACCAAACACATACACCAAATATCT		
Mouse 28S rDNA	Forward inner	GGTTTTAAGTAGGAGGTGTTAGAAAAG		60° C
	Reverse inner	*CAACCAAACACATACACCAAATATCT		
	Sequencing 1	GGATAATTGGTTTGTGG	7	
	Sequencing 2	GTTGGATTGTTTATTATTAATAGG	3	

^aPrimers indicated by a star are biotinylated at the 5' end.

^bPrimers adopted from: Raval A, Sridhar KJ, Patel S, Turnbull BB, Greenberg PL, Mitchell BS. Reduced rRNA expression and increased rDNA promoter methylation in CD34+ cells of patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood. 2012;120:4812-8. ^cPrimers adopted from: Teschler S, Gotthardt J, Dammann G, Dammann RH. Aberrant DNA methylation of rDNA and PRIMA1 in borderline personality disorder. Int J Mol Sci. 2016;17:E67.

^dPrimers adopted from: Shiao YH, Leighty RM, Wang C, Ge X, Crawford EB, Spurrier JM, McCann SD, Fields JR, Fornwald L, Riffle L, Driver C, Kasprzak KS, Quiñones OA, et al. Ontogeny-driven rDNA rearrangement, methylation, and transcription, and paternal influence. PloS One. 2012;6:e22266.