**Research Paper** 

# Epigenetic clocks and their association with trajectories in perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms among US middle-aged and older adults

May A. Beydoun<sup>1,\*</sup>, Hind A. Beydoun<sup>2,\*</sup>, Nicole Noren Hooten<sup>1</sup>, Ana I. Maldonado<sup>3</sup>, Jordan Weiss<sup>4</sup>, Michele K. Evans<sup>1</sup>, Alan B. Zonderman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Sciences, NIA/NIH/IRP, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Research Programs, Fort Belvoir Community Hospital, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060, USA <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Catonsville, MD 21250, USA <sup>4</sup>Department of Demography, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA \*Co-first authors

Correspondence to: May A. Beydoun; email: baydounm@mail.nih.govKeywords: DNA methylation, epigenetic clocks, biological age, perceived discrimination, depressive symptomsReceived: March 15, 2022Accepted: June 1, 2022Published: July 1, 2022

**Copyright:** © 2022 Beydoun et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Perceived discrimination may be associated with accelerated aging later in life, with depressive symptoms acting as potential mediator.

Methods: A nationally representative sample of older adults was used [Health and Retirement Study 2010–2016, Age: 50–100 y in 2016, N = 2,806, 55.6% female, 82.3% Non-Hispanic White (NHW)] to evaluate associations of perceived discrimination measures [Experience of discrimination or EOD; and Reasons for Perceived discrimination or RPD) and depressive symptoms (DEP)] with 13 DNAm-based measures of epigenetic aging. Group-based trajectory and four-way mediation analyses were used.

Results: Overall, and mostly among female and NHW participants, greater RPD in 2010–2012 had a significant adverse total effect on epigenetic aging [2016: DNAm GrimAge, DunedinPoAm38 (MPOA), Levine (PhenoAge) and Horvath 2], with 20–50% of this effect being explained by a pure indirect effect through DEP in 2014–2016. Among females, sustained elevated DEP (2010–2016) was associated with greater LIN DNAm age ( $\beta \pm$  SE: +1.506  $\pm$  0.559, p = 0.009, reduced model), patterns observed for elevated DEP (high vs. low) for GrimAge and MPOA DNAm markers. Overall and in White adults, the relationship of the Levine clock with perceived discrimination in general (both EOD and RPD) was mediated through elevated DEP.

Conclusions: Sustained elevations in DEP and RPD were associated with select biological aging measures, consistently among women and White adults, with DEP acting as mediator in several RPD-EPICLOCK associations.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Epigenetics impacts gene expression, genome integrity and normal cell function [1, 2] through heritable changes that are independent of DNA sequence modifications such as mutations. DNA methylation (DNAm) is the most understood epigenetic mechanism [2] and occurs through addition of a methyl group to a CpG site in DNA [3]. Hypermethylation generally triggers gene expression silencing, while the reverse is true for hypomethylation [2]. DNA Methylation (DNAm) is also a mechanism by which exposure to adverse life circumstances and environments is linked to health outcomes related to aging [3]. It has been linked to psychopathology, including post-traumatic stress [4], major depressive disorder (MDD) and elevated depressive symptoms [5, 6] as well as cognitive aging [7, 8].

"Epigenetic clocks" derived from DNAm are mathematical models reflecting human cell, tissue, and organ aging, while being highly correlated with age across the life span [9] and to increased age-related chronic disease and all-cause mortality risk [10]. These clocks combine information for a small number of CpGs (~100–500) to produce indicators of aging [3]. Methylation clocks are estimated in epigenetic years with the rationale that ticks of the clock represent aging [3].

The Horvath and Hannum "epigenetic clocks" are wellestablished epigenetic age algorithms whereby DNAm can be utilized to estimate biological aging at the cellular level [11]. Since then, a number of other researchers have identified epigenetic clocks based on different genomic methylation changes that are related to age or health outcomes linked to age [3]. Despite differences in these algorithms and loci, both the Horvath and Hannum approaches, for instance, produce clocks that are strongly associated with chronological age [11]. Generally, epigenetic age acceleration or faster "epigenetic clock" has been linked to health decline including higher mortality risk [12] and faster cognitive decline [7, 9, 13, 14]. However, few epidemiological studies have directly linked epigenetic clocks or DNAm to MDD [15-17] and only two have directly or indirectly examined its association with elevated depressive symptoms [5, 6].

Antecedent psychosocial factors to depressive symptoms may be at play in explaining racial/ethnic and gender disparities in biological aging [18]. Among these psychosocial factors, perceived discrimination has been linked to adverse health outcomes, possibly through stress-related pathways involving hypertension, cardiovascular disease, poor general health status, and mental illness [18, 19]. Stress is a condition whereby environmental factors tax or exceed the adaptive capacity of individuals to a point where psychological and physiological responses may place them at risk for disease [20]. Studies of stressors and their relation to pathophysiology have revealed alterations in blood pressure, heart rate and vascular reactivity in response to acute stress [21], which may be mediated through measures of biological aging. We hypothesize that sustained perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms over time are associated with accelerated aging later in life. We also hypothesize that elevated depressive symptoms may mediate the association between perceived discrimination and biological aging as determined by DNAm epigenetic clocks. Differences in depressive symptoms by sex and race have also been detected [22]. Thus, it is important to uncover the relationship between epigenetic aging, perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms while stratifying by sex and race/ethnicity.

We used data from the nationally representative and longitudinal Health and Retirement Study (HRS) to examine the extent to which measures of perceived discrimination and depression were associated with epigenetic aging of HRS respondents. We further examined mediation/moderation hypotheses between perceived discrimination and depression as well as how these associations may vary by sex and race/ethnicity.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Database

The HRS is an ongoing, nationally representative longitudinal study of community-dwelling U.S. adults over the age of 50 and their spouses of any age with interviews occurring every two years since 1992. The HRS was designed as a study of economic well-being, labor force participation, health and family composition among older adults through biennial surveys administered by telephone or face-to-face interviews. Even though the HRS interviews were initially conducted only on community-dwelling adults, respondents who transition into long-term care facilities are also retained. The sampling strategy of HRS is a multistage probability selection of U.S. households within geographical strata, whereby African Americans, Hispanics and residents of Florida were over-sampled. Baseline and follow-up response rates were >80% for all HRS interviews. All participants provided written informed consent and the University of Michigan's Institutional Review Board approved study protocols. An important scientific goal was to combine HRS with the AHEAD study into a single ongoing survey that would be continually representative of the complete U.S. population over the age of 50. Thus, new birth cohorts were added to achieve this goal over the years to a achieve steady state design. In 2016, a subsample of 4.104 participants in the Health and Retirement 2016 Venous Blood Study (VBS) consented to providing biological samples upon which DNA methylation assays were conducted [3]. The HRS is sponsored by the National Institute on Aging (grant number U01AG009740) and the Social Security Administration.

#### Study sample

Our sample was restricted to HRS participants for whom data were collected during 2008, 2012 and 2016.

The latest wave (2016) collected data on 4,108 participants by estimating 13 epigenetic clocks from DNAm and other biomarker data (Figure 1). We linked the latest release of 2016 epigenetic clock data with 2008 through 2016 EFTF respondent data using the 1992–2018 HRS longitudinal file developed by the RAND Center for the Study of Aging. Imputed data was used when possible, including household income data. Of 4,018 participants with complete QCed epigenetic clock data, 2,806 had complete 2010–2012 and 2014–2016 combined exposure and mediator (perceived discrimination scores and CES-D total score) data. This was the final sample size since all other covariates were imputed (<5% missing individually).

#### DNA methylation data and epigenetic clocks

DNA methylation assays were done on a non-random subsample (n = 4,104) of HRS participants who consented to and participated in the 2016 VBS [3]. This subsample fully represents the entire HRS sample. Of those, 4,018 HRS participants had samples that passed quality control (QC).

DNAm data were based on assays done using the Infinium Methylation EPIC BeadChip at the University of Minnesota. Samples were randomized across plates by key demographic variables (i.e., age, cohort, sex, education, race/ethnicity) with 40 pairs of blinded duplicates. Analysis of duplicate samples showed a correlation >0.97 for all CpG sites. High quality methylation data is available for 97.9% of samples (n = 4.018). Prior to estimation of 13 clocks, missing beta methylation values were imputed with mean beta methylation values of probes across all samples. Details on data preprocessing and QC and a full description of the 13 epigenetic clocks are provided in Supplementary Method 1. Briefly, these 13 clocks were: (1) Horvath 1 [23]; (2) Hannum [24]; (3) Levine or PhenoAge [25]; (4) Horvath 2 [10]; (5) Lin [10]; (6) Weidner [26]; (7) VidalBralo [27]; (8) GrimAge [28]; (9) Yang [29]; (10) Zhang [30]; (11) Bocklandt [31]; (12) Garagnani [32]; (13) DunedinPoAm38 (MPOA) [28].

#### **Depressive symptoms**

Depression symptomology was assessed using modified 8-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), with higher scores reflecting higher levels of depression [33, 34] (Supplementary Method 2). In our present study, CES-D total score was used for combined 2010–2012 and 2014–2016 years to determine trajectories over time, and for combined years 2014–2016 as a potential mediator. It is worth noting that since CES-D is part of the core interview, the score was measured at the later year of the combined waves when available (i.e., 2012 or 2016). When, missing at those waves, it was measured in the earlier wave (i.e., 2010 or 2014).

## Experience of discrimination and reasons for perceived discrimination

#### Experience of discrimination, EOD

HRS respondents completed the abbreviated version of perceived everyday discrimination scale, which consists of 5 items assessing frequency of experiencing perceived everyday discrimination on a scale ranging from 1 (never) to 6 (almost every day). Items include the following: (a) "You are treated with less courtesy or respect than other people," (b) "You receive poorer service than other people at restaurants or stores," (c) "People act as if they think you are not smart," (d) "People act as if they are afraid of you," and (e) "You are threatened or harassed" and (f) "You receive poorer service or treatment than other people from doctors or hospitals" (Supplementary Method 2). This version of perceived everyday discrimination scale has demonstrated good reliability and validity and is used in studies on health among older Black adults [35, 36]. As a similar approach to previous work [35], we reversecoded response items and summed over items produce a continuous perceived everyday to discrimination scale ranging from 6 to 36 (Cronbach's alpha = 0.80). Higher scores indicate more frequent perceived everyday discrimination. This sum was rescaled to zero by subtracting the final score by 6 (range: 0-30) in part of the analysis (*Med4way*). This score is hereafter named Experience of Discrimination or EOD and is described in other studies [19, 37, 38].

#### Reasons for perceived everyday discrimination, RPD

The HRS allows respondents to attribute perceived everyday discrimination to up to 11 reasons including age, ancestry, appearance, physical disability, race, sex, sexual orientation, weight, and other factors (Supplementary Method 2). See Supplementary Materials for more detailed breakdown of discrimination sources. We created a count for number of attributions HRS respondents offered for perceived everyday discrimination (range: 0-11). This score is hereafter named Reasons for Perceived Discrimination or RPD and is described in at least one other study [39]. In our present study, EOD and RPD scores were used for years 2010-2012 and 2014-2016 to determine trajectories over time, and for the combined years (2010–2012) as exposure in mediation models. Given that they were measured in half samples, around half of the final sample had data in 2010 and the other half in 2012. The same was the case for the 2014-2016 combined wave.

#### Covariates

#### Socio-demographic characteristics

We accounted for sex (male, female), birth cohort, age, race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, Other) marital status (never married, married/partnered, separated/divorced, widowed), education (no degree, GED, high school graduate, some college, college degree or higher), work status (working, not working), federal insurance coverage ('Yes', 'No'), total wealth (in U.S. dollars) (<25,000, 25,000–124,999, 125,000–299,999,  $\geq$ 300,000) and number of household members ( $\leq$ 3, >3) [40]. Combined ages at 2010–2012 and 2014–2016 were used to determine trajectory exposure groups (see statistical analysis for details).

#### Lifestyle characteristics

We included smoking status (never smoker, past smoker, current smoker), frequency of alcohol consumption (abstinent, 1–3 days per month, 1–2 days per week,  $\geq$ 3 days per week), and frequency of moderate/vigorous exercise (never, 1–4 times per month, >1 times per week).

#### Health characteristics

We classified self-rated health as "excellent/very good/good" and "fair/poor". We also included selfreported measures of weight, height, and presence of cardiometabolic risk factors and chronic conditions as indicated by a physician. Aside from fixed covariates (e.g., sex and race), all other socio-demographic, lifestyle and health characteristics were included in our analyses as confounders measured at baseline year 2010. Moreover, these covariates underwent multiple imputations to maximize sample size after exclusion of missing data on exposures, mediators and outcomes between 2010 and 2016 (See statistical analysis for details).

#### Statistical analysis

Using Stata 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) [41], we accounted for sampling design complexity [42] by incorporating appropriate sampling weights, primary sampling units (*secu*) and strata (*stratum*). The sampling weight used was, as recommended, for the most limiting variables in the analysis. Therefore, we used the VBS sampling weight (*vbsi16wgtra*). Aside from epigenetic clock outcomes (the main determinant for the largest sample size), and the exposure and mediator variables (i.e., perceived discrimination and CES-D scores at the two combined visits of 2010–2012 and 2014–2016), baseline covariates measured in 2010 were multivariate-imputed with chained equations [43]. Consequently, population estimates of means, proportions and

regression coefficients were obtained with Stata survey (svy) commands, computing standard errors (SE) with Taylor series linearization [42]. Comparison across sex and by racial/ethnic groups were made using svy:reg and svy:mlogit commands.

A Stata plugin (traj and trajplot) adapted from a wellestablished SAS procedure [44] was used to perform group-based trajectory modeling, GBTM - a specialized form of finite mixture modeling - to identify groups of older adults with similar developmental trajectories over time. This group-based approach utilizes a multinomial modeling strategy and maximum likelihood to estimate model parameters, with maximization achieved by the quasi-Newton procedure. We specified a zero-inflated Poisson (*zip*) for the selected outcomes, with intercept (0), linear (1), quadratic (2) and cubic (3) orders for each group trajectory and displayed group-based trajectories over time with 95% confidence intervals (CI). For consistency and ease of interpretation, we defined up to three groups per outcome. We reported the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for each groupbased trajectory model as a goodness-of-fit measure. This procedure was applied to three main scores, hereafter labelled as trajectory exposures: (1) Perceived discrimination score trajectory between 2010-2012 and 2014-2016; (2) Reasons for Perceived discrimination score trajectory for the same two periods; (3) CES-D total score for the same two periods. Age was used as the time variable in these models.

To test our main hypotheses, we ran a series of ordinary least square linear regression models, looping over 13 epigenetic clock outcomes and the 3 trajectory exposures, entered as categorical variables (binary or 3level, taking the lowest risk category as the referent), and adjusting for potentially confounding covariates in sequential manner. Model 1 adjusted for age at followup (2016), birth cohort, sex and race/ethnicity; Model 2 further adjusted Model 1 for income and education; Model 3 further adjusted Model 2 for all the remaining lifestyle and health-related factors. These associations were tested first in the overall sample. They were also tested in stratified analyses by sex and race (Non-white, White), separately, if two-way interaction terms between sex/race and each trajectory exposure were indicative of heterogeneity in effects.

Continuous CES-D score measured in 2014–2016 combined wave was also tested as a potential mediator/moderator in the association of perceived discrimination scores (2010–2012) with biological aging (2016) as measured by 13 epigenetic clocks. Specifically, the overall effect of each main perceived discrimination exposure on biological aging, in the presence of a mediator with which the exposure may interact, was decomposed into four distinctive components: (i) neither mediation nor interaction; (ii) interaction alone (and not mediation); (iii) both mediation and interaction; and (iv) only mediation (but not interaction). This four-way decomposition unifies methods to attribute effects to interactions and methods that assess mediation. It has recently been introduced in Stata, allowing to estimate four-way decomposition using parametric or semi-parametric regression models. Importantly, Med4way command [45] (https://github.com/anddis/med4way) was used to test mediation and interaction of the total effect of perceived discrimination exposures on the 13 epigenetic clocks with CES-D total score as the potential mediator/ moderator, using OLS linear models for the outcome and each mediator/moderator. Four-way decomposition was applied to the total sample, and among men and women, separately, as well as by race (White vs. Non-White), combining findings from 5 imputations using Rubin's rule [46]. Both the full (adjusted for all covariates as exogenous variables) and reduced (adjusted only for basic demographics) models were presented, focusing on findings from the full model. Type I error was set at 0.05 for all analyses and corrected for multiplicity of exposure/mediator types (total of 3) for minimally adjusted models (i.e., Model 1), using familywise Bonferroni correction, with the corrected *p*-value being set to 0.05/3 = 0.017.

As a sensitivity analysis, a structural equations model was performed whereby the outcomes were alternatively one of each 13 epigenetic clocks, the two main exposures were EOD an RPD measured in 2010-2012, and the potential mediator was CES-D total score measured in 2014-2016. Exogenous variables included in this model were allowed to predict each of the outcome, exposure and mediator, and those were 2014–2016 age, sex, and race (Non-White vs. White). Total effects were examined for statistical significance at type I error of 0.05 and were decomposed into indirect and direct effect. Statistically significant mediation was determined when an indirect effect going in the same direction as a statistically significant total effect, had an associated p-value < 0.05. More details regarding this approach is provided in an earlier study [47]. This analysis was conducted on the first imputation of five and was compared to at least one other imputation.

#### RESULTS

Table 1 presents baseline socio-demographic, lifestyle and health-related characteristics using data from 2,806 HRS participants (55.6% female; mean ( $\pm$ SEM) age in 2016: 69.3  $\pm$  0.3 years; 82.3% NHW), with proportion of female significantly greater among non-NHW adults

vs. NHW adults (62.7% vs. 54.1%). Sex and race/ethnic differences were observed in key characteristics, including age, whereby non-NHW were on average younger than NHW adults by  $\sim 2$  years in 2016 (p =0.006), while non-NHW and females tended to have a lower educational attainment and income compared to NHW and male adults, respectively, and were less likely to be married/partnered, to be physically active, to consume alcohol  $\geq 3$  days a week, and were more likely than their counterparts to be living in a larger household, and have a greater mean number of comorbidities. While a greater proportion of males were working, they also had a higher proportion of current smokers, and more prevalent heart disease in 2010, compared to female participants. Both BMI and selfrated health differed by race/ethnicity and not by sex. whereby poorer health and greater mean BMI was observed among non-NHW vs. NHW adults.

Group-based trajectory model (GBTM) results indicated that only 2 of 3 exposures could be grouped using a model for 3-group membership that included a linear, quadratic, and cubic term for age, namely RPD and CES-D total score using zero-inflated Poisson regression models. Figure 2 shows the mean posterior probabilities assigned to each group for each of these three variables (2B, 2C and 2D). EOD was assigned 2 groups using a non-parametric process. RPD and CES-D total score trajectories were assigned 3 groups using the models shown in 2A. In addition to posterior probabilities, actual group membership was estimated and used in subsequent analyses (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

Based on Supplementary Table 1, point and trajectory exposures were patterned by sex and race/ethnicity, with greater likelihood of depressive symptoms (DEP) and elevated scores on extent of and reasons for perceived discrimination (EOD and RPD) observed among females and non-NHW across waves. Similarly, epigenetic age was on average greater among males for 7 of 13 EPICLOCK measures, while many of these measures indicated that epigenetic age was lower among non-NHW adults vs. NHW adults, including the Horvath, Horvath 2, Levine (PhenoAge) and Hannum EPICLOCK measures. Upon adjustment for chronological age, additional significant contrasts were detected indicating that accelerated epigenetic aging occurred at different rates across sex and race/ethnicity groups. The 13 EPICLOCK measures are presented in a matrix of scatter plots in Supplementary Figure 1 along with chronological age measured in 2016 (end of wave). In general, all clocks were shown to be positively associated with chronological age, with the exception of the Bocklandt EPICLOCK, which as expected, is inversely related to age [3, 31].

	Overall	Males	Females	NHW	Non-NHW	Db	P <sup>b</sup> race
	Mean/% ± SE	P <sup>b</sup> <sub>sex</sub>	L <sup>2</sup> race				
SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC							
Sex:							
Male	$44.4\pm1.5$	100.0	0.0	$45.9\pm1.7$	$37.3\pm2.7$		0.016
Female	$55.6 \pm 1.5$	0.0	100.0	$54.1 \pm 1.7$	$62.7\pm2.7$		Ref
Age (years), 2010							
Mean $\pm$ SEM	$63.4\pm0.3$	$63.0\pm0.4$	$63.7\pm0.3$	$63.7\pm0.36$	$61.9\pm0.5$	0.16	0.004 <sup>d</sup>
Age (years), 2012							
Mean $\pm$ SEM	$65.2\pm0.3$	$64.8\pm0.4$	$65.5\pm0.3$	$65.5\pm0.3$	$63.6\pm0.5$	0.15	0.003 <sup>d</sup>
Age (years), 2016							
Mean $\pm$ SEM	$69.2\pm0.3$	$68.9\pm0.4$	$69.5\pm0.3$	$69.6\pm0.36$	$67.6\pm0.5$	0.15	0.003 <sup>d</sup>
Birth cohort:							
Original/AHEAD/Children of the Depression	$27.4 \pm 1.3$	$25.7\pm1.8$	$28.8 \pm 1.6$	$29.2\pm1.5$	$18.7\pm2.2$	Ref	Ref
War Babies	$20.6 \pm 1.0$	$21.4 \pm 1.8$	$20.0\pm1.3$	$20.1 \pm 1.1$	$23.0\pm2.2$	0.26	< 0.001
Early Baby Boomers	$25.2\pm1.0$	$26.8 \pm 1.9$	$23.9\pm1.3$	$24.3\pm1.2$	$29.5\pm2.4$	0.11	0.003
Mid Baby Boomers	$26.8 \pm 1.4$	$26.1\pm2.2$	$27.3 \pm 1.4$	$26.3\pm1.5$	$28.9\pm2.2$	0.61	0.007
Race:							
Non-Hispanic White	$82.3\pm1.4$	$85.7\pm1.8$	$80.8 \pm 1.7$	100.0	0.0	Ref	
Non-Hispanic black, African lescent	$8.5\pm0.7$	$7.1 \pm 1.0$	$9.5\pm0.8$		$49.7\pm3.5$	0.035	
Hispanic	$6.1\pm1.0$	$5.6 \pm 1.1$	$6.6\pm1.2$		$36.0\pm3.8$	0.23	
Other	$2.4\pm0.4$	$1.6\pm0.4$	$3.1\pm0.6$		$14.3\pm1.9$	0.056	
Education:							
No degree	$9.2\pm0.6$	$8.4\pm0.9$	$10.0\pm0.9$	$5.9\pm0.5$	$25.3\pm2.3$	0.010 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.001
GED	$4.8\pm0.5$	$5.2\pm0.8$	$4.5\pm0.7$	$4.4\pm0.5$	$6.7\pm1.3$	0.60	0.002 <sup>d</sup>
High School graduate	$28.2\pm1.0$	$24.7\pm1.3$	$31.0\pm1.5$	$28.2 \pm 1.1$	$28.1\pm2.1$	0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Some college	$27.8 \pm 1.0$	$26.6\pm1.5$	$28.7\pm1.5$	$28.8 \pm 1.3$	$22.5\pm1.7$	0.010 <sup>d</sup>	0.040 <sup>d</sup>
College degree or higher	$30.1\pm1.4$	$35.1\pm2.1$	$26.1\pm1.7$	$32.7\pm1.6$	$17.5\pm2.1$	Ref	Ref
Marital status:							
Never married	$6.7\pm0.7$	$7.1 \pm 1.1$	$6.4\pm0.8$	$5.9\pm0.7$	$10.9\pm1.6$	0.49	< 0.001
Married/Partnered	$70.3\pm1.2$	$80.2\pm1.6$	$62.4\pm1.6$	$72.9\pm1.3$	$57.2\pm2.9$	Ref	Ref
Separated/Divorced	$12.9\pm0.9$	$9.5 \pm 1.1$	$15.5\pm1.2$	$11.5\pm0.9$	$19.3\pm2.0$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.001
Widowed	$10.2\pm0.6$	$3.3\pm0.6$	$15.6\pm0.9$	$9.6\pm0.7$	$12.6\pm1.7$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.005 <sup>d</sup>
Work status:							
Not Working	$49.4 \pm 1.4$	$45.4 \pm 1.9$	$52.6 \pm 1.7$	$48.4 \pm 1.6$	$54.1\pm2.8$	0.004 <sup>d</sup>	0.091 <sup>d</sup>
Working	$50.6 \pm 1.4$	$54.6 \pm 1.9$	$47.4\pm1.7$	$51.6 \pm 1.6$	$46.0\pm2.8$	Ref	Ref
Federal health insurance coverage	ge:						
No	$53.0\pm1.5$	$53.4\pm2.1$	$52.7\pm1.5$	$53.4 \pm 1.7$	$51.2\pm2.8$	Ref	Ref
Yes	$47.0\pm1.5$	$46.6\pm2.1$	$47.3 \pm 1.5$	$46.6 \pm 1.7$	$48.8\pm2.8$	0.74	0.51 <sup>d</sup>
Total wealth (\$):							
< 25,000	$20.3\pm1.2$	$14.0 \pm 1.3$	$25.4 \pm 1.5$	$15.7\pm1.1$	$43.0\pm2.3$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.001
25,000-124,999	$62.5 \pm 1.1$	$66.5\pm1.8$	$59.3 \pm 1.4$	$65.3 \pm 1.2$	$48.7 \pm 2.4$	Ref	Ref

Table 1. Study sample socio-demographic,	lifestyle and	health-related	characteristics	by sex	and b	y race,	HRS
2010-2016ª.							

125,000-299,999	$15.0 \pm 0.8$	$17.1 \pm 1.4$	$13.2 \pm 1.1$	$16.5 \pm 1.0$	$7.5 \pm 1.3$	0.32	0.035
	$15.0 \pm 0.8$ $2.1 \pm 0.4$	$17.1 \pm 1.4$ $2.1 \pm 0.6$	$13.2 \pm 1.1$ $2.0 \pm 0.5$	$10.3 \pm 1.0$ $2.3 \pm 0.4$	$7.3 \pm 1.3$ 0.0	0.32	0.033
$\geq$ 300,000		$2.1 \pm 0.0$	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$2.3 \pm 0.4$	0.0	0.87	0.097
Number of household members:		95 6 . 1 4	20.0 + 1.1	00.2 . 0.8	76.0 + 2.0	D.f	D.f
≤3	$88.0 \pm 0.9$	85.6 ± 1.4	89.9 ± 1.1	$90.3 \pm 0.8$	$76.9 \pm 3.0$	Ref	Ref
>3	$12.0 \pm 0.9$	$14.4 \pm 1.4$	$10.1 \pm 1.1$	$9.7\pm0.8$	$23.1\pm3.0$	0.017 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
LIFESTYLE:							
Smoking status:	44.0 + 1.0		50 7 . 1 7	44.4.1.4	47.0 . 0.4	D (	D (
Never smoker	44.9 ± 1.2	37.6 ± 1.7	$50.7 \pm 1.7$	$44.4 \pm 1.4$	47.2 ± 2.4	Ref	Ref
Past smoker	43.2 ± 1.1	49.9 ± 1.7	37.9 ± 1.6	44.2 ± 1.3	38.2 ± 3.6	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.13
Current smoker	$11.9 \pm 0.7$	$12.4 \pm 1.2$	$11.5 \pm 0.8$	$11.3\pm0.7$	$14.5\pm1.7$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.27
Frequency of alcohol consumpti							
Abstinent	36.1 ± 1.1	$30.7\pm1.8$	$40.5 \pm 1.3$	$34.4 \pm 1.3$	$44.8 \pm 2.7$	Ref	Ref
1–3 days per month	$21.6 \pm 1.0$	$18.8\pm1.3$	$23.8 \pm 1.3$	$20.8 \pm 1.1$	$25.4 \pm 2.0$	0.71	0.62
1-2 days per week	$25.6\pm0.9$	$29.3 \pm 1.7$	$22.6 \pm 1.1$	$26.1 \pm 1.1$	$22.9 \pm 2.1$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.022 <sup>d</sup>
$\geq$ 3 days per week	$16.7\pm1.0$	$21.2\pm1.9$	$13.0\pm1.1$	$18.7\pm1.1$	$6.8 \pm 1.2$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Frequency of moderate/vigorous	physical exercise:						
Never	$13.0\pm0.7$	$8.7\pm1.1$	$16.5\pm1.1$	$12.2\pm0.8$	$17.1\pm1.6$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
1-4 times per month	$26.1\pm1.0$	$27.4 \pm 1.4$	$25.1\pm1.4$	$24.9 \pm 1.1$	$32.0\pm2.3$	0.98	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
>1 times per week	$60.9 \pm 1.1$	$63.9 \pm 1.7$	$58.4 \pm 1.6$	$62.9 \pm 1.1$	$51.0\pm2.5$	Ref	Ref
HEALTH-RELATED:							
Self-rated health:	0 <b>0</b> 5 0 0		015 11	054 0.0		D.(	Ð
Excellent/very good/good	82.5 ± 0.9	83.7 ± 1.2	81.5 ± 1.1	85.4 ± 0.9	68.4 ± 2.4	Ref	Ref
Fair/poor	$17.5 \pm 0.9$	$16.3 \pm 1.2$	$18.5\pm1.2$	$14.6\pm0.9$	$31.6 \pm 2.4$	0.18	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ):							
Mean $\pm$ SEM	$28.9\pm0.2$	$29.2\pm0.2$	$28.7\pm0.2$	$28.7\pm0.2$	$29.8\pm0.3$	0.086	0.003 <sup>d</sup>
Cardiometabolic risk factors and	l chronic conditions:						
Hypertension:							
No	$49.8 \pm 1.4$	$49.5 \pm 1.8$	$50.0 \pm 1.6$	$51.8\pm1.6$	$40.0\pm2.7$	0.80	0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Yes	$50.2\pm1.4$	$50.5\pm1.8$	$50.0\pm1.6$	$48.2\pm1.6$	$60.0\pm2.7$	Ref	Ref
Diabetes:							
No	$83.1\pm0.9$	$81.6\pm1.2$	$84.3\pm1.2$	$84.8\pm0.9$	$74.9 \pm 1.9$	Ref	Ref
Yes	$16.9\pm0.9$	$18.4\pm1.2$	$15.7\pm1.2$	$15.2\pm0.9$	$25.1\pm2.0$	0.079 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Heart disease:							
No	$83.0\pm1.0$	$80.3\pm1.6$	$85.1\pm1.1$	$82.6\pm1.1$	$84.8\pm1.6$	Ref	Ref
Yes	$17.0\pm1.0$	$19.7\pm1.6$	$14.9 \pm 1.1$	$17.4\pm1.1$	$15.3\pm1.6$	0.008 <sup>d</sup>	0.28
Stroke:							
No	$95.2\pm0.4$	$94.9\pm0.5$	$95.3\pm0.6$	$95.4\pm0.4$	$94.1\pm1.2$	0.63	Ref
Yes	$4.8\pm0.4$	$5.1\pm0.5$	$4.7\pm0.6$	$4.6\pm0.4$	$5.9 \pm 1.2$	Ref	0.28
Number of conditions							
Mean $\pm$ SEM	$0.89\pm0.02$	$0.94\pm0.03$	$0.85\pm0.03$	$0.85\pm0.03$	$1.06\pm0.04$	0.014 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>

Abbreviations: SE: Standard Error; NHW: Non-Hispanic White; Ref: Referent category; SEM: Standard Error of the Mean. <sup>a</sup>Values are means  $\pm$  SE or %  $\pm$  SE, overall and across sex or race/ethnicity groups for main baseline and fixed sample characteristics (See Covariates section for detail), taking into account sampling weights and sampling design complexity in multiple imputed data. All covariates are measured in 2010 unless stated otherwise. <sup>b</sup>Based on linear or multinomial logit models with sex or race as the only predictors of continuous and categorical variables, respectively, taking into account sampling weights and sampling design complexity in multiple imputed data. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>c</sup>Number of chronic conditions among hypertension, diabetes, heart disease and stroke. <sup>d</sup>P < 0.05 after further adjustment of other demographic variables, including age in 2016, birth cohort, sex and race.



Figure 1. Participant flowchart. Abbreviations: HRS: Health and Retirement Study; N: Sample size; VBS: Venous Blood Study; w10: wave 10 (2010); w11: wave 11 (2012); w13: wave 13 (2014); w14: wave 14 (2016).



**Figure 2. Trajectories in perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms measures 2010-2016: Group-based trajectory models; HRS 2010-2016 (***N* **= 2,806).** (A) Results of the Group-based trajectory model for RPD and CES-D score; (B) Trajectory plot for EOD and contour plots for raw values at each combined visit per group; (C) Trajectory plot for RPD and contour plots for raw values at each combined visit per group; (D) Trajectory plot for CES-D and contour plots for raw values at each combined visit per group; (D) Trajectory plot for CES-D and contour plots for raw values at each combined visit per group; (D) Trajectory plot for CES-D and contour plots for raw values at each combined visit per group. Abbreviations: EOD: Experience of Discrimination; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; RPD: Reasons for perceived discrimination; PDISC: same as EOD and PERCEIVED\_DISCRIM; REASON\_PDISC: same as RPD and REASON\_PERCEIVED\_DISCRIM; v0: baseline visit, wave 10 (2010); v1: first follow-up visit, wave 11 (2012); v2: second follow-up visit, wave 12 (2014); v3: third follow-up visit, wave 13 (2016); v0v1: combined visits 0 and 1; v2v3: combined visits 2 and 3. Note: v0v1AGE was mainly v1AGE unless v1AGE was missing, then it was imputed with v0AGE. Similarly, v2v3AGE was mainly v3AGE unless v3AGE was missing, then it was imputed with v2AGE. The same applied to the CES-D scores, whereby v0v1CESD was mainly v1CESD, and v2v3CESD was mainly v3CESD. EOD for combined v0v1 was half in v0 and the other half in v1, and similarly for RPD, given that they were measured in half samples. The Table is based on zero-inflated Poisson GBTM models. Predicted values for each score at each age, require exponentiation of the linear combinations.

Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2 report results from a series of multiple OLS linear regression models examining the associations of the three trajectory exposures (EOD, RPD and CES-D total scores) with 13 markers of epigenetic aging. Upon correction for multiple testing in reduced model 1 (adjusted for age in 2016, birth cohort, sex, and race/ethnicity), among females, a sustained high EOD score was associated with epigenetic age acceleration based on the VIDAL-BRALO DNAmage marker (Supplementary Table 2, Model 1:  $\beta = +1.059 \pm 0.420$ , p = 0.015). This association was somewhat attenuated upon adjustment for socio-economic status variables (Supplementary Table 2, Model 2:  $\beta = +0.914 \pm 0.420$ , p = 0.034), but markedly attenuated with further adjustment for lifestyle and health-related factors including smoking and BMI (Supplementary Table 2, Model 2:  $\beta = +0.697$  $\pm$  0.443, p = 0.12). RPD trajectory was not associated with epigenetic age measures overall (Table 2) or within groups (Supplementary Table 2), upon correction for multiple testing.

More importantly, among females in Supplementary Table 2, having a moderate or high CES-D total score over time was associated with faster epigenetic age acceleration based on the LIN DNAmage marker in the both models 1 and 2. This association remained largely unaltered in Model 3, particularly in the moderate CES-D group, indicating a 1.8-1.9 y greater epigenetic age compared to the sustained lower CES-D group (P <0.001). Moreover, overall (Table 2), both the YANG and ZHANG DNAmage measures were found to be higher in the "sustained moderate and/or high CES-D total score" groups vs. "sustained low", with a dose-response relationship, upon adjustment for chronological age, birth cohort, sex and race/ethnicity (P < 0.017 for at least one contrast). Nevertheless, these relationships were markedly attenuated for the YANG DNAmage clock after addition of SES factors into the model. These relationships were attenuated for both measures upon further adjustment for lifestyle and health-related factors (Table 2, Model 3: P > 0.05 for high vs. low in both YANG and ZHANG DNAmage measures), indicating potential confounding and/or mediation by SES, lifestyle and health-related characteristics. Similar patterns were found for GrimAge and MPOA markers, whereby adjustment for lifestyle and health-related factors attenuated the positive association between sustained elevated CES-D and epigenetic aging as measured by these two markers, overall (Table 2) and among NHW for MPOA (Supplementary Table 2) and in both racial/ethnic groups for GrimAge (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2).

Tables 3, 4 and Supplementary Tables 3, 4 show findings from reduced and full 4-way mediation analyses, with

reduced model including only age, sex, birth cohort and race/ethnicity (Non-White vs. White) as potential exogenous variables. The full model added all other potentially confounding 2010 covariates as exogenous (See Covariate section). Overall and/or among female and/or NHW participants, higher RPD in 2010-2012 had significant adverse total effects on epigenetic age acceleration in 2016, based on GrimAge, MPOA, Levine (PhenoAge) and Horvath 2 clocks, with 20-50% of these effects being explained by a pure indirect effect though CES-D total score in 2014–2016 (Supplementary Table 4). Many of these total effects were attenuated upon addition of socio-economic, lifestyle and health-related factors (Table 4). One notable finding in the full model (Table 4), however, was that among females, RPD remained associated with epigenetic aging based on the GrimAge measure (TE = +0.171, p = 0.008), an effect that was largely a direct one (CDE = +189, p = 0.015), independent of the mediating effect of DEP. In contrast, no statistically significant total effect of EOD (2010-2012) on measures of epigenetic aging was detected overall or within sex or race/ethnicity strata (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 3). Despite an undetected total effect between EOD and the Levine (PhenoAge) clock, there was a pure indirect effect that was detected through DEP, particularly among NHW (Table 4 and Supplementary Table 3). A similar pattern was observed for PhenoAge and RPD (Table 4 and Supplementary Table 4).

In our SEM sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Table 5 and Figure 3), we found that overall, and based on a minimally adjusted model, the total effect of EOD on the epigenetic clocks was largely null with the exception of the BOCKLANDT clock which indicated a positive and largely direct effect, reflecting greater biological age with perceive discrimination that is not mediated through CES-D total score. In contrast, for RPD, the total effect was statistically significant in the case of MPOA and DNAGrimage, which was significantly mediated through CES-D total score. These latter findings for MPOA and DNAGrimage are in line with the previous analyses in Supplementary Table 4.

#### DISCUSSION

Here we examined retrospective data from the HRS cohort study of U.S. adults aged 50–100 years and investigated measures of perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms in relation to 13 different DNAmbased epigenetic clocks (EPICLOCK) age-estimators. We applied two distinct methodologies and utilized 4-way mediation analyses to decompose total effects of perceived discrimination markers on epigenetic age through depressive symptoms, in a time-dependent

<b>Y</b> =	X = Experience of discrimination (EOD) score trajectory		X = Reasons fo	ved discrimination (Rl	X = CES-D total score trajectory					
Epigenetic clock	High vs. Lov		Medium vs. L	20W	High vs. Lov	w	Medium vs. I	Low	High vs. Lo	w
	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р
HORVATH D	NAmage									
Model 1	$-0.129 \pm 0.378$	0.75	$+0.332 \pm 0.332$	0.32	$-0.202 \pm 0.395$	0.61	$-0.033 \pm 0.311$	0.92	$-0.605 \pm 0.420$	0.16
Model 2	$-0.162 \pm 0.369$	0.66	$+0.304 \pm 0.335$	0.37	$-0.236 \pm 0.388$	0.55	$-0.059 \pm 0.295$	0.84	$-0.634 \pm 0.391$	0.11
Model 3	$-0.400 \pm 0.403$	0.33	$+0.299 \pm 0.329$	0.37	$-0.557 \pm 0.393$	0.16	$-0.157 \pm 0.311$	0.62	$-0.971 \pm 0.423$	0.02
HANNUM DI	NAmage									
Model 1	$-0.077 \pm 0.275$	0.78	$-0.059 \pm 0.259$	0.82	$-0.331 \pm 0.338$	0.33	$+0.115 \pm 0.244$	0.64	$+0.759 \pm 0.354$	0.03
Model 2	$-0.170 \pm 0.261$	0.52	$-0.099 \pm 0.262$	0.71	$-0.434 \pm 0.341$	0.21	$+0.047 \pm 0.238$	0.84	$+0.597 \pm 0.344$	0.08
Model 3	$-0.332 \pm 0.275$	0.23	$-0.172 \pm 0.250$	0.49	$-0.786 \pm 0.356$	0.032	$-0.062 \pm 0.247$	0.80	$+0.306 \pm 0.349$	0.38
LEVINE DNA	Amage									
Model 1	$-0.238 \pm 0.488$	0.63	$-0.109 \pm 0.340$	0.75	$-0.301 \pm 0.467$	0.52	$+1.182 \pm 0.434$	0.009°	$+1.114 \pm 0.543$	0.04
Model 2	$0.430 \pm 0.505$	0.40	$-0.167 \pm 0.331$	0.62	$-0.483 \pm 0.473$	0.31	$+1.064 \pm 0.428$	0.016	$+0.794 \pm 0.545$	0.15
Model 3	$-0.623 \pm 0.490$	0.21	$-0.288 \pm 0.318$	0.37	$-0.933 \pm 0.446$	0.041	$+0.926 \pm 0.427$	0.035	$+0.430 \pm 0.636$	0.50
HORVATH 2	DNAmage									
Model 1	$+0.390 \pm 0.270$	0.16	$+0.316 \pm 0.234$	0.18	$+0.284 \pm 0.373$	0.45	$-0.189 \pm 0.246$	0.45	$+0.358 \pm 0.320$	0.27
Model 2	$+0.289 \pm 0.263$	0.28	$+0.262 \pm 0.234$	0.27	$+0.174 \pm 0.377$	0.65	$-0.255 \pm 0.241$	0.30	$+0.206 \pm 0.316$	0.52
Model 3	$+0.123 \pm 0.275$	0.66	$+0.228 \pm 0.230$	0.33	$-0.060 \pm 0.382$	0.88	$-0.345 \pm 0.240$	0.16	$-0.067 \pm 0.306$	0.83
LIN DNAmag	e									
Model 1	$+0.193 \pm 0.472$	0.68	$+0.077 \pm 0.437$	0.87	$-0.104 \pm 0.547$	0.86	$+1.226 \pm 0.325$	<0.001°	$+0.605 \pm 0.452$	0.19
Model 2	$+0.238 \pm 0.473$	0.63	$+0.040 \pm 0.435$	0.93	$-0.125 \pm 0.562$	0.83	$+1.309 \pm 0.318$	< 0.001	$+0.841 \pm 0.468$	0.07
Model 3	$+0.067 \pm 0.465$	0.89	$-0.040 \pm 0.428$	0.93	$-0.550 \pm 0.512$	0.29	$+1.233\pm0.321$	< 0.001	$+0.496 \pm 0.499$	0.33
WEIDNER DI	NAmage									
Model 1	$-0.135 \pm 0.621$	0.83	$-0.718 \pm 0.576$	0.22	$-0.912 \pm 0.818$	0.27	$+0.632 \pm 0.547$	0.25	$+0.922 \pm 0.795$	0.25
Model 2	$-0.065 \pm 0.631$	0.92	$-0.678 \pm 0.580$	0.25	$-0.917 \pm 0.795$	0.25	$+0.712 \pm 0.556$	0.21	$+0.970 \pm 0.556$	0.2
Model 3	$+0.077 \pm 0.642$	0.91	$-0.796 \pm 0.567$	0.17	$-1.110 \pm 0.840$	0.19	$+0.877 \pm 0.582$	0.14	$+1.147 \pm 0.884$	0.20
VIDAL-BRA	LO DNAmage									
Model 1	$+0.093 \pm 0.358$	0.80	$-0.019 \pm 0.269$	0.94	$+0.092 \pm 0.452$	0.84	$+0.294 \pm 0.227$	0.20	$+0.439 \pm 0.296$	0.14
Model 2	$+0.049 \pm 0.359$	0.89	$-0.029 \pm 0.264$	0.91	$+0.027 \pm 0.441$	0.95	$+0.282 \pm 0.225$	0.22	$+0.359 \pm 0.309$	0.25
Model 3	$-0.093 \pm 0.341$	0.79	$-0.133 \pm 0.257$	0.61	$-0.306 \pm 0.458$	0.51	$+0.181 \pm 0.221$	0.42	$+0.011 \pm 0.347$	0.97
YANG DNAn	nage									
Model 1	$-0.000 \pm 0.001$	0.95	$-0.0003 \pm 0.0009$	0.76	$-0.0017 \pm 0.0009$	0.76	$+0.001 \pm 0.001$	0.090	$+0.003 \pm 0.001$	0.00
Model 2	$-0.000 \pm 0.001$	0.60	$-0.0003 \pm 0.0009$	0.73	$-0.0020 \pm 0.0010$	0.047	$+0.0010 \pm 0.0008$	0.19	$+0.0019 \pm 0.0010$	0.07
Model 3	$-0.0008 \pm 0.0008$	0.37	$-0.0004 \pm 0.0009$	0.65	$-0.0024 \pm 0.0011$	0.030	$+0.0007 \pm 0.0008$	0.38	$+0.0010 \pm 0.0011$	0.30
ZHANG DNA	mage									
Model 1	$+0.019 \pm 0.031$	0.55	$-0.015 \pm 0.022$	0.51	$+0.004 \pm 0.0295$	0.91	$+0.089 \pm 0.025$	0.001°	$+0.128 \pm 0.026$	< 0.00
Model 2	$-0.003 \pm 0.031$	0.91	$-0.021 \pm 0.022$	0.34	$-0.014 \pm 0.030$	0.63	$+0.073 \pm 0.024$	0.005	$+0.087 \pm 0.026$	0.00
Model 3	$-0.025 \pm 0.027$	0.36	$-0.030 \pm 0.020$	0.15	$-0.042 \pm 0.029$	0.15	$+0.048 \pm 0.024$	0.049	$+0.041 \pm 0.026$	0.13
BOCKLAND	Г DNAmage									
Model 1	$+0.005 \pm 0.004$	0.19	$-0.0021 \pm 0.0026$	0.42	$+0.0007 \pm 0.0044$	0.88	$-0.0036 \pm 0.0036$	0.32	$-0.0011 \pm 0.0052$	0.8
Model 2	$-0.006 \pm 0.004$	0.14	$-0.0018 \pm 0.0025$	0.49	$+0.0014 \pm 0.0043$	0.74	$-0.0038 \pm 0.0037$	0.31	$-0.0012 \pm 0.0053$	0.83
Model 3	$+0.008 \pm 0.003$	0.054	$-0.0010 \pm 0.0025$	0.69	$+0.0028 \pm 0.0044$	0.53	$-0.0022 \pm 0.0039$	0.57	$+0.0018 \pm 0.0056$	0.70

Table 2. Trajectories in experience of discrimination, reasons for perceived discrimination and depressive
symptoms (2010-2016) and their association with 13 epigenetic clocks (2016): Multiple OLS linear regression
models, overall: HRS 2010-2016 <sup>a,b</sup> .

GARAGNAN	I DNAmage									
Model 1	$+0.002 \pm 0.003$	0.43	$-0.002 \pm 0.004$	0.56	$+0.006 \pm 0.004$	0.20	$+0.0025 \pm 0.002$	0.30	$+0.0071 \pm 0.0046$	0.13
Model 2	$+0.001 \pm 0.003$	0.68	$-0.002 \pm 0.004$	0.53	$+0.005 \pm 0.004$	0.26	$+0.0019 \pm 0.003$	0.45	$+0.0050\pm 0.0045$	0.28
Model 3	$+0.0001 \pm 0.003$	0.98	$-0.0029 \pm 0.0035$	0.42	$+0.0041 \pm 0.0042$	0.34	$+0.0016 \pm 0.0025$	0.53	$+0.0037 \pm 0.0047$	0.44
DNAm GRIM	AGE									
Model 1	$+0.310 \pm 0.282$	0.28	$-0.075 \pm 0.251$	0.77	$+0.243 \pm 0.333$	0.47	$+0.742 \pm 0.236$	0.003°	$1.831\pm0.327$	<0.001°
Model 2	$-0.046 \pm 0.274$	0.87	$-0.189 \pm 0.220$	0.40	$-0.067 \pm 0.332$	0.84	$+0.502 \pm 0.220$	0.026	$1.220\pm0.322$	< 0.001
Model 3	$-0.404 \pm 0.225$	0.079	$-0.272 \pm 0.186$	0.15	$-0.205 \pm 0.273$	0.46	$+0.032 \pm 0.190$	0.87	$+0.517\pm0.300$	0.089
MPOA										
Model 1	$+0.003 \pm 0.006$	0.60	$+0.001 \pm 0.005$	0.81	$+0.005 \pm 0.006$	0.38	$+0.008 \pm 0.005$	0.13	$+0.020 \pm 0.007$	0.004 <sup>c</sup>
Model 2	$-0.001 \pm 0.006$	0.83	$-0.0001 \pm 0.0045$	0.97	$+0.0013 \pm 0.0065$	0.84	$+0.0043 \pm 0.005$	0.40	$+0.011 \pm 0.007$	0.10
Model 3	$-0.006 \pm 0.006$	0.29	$-0.0015 \pm 0.0040$	0.72	$-0.0008 \pm 0.0056$	0.89	$-0.0021 \pm 0.0048$	0.66	$+0.0016 \pm 0.007$	0.82

Abbreviations: CES-D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; GBTM: Group-based trajectory models; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; NHW: Non-Hispanic White. See Supplementary Methods for epigenetic clock abbreviations. <sup>a</sup>OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and trajectories in EOD, RPD and CES-D scores as alternative exposures. Subpopulation sample size N = 2,728, accounting for sampling weights, PSU and strata. Aside from fixed covariates and age which is measured in 2016, all other covariates were measured in 2010. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was presented only when p < 0.05 for Exposure\*sex or Exposure\*race for at least one contrast in the unstratified model with 2-way interaction terms. <sup>b</sup>Model 1 adjusted for sex, age in 2016, birth cohort and race/ethnicity; Model 2 further adjusted Model 2 for the remaining socio-demographic, lifestyle and health-related factors (See Covariates section for detail). Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>c</sup>Passed correction for multiple testing at type I error of 0.05 (corrected *p*-value accounting for exposure type: 0.017), applied only to Model 1.

## Table 3. Experience of discrimination (EOD: 2010/2012) $\rightarrow$ depressive symptoms (CES-D: 2014/2016) $\rightarrow$ epigenetic clocks (2016): 4-way mediation analysis, overall and by sex and race, full model: HRS 2010-2016.

Y =	Overall		Males		Females		NHW		Non-Whites	
Epigenetic clock	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р
HORVATH D	NAmage									
TE	$-0.0192 \pm 0.0342$	0.58	$-0.187829 \pm 0.137934$	0.17	$-0.023891 \pm 0.046819$	0.61	$-0.019190 \pm 0.041975$	0.65	$-0.012652 \pm 0.059080$	0.83
CDE	$-0.0123 \pm 0.0396$	0.76	$-0.200620 \pm 0.163653$	0.22	$-0.018848 \pm 0.055332$	0.73	$-0.010741 \pm 0.047441$	0.82	$-0.008605 \pm 0.072629$	0.91
INTREF	$-0.0043 \pm 0.0123$	0.73	$0.047560 \pm 0.062331$	0.45	$-0.005333 \pm 0.018673$	0.78	$-0.0048 \pm 0.013329$	0.72	$-0.004931 \pm 0.028834$	0.86
INTMED	$-0.00035 \pm 0.00101$	0.73	$0.00876 \pm 0.01160$	0.45	$-0.000404 \pm 0.001415$	0.78	$-0.000443 \pm 0.001226$	0.72	$-0.000319 \pm 0.001864$	0.86
PIE	$-0.00227 \pm 0.0075$	0.76	$-0.043527 \pm 0.028797$	0.13	$0.000694 \pm 0.009667$	0.94	$-0.003186 \pm 0.008820$	0.72	$0.00120 \pm 0.014586$	0.93
HANNUM DN	VAmage									
TE	$-0.029072 \pm 0.027427$	0.29	$-0.010186 \pm 0.111398$	0.93	$-0.033330 \pm 0.037244$	0.37	$-0.005189 \pm 0.033813$	0.88	$-0.069365 \pm 0.046238$	0.13
CDE	$-0.04063 \pm 0.031710$	0.20	$0.01922 \pm 0.132428$	0.89	$-0.049480 \pm 0.044014$	0.26	$-0.012520 \pm 0.038221$	0.74	$-0.093600 \pm 0.056886$	0.10
INTREF	$0.006002 \pm 0.009899$	0.54	$-0.040959 \pm 0.050383$	0.42	$0.011753 \pm 0.014865$	0.43	$0.002005 \pm 0.010741$	0.85	$0.01723 \pm 0.022632$	0.45
INTMED	$0.000493 \pm 0.000814$	0.55	$-0.00754 \pm 0.009379$	0.42	$0.000890 \pm 0.001131$	0.43	$0.000184 \pm 0.000987$	0.85	$0.001113 \pm 0.001473$	0.45
PIE	$0.005065 \pm 0.006033$	0.40	$0.019094 \pm 0.022529$	0.40	$0.003507 \pm 0.007707$	0.65	$0.005141 \pm 0.007124$	0.47	$0.005892 \pm 0.011458$	0.61
LEVINE DNA	mage									
TE	$-0.006324 \pm 0.036060$	0.86	$-0.070358 \pm 0.143741$	0.63	$-0.01731 \pm 0.04945$	0.73	$0.034101 \pm 0.0442640$	0.44	$-0.092633 \pm 0.061732$	0.13
CDE	$0.001611 \pm 0.041533$	0.97	$-0.030477 \pm 0.170373$	0.85	$-0.006164 \pm 0.058302$	0.92	$0.047106 \pm 0.04965$	0.34	$-0.111058 \pm 0.075964$	0.14
INTREF	$-0.03068 \pm 0.013019$	0.018	$-0.08130 \pm 0.065117$	0.21	$0.029161 \pm 0.019725$	0.14	$-0.044635 \pm 0.01416$	0.002	$0.017892 \pm 0.030217$	0.55
INTMED	$-0.002518 \pm 0.001091$	0.021	$-0.014963 \pm 0.012300$	0.22	$-0.002209 \pm 0.0015200$	0.15	$-0.004101 \pm 0.001370$	0.003	$0.001156 \pm 0.001961$	0.56
PIE	$0.025258 \pm 0.00825$	0.002	$0.056378 \pm 0.030734$	0.067	$0.020223 \pm 0.010543$	0.055	$0.035731 \pm 0.01014$	< 0.001	$-0.000623 \pm 0.015240$	0.97
HORVATH 2	DNAmage									
TE	$0.008776 \pm 0.023368$	0.71	$0.144643 \pm 0.09645$	0.13	$0.005491 \pm 0.031364$	0.86	$0.044246 \pm 0.028728$	0.12	$-0.066184 \pm 0.039959$	0.098
CDE	$0.007917 \pm 0.027017$	0.77	$0.1593 \pm 0.114640$	0.17	$0.003622 \pm 0.037059$	0.92	$0.04823 \pm 0.0324588$	0.14	$-0.088714 \pm 0.049106$	0.071
INTREF	$-0.001772 \pm 0.008428$	0.83	$-0.026870 \pm 0.043601$	0.54	$0.004768 \pm 0.012505$	0.70	$-0.009105 \pm 0.009134$	0.32	$0.02695 \pm 0.019595$	0.17
INTMED	$-0.000145 \pm 0.000692$	0.83	$-0.004946 \pm 0.008080$	0.54	$0.00036 \pm 0.000948$	0.70	$-0.000837 \pm 0.000844$	0.32	$0.00174 \pm 0.001296$	0.18

CADACNANI DNAmaga

PIE	$0.00278 \pm 0.005128$	0.59	$0.017188 \pm 0.019522$	0.38	$-0.003260 \pm 0.006489$	0.62	$0.005958 \pm 0.006068$	0.33	$-0.006164 \pm 0.009900$	0.53
LIN DNAmage										
TE	$-0.00242 \pm 0.040121$	0.95	$-0.084943 \pm 0.157876$	0.59	$0.039006 \pm 0.055693$	0.48	$0.03899 \pm 0.049803$	0.43	$-0.087385 \pm 0.066179$	0.19
CDE	$-0.013629 \pm 0.046383$	0.77	$-0.037610 \pm 0.18775$	0.84	$0.020999 \pm 0.065761$	0.75	$0.03768 \pm 0.056255$	0.50	$-0.137368 \pm 0.081327$	0.091
INTREF	$0.002047 \pm 0.014474$	0.89	$-0.033086 \pm 0.071486$	0.64	$0.000245 \pm 0.022189$	0.99	$-0.012547 \pm 0.015824$	0.43	$0.052325 \pm 0.032490$	0.11
INTMED	$0.00017 \pm 0.001188$	0.89	$-0.006087 \pm 0.013209$	0.65	$0.000019 \pm 0.001681$	0.99	$-0.001153 \pm 0.00146$	0.43	$0.003382 \pm 0.002167$	0.12
PIE	$0.008998 \pm 0.008837$	0.31	$-0.008161 \pm 0.031576$	0.80	$0.017743 \pm 0.011735$	0.13	$0.01501 \pm 0.01060$	0.16	$-0.005723 \pm 0.016340$	0.73
WEIDNER DNA	Amage									
TE	$-0.075615 \pm 0.056708$	0.18	$0.072339 \pm 0.225908$	0.75	$-0.078087 \pm 0.078398$	0.32	$-0.087536 \pm 0.069939$	0.21	$-0.04964 \pm 0.09565$	0.60
CDE	$-0.115592 \pm 0.065554$	0.078	$0.138139 \pm 0.267984$	0.61	$-0.153137 \pm 0.092570$	0.098	$-0.103996 \pm 0.079041$	0.19	$-0.155799 \pm 0.117465$	0.19
INTREF	$0.024971 \pm 0.020475$	0.22	$-0.11638 \pm 0.10225$	0.26	$0.070229 \pm 0.031396$	0.025	$-0.000603 \pm 0.022202$	0.98	$0.09694 \pm 0.047073$	0.039
INTMED	$0.002050 \pm 0.001690$	0.23	$-0.021425 \pm 0.01924$	0.27	$0.005320 \pm 0.002468$	0.031	$-0.000055 \pm 0.002040$	0.98	$0.00627 \pm 0.003198$	0.050
PIE	$0.012956 \pm 0.012494$	0.30	$0.07200 \pm 0.04719$	0.13	$-0.000497 \pm 0.016164$	0.98	$0.017118 \pm 0.014798$	0.25	$0.002958 \pm 0.023611$	0.90
VIDAL-BRALO	) DNAmage									
TE	$-0.019887 \pm 0.026611$	0.46	$-0.027050 \pm 0.106646$	0.80	$-0.003859 \pm 0.036556$	0.92	$-0.014628 \pm 0.03288$	0.66	$-0.026090 \pm 0.044507$	0.56
CDE	$-0.027454 \pm 0.030747$	0.37	$0.030208 \pm 0.126501$	0.81	$-0.02834 \pm 0.04319$	0.51	$-0.010470 \pm 0.037116$	0.78	$-0.069110 \pm 0.054711$	0.21
INTREF	$-0.001778 \pm 0.009594$	0.85	$-0.074933 \pm 0.048398$	0.12	$0.020630 \pm 0.014605$	0.16	$-0.013839 \pm 0.0104560$	0.19	$0.036938 \pm 0.021874$	0.091
INTMED	$-0.00015 \pm 0.000788$	0.85	$-0.013794 \pm 0.00927$	0.14	$0.001562 \pm 0.00112$	0.16	$-0.001272 \pm 0.00010$	0.19	$0.002387 \pm 0.001463$	0.10
PIE	$0.009491 \pm 0.005903$	0.11	$0.031469 \pm 0.022131$	0.16	$0.002283 \pm 0.007554$	0.76	$0.010952 \pm 0.007011$	0.12	$0.003696 \pm 0.011004$	0.74
YANG DNAma	ge									
TE	$-0.000109 \pm 0.000010$	0.26	$-0.000399 \pm 0.000421$	0.34	$-0.000154 \pm 0.000123$	0.21	$-0.000282 \pm 0.00012$	0.012	$0.00026 \pm 0.000167$	0.12
CDE	$-0.000137 \pm 0.000111$	0.22	$-0.000386 \pm 0.000500$	0.44	$-0.000212 \pm 0.000145$	0.15	$-0.000297 \pm 0.000132$	0.025	$0.000213 \pm 0.000205$	0.30
INTREF	$0.000024 \pm 0.000035$	0.50	$-0.000027 \pm 0.000191$	0.89	$0.000069 \pm 0.000049$	0.16	$0.000010 \pm 0.000037$	0.78	$0.000038 \pm 0.000082$	0.64
INTMED	$1.93e-06 \pm 2.85e-06$	0.50	$-5.00e{-}06\pm0.000035$	0.89	$5.25e-06 \pm 3.77e-06$	0.16	$9.35e-07 \pm 3.42e-06$	0.78	$2.47e-06 \pm 5.29e-06$	0.64
PIE	$2.29e-06 \pm 0.000021$	0.91	$0.000019 \pm 0.000084$	0.83	$-0.000017 \pm 0.000025$	0.51	$4.07e{-}06 \pm 0.000025$	0.87	$4.90e{-}06 \pm 0.000041$	0.91
ZHANG DNAm	age									
TE	$-0.003287 \pm 0.002114$	0.12	$0.009301 \pm 0.008632$	0.28	$-0.0056307 \pm 0.002862$	0.049	$-0.003429 \pm 0.002585$	0.19	$-0.003088 \pm 0.003660$	0.40
CDE	$-0.003529 \pm 0.002443$	0.15	$0.015163 \pm 0.010208$	0.14	$-0.0059164 \pm 0.0033824$	0.080	$-0.003914 \pm 0.002923$	0.18	$-0.002639 \pm 0.004502$	0.56
INTREF								0.02	$-0.000790 \pm 0.001790$	0.66
	$-0.000226 \pm 0.000762$	0.77	$-0.0085045 \pm 0.003926$	0.030	$0.0001439 \pm 0.0011408$	0.90	$-0.0000836 \pm 0.00082$	0.92	0.000790 ± 0.001790	0.00
INTMED	$-0.000226 \pm 0.000762$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000063$	0.77 0.77	$\begin{array}{l} -0.0085045 \pm 0.003926 \\ -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \end{array}$	0.030 0.044	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0001439 \pm 0.0011408 \\ 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \end{array}$	0.90 0.90	$-0.0000836 \pm 0.00082$ $-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$	0.92	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$	0.66
INTMED PIE										
	$\begin{array}{l} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \end{array}$	0.77	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$	0.044	$0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864$	0.90	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$	0.92	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$	0.66
PIE	$\begin{array}{l} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \end{array}$	0.77	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$	0.044	$0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864$	0.90	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$	0.92	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$	0.66
PIE BOCKLANDT I	-0.000019 ± 0.000063 0.0004862 ± 0.0004667 DNAmage	0.77 0.30	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$	0.044 0.028	0.0000109 ± 0.0000864 0.0001309 ± 0.0005916	0.90 0.83	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$	0.92 0.29	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$	0.66 0.67
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE	-0.000019 ± 0.000063 0.0004862 ± 0.0004667 DNAmage 0.000668 ± 0.000373	0.77 0.30 <i>0.073</i>	-0.001566 ± 0.000779 0.004208 ± 0.00191 0.002839 ± 0.001508	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i>	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$	0.92 0.29 0.48	-0.000051 ± 0.000116 0.000392 ± 0.000908 0.001368 ± 0.000708	0.66 0.67 0.053
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE	-0.000019 ± 0.000063 0.0004862 ± 0.0004667 DNAmage 0.000668 ± 0.000373 0.000661 ± 0.000431	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i> 0.30	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66	-0.000051 ± 0.000116 0.000392 ± 0.000908 0.001368 ± 0.000708 0.001681 ± 0.000871	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF	$-0.000019 \pm 0.000063$ $0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667$ $DNAmage$ $0.000668 \pm 0.000373$ $0.000661 \pm 0.000431$ $0.000068 \pm 0.000134$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i> 0.30 <i>0.096</i>	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e{-06} \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i> 0.30 <i>0.096</i> 0.11	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e{-}06 \pm 0.0000153 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e{-06} \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i> 0.30 <i>0.096</i> 0.11	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e{-}06 \pm 0.0000153 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \mbox{DNAmage} \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e{-}06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \mbox{DNAmage} \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$ $-0.000384 \pm 0.000311$	0.044 0.028 <i>0.060</i> 0.30 <i>0.096</i> 0.11 0.22	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87 0.63	$\begin{array}{l} -7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753 \\ 0.000576 \pm 0.0005458 \\ 0.000313 \pm 0.000439 \\ 0.000218 \pm 0.000496 \\ 0.000167 \pm 0.000140 \\ 0.000015 \pm 0.00013 \\ -0.000088 \pm 0.000093 \end{array}$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.34	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I TE	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$ $-0.000384 \pm 0.000311$ $0.001057 \pm 0.001152$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87 0.63 0.73	$\begin{array}{l} -7.68e-06\pm 0.0000753\\ 0.000576\pm 0.0005458\\ \end{array}$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.0000619 \pm 0.000471$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I TE CDE	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$ $-0.000384 \pm 0.000311$ $0.001057 \pm 0.001152$ $0.001236 \pm 0.001368$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ \hline \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87 0.63 0.73 0.99	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $-0.000088 \pm 0.000093$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.00041 \pm 0.000580$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I TE CDE INTREF	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \end{tabular}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \\ 0.004208 \pm 0.00191 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.002839 \pm 0.001508 \\ 0.001869 \pm 0.001789 \\ 0.001144 \pm 0.000686 \\ 0.000211 \pm 0.000132 \\ -0.000384 \pm 0.000311 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.001057 \pm 0.001152 \\ 0.001236 \pm 0.001368 \\ -0.000388 \pm 0.000521 \end{array}$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468 \\ 0.000130 \pm 0.00016 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $-0.000088 \pm 0.000093$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I TE CDE INTREF INTREF	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000048 \pm 0.000104 \\ 3.90e-06 \pm 8.53e-06 \\ 0.000033 \pm 0.000063 \\ \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65 0.65	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \\ 0.004208 \pm 0.00191 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.002839 \pm 0.001508 \\ 0.001869 \pm 0.001789 \\ 0.001144 \pm 0.000686 \\ 0.000211 \pm 0.000132 \\ -0.000384 \pm 0.000311 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.001057 \pm 0.001152 \\ 0.001236 \pm 0.001368 \\ -0.000388 \pm 0.000521 \\ -0.000071 \pm 0.000097 \\ \end{array}$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46 0.46	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468 \\ 0.000130 \pm 0.00016 \\ 9.80e-06 \pm 0.000012 \\ \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.63 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41 0.42	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.00013$ $-0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000038$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$ $8.24e-07 \pm 0.000010$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94 0.94	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$ $5.95e-06 \pm 0.000015$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69 0.69
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTRED GARAGNANI I TE CDE INTREF INTRED PIE	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000048 \pm 0.000104 \\ 3.90e-06 \pm 8.53e-06 \\ 0.000033 \pm 0.000063 \\ \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65 0.65	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \\ 0.004208 \pm 0.00191 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.002839 \pm 0.001508 \\ 0.001869 \pm 0.001789 \\ 0.001144 \pm 0.000686 \\ 0.000211 \pm 0.000132 \\ -0.000384 \pm 0.000311 \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.001057 \pm 0.001152 \\ 0.001236 \pm 0.001368 \\ -0.000388 \pm 0.000521 \\ -0.000071 \pm 0.000097 \\ \end{array}$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46 0.46	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468 \\ 0.000130 \pm 0.00016 \\ 9.80e-06 \pm 0.000012 \\ \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.63 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41 0.42	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.00013$ $-0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000038$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$ $8.24e-07 \pm 0.000010$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94 0.94	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$ $5.95e-06 \pm 0.000015$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69 0.69
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE CDE INTREF INTREF PIE DNAm GRIMA	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00011 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000048 \pm 0.000104 \\ 3.90e-06 \pm 8.53e-06 \\ 0.000033 \pm 0.000063 \\ \hline \\ GE \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65 0.65 0.60	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \\ 0.004208 \pm 0.00191 \\ \hline \\ 0.002839 \pm 0.001508 \\ 0.001869 \pm 0.001789 \\ 0.001144 \pm 0.000686 \\ 0.000211 \pm 0.000132 \\ -0.000384 \pm 0.000311 \\ \hline \\ 0.001057 \pm 0.001152 \\ 0.001236 \pm 0.001368 \\ -0.000388 \pm 0.000521 \\ -0.000071 \pm 0.000097 \\ 0.000281 \pm 0.000237 \\ \end{array}$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46 0.46 0.24	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.0000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ \hline \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.00016 \\ 9.80e-06 \pm 0.00012 \\ \hline \\ -8.95e-06 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.87 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41 0.42 0.91	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $-0.000088 \pm 0.000093$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$ $8.24e-07 \pm 0.000010$ $6.31e-06 \pm 0.000075$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94 0.94 0.93	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$ $5.95e-06 \pm 0.00015$ $0.0001208 \pm 0.00012$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69 0.69 0.31
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTMED PIE GARAGNANI I TE CDE INTREF INTREF INTMED PIE DNAM GRIMAU	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ \hline \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00001 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ \text{DNAmage} \\ \hline \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000048 \pm 0.000104 \\ 3.90e-06 \pm 8.53e-06 \\ 0.000033 \pm 0.000063 \\ \hline \\ \text{GE} \\ \hline \\ -0.027040 \pm 0.019139 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.60 0.16	$-0.001566 \pm 0.000779$ $0.004208 \pm 0.00191$ $0.002839 \pm 0.001508$ $0.001869 \pm 0.001789$ $0.001144 \pm 0.000686$ $0.000211 \pm 0.000132$ $-0.000384 \pm 0.000311$ $0.001057 \pm 0.001152$ $0.001236 \pm 0.001368$ $-0.000388 \pm 0.000521$ $-0.000388 \pm 0.000521$ $-0.000071 \pm 0.000097$ $0.000281 \pm 0.000237$ $-0.001467 \pm 0.081877$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46 0.46 0.24	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.000864 \\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916 \\ \hline \\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079 \\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001 \\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025 \\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.0000153 \\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051 \\ \hline \\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396 \\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468 \\ 0.000130 \pm 0.00016 \\ 9.80e-06 \pm 0.000012 \\ -8.95e-06 \pm 0.000082 \\ -0.012447 \pm 0.02532 \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41 0.42 0.91 0.62	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.0000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $-0.000088 \pm 0.000093$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$ $8.24e-07 \pm 0.000010$ $6.31e-06 \pm 0.000075$ $-0.03164 \pm 0.023365$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94 0.94 0.93 0.18	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$ $5.95e-06 \pm 0.000015$ $0.0001208 \pm 0.00012$ $-0.019421 \pm 0.033951$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69 0.69 0.69 0.31
PIE BOCKLANDT I TE CDE INTREF INTRED GARAGNANI I TE CDE INTREF INTRED PIE DNAM GRIMAN TE CDE	$\begin{array}{c} -0.000019 \pm 0.000063 \\ 0.0004862 \pm 0.0004667 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000668 \pm 0.000373 \\ 0.000661 \pm 0.000431 \\ 0.000068 \pm 0.000134 \\ 5.60e-06 \pm 0.00011 \\ -0.000067 \pm 0.000082 \\ \hline \\ DNAmage \\ 0.000163 \pm 0.000288 \\ 0.000079 \pm 0.000333 \\ 0.000048 \pm 0.000104 \\ 3.90e-06 \pm 8.53e-06 \\ 0.000033 \pm 0.000063 \\ \hline \\ GE \\ \hline \\ -0.027040 \pm 0.019139 \\ -0.033468 \pm 0.022122 \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.77 0.30 0.073 0.13 0.61 0.61 0.41 0.57 0.81 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.60 0.16 0.13	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001566 \pm 0.000779 \\ 0.004208 \pm 0.00191 \\ \end{array}$	0.044 0.028 0.060 0.30 0.096 0.11 0.22 0.36 0.37 0.46 0.46 0.24	$\begin{array}{l} 0.0000109 \pm 0.000864\\ 0.0001309 \pm 0.0005916\\ \hline\\ 0.0002343 \pm 0.0005079\\ 0.0002495 \pm 0.0006001\\ 0.0000331 \pm 0.0002025\\ 2.51e-06 \pm 0.000153\\ -0.0000508 \pm 0.0001051\\ \hline\\ 0.000136 \pm 0.000396\\ 5.27e-06 \pm 0.000468\\ 0.000130 \pm 0.00016\\ 9.80e-06 \pm 0.00012\\ -8.95e-06 \pm 0.000082\\ \hline\\ -0.012447 \pm 0.02532\\ -0.011468 \pm 0.0299\\ \end{array}$	0.90 0.83 0.65 0.68 0.87 0.63 0.73 0.99 0.41 0.42 0.91 0.62 0.70	$-7.68e-06 \pm 0.000753$ $0.000576 \pm 0.0005458$ $0.000313 \pm 0.000439$ $0.000218 \pm 0.000496$ $0.000167 \pm 0.000140$ $0.000015 \pm 0.000013$ $-0.000088 \pm 0.000093$ $0.000017 \pm 0.000358$ $7.10e-07 \pm 0.000404$ $8.96e-06 \pm 0.000114$ $8.24e-07 \pm 0.000010$ $6.31e-06 \pm 0.000075$ $-0.03164 \pm 0.023365$ $-0.039733 \pm 0.026396$	0.92 0.29 0.48 0.66 0.23 0.23 0.34 0.96 1.00 0.94 0.94 0.93 0.18 0.13	$-0.000051 \pm 0.000116$ $0.000392 \pm 0.000908$ $0.001368 \pm 0.000708$ $0.001681 \pm 0.000871$ $-0.0003 \pm 0.0003$ $-0.000019 \pm 0.000023$ $6.60e-06 \pm 0.000175$ $0.000619 \pm 0.000471$ $0.0004 \pm 0.000580$ $0.000092 \pm 0.000230$ $5.95e-06 \pm 0.00015$ $0.0001208 \pm 0.00012$ $-0.019421 \pm 0.033951$ $-0.020376 \pm 0.041777$	0.66 0.67 0.053 0.054 0.39 0.39 0.97 0.19 0.49 0.69 0.69 0.31 0.57 0.63

PIE	$0.004346 \pm 0.004228$	0.30	$0.026429 \pm 0.016834$	0.12	$0.004086 \pm 0.005269$	0.44	$0.004862 \pm 0.00488$	0.32	$0.0014 \pm 0.00840$	0.87
MPOA										
TE	$-0.000075 \pm 0.000430$	0.86	$0.001808 \pm 0.001812$	0.32	$-0.000360 \pm 0.000569$	0.53	$-0.000144 \pm 0.000524$	0.78	$0.000037 \pm 0.000755$	0.96
CDE	$0.000073 \pm 0.000496$	0.88	$0.002254 \pm 0.00215$	0.30	$-0.000047 \pm 0.000672$	0.95	$0.000024 \pm 0.000592$	0.97	$0.000022 \pm 0.000930$	0.98
INTREF	$-0.000201\pm0.000155$	0.19	$-0.000619 \pm 0.000816$	0.45	$-0.000367 \pm 0.000227$	0.11	$-0.000234 \pm 0.000166$	0.16	$0.0000330 \pm 0.000370$	0.93
INTMED	$-0.000017\pm0.000013$	0.20	$-0.000114 \pm 0.000152$	0.45	$-0.000028 \pm 0.000018$	0.11	$-0.000022 \pm 0.000015$	0.16	$2.11e{-}06 \pm 0.000024$	0.93
PIE	$0.000069 \pm 0.000095$	0.47	$0.000288 \pm 0.000364$	0.43	$0.00008 \pm 0.000118$	0.49	$0.000087 \pm 0.000110$	0.43	$-0.000020 \pm 0.00019$	0.92

Abbreviations: CDE: Controlled Direct Effect; CES-D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; INTMED: Mediated Interaction; INTREF: Interaction referent; PIE: Pure Indirect Effect; TE: Total Effect. See Supplementary Methods for epigenetic clock abbreviations. <sup>a</sup>OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and point EOD measured in 2010–2012 as exposures and CES-D scores measured in 2014–2016 as a potential mediator, sample size N = 2,806, four-way mediation analysis. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was also presented. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Exogenous variables are the ones included in Table 2, Model 3, as covariates. See Covariates

## Table 4. Reasons for Perceived discrimination (RPD: 2010/2012) $\rightarrow$ depressive symptoms (CES-D: 2014/2016) $\rightarrow$ epigenetic clocks (2016): 4-way mediation analysis, overall and by sex and race, full model: HRS 2010-2016.

Y =	etiOverall		Males	Males Females			NHW		Non-Whites		
Epigeneti c clock	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	
HORVATH	DNAmage										
TE	$-0.1032053 \pm 0.090445$	0.25	$-0.1878292 \pm 0.1379343$	0.17	$-0.0606206 \pm 0.119885$	0.61	$-0.0663948 \pm 0.1246099$	0.59	$-0.104212 \pm 0.130395$	0.42	
CDE	$-0.1180829 \pm 0.1079943$	0.27	$-0.2006204 \pm 0.1636529$	0.22	$-0.0738707 \pm 0.1451321$	0.61	$-0.0581158 \pm 0.1457803$	0.69	$-0.1710287 \pm 0.1646686$	0.30	
INTREF	$0.026679 \pm 0.0394156$	0.50	$0.0475597 \pm 0.0623305$	0.45	$0.0187913 \pm 0.0531213$	0.72	$0.009112 \pm 0.0462641$	0.84	$0.0725672 \pm 0.0828621$	0.38	
INTMED	$0.0046929 \pm 0.0069552$	0.50	$0.008759 \pm 0.011595$	0.45	$0.0032557 \pm 0.009223$	0.72	$0.0024243 \pm 0.0123143$	0.84	$0.0053665 \pm \ 0.0063799$	0.40	
PIE	$-0.0164942 \pm 0.0174394$	0.34	$-0.0435274 \pm 0.0287969$	0.13	$-0.0087971 \pm 0.0231059$	0.70	$-0.0198152 \pm 0.0273385$	0.47	$-0.0111174 \pm 0.0190018$	0.56	
HANNUM E	DNAmage										
TE	$-0.02898 \pm 0.0725739$	0.69	$-0.0101855 \pm 0.1113979$	0.93	$-0.0386383 \pm 0.0954672$	0.69	$0.0645212 \pm 0.1004553$	0.52	$-0.143671 \pm 0.1017024$	0.16	
CDE	$-0.014727 \pm 0.0866313$	0.87	$0.019218 \pm 0.1324281$	0.89	$-0.0300982 \pm 0.1154603$	0.79	$0.0933474 \pm 0.1174426$	0.43	$-0.161799 \pm 0.1286189$	0.21	
INTREF	$-0.0339282 \pm 0.0316215$	0.28	$-0.0409591 \pm 0.0503832$	0.42	$-0.0284703 \pm 0.0422382$	0.50	$-0.0523597 \pm 0.0373693$	0.16	$0.0059789 \pm 0.064886$	0.93	
INTMED	$-0.0059679 \pm 0.005605$	0.29	$-0.007538 \pm 0.0093789$	0.42	$-0.0049375 \pm 0.007361$	0.50	$-0.0139327 \pm 0.0100693$	0.17	$0.0004443 \pm 0.0048012$	0.93	
PIE	$0.0256432 \pm 0.0142343$	0.072	$0.0190936 \pm 0.0225292$	0.40	$0.0248677 \pm 0.0187434$	0.19	$0.0374663 \pm 0.0224163$	0.095	$0.0117047 \pm 0.0150756$	0.44	
LEVINE DN	JAmage										
TE	$-0.0324966 \pm 0.0951323$	0.73	$-0.0703583 \pm 0.1437414$	0.63	$0.0112261 \pm 0.1266309$	0.93	$0.1753391 \pm 0.1310727$	0.18	$-0.2572829 \pm 0.1352268$	0.057	
CDE	$-0.0020692 \pm 0.1134302$	0.99	$-0.0304774 \pm 0.1703734$	0.86	$0.0466778 \pm 0.153067$	0.76	$0.2191643 \pm 0.1528806$	0.15	$-0.2636591 \pm 0.1710249$	0.12	
INTREF	$-0.0659782 \pm 0.0414743$	0.11	$-0.081296 \pm 0.0651171$	0.21	$-0.0645208 \pm 0.0560374$	0.25	$-0.1019176 \pm 0.0488054$	0.037	$0.0014963 \pm 0.0864472$	0.99	
INTMED	$-0.0116065 \pm 0.0074194$	0.12	$-0.0149631 \pm 0.0122998$	0.22	$-0.0111899 \pm 0.0098586$	0.26	$-0.0271207 \pm 0.01335$	0.042	$0.0001103 \pm 0.0063933$	0.99	
PIE	$0.0471573 \pm 0.0191017$	0.014	$0.0563782 \pm 0.0307344$	0.067	$0.040259 \pm 0.0251081$	0.11	$0.0852131 \pm 0.0304577$	0.005	$0.0047695 \pm 0.019479$	0.81	
HORVATH	2 DNAmage										
TE	$0.053499 \pm 0.06181$	0.39	$0.144643 \pm 0.09645$	0.13	$-0.020860 \pm 0.080319$	0.80	$0.1987906 \pm 0.0852737$	0.020	$-0.107497 \pm 0.087803$	0.22	
CDE	$0.067841 \pm 0.073822$	0.36	$0.1593 \pm 0.114640$	0.17	$-0.012433 \pm 0.097216$	0.90	$0.228888 \pm 0.099735$	0.022	$-0.141781 \pm 0.111056$	0.20	
INTREF	$-0.021002 \pm 0.026917$	0.44	$-0.026870 \pm 0.043601$	0.54	$-0.006618 \pm 0.035542$	0.85	$-0.03972 \pm 0.031701$	0.21	$0.035025 \pm 0.05612$	0.53	
INTMED	$-0.003695 \pm 0.004755$	0.44	$-0.004946 \pm 0.008080$	0.54	$-0.001148 \pm 0.00617$	0.85	$-0.010570 \pm 0.008521$	0.22	$0.002590 \pm 0.004237$	0.54	
PIE	$0.010354 \pm 0.011893$	0.38	$0.017188 \pm 0.019522$	0.38	$-0.000662 \pm 0.015445$	0.97	$0.020193 \pm 0.018780$	0.22	$-0.003331 \pm 0.012621$	0.79	
LIN DNAma	age										
TE	$-0.0418754 \pm 0.1060137$	0.69	$-0.084943 \pm 0.157876$	0.59	$-0.011092 \pm 0.142675$	0.94	$0.172602 \pm 0.147802$	0.24	$-0.227671 \pm 0.146110$	0.12	
CDE	$-0.0594891 \pm 0.1266206$	0.64	$-0.0376095 \pm 0.187751$	0.84	$-0.061277 \pm 0.172529$	0.72	$0.200682 \pm 0.172832$	0.25	$-0.339307 \pm 0.184817$	0.066	
INTREF	$-0.0060369 \pm 0.0461974$	0.90	$-0.033086 \pm 0.071486$	0.64	$0.006160 \pm 0.063100$	0.92	$-0.063740 \pm 0.054962$	0.25	$0.1057601 \pm 0.0931083$	0.26	
INTMED	$-0.001062 \pm 0.0081282$	0.90	$-0.006087 \pm 0.013209$	0.65	$0.001070 \pm 0.010943$	0.92	$-0.016962 \pm 0.014754$	0.25	$0.00782 \pm 0.00735$	0.29	
PIE	$0.0247126 \pm 0.0205325$	0.23	$-0.008161 \pm 0.031576$	0.80	$0.042959 \pm 0.028215$	0.13	$0.052622 \pm 0.032936$	0.11	$-0.00194 \pm 0.020850$	0.93	
WEIDNER I	DNAmage										
TE	$-0.0753708 \pm 0.1498217$	0.62	$0.0723385 \pm 0.2259075$	0.75	$-0.170970 \pm 0.200762$	0.39	$-0.144141 \pm 0.207537$	0.49	$0.0183725 \pm 0.2099748$	0.93	
CDE	$-0.1540839 \pm 0.178938$	0.39	0.1381392 ± 0.2679837	0.61	$-0.331622 \pm 0.242913$	0.17	$-0.163069 \pm 0.242820$	0.50	$-0.1703086 \pm 0.265165$	0.52	

INTREF	$0.0347483 \pm 0.0653234$	0.60	$-0.11638 \pm 0.1022509$	0.26	$0.119809 \pm 0.088989$	0.18	$-0.031192 \pm 0.077091$	0.69	$0.1572077 \pm 0.1343571$	0.24
INTMED	$0.0061148 \pm 0.0115156$	0.60	$-0.0214248 \pm 0.01924$	0.27	$0.020777 \pm 0.01573$	0.19	$-0.008300 \pm 0.02054$	0.69	$0.0116254 \pm 0.0106502$	0.28
PIE	$0.03785 \pm 0.029085$	0.19	$0.0720041 \pm 0.0471927$	0.13	$0.020066 \pm 0.038718$	0.60	$0.058420 \pm 0.045904$	0.20	$0.0198481 \pm 0.0308601$	0.52
VIDAL-BR.	ALO DNAmage									
TE	$0.0603942 \pm 0.070296$	0.39	$-0.027050 \pm 0.106646$	0.80	$0.1358484 \pm 0.0936072$	0.15	$0.09527 \pm 0.097587$	0.33	$0.050888 \pm 0.097809$	0.60
CDE	$0.0514491 \pm 0.0839531$	0.54	$0.030208 \pm 0.126501$	0.81	$0.1021091 \pm 0.1132749$	0.37	$0.124348 \pm 0.114138$	0.28	$-0.025604 \pm 0.123661$	0.84
INTREF	$-0.0085175 \pm 0.0306371$	0.78	$-0.074933 \pm 0.048398$	0.12	$0.0170052 \pm 0.041415$	0.68	$-0.044923 \pm 0.036295$	0.22	$0.062517 \pm 0.062576$	0.32
INTMED	$-0.0014978 \pm 0.0053924$	0.78	$-0.013794 \pm 0.00927$	0.14	$0.0029485 \pm 0.0071947$	0.68	$-0.011955 \pm 0.009755$	0.22	$0.004623 \pm 0.004873$	0.34
PIE	$0.0189605 \pm 0.0136641$	0.17	$0.031469 \pm 0.022131$	0.16	$0.0137857 \pm 0.0181255$	0.45	$0.027803 \pm 0.021586$	0.20	$0.009352 \pm 0.014363$	0.52
YANG DNAr	mage									
TE	$-0.0003615 \pm 0.0002536$	0.15	$-0.000399 \pm 0.000421$	0.34	$-0.000292 \pm 0.000315$	0.35	$-0.000904 \pm 0.000347$	0.009	$0.00030 \pm 0.000370$	0.42
CDE	$-0.0005061 \pm 0.000303$	0.095	$-0.000386 \pm 0.000500$	0.44	$-0.000478 \pm 0.000381$	0.21	$-0.000904 \pm 0.000406$	0.026	$-0.000190 \pm 0.000462$	0.68
INTREF	$0.0001347 \pm 0.0001107$	0.22	$-0.000027 \pm 0.00019$	0.89	$0.000195 \pm 0.000139$	0.16	$-0.000029 \pm 0.000129$	0.82	$0.000488 \pm 0.000236$	0.038
INTMED	$0.0000237 \pm 0.0000197$	0.23	$-5.00e06 \pm 0.0000351$	0.89	$0.000034 \pm 0.000025$	0.17	$-7.76e{-}06 \pm 0.000034$	0.82	$0.000036 \pm 0.000021$	0.087
PIE	$-0.0000139 \pm 0.0000486$	0.73	$0.0000186 \pm 0.0000843$	0.83	$-0.000044 \pm 0.000061$	0.48	$0.000037 \pm 0.000076$	0.62	$-0.000039 \pm 0.00005$	0.47
ZHANG DNA	Amage									
TE	$-0.0002539 \pm 0.0055824$	0.96	$0.0093006 \pm 0.0086322$	0.28	$-0.006003 \pm 0.007335$	0.39	$0.000849 \pm 0.007667$	0.91	$-0.000198 \pm 0.008032$	0.98
CDE	$0.0007082 \pm 0.0066688$	0.92	$0.0151633 \pm 0.0102084$	0.14	$-0.007674 \pm 0.008879$	0.39	$0.001933 \pm 0.008970$	0.83	$0.000814 \pm 0.01017$	0.94
INTREF	$-0.0017867 \pm 0.0024345$	0.46	$-0.0085048 \pm 0.0039263$	0.030	$0.001753 \pm 0.003247$	0.59	$-0.002714 \pm 0.002848$	0.34	$-0.001045 \pm 0.005136$	0.84
INTMED	$-0.0003143 \pm 0.0004298$	0.47	$-0.0015657 \pm 0.0007789$	0.044	$0.000304 \pm 0.000565$	0.59	$-0.000722 \pm 0.000762$	0.34	$-0.000077 \pm 0.000381$	0.84
PIE	$0.0011389 \pm 0.0010811$	0.29	$0.0042079 \pm 0.001909$	0.028	$-0.000387 \pm 0.001414$	0.79	$0.002353 \pm 0.001701$	0.17	$0.0001096 \pm 0.001153$	0.92
BOCKLANI	DT DNAmage									
TE	$0.001002 \pm 0.000984$	0.31	$0.002839 \pm 0.001508$	0.060	$-0.00053 \pm 0.001301$	0.68	$-0.001001\pm 0.00130$	0.44	$0.002978 \pm 0.001555$	0.056
CDE	$0.00099 \pm 0.001176$	0.40	$0.001869 \pm 0.001789$	0.30	$-0.000325 \pm 0.001574$	0.84	$-0.001407 \pm 0.001525$	0.36	$0.003883 \pm 0.00197$	0.048
INTREF	$0.000072 \pm 0.000429$	0.87	$0.001144 \pm 0.000686$	0.096	$-0.000165 \pm 0.000576$	0.77	$0.000440 \pm 0.000485$	0.36	$-0.000891 \pm 0.000995$	0.37
INTMED	$0.000013 \pm 0.000076$	0.87	$0.000211 \pm 0.000132$	0.11	$-0.000029 \pm 0.000100$	0.77	$0.000117 \pm 0.000130$	0.37	$-0.000066 \pm 0.000077$	0.39
PIE	$-0.000073 \pm 0.000189$	0.70	$-0.000384 \pm 0.000311$	0.22	$-9.56e{-}06 \pm 0.000250$	0.97	$-0.000152 \pm 0.000285$	0.59	$0.000052 \pm 0.000224$	0.82
GARAGNA	NI DNAmage									
TE	$0.000126 \pm 0.000760$	0.87	$0.001057 \pm 0.001152$	0.36	$-0.000416 \pm 0.001014$	0.68	$0.001075 \pm 0.001061$	0.31	$-0.000775 \pm 0.001034$	0.45
CDE	$-0.000113 \pm 0.000908$	0.90	$0.001236 \pm 0.001368$	0.37	$-0.000882 \pm 0.001227$	0.47	$0.001303 \pm 0.001242$	0.29	$-0.001721 \pm 0.001307$	0.19
INTREF	$0.000125 \pm 0.000332$	0.91	$-0.000388 \pm 0.000521$	0.46	$0.000409 \pm 0.000449$	0.36	$-0.000268 \pm 0.000395$	0.50	$0.000772 \pm 0.000662$	0.24
INTMED	$0.00002 \pm 0.000058$	0.71	$-0.000071 \pm 0.000097$	0.46	$0.000071 \pm 0.000079$	0.37	$-0.000071 \pm 0.000105$	0.50	$0.000057 \pm 0.000053$	0.28
PIE	$0.000092 \pm 0.000146$	0.52	$0.0002808 \pm 0.0002365$	0.24	$-0.000013 \pm 0.00020$	0.95	$0.000112 \pm 0.000232$	0.63	$0.000117 \pm 0.000153$	0.45
DNAm GRI	MAGE									
TE	$0.087878 \pm 0.050904$	0.084	$-0.001467 \pm 0.081877$	0.99	$0.171512 \pm 0.064623$	0.008	$0.033214 \pm 0.0688172$	0.63	0.141369 ± 0.075061	0.060
CDE	$0.088810 \pm 0.06089$	0.15	$-0.004009 \pm 0.097353$	0.97	$0.189456 \pm 0.078228$	0.015	$0.0134562 \pm 0.0804868$	0.87	$0.186614 \pm 0.095129$	0.050
INTREF	$-0.009309 \pm 0.021986$	0.67	$-0.020173 \pm 0.0364$	0.56	$-0.020831 \pm 0.028617$	0.47	$0.0065834 \pm 0.0254117$	0.80	$-0.047560 \pm 0.04769$	0.32
INTMED	$-0.001639 \pm 0.003873$	0.67	$-0.003714 \pm 0.00674$	0.58	$-0.003614 \pm 0.004993$	0.47	$0.0017513 \pm 0.0067651$	0.80	$-0.003515 \pm 0.003711$	0.34
PIE	$0.010017 \pm 0.009774$	0.31	$0.026429 \pm 0.016834$	0.12	$0.006501 \pm 0.012530$	0.60	0.011423 ± 0.0150537	0.45	$0.005830 \pm 0.010831$	0.59
MPOA										
TE	0.002094 ± 0.001137	0.065	$0.001808 \pm 0.001812$	0.32	$0.002363 \pm 0.00146$	0.11	$0.001748 \pm 0.001546$	0.26	$0.002576 \pm 0.001665$	0.12
CDE	$0.002927 \pm 0.001359$	0.031	$0.002254 \pm 0.00215$	0.30	$0.003483 \pm 0.001762$	0.048	$0.002248 \pm 0.001809$	0.21	$0.004332 \pm 0.002102$	0.039
INTREF	$-0.000844 \pm 0.000495$	0.088	$-0.000619 \pm 0.000816$	0.45	$-0.001044 \pm 0.000646$	0.11	$-0.000435 \pm 0.0005743$	0.45	$-0.001860 \pm 0.001064$	0.080
INTMED	$-0.000149 \pm 0.000089$	0.094	$-0.000114 \pm 0.000152$	0.45	$-0.000181 \pm 0.000115$	0.12	$-0.000116 \pm 0.000153$	0.45	$-0.000138 \pm 0.000091$	0.13
PIE	$0.000160 \pm 0.000219$	0.46	$0.000288 \pm 0.000364$	0.43	$0.000106 \pm 0.000282$	0.71	$0.000050 \pm 0.000338$	0.88	$0.000242 \pm 0.000251$	0.33
								2.00		

Abbreviations: CDE: Controlled Direct Effect; CES-D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; INTMED=Mediated Interaction; INTREF=Interaction referent; PIE: Pure Indirect Effect; TE: Total Effect. See Supplementary Methods for epigenetic clock abbreviations.  $^{\circ}$ OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and point RPD measured in 2010–2012 as exposures and CES-D scores measured in 2014–2016 as a potential mediator, sample size N = 2,806, four-way mediation analysis. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was also presented. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Exogenous variables are the ones included in Table 2, Model 3, as covariates. See Covariates section for detail.

manner between 2010 and 2016. We also evaluated whether these associations differed by sex and race/ethnicity groups, separately. In the overall cohort and mostly among female and NHW participants, a higher RPD had a significant adverse total effect on short term (~2-6 yrs) epigenetic aging, based on the DNAm GrimAge, MPOA, Levine (PhenoAge) and Horvath 2 epigenetic clocks. This effect could partially (20-50%) be explained by a pure indirect effect through depressive symptoms. These total effects were not detected in either model for EOD. Among females, elevated DEP was associated with faster epigenetic aging in the LIN clock in the reduced model, with an attenuation in subsequent models, patterns observed for elevated DEP (high vs. low) for GrimAge and MPOA DNAm markers. Overall and in White adults, EOD/RPD-Levine clock relationship was purely explained by variations in the CES-D total score, or DEP.

MDD and depressive symptoms have been both linked to epigenetic aging or age acceleration. For instance,

using data from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA), Han et al. reported significantly higher epigenetic aging in patients with MDD (n = 319) compared to controls (N = 811), using a cutoff of 14 for the Inventory of Depressive Symptomology with a follow up of 4 years [17]. Nevertheless, their findings also suggested that faster epigenetic aging in MDD may be largely explained by illness severity, although no additional relationships were uncovered between higher epigenetic aging and cumulative clinical characteristics [17]. This study also used a different technology, MBD-Seq, for DNAm measurements and therefore a unique DNAm age estimator was utilized to accommodate using DNAm data from MBD-Seq versus the more standard Illumina technology [17]. Our recent analysis of the Healthy Aging of Neighborhoods of Diversity Across the Life Span (HANDLS) study detected a cross-sectional association between two epigenetic age acceleration measures (using Horvath 1 and Hannum clocks) and lower positive affect among White adults. Despite a lack of association between these epigenetic clocks and race,



Figure 3. Total, direct and indirect effects of perceived discrimination measures on epigenetic clocks through depressive symptoms: structural equations modeling (sem); hrs 2010–2016 (n = 2,806). (A) SEM mediation model. (B) EOD as Exposure. (C) RPD as Exposure. Abbreviations: EOD: Experience of Discrimination; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; RPD: Reasons for perceived discrimination; v0: baseline visit, wave 10 (2010); v1: first follow-up visit, wave 11 (2012); v2: second follow-up visit, wave 12 (2014); v3: third follow-up visit, wave 13 (2016); v0v1: combined visits 0 and 1; v2v3: combined visits 2 and 3. See Supplementary Methods for epigenetic clock abbreviations. Note 1: SEM was conducted on epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes, v2v3CESD total score as the mediator and EOD or RPD at v0v1 as alternative exposures. Exogenous variables are estimated age at v3, sex, and race. Figure 3 decomposes the total into direct and indirect effects of each measure of perceived discrimination. All path coefficients are shown in detail in Supplementary Table 5. Note 2: Red = Significant indirect effect at type I error of 0.05; Light blue = Significant direct effect at type I error of 0.05; Orange = Indirect effect, P > 0.05 for null hypothesis indirect effect = 0; Texpe 0.05 for null hypothesis total effect = 0.

White adults compared with African American adults had a lower positive affect, even upon adjustment for age, sex, and poverty status (P = 0.007), suggesting that White urban adults may be more affected by epigenetic age acceleration due to their reduced level of positive affect at baseline [6]. In a recent casecontrol study of 49 MDD cases and age-matched controls (n = 60), MDD was associated with GrimAge acceleration [16]. GrimAge is a recently developed second generation epigenetic clock that is a composite clock that includes proxy DNAm biomarkers of 7 different plasma proteins and a DNAm-based estimator of smoking pack-years [48]. In this study the relationship between GrimAge and MDD remained significant upon adjustment for sex, current smoking status and BMI (p = 0.015) [16]. In our study, GrimAge DNAm age was associated with sustained elevated depressive symptoms over time. Nevertheless, this association was markedly attenuated with adjustment for smoking and BMI among lifestyle and health-related factors. This is consistent with our previous study with HANDLS data [6] in which we found only a partial association with the "positive affect" domain of depression and no association with depressive symptoms and epigenetic aging using the Horvath and Hannum epigenetic clocks.

Several studies have indicated that perceived ethnic or racial discrimination were associated with elevated depressive symptoms, with little evidence of a buffering effect of coping mechanisms among Black men [49]. While our study did not focus on racial/ethnic or gender perceived discrimination per se, the number of reasons for perceived discrimination was greater among women and among racial/ethnic minorities. Thus, RPD is expected to be in part reflecting perceptions of gender and/or racial/ethnic discrimination. Pure indirect effects detected in our study suggest that RPD and/or EOD are positively linked to depressive symptoms which in turn results in epigenetic age acceleration. Thus, potentially manipulating RPD may lower epigenetic age mainly through the reduction of depressive symptoms. This was specifically the case for the MPOA and DNAGrimAge clocks, in the overall sample, according to our sensitivity analysis using SEM models, consistently with our 4-way mediation analyses. In contrast, the EOD was found to have a positive total effect on the BOCKLANDT clock, which was a direct one. Given the nature of the BOCKLANDT clock, which is shown to be inversely related to the remaining 12 clocks, this result indicates that EOD may be protective against epigenetic age acceleration, an effect that is not explained by depressive symptoms and was specifically detected among Non-White adults. In addition, this result was not consistent with our 4-way mediation analysis. Nevertheless, this finding needs to be replicated in other comparable cohorts in order to come to a firm conclusion.

To our knowledge, our study is among a few to systematically examine perceived discrimination in relation to epigenetic aging. In African American mothers, major life discrimination, but not race-related events measures, were associated with DNAm at 9 different CpG sites [50]. However, in this study epigenetic age parameters were not assessed. Using data on low-income middle-aged Black adults (n =219), a recent study detected an association of racerelated lifetime stress exposure (i.e., exposure to racial discrimination, trauma, and stressful life events) and epigenetic age acceleration that was fully mediated through internalized and externalized anger expression [51]. Our present study indicated that in fact, perceived discrimination has little potential direct effect on the epigenetic clocks with few exceptions, and that its total effect when present is strongly mediated through depressive symptoms in at least 4 of 13 epigenetic clocks considered (DNAm GrimAge, MPOA, Levine (PhenoAge) and Horvath 2), particularly among females and NHW. Moreover, similar to the study by McKenna et al. [51], nonsignificant total effects for some of the epigenetic clocks were accompanied by a pure indirect effect through depressive symptoms, particularly in reduced models, that were only adjusted for basic demographics. Typically, but not consistently, results from our analyses indicate a stronger, negative association between perceived discrimination/reasons for perceived discrimination, depressive symptoms, and epigenetic clocks among NHW relative to Non-Whites. Moreover, compared to men, the associations between perceived discrimination, reasons for perceived discrimination, depressive symptoms, and epigenetic clocks among women tended to be stronger and positive. These findings are supported by the extant literature in which researchers have reported discrepant associations between various SES and health inputs to outcomes among gender and racial/ethnic groups. For example, researchers have documented lower health returns to markers of SESsuch as educational attainment-among non-white relative to white adults in the US [52–55].

Although there are few studies that have examined the effects of perceived discrimination on epigenetic mechanisms, more studies have examined the effects of other psychosocial stressors on epigenetic aging (reviewed in [56]). Several studies point to the association of socioeconomic status, trauma and lifetime stress with accelerated epigenetic aging [56]. However, there are many discordant findings in the field, which may reflect the complex nature of

analyzing psychosocial stressors. These effects may depend upon the nature of the stressor (acute versus chronic), different sociodemographic makeup of the cohorts and various other parameters. Nevertheless, as exposure to psychosocial stressors negatively impact health outcomes [18], alterations in epigenetic mechanisms provide a pathway by which these stressors may influence health. In line with this idea, one third of the DNAm sites in the Horvath epigenetic clock are localized within glucocorticoid response elements [57]. Glucocorticoids released in response to stress can then potentially modify DNAm and affect epigenetic age [57]. Most recently, data suggests that stress and aging synergistically affect the epigenetic regulation of FKBP5, which in turn contributes to inflammation and cardiovascular disease risk (discussed in [57]). Further elucidation of these mechanisms will contribute to our understanding of how psychosocial stressors and the stress response pathways modulate epigenetic mechanisms and impact health outcomes.

This study has many strengths. First, the HRS is a large, nationally representative study with >20 years of longitudinal data and includes a wide range of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and health-related markers. Second, hypothesized relationships were examined using previously validated measures of perceived discrimination, depressive symptoms and biological aging. Nevertheless, study findings need to be interpreted with caution and in light of several limitations. First, the analytic samples used in this study were much smaller than the full HRS sample potentially leading to selection bias. Second, the majority of HRS data were self-reported, potentially leading to nondifferential misclassification and measures of association that are biased towards the null value. Third, estimated relationships are prone to confounding bias given the observational nature of the HRS data. Finally, this study involves secondary analysis of existing HRS data and topics consistently covered by the distinct waves of HRS data may or may not have yielded the most relevant predictors of biological aging.

In sum, sustained elevations in depressive symptoms and reasons for perceived discrimination were associated with select measures of epigenetic aging, consistently among women and NHW adults. Furthermore, depressive symptoms acted as potential mediator in the perceived discrimination-epigenetic clock association in most 4way decomposition models with detected total effect in which perceived discrimination was linked to accelerated epigenetic age.

#### Abbreviations

CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression; CDE: Controlled Direct Effect; CI: Confidence Interval; DEP: Depressive Symptoms; DNAm: DNA methylation; EFTF: Enhanced Face to Face; EOD: Experience of Discrimination; EPICLOCK: Epigenetic Clock; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; INTMED: Mediated Interaction; INTREF: Interaction Referent; MDD: Major Depressive Disorder; Med4way: Four-way Mediation; MPOA: DunedinPoAm38 epigenetic clock; NHW: Non-Hispanic White; OLS: Ordinary Least Square; PhenoAge: Levine Epigenetic clock; RPD: Reasons for Perceived Discrimination; TE: Total Effect.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MAB: Study concept, plan of analysis, data management, statistical analysis, literature search and review, write-up of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript. HAB: Plan of analysis, literature search and review, write-up of parts of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript. NNH: Literature search and review, write-up of parts of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript. AIM: Plan of analysis, assistance with statistical methods, literature search and review, write-up of parts of the manuscript. JW: Assistance with statistical analysis, literature search and review, write-up of parts of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript, and review, write-up of parts of the manuscript, revision of the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all HRS participants, staff and investigators. The authors would also like to thank NIA/NIH/IRP internal reviewers for their valuable feedback.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute on Aging. This work was also supported by the National Institutes of Health, ZIA–AG000513 to M.K.E. and A.B.Z.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Veerappan CS, Sleiman S, Coppola G. Epigenetics of Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. Neurotherapeutics. 2013; 10:709–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13311-013-0219-0</u> PMID:<u>24150812</u>

- Mather KA, Kwok JB, Armstrong N, Sachdev PS. The role of epigenetics in cognitive ageing. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2014; 29:1162–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4183</u> PMID:25098266
- Crimmins E, Kim JK, Fisher J, Faul J. HRS Epigenetic Clocks – Release 1. Ann Arbor, MI: Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan. 2020.
- Conrad D, Wilker S, Schneider A, Karabatsiakis A, Pfeiffer A, Kolassa S, Freytag V, Vukojevic V, Vogler C, Milnik A, Papassotiropoulos A, de Quervain DJF, Elbert T, Kolassa IT. Integrated genetic, epigenetic, and gene set enrichment analyses identify NOTCH as a potential mediator for PTSD risk after trauma: Results from two independent African cohorts. Psychophysiology. 2020; 57:e13288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13288</u> PMID:<u>30328613</u>
- Austin MK, Chen E, Ross KM, McEwen LM, Maclsaac JL, Kobor MS, Miller GE. Early-life socioeconomic disadvantage, not current, predicts accelerated epigenetic aging of monocytes. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2018; 97:131–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2018.07.007</u> PMID:<u>30016711</u>
- Beydoun MA, Hossain S, Chitrala KN, Tajuddin SM, Beydoun HA, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. Association between epigenetic age acceleration and depressive symptoms in a prospective cohort study of urban-dwelling adults. J Affect Disord. 2019; 257:64–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.06.032</u> PMID:31299406
- Chouliaras L, Pishva E, Haapakoski R, Zsoldos E, Mahmood A, Filippini N, Burrage J, Mill J, Kivimäki M, Lunnon K, Ebmeier KP. Peripheral DNA methylation, cognitive decline and brain aging: pilot findings from the Whitehall II imaging study. Epigenomics. 2018; 10:585–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.2217/epi-2017-0132</u>

PMID:29692214

- Beydoun MA, Shaked D, Tajuddin SM, Weiss J, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. Accelerated epigenetic age and cognitive decline among urban-dwelling adults. Neurology. 2020; 94:e613–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000008756</u> PMID:<u>31879275</u>
- 9. Marioni RE, Shah S, McRae AF, Ritchie SJ, Muniz-Terrera G, Harris SE, Gibson J, Redmond P, Cox SR, Pattie A, Corley J, Taylor A, Murphy L, et al. The epigenetic clock is correlated with physical and cognitive fitness in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Int

J Epidemiol. 2015; 44:1388–96. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu277 PMID:<u>25617346</u>

 Lin Q, Weidner CI, Costa IG, Marioni RE, Ferreira MR, Deary IJ, Wagner W. DNA methylation levels at individual age-associated CpG sites can be indicative for life expectancy. Aging (Albany NY). 2016; 8:394– 401.

https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.100908 PMID:26928272

- Wolf EJ, Logue MW, Morrison FG, Wilcox ES, Stone A, Schichman SA, McGlinchey RE, Milberg WP, Miller MW. Posttraumatic psychopathology and the pace of the epigenetic clock: a longitudinal investigation. Psychol Med. 2019; 49:791–800. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718001411</u> PMID:<u>29897034</u>
- Chen BH, Marioni RE, Colicino E, Peters MJ, Ward-Caviness CK, Tsai PC, Roetker NS, Just AC, Demerath EW, Guan W, Bressler J, Fornage M, Studenski S, et al. DNA methylation-based measures of biological age: meta-analysis predicting time to death. Aging (Albany NY). 2016; 8:1844–65.

https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101020 PMID:<u>27690265</u>

- McCartney DL, Stevenson AJ, Walker RM, Gibson J, Morris SW, Campbell A, Murray AD, Whalley HC, Porteous DJ, McIntosh AM, Evans KL, Deary IJ, Marioni RE. Investigating the relationship between DNA methylation age acceleration and risk factors for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement (Amst). 2018; 10:429–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2018.05.006</u> PMID:<u>30167451</u>
- Marioni RE, McRae AF, Bressler J, Colicino E, Hannon E, Li S, Prada D, Smith JA, Trevisi L, Tsai PC, Vojinovic D, Simino J, Levy D, et al. Meta-analysis of epigenome-wide association studies of cognitive abilities. Mol Psychiatry. 2018; 23:2133–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-017-0008-y</u> PMID:29311653
- Li Z, He Y, Ma X, Chen X. Epigenetic age analysis of brain in major depressive disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2018; 269:621–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.09.001</u> PMID:<u>30208351</u>
- Protsenko E, Yang R, Nier B, Reus V, Hammamieh R, Rampersaud R, Wu GWY, Hough CM, Epel E, Prather AA, Jett M, Gautam A, Mellon SH, Wolkowitz OM. "GrimAge," an epigenetic predictor of mortality, is accelerated in major depressive disorder. Transl Psychiatry. 2021; 11:193. https://doi.org/10.1028/s/1208.021.01202.0

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01302-0 PMID:<u>33820909</u>

- Han LKM, Aghajani M, Clark SL, Chan RF, Hattab MW, Shabalin AA, Zhao M, Kumar G, Xie LY, Jansen R, Milaneschi Y, Dean B, Aberg KA, et al. Epigenetic Aging in Major Depressive Disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2018; 175:774–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17060595</u> PMID:29656664
- 18. Noren Hooten N, Brosh RM Jr. Ageing Research Reviews Special Issue dedicated to women in aging research. Ageing Res Rev. 2022; 77:101589. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2022.101589</u> PMID:<u>35158082</u>
- Williams DR, Neighbors HW, Jackson JS. Racial/ethnic discrimination and health: findings from community studies. Am J Public Health. 2003; 93:200–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.93.2.200</u> PMID:<u>12554570</u>
- Cohen S, Edwards J. Personality characteristics as moderators of the relationship between stress and disorder. Measuring Stress. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. 1995.
- Rosmond R. Role of stress in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005; 30:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.05.007 PMID:<u>15358437</u>
- Beydoun MA, Fanelli-Kuczmarski MT, Shaked D, Dore GA, Beydoun HA, Rostant OS, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. Alternative Pathway Analyses Indicate Bidirectional Relations between Depressive Symptoms, Diet Quality, and Central Adiposity in a Sample of Urban US Adults. J Nutr. 2016; 146:1241–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.115.229054</u> PMID:27146916
- 23. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115</u> PMID:<u>24138928</u>
- 24. Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes G, Sadda S, Klotzle B, Bibikova M, Fan JB, Gao Y, Deconde R, Chen M, Rajapakse I, et al. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Mol Cell. 2013; 49:359–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.016</u> PMID:<u>23177740</u>
- Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Bandinelli S, Hou L, Baccarelli AA, Stewart JD, Li Y, Whitsel EA, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. Aging (Albany NY). 2018; 10:573–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101414</u> PMID:<u>29676998</u>

- 26. Weidner CI, Lin Q, Koch CM, Eisele L, Beier F, Ziegler P, Bauerschlag DO, Jöckel KH, Erbel R, Mühleisen TW, Zenke M, Brümmendorf TH, Wagner W. Aging of blood can be tracked by DNA methylation changes at just three CpG sites. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:R24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r24</u> PMID:24490752
- Vidal-Bralo L, Lopez-Golan Y, Gonzalez A. Simplified Assay for Epigenetic Age Estimation in Whole Blood of Adults. Front Genet. 2016; 7:126. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2016.00126</u> PMID:<u>27471517</u>
- Belsky DW, Caspi A, Arseneault L, Baccarelli A, Corcoran DL, Gao X, Hannon E, Harrington HL, Rasmussen LJ, Houts R, Huffman K, Kraus WE, Kwon D, et al. Quantification of the pace of biological aging in humans through a blood test, the DunedinPoAm DNA methylation algorithm. Elife. 2020; 9:e54870.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54870 PMID:<u>32367804</u>

- 29. Yang Z, Wong A, Kuh D, Paul DS, Rakyan VK, Leslie RD, Zheng SC, Widschwendter M, Beck S, Teschendorff AE. Correlation of an epigenetic mitotic clock with cancer risk. Genome Biol. 2016; 17:205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1064-3</u> PMID:27716309
- Zhang Y, Wilson R, Heiss J, Breitling LP, Saum KU, Schöttker B, Holleczek B, Waldenberger M, Peters A, Brenner H. DNA methylation signatures in peripheral blood strongly predict all-cause mortality. Nat Commun. 2017; 8:14617. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14617</u> PMID:28303888
- 31. Bocklandt S, Lin W, Sehl ME, Sánchez FJ, Sinsheimer JS, Horvath S, Vilain E. Epigenetic predictor of age. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e14821. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014821</u> PMID:<u>21731603</u>
- Garagnani P, Bacalini MG, Pirazzini C, Gori D, Giuliani C, Mari D, Di Blasio AM, Gentilini D, Vitale G, Collino S, Rezzi S, Castellani G, Capri M, et al. Methylation of ELOVL2 gene as a new epigenetic marker of age. Aging Cell. 2012; 11:1132–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12005</u> PMID:23061750
- Hunter JC, Handing EP, Casanova R, Kuchibhatla M, Lutz MW, Saldana S, Plassman BL, Hayden KM. Neighborhoods, sleep quality, and cognitive decline: Does where you live and how well you sleep matter? Alzheimers Dement. 2018; 14:454–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.10.007</u> PMID:29396109

- 34. Kim ES, Hershner SD, Strecher VJ. Purpose in life and incidence of sleep disturbances. J Behav Med. 2015; 38:590–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-015-9635-4</u> PMID:<u>25822118</u>
- 35. Cobb RJ, Thorpe RJ Jr, Norris KC. Everyday Discrimination and Kidney Function Among Older Adults: Evidence From the Health and Retirement Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2020; 75:517–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glz294</u> PMID:<u>31838487</u>
- 36. Lee DB, Kim ES, Neblett EW. The link between discrimination and telomere length in African American adults. Health Psychol. 2017; 36:458–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000450</u> PMID:<u>28425738</u>
- Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Terracciano A. Perceived discrimination and personality development in adulthood. Dev Psychol. 2016; 52:155–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000069</u> PMID:<u>26501729</u>
- Rogers SE, Thrasher AD, Miao Y, Boscardin WJ, Smith AK. Discrimination in Healthcare Settings is Associated with Disability in Older Adults: Health and Retirement Study, 2008-2012. J Gen Intern Med. 2015; 30:1413–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3233-6</u> PMID:25773918
- Kessler RC, Mickelson KD, Williams DR. The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. J Health Soc Behav. 1999; 40:208–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2676349</u> PMID:10513145
- Kaufmann CN, Mojtabai R, Hock RS, Thorpe RJ Jr, Canham SL, Chen LY, Wennberg AM, Chen-Edinboro LP, Spira AP. Racial/Ethnic Differences in Insomnia Trajectories Among U.S. Older Adults. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2016; 24:575–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2016.02.049</u> PMID:<u>27212222</u>
- 41. STATA. Statistics/Data Analysis: Release 17.0. Texas: Stata Corporation. 2021.
- 42. NCHS. Office of Analysis and Epidemiology, Public-use Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Linked Mortality File. 2010.
- 43. Lee KJ, Carlin JB. Multiple imputation for missing data: fully conditional specification versus multivariate normal imputation. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171:624–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp425 PMID:20106935

- Jones BL, Nagin DS. Advances in Group-Based Trajectory Modeling and an SAS Procedure for Estimating Them. Sociol Methods Res. 2007; 35:542–71. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124106292364
- Discacciati A, Bellavia A, Lee JJ, Mazumdar M, Valeri L. Med4way: a Stata command to investigate mediating and interactive mechanisms using the four-way effect decomposition. Int J Epidemiol. 2019; 48:15–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy236</u> PMID:30452641
- 46. Marshall A, Altman DG, Holder RL, Royston P. Combining estimates of interest in prognostic modelling studies after multiple imputation: current practice and guidelines. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2009; 9:57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-9-57</u> PMID:<u>19638200</u>
- Beydoun MA, Noren Hooten N, Maldonado AI, Beydoun HA, Weiss J, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. BMI and Allostatic Load Are Directly Associated with Longitudinal Increase in Plasma Neurofilament Light among Urban Middle-Aged Adults. J Nutr. 2022; 152:535–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxab381</u> PMID:34718678
- Lu AT, Quach A, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, Aviv A, Raj K, Hou L, Baccarelli AA, Li Y, Stewart JD, Whitsel EA, Assimes TL, Ferrucci L, Horvath S. DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:303–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101684</u> PMID:<u>30669119</u>
- Ikram UZ, Snijder MB, de Wit MA, Schene AH, Stronks K, Kunst AE. Perceived ethnic discrimination and depressive symptoms: the buffering effects of ethnic identity, religion and ethnic social network. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2016; 51:679–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-1186-7</u> PMID:26873614
- 50. Barcelona de Mendoza V, Huang Y, Crusto CA, Sun YV, Taylor JY. Perceived Racial Discrimination and DNA Methylation Among African American Women in the InterGEN Study. Biol Res Nurs. 2018; 20:145–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1099800417748759</u> PMID:<u>29258399</u>
- 51. McKenna BG, Mekawi Y, Katrinli S, Carter S, Stevens JS, Powers A, Smith AK, Michopoulos V. When Anger Remains Unspoken: Anger and Accelerated Epigenetic Aging Among Stress-Exposed Black Americans. Psychosom Med. 2021; 83:949–58. https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.000000000001007 PMID:34747582
- 52. Crimmins EM, Saito Y. Trends in healthy life expectancy in the United States, 1970-1990: gender,

racial, and educational differences. Soc Sci Med. 2001; 52:1629–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(00)00273-2 PMID:11327137

- Hummer RA, Hernandez EM. The Effect of Educational Attainment on Adult Mortality in the United States. Popul Bull. 2013; 68:1–16. PMID:<u>25995521</u>
- Vable AM, Cohen AK, Leonard SA, Glymour MM, Duarte CDP, Yen IH. Do the health benefits of education vary by sociodemographic subgroup? Differential returns to education and implications for health inequities. Ann Epidemiol. 2018; 28:759– 66.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2018.08.014 PMID:<u>30309690</u>

55. Melchior M, Chastang JF, Head J, Goldberg M, Zins M, Nabi H, Younès N. Socioeconomic position predicts long-term depression trajectory: a 13-year follow-up of the GAZEL cohort study. Mol Psychiatry. 2013; 18:112–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2011.116

PMID:<u>21931321</u>

- 56. Palma-Gudiel H, Fañanás L, Horvath S, Zannas AS. Psychosocial stress and epigenetic aging. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2020; 150:107–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.irn.2019.10.020</u> PMID:32204828
- 57. Zannas AS, Arloth J, Carrillo-Roa T, Iurato S, Röh S, Ressler KJ, Nemeroff CB, Smith AK, Bradley B, Heim C, Menke A, Lange JF, Brückl T, et al. Lifetime stress accelerates epigenetic aging in an urban, African American cohort: relevance of glucocorticoid signaling. Genome Biol. 2015; 16:266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0828-5</u> PMID:<u>26673150</u>

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

#### **Supplementary Methods**

Supplementary Method 1: DNA methylation data pre-processing, quality control and the 13 epigenetic clocks:

#### Data pre-processing and quality control

The *minfi* package in R software was used for data preprocessing, and quality control. 3.4% of the methylation probes (n = 29,431 out of 866,091) were removed from the final dataset due to suboptimal performance (using a detection *P*-value threshold of 0.01). Analysis for detection *P*-value failed samples was done after removal of detection *P*-value failed probes. Using a 5% cut-off (*minfi*) we remove 58 samples. We also removed sex mismatched samples and any controls (cell lines, blinded duplicates). High quality methylation data is available for 97.9% samples (n = 4,018). Prior to the estimation of the 13 clocks missing beta methylation values were imputed with the mean beta methylation value of the given probe across all samples.

#### Epigenetic clocks

The thirteen epigenetic clocks were the following:

- 1. Horvath 1, the first multi-tissue epigenetic clock, was developed using 8,000 samples from 82 Illumina DNA methylation array datasets, incorporating 51 healthy tissues and cell types in order to estimate the DNA methylation age of most tissues and cell types. The clock is defined based on DNA methylation at 353 CpGs that form an aging clock, and shows strong correlation with age (r = 0.96-0.97). Horvath et al. (2013) found DNAm age acceleration was related to multiple types of cancer [1].
- 2. Hannum: Hannum's epigenetic clock is a blood-based age estimator, based on DNA methylation at 71 CpGs selected from the Illumina 450,000 array (Hannum 2013). Hannum et al. developed this clock based on the whole blood of 656 humans at ages 19 to 101. They reported a strong correlation with age for this clock (r = 0.96) and that the rate of DNAm ageing is influenced by gender and genetic variants [2].
- 3. Levine: DNAm PhenoAge was developed using composite clinical biomarkers combined into a multi-system measure of biological age, called phenotypic age, which was developed to estimate an individual's mortality risk using 9 markers of tissue and immune function (albumin, creatinine, serum glucose, CRP, lymphocyte percent, mean (red) cell volume, red cell distribution width, alkaline phosphatase, white blood cell count) and age. Phenotypic age was predicted by DNAm PhenoAge

based on 513 CpGs in whole blood from the same sample. Levine et al. (2018) found that while this clock was developed using whole blood data, values from all tested tissues and cells are correlated with age and predict mortality better than chronological age-based clocks. DNAm PhenoAge has been shown to predict multiple aging outcomes such as mortality, cancer. healthspan, physical function and Alzheimer's disease; the rate of DNAmPhenoAge acceleration was related to biomarkers such as high CRP, glucose, triglycerides waist-to-hip ratio and low HDL cholesterol [3].

- 4. Horvath 2: This epigenetic clock, based on 391 CpGs, was developed to better measure the age of human fibroblasts and other skin cells such as keratinocytes, buccal cells, endothelial cells, lymphoblastoid cells, skin, blood, and saliva samples (Horvath et al. 2018). This clock has high age correlations in sorted neurons, glia, brain, liver and bone samples, to predict lifespan and to relate to many age-related conditions. This skin and blood clock shares 45 CpGs with the blood-based clock from Hannum (2013) and 60 CpGs with the pan tissue clock from Horvath (2013). However, epigenetic age acceleration in the skin and blood clock shows only moderate correlations with that of Hannum's and Horvath's 2013 clock [4].
- 5. Lin: This 99 CpG model was originally derived from the HumanMethylation27K BeadChip data and subsequently modified for the 450,000 BeadChip. It was developed on DNAm profiles of normal blood samples and trained on life expectancy [5–7].
- 6. Weidner: Weidner et al. (2014) developed a simple DNAm age based on 3 age-related CpGs (cg02228185 in ASPA, cg25809905 in ITGA2B, and cg17861230 in PDE4C), to estimate epigenetic aging in blood. They selected these three CpGs based on recursive feature elimination and pyrosequencing analysis. This clock produced age predictions with average accuracy of 5.4 years [6].
- 7. Vidal–Bralo: Vidal-Bralo et al. (2016) developed a DNAm age predictor based on 8 CpGs, which were selected as the most informative CpGs in a training set of 390 healthy persons. This clock was developed specifically targeting adults who show slower rates of change compared to pre-adolescents in order to more accurately calibrate DNAm age for adults [8].
- GrimAge: GrimAge was developed based on the 7 DNAm surrogates of plasma proteins and smoking pack years in a two-stage procedure (Lu et al. 2019). First, they defined surrogate DNAm biomarkers of physiological risk and stress factors with plasma

proteins (including adrenomedullin, CRP. plasminogen activation inhibitor 1 (PAI-1) and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15)) and DNAm-based estimator of smoking pack-years. Then, time-to-death was regressed on these biomarkers and an estimator of smoking years to estimate a composite biomarker of lifespan, GrimAge. They named it "DNAm GrimAge" because high values of this measure means grim news in terms of mortality and morbidity risk. Lu et al. (2019) report that the rate of GrimAge-based aging has predictive ability for time to death, coronary heart disease, cancer and age-related conditions [9].

- 9. Yang et al. (2016) developed a mitotic-like clock using 385 PCGT promoter CpGs. This is based on the DNAm-based age-correlated model called epiTOC (Epigenetic Timer Of Cancer) that features three properties including being constitutively unmethylated across 11 different fetal tissue types, showing age-associated hypermethylation, and targeting the promoters marked by the PRC2 complex in human embryonic stem cells (ESCs). This mitotic-like clock was shown to be universally accelerated in cancer and pre-cancerous lesions [10].
- 10. Zhang: Zhang et al. (2017) developed a DNAm age based on 10 CpGs that showed a strong association with all-cause mortality, which was selected from replicated results (58 out of 11,063 CpGs with FDR<0.05) from an epigenome-wide association study (EWAS) for all-cause mortality. This epigenetic clock is said to predict disease and mortality better than the original chronological DNAm clocks. This clock specifically identifies those with increased risk of death by cancer and cardiovascular disease [11].
- 11. Bocklandt: The Bocklandt clock was developed in 2011 using saliva from twin pairs ages 21 to 55 years. The methylation in three sites, EEDARADD, TOM1LI, and NPTX2 genes, was linear with age, and a predictor including two CpGs in the promoter region of EDARADD and NPTX2 explained 73% of the variance in age and predicted age with an average accuracy of 5.2 years [12].
- 12. Garagnani: Garagnani et al. (2012) used the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation450 BeadChip on whole blood DNA to identify methylation levels of 3 regions, the CpG islands of ELOVL2, FHL2 and PENK genes, strongly correlated with age. This was confirmed using whole blood from 501 persons ages 9 to 99 years and they identified one CpG (cg16867657) in ELOVL2 as a promising biomarker of aging (r = 0.92) [13].

13.A recent blood DNA methylation measure, DunedinPoAm38, was developed to represent individual variation in the pace of biological aging. Based on data from the Illumina 450k Array run on samples from the Dunedin cohort, estimates were derived by using elastic-net regression models to calculate a methylation Pace of Aging (mPoA) score (Belsky et al. 2020). The pace of aging was calculated with composited slopes across the 18 biomarkers that measure the rate of aging in the cardiovascular, metabolic, renal, hepatic, pulmonary, periodontal, and immune systems. Then, the pace of aging composite was scaled to represent the mean trend in the cohort among Dunedin Study members with methylation data at age 38. The Pace of Aging methylation algorithm was trained on 3 waves of biomarker data from participants, including data collected at ages 26, 32, and 38. DunedinPoAm is estimated in years per chronological year (years/chron year) [14].

#### Supplementary Method 2: CES-D and EOD scales

#### CES-D scale, 8-items: 2010, 2012 and 2014 waves

C150 During the last 12 months, was there ever a time when you felt sad, blue, or depressed for two weeks or more in a row? 1. YES 3. [VOL] DID NOT FEEL DEPRESSED BECAUSE ON ANTI-DEPRESSANT MEDICATION 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF GO TO C167 BRANCHPOINT

C151 Please think of the two-week period during the last 12 months when these feelings were worst. During that time did the feelings of being sad, blue, or depressed usually last all day long, most of the day, about half the day, or less than half the day? 1. ALL DAY LONG 2. MOST OF THE DAY 3. ABOUT HALF THE DAY 4. LESS THAN HALF THE DAY 8. DK 9. RF GO TO C167 BRANCHPOINT.

C152 During those two weeks, did you feel this way every day, almost every day, or less often than that? 1. EVERY DAY 2. ALMOST EVERY DAY 3. LESS OFTEN 8. DK 9. RF GO TO C167 BRANCHPOINT.

C153 During those two weeks, did you lose interest in most things? [IWER: IF R SAYS USUALLY NO INTEREST IN THINGS: REPEAT Q ADDING: "... more than is usual for you."] 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF C154 Thinking about those same two weeks, did you ever feel more tired out or low in energy than is usual for you? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF

C155 During those same two weeks, did you lose your appetite? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF GO TO C157

C156 Did your appetite increase during those same two weeks? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF C157 Did you have more trouble falling asleep than you usually do during those two weeks? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF GO TO C159

C158 Did that happen every night, nearly every night, or less often during those two weeks? 1. EVERY NIGHT 2. NEARLY EVERY NIGHT 3. LESS OFTEN 8. DK 9. RF

C159 During that same two-week period, did you have a lot more trouble concentrating than usual? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF

C160 People sometimes feel down on themselves, and no good or worthless. During that two-week period, did you feel this way? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF

C161 Did you think a lot about death \* either your own, someone else's, or death in general \* during those two weeks? 1. YES 5. NO 8. DK 9. RF

## *Experience of Discrimination, EOD scale: 2010, 2012 and 2014 waves*

Q30 – Q31. Perceived Everyday Discrimination (2006, 2008, 2010, 2012; Q29 in 2014 and 2016) This 6-item scale assesses the experience of hassles and chronic stress associated with perceived everyday discrimination. Q31 (Q30 in 2014 and 2016) is a follow-up question which asks about this reason attributed to the experienced discrimination. Similar questions are in MIDUS. The item Q30f was added in 2008 to include a context relevant for older adults.

Source: Williams, D. R., Yu, Y., Jackson, J. S., and Anderson, N. B. (1997). Racial differences in physical and mental health: socio-economic status, stress and discrimination. Journal of Health Psychology, 2, 335-351. 2012: 6 items

(Q30a-Q30f) (In your day-to-day life how often have any of the following things happened to you?)

Q30a You are treated with less courtesy or respect than other people.

Q30b You receive poorer service than other people at restaurants or stores.

Q30c People act as if they think you are not smart.

Q30d People act as if they are afraid of you.

Q30e You are threatened or harassed.

Q30f You receive poorer service or treatment than other people from doctors or hospitals.

Coding: 1 = Almost every day, 2 = At least once a week, 3 = A few times a month, 4 = A few times a year, 5 = Less than once a year, 6 = Never; Scaling:Create an index of discrimination by reverse-coding all items and averaging the scores across all six items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values. Psychometrics: 2014 Alpha = .83, 2012 Alpha = .83, 2010 Alpha = .80, 2008 Alpha = .82

Background:

Sutin, A. R., Stephan, Y., and Terracciano, A. (2016). Perceived discrimination and personality development in adulthood. Developmental Psychology, 52(1), 155-163

Rogers, S. E., Thrasher, A. D., Miao, Y., Boscardin, W. J., and Smith, A. K. (2015). Discrimination in healthcare settings is associated with disability in older adults: health and retirement study, 2008–2012. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 30(10), 1413.

Williams, D.R., Neighbors, H.W., and Jackson, J.S. (2003). Racial/ethnic discrimination and health: Findings from community studies. American Journal of Public Health, 93, 200-208.

### *EOD scale: Reasons for Perceived discrimination:* 2010, 2012 and 2014 waves

Q31. Reasons Attributed for Discrimination (2006, 2008, 2010, 2012; Q30 in2014 and 2016) From 2008 onwards, religion and financial status were added to the attribution categories.

*Source*: Kessler, R. C., Mickelson, K. D., and Williams, D. R. (1999). The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 40(3), 208-230. 2012: 11 categories (Q31M1 - Q31M11)

(If any of the above (Q30) have happened to you, what do you think were the reasons why these experiences happened to you? (Mark (X) all that apply.)

1 Your ancestry or national origin, 2 Your gender, 3 Your race, 4 Your age, 5 Religion, 6 Your weight, 7 A physical disability, 8 Other aspect of your physical appearance, 9 Your sexual orientation, 10 Your financial status 11 Other Coding: Q31 allows for multiple responses which are delivered in several variables (Q31M1 through Q31M11).

When combined, these variables indicate which attributions and how many attributions were checked. Q31M1 gives the code (1 to 11) for the first attribution a participant checked in the order 1 to 11 as listed above: Q31M2 is the code for the second attribution the participant checked. For example, if the first box a participant checked was age their response on Q31M1 would be coded 4. If this participant also checked financial status, they would have the code 10 for Q31M2. 2008-2016 Coding: 1 =ancestry or national origin, 2 =gender, 3 = race, 4 = age, 5 = religion, 6 = weight, 7 =physical disability, 8 = Other aspect of your physical appearance, 9 = sexual orientation, 10 = financial status, 1 =Other 2006 Coding: 1 =ancestry or national origin, 2= gender, 3 = race, 4 = age, 5 = weight, 6 = A physical disability, 7 =Other aspect of your physical appearance, 8 = sexual orientation, 9 = Other).

#### **Supplementary References**

1. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013; 14:R115.

https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115 PMID:24138928

- Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes G, Sadda S, Klotzle B, Bibikova M, Fan JB, Gao Y, Deconde R, Chen M, Rajapakse I, et al. Genome-wide methylation profiles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Mol Cell. 2013; 49:359–67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.10.016</u> PMID:<u>23177740</u>
- Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Bandinelli S, Hou L, Baccarelli AA, Stewart JD, Li Y, Whitsel EA, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. Aging (Albany NY). 2018; 10:573–91. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101414 PMID:29676998
- Horvath S, Oshima J, Martin GM, Lu AT, Quach A, Cohen H, Felton S, Matsuyama M, Lowe D, Kabacik S, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, Maierhofer A, et al. Epigenetic clock for skin and blood cells applied to Hutchinson Gilford Progeria Syndrome and *ex vivo* studies. Aging (Albany NY). 2018; 10:1758–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101508</u> PMID:<u>30048243</u>
- Lin Q, Wagner W. Epigenetic Aging Signatures Are Coherently Modified in Cancer. PLoS Genet. 2015; 11:e1005334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005334</u> PMID:<u>26110659</u>
- Weidner CI, Lin Q, Koch CM, Eisele L, Beier F, Ziegler P, Bauerschlag DO, Jöckel KH, Erbel R, Mühleisen TW, Zenke M, Brümmendorf TH, Wagner W. Aging of blood can be tracked by DNA methylation changes at just three CpG sites. Genome Biol. 2014; 15:R24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r24</u> PMID:<u>24490752</u>
- Lin Q, Weidner CI, Costa IG, Marioni RE, Ferreira MR, Deary IJ, Wagner W. DNA methylation levels at individual age-associated CpG sites can be indicative for life expectancy. Aging (Albany NY). 2016; 8:394– 401.

https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.100908 PMID:26928272

 Vidal-Bralo L, Lopez-Golan Y, Gonzalez A. Simplified Assay for Epigenetic Age Estimation in Whole Blood of Adults. Front Genet. 2016; 7:126. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2016.00126</u> PMID:<u>27471517</u>

- Lu AT, Quach A, Wilson JG, Reiner AP, Aviv A, Raj K, Hou L, Baccarelli AA, Li Y, Stewart JD, Whitsel EA, Assimes TL, Ferrucci L, Horvath S. DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:303–27. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101684</u> PMID:30669119
- Yang Z, Wong A, Kuh D, Paul DS, Rakyan VK, Leslie RD, Zheng SC, Widschwendter M, Beck S, Teschendorff AE. Correlation of an epigenetic mitotic clock with cancer risk. Genome Biol. 2016; 17:205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1064-3</u> PMID:27716309
- Zhang Y, Wilson R, Heiss J, Breitling LP, Saum KU, Schöttker B, Holleczek B, Waldenberger M, Peters A, Brenner H. DNA methylation signatures in peripheral blood strongly predict all-cause mortality. Nat Commun. 2017; 8:14617. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14617

PMID:28303888

- Bocklandt S, Lin W, Sehl ME, Sánchez FJ, Sinsheimer JS, Horvath S, Vilain E. Epigenetic predictor of age. PLoS One. 2011; 6:e14821. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014821</u> PMID:<u>21731603</u>
- Garagnani P, Bacalini MG, Pirazzini C, Gori D, Giuliani C, Mari D, Di Blasio AM, Gentilini D, Vitale G, Collino S, Rezzi S, Castellani G, Capri M, et al. Methylation of ELOVL2 gene as a new epigenetic marker of age. Aging Cell. 2012; 11:1132–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12005</u> PMID:23061750
- 14. Belsky DW, Caspi A, Arseneault L, Baccarelli A, Corcoran DL, Gao X, Hannon E, Harrington HL, Rasmussen LJ, Houts R, Huffman K, Kraus WE, Kwon D, et al. Quantification of the pace of biological aging in humans through a blood test, the DunedinPoAm DNA methylation algorithm. Elife. 2020; 9:e54870. <u>https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54870</u> PMID:<u>32367804</u>

#### **Supplementary Figure**



Supplementary Figure 1. Scatterplot matrix of EPICLOCK measures and age in final selected sample; hrs 2010–2016 (*n* = 2,806). Note: See list of abbreviations and appendices for detailed description of the epigenetic clock measures.

#### **Supplementary Tables**

Supplementary Table 1. Study sample exposure, mediator and outcomes overall, by sex and by race, HRS 2010-2016<sup>a</sup>.

	Overall	Males	Females	NHW	Non-NHW	P <sup>b</sup> <sub>sex</sub>	P <sup>b</sup> race
	Mean/% ± SE	Mean/% ± SE	Mean/% ± SE	Mean/% ± SE	Mean/% ± SE		
Trajectory Exposures, 2010-20	16 <sup>c</sup>						
Trajectory in Experience of Dis	crimination score						
Stable low	$83.0\pm0.9$	$80.1\pm1.4$	$85.2\pm1.1$	$84.1\pm0.9$	$77.5\pm1.9$	Ref	Ref
Stable high	$17.0\pm0.9$	$19.9 \pm 1.4$	$14.7\pm1.1$	$15.9\pm0.9$	$22.5\pm1.9$	0.008 <sup>d</sup>	0.002 <sup>d</sup>
Trajectory in Reasons for Exper	rience of Discriminat	ion score					
Stable low	$31.3\pm1.3$	$31.3\pm1.8$	$31.3\pm1.5$	$32.7\pm1.5$	$24.7\pm2.1$	0.78	0.14
Stable medium	$55.5\pm1.4$	$56.5\pm2.0$	$54.8 \pm 1.7$	$56.1 \pm 1.6$	$52.9\pm2.7$	Ref	Ref
Stable high	$13.1\pm0.7$	$12.2\pm1.2$	$13.9\pm1.0$	$11.2\pm0.8$	$22.4\pm1.9$	0.31	$< 0.001^{d}$
Trajectory in CES-D total score	:						
Stable low	$55.1 \pm 1.3$	$60.7\pm1.7$	$50.5 \pm 1.7$	$58.5 \pm 1.6$	$38.4\pm2.5$	Ref	Ref
Stable medium	$30.7\pm1.3$	$27.4 \pm 1.4$	$33.3\pm1.7$	$28.7\pm1.4$	$40.2\pm2.5$	$< 0.001^{d}$	$<\!\!0.001^{d}$
Stable high	$14.3\pm0.7$	$12.0\pm0.9$	$16.2\pm1.0$	$12.8\pm0.8$	$21.4\pm2.0$	$< 0.001^{d}$	$< 0.001^{d}$
Point Exposures, 2010-2012							
Experience of discrimination	$9.39\pm0.12$	$9.82 \pm 0.20$	$9.05 \pm 0.13$	$9.29\pm0.12$	$9.87 \pm 0.23$	0.002 <sup>d</sup>	0.029
score Reason for Perceived discrimination score	$1.086\pm0.033$	$1.090\pm0.06$	$1.08\pm0.04$	$0.99\pm0.03$	$1.56\pm0.09$	0.92	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
CES-D total score	$1.23\pm0.04$	$1.11\pm0.06$	$1.333\pm0.05$	$1.12\pm0.05$	$1.75\pm0.11$	0.008 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Point Mediators, 2014-2016							
Experience of discrimination score	$9.30\pm0.10$	$9.60\pm0.2$	$9.06\pm0.11$	$9.22\pm0.11$	$9.70\pm0.29$	0.008 <sup>d</sup>	0.15
Reason for Perceived discrimination score	$1.072\pm0.031$	$1.026\pm0.05$	$1.11\pm0.04$	$0.99\pm0.04$	$1.49\pm0.08$	0.23	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
CES-D total score	$1.23\pm0.04$	$1.04\pm0.057$	$1.40\pm0.056$	$1.15\pm0.05$	$1.65\pm0.10$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
Epigenetic Clock Outcomes, 20	16						
HORVATH DNAmage	$65.7\pm0.3$	$66.0\pm0.4$	$65.5\pm0.3$	$66.1\pm0.3$	$63.8\pm0.4$	0.26 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
HANNUM DNAmage	$54.4\pm0.3$	$55.1\pm0.4$	$53.8\pm0.3$	$54.9\pm0.3$	$52.2\pm0.4$	0.004 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
LEVINE DNAmage	$57.0\pm0.3$	$57.5\pm0.4$	$56.7\pm0.4$	$57.3\pm0.3$	$55.9\pm0.5$	0.12 <sup>d</sup>	0.027
HORVATH 2 DNAmage	$69.6 \pm 24.9$	$69.8\pm0.4$	$69.4\pm0.3$	$69.9\pm0.3$	$68.1\pm0.4$	0.41 <sup>d</sup>	0.001
LIN DNAmage	$58.5\pm0.3$	$58.9\pm0.5$	$58.2\pm0.3$	$59.0\pm0.4$	$56.2\pm0.5$	0.18 <sup>d</sup>	$< 0.001^{d}$
WEIDNER DNAmage	$67.0\pm0.3$	$67.4\pm0.5$	$66.7\pm0.4$	$67.2\pm0.4$	$66.2\pm0.5$	0.27	0.095
VIDAL-BRALO DNAmage	$63.8\pm0.2$	$64.6\pm0.2$	$63.2\pm0.2$	$64.2\pm0.2$	$62.1\pm0.3$	$< 0.001^{d}$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
YANG DNAmage	$0.067\pm0.0003$	$0.067\pm0.001$	$0.068\pm0.000$	$0.066\pm0.000$	$0.074\pm0.001$	0.44	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
ZHANG DNAmage	$-1.105 \pm 0.013$	$-0.989\pm0.02$	$-1.197 \pm 0.015$	$-1.085 \pm 0.015$	$-1.202 \pm 0.025$	$< 0.001^{d}$	$< 0.001^{d}$
BOCKLANDT DNAmage	$0.388\pm0.002$	$0.380\pm0.003$	$0.395\pm0.003$	$0.382\pm0.002$	$0.419\pm0.004$	$< 0.001^{d}$	<0.001 <sup>d</sup>
GARAGNANI DNAmage	$0.714\pm0.002$	$0.708 \pm 0.003$	$0.719\pm0.002$	$0.716\pm0.002$	$0.705\pm0.003$	0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.020
DNAm GRIMAGE	$67.4\pm0.2$	$68.8 \pm 0.3$	$66.2\pm0.3$	$67.5\pm0.3$	$66.8\pm 0.43$	$< 0.001^{d}$	0.18 <sup>d</sup>
MPOA	$1.072\pm0.002$	$1.072\pm0.003$	$1.059\pm0.003$	$1.061\pm0.002$	$1.080\pm0.006$	0.001 <sup>d</sup>	0.004 <sup>d</sup>

Abbreviations: CES-D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage DNA methylation age; GBTM Group-based trajectory models. <sup>a</sup>Values are means  $\pm$  SE or %  $\pm$  SE, overall and across sex or race/ethnicity groups for main baseline and follow-up exposures and outcomes (See Methods section and OSM for details), taking into account sampling weights and sampling design complexity in multiple imputed data. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Based on linear or multinomial logit models with sex or race as the only predictors of continuous and categorical variables, respectively, taking into account sampling design complexity in multiple imputed data. <sup>c</sup>Trajectory exposures determined using GBTM (See Methods section for detail). <sup>d</sup>P < 0.05 after further adjustment of other demographic variables, including age in 2016, birth cohort, sex and race.

<b>Y</b> =	X = Experien discrimination score	X = Reasons for	perceived	discrimination tra	jectory	X = 0	CES-D total	score trajectory		
Epigenetic	High vs. Lo	Medium vs. I	JOW	High vs. Lo	W	Medium vs.	Low	High vs. Lo	ow	
clock	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р
HANNUM DNA	Amage									
Model 1										
NHW	$+0.090 \pm 0.306$	0.77					$+0.007 \pm 0.258$	0.98	$+0.674 \pm 0.375$	0.078
Non-White	$-0.553 \pm 0.490$	0.26					$0.758\pm0.618$	0.23	$1.166\pm0.577$	0.048
Model 2										
NHW	$-0.0165 \pm 0.295$	0.96					$-0.0601 \pm 0.252$	0.81	$+0.473 \pm 0.385$	0.22
Non-White	$-0.700 \pm 0.470$	0.14					$+0.722 \pm 0.646$	0.27	$+1.073 \pm 0.555$	0.060
Model 3										
NHW	$-0.225 \pm 0.316$	0.48					$-0.164\pm0.273$	0.55	$+0.224 \pm 0.394$	0.57
Non-White	$-0.795 \pm 0.478$	0.10					$+0.517 \pm 0.638$	0.42	$0.631\pm0.714$	0.38
HORVATH 2 D	NAmage									
Model 1										
NHW	$+0.492 \pm 0.322$	0.13							_	
Non-White	$-0.002 \pm 0.545$	0.99								
Model 2										
NHW	$+0.359 \pm 0.316$	0.26					_		_	
Non-White	$+0.066 \pm 0.545$	0.91					_		_	
Model 3										
NHW	$+0.170 \pm \ 0.337$	0.62					_		_	
Non-White	$-0.190 \pm 0.504$	0.71					_		_	
LIN DNAmage										
Model 1										
Males	$-0.958 \pm 0.457$	0.041	$-0.455 \pm 0.619$	0.47	$-1.207 \pm 0.664$	0.075	$+0.462 \pm 0.490$	0.35	$-0.829 \pm 0.670$	0.22
Females	$+1.397 \pm 0.736$	0.063	$+0.441 \pm 0.578$	0.45	$0.682 \pm 0.790$	0.39	$+1.849\pm0.483$	<0.001°	$+1.506 \pm 0.559$	0.009 <sup>c</sup>
Model 2										
Males	$-0.823 \pm 0.446$	0.070	$-0.452 \pm 0.600$	0.45	$-1.111 \pm 0.696$	0.12	$+0.656 \pm 0.500$	0.20	$-0.555 \pm 0.623$	0.38
Females	$+1.395 \pm 0.726$	0.060	$+0.405 \pm 0.574$	0.48	$+0.640 \pm 0.793$	0.42	$+1.889\pm0.470$	< 0.001	$1.618\pm0.590$	0.008
Model 3										
Males	$-0.867 \pm 0.412$	0.040	$-0.593 \pm 0.576$	0.31	$-1.574 \pm 0.691$	0.027	$+0.586 \pm 0.490$	0.24	$-0.711 \pm 0.722$	0.33
Females	$+1.203 \pm 0.749$	0.11	$+0.392 \pm 0.574$	0.50	$+0.291 \pm 0.757$	0.70	$+1.837\pm0.489$	< 0.001	$1.314\pm0.636$	0.044
WEIDNER DNA	Amage									
Model 1										
NHW	$+0.153 \pm 0.743$	0.84	$-0.534 \pm 0.588$	0.37	$-0.913 \pm 0.901$	0.32	_		_	
Non-White	$-1.086 \pm 1.011$	0.29	$-1.841 \pm 1.445$	0.21	$-1.429 \pm 1.596$	0.38	_		_	
Model 2										
NHW	$+0.198 \pm 0.769$	0.80	$-0.486 \pm 0.599$	0.42	$-0.911 \pm 0.887$	0.31	_		_	
Non-White	$-0.939 \pm 1.012$	0.36	$-2.000 \pm 1.453$	0.18	$-1.494 \pm 1.593$	0.35	_		_	
Model 3										
NHW	$+0.297 \pm 0.760$	0.70	$-0.549 \pm 0.600$	0.36	$-1.066 \pm 0.931$	0.26	_		_	
Non-White	$-0.747 \pm 1.057$	0.48	$-1.953 \pm 1.315$	0.14	$-1.668 \pm 1.536$	0.28	_		_	
VIDAL-BRALO										

Supplementary Table 2. Trajectories in experience of discrimination, reasons for perceived discrimination and depressive symptoms (2010-2016) and their association with 13 epigenetic clocks (2016): Multiple OLS linear regression models, stratified analysis: HRS 2010-2016<sup>a,b</sup>.

Model 1							
Males	$-0.874 \pm 0.498$	0.085		 _			
Females	$+1.059 \pm 0.420$	0.015 <sup>c</sup>		 _			
NHW	$+0.065 \pm 0.391$	0.87		 _			
Non-White	$+0.150 \pm 0.585$	0.80		 _			
Model 2							
Males	$-0.792 \pm 0.492$	0.11		 _		_	
Females	$+0.914 \pm 0.420$	0.034					
NHW	$-0.007 \pm 0.393$	0.99		 _			
Non-White	$+0.203 \pm 0.553$	0.71		 _			
Model 3	10.200 ± 0.000	0.71		 _		_	
Males	$-0.803 \pm 0.457$	0.084					
Females	$+0.697 \pm 0.443$	0.12		 —			
				 —		—	
NHW	$-0.165 \pm 0.375$	0.66		 —		—	
Non-White	$+0.118\pm0.481$	0.81		 —		—	
YANG DNAmag	ge						
Model 1							
NHW	$-0.001 \pm 0.001$	0.26		 —		—	
Non-White	$+0.003 \pm 0.002$	0.11		 _		—	
Model 2							
NHW	$-0.0012 \pm 0.0009$	0.16		 _			
Non-White	$+0.00228\pm 0.0019$	0.24		 _			
Model 3							
NHW	$-0.0015 \pm 0.0009$	0.085		 _			
Non-White	$+0.0016 \pm 0.0019$	0.41		 _			
ZHANG DNAm							
Model 1	0						
NHW	$+0.041 \pm 0.036$	0.26					
Non-White	$-0.064 \pm 0.058$	0.27		 _			
Model 2	0.001 2 0.000	0.27		 _		_	
NHW	$+0.019 \pm 0.035$	0.59					
				 —			
Non-White	$+0.002 \pm 0.002$	0.24		 —		—	
Model 3	0.0004 0.0201	0.00					
NHW	$-0.0004 \pm 0.0301$	0.99		 —		—	
Non-White	$-0.120 \pm 0.058$	0.043		 —		—	
DNAm GRIMA	GE						
Model 1							
NHW	—			 $+0.586 \pm 0.282$	0.043	$1.885\pm0.408$	< 0.001
Non-White	—			 $+1.464 \pm 0.574$	0.014 <sup>c</sup>	$1.934\pm0.582$	0.002 <sup>c</sup>
Model 2							
NHW	_			 $+0.417 \pm 0.248$	0.098	$1.241\pm0.406$	0.004
Non-White	_			 $+0.958 \pm 0.590$	0.11	$1.392\pm0.584$	0.021
Model 3							
NHW	_			 $-0.027 \pm 0.212$	0.90	$+0.579 \pm 0.354$	0.11
Non-White	_			 $+0.253 \pm 0.408$	0.54	$+0.426 \pm 0.501$	0.40
MPOA							
Model 1							
NHW	_		_	$+0.586 \pm 0.282$	0.043	$+1.885 \pm 0.408$	< 0.001

Non-White	_		 $+0.017 \pm 0.0100$	0.089	$+0.024 \pm 0.012$	0.055
Model 2						
NHW	_	_	 $+0.0038\pm 0.0056$	0.50	$0.0119 \pm 0.0083$	0.16
Non-White	_	_	 $+0.0077\pm 0.0112$	0.50	$+0.0109 \pm 0.0127$	0.40
Model 3						
NHW	_	_	 $-0.0021 \pm 0.0051$	0.68	$+0.0032 \pm 0.0081$	0.70
Non-White	_	_	 $-0.0012 \pm 0.0104$	0.91	$-0.0023 \pm 0.0118$	0.85

Abbreviations: CES–D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies–Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; GBTM: Group–based trajectory models; HRS Health and Retirement Study; NHW: Non–Hispanic White. <sup>a</sup>OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and trajectories in EOD, RPD and CES–D scores as alternative exposures. Subpopulation sample size N = 2,728, accounting for sampling weights, PSU and strata. Aside from fixed covariates and age which is measured in 2016, all other covariates were measured in 2010. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was presented only when p < 0.05 for Exposure\*sex or Exposure\*race for at least one contrast in the unstratified model with 2–way interaction terms. <sup>b</sup>Model 1 adjusted for sex, age in 2016, birth cohort and race/ethnicity; Model 2 further adjusted Model 1 for education and total wealth in 2010; Model 3 further adjusted Model 2 for the remaining socio–demographic, lifestyle and health–related factors (See Covariates section for detail). Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>c</sup>Passed correction for multiple testing at type I error of 0.05 (corrected p–value accounting for exposure type: 0.017), applied only to Model 1.

## Supplementary Table 3. Experience of discrimination (EOD: 2010/2012) $\rightarrow$ depressive symptoms (CES–D: 2014/2016) $\rightarrow$ epigenetic clocks (2016): 4–way mediation analysis, overall and by sex and race, reduced model: HRS 2010–2016.

Y = Epigenetic	Overall		Males		Females		NHW		Non-Whites	
clock	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta\pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta\pm SE$	Р
HORVATH DNAr	nage									
TE	$-0.0007268 \pm 0.0348995$	0.98	$-0.0087547 \pm 0.052328$	0.87	$-0.0000364 \pm 0.0477565$	0.99	$-0.0009221 \pm 0.0425617$	0.98	$0.0043068 \pm 0.0600669$	0.94
CDE	$0.0004887 \pm 0.0395531$	0.99	$0.0053674 \pm 0.056734$	0.93	$-0.0071211 \pm 0.0553038$	0.90	$0.0011853 \pm 0.0471137$	0.98	$0.0056666 \pm 0.0729816$	0.94
INTREF	$-0.00184 \pm 0.0114849$	0.87	$0.002116 \pm 0.0137432$	0.88	$-0.0018813 \pm 0.0173885$	0.91	$-0.0024273 \pm 0.0121818$	0.84	$-0.0030151 \pm 0.0278226$	0.91
INTMED	$-0.0002063 \pm 0.0012877$	0.87	$0.0002752 \pm 0.0017872$	0.88	$-0.0002035 \pm 0.0018806$	0.91	$-0.0003141 \pm 0.0015762$	0.84	$-0.0002463 \pm 0.0022712$	0.91
PIE	$0.0008308 \pm 0.0093485$	0.93	$-0.0165133 \pm 0.015121$	0.28	$0.0091695 \pm 0.0126106$	0.47	$0.000634 \pm 0.0111854$	0.96	$0.0019016 \pm 0.0171206$	0.91
HANNUM DNAm	age									
TE	$-0.0089446 \pm 0.0280294$	0.75	$-0.0107057 \pm 0.0424706$	0.80	$-0.0070387 \pm 0.0381099$	0.85	$0.0221764 \pm 0.0344324$	0.52	$-0.0704069 \pm 0.0468303$	0.13
CDE	$-0.0297586 \pm 0.031751$	0.35	$-0.0238521 \pm 0.0460677$	0.61	$-0.0339888 \pm 0.0441126$	0.44	$0.0060065 \pm 0.0381$	0.88	$-0.1056368 \pm 0.0568774$	0.063
INTREF	$0.0072604 \pm 0.0092252$	0.43	$0.0035162 \pm 0.0111624$	0.75	$0.0107238 \pm 0.0138821$	0.44	$0.0034773 \pm 0.0098544$	0.72	$0.0204714 \pm 0.0217358$	0.35
INTMED	$0.000814 \pm 0.0010355$	0.43	$0.0004572 \pm 0.0014519$	0.75	$0.0011598 \pm 0.0015048$	0.44	$0.0004499 \pm 0.0012753$	0.72	$0.001671 \pm 0.001787$	0.35
PIE	$0.0127396 \pm 0.0075701$	0.092	$0.009173 \pm 0.0122279$	0.45	$0.0150665 \pm 0.0101503$	0.14	$0.0122427 \pm 0.0091175$	0.18	$0.0130875 \pm 0.0134723$	0.33
LEVINE DNAmag	ge									
TE	$0.0396396 \pm 0.0371932$	0.29	$0.0455454 \pm 0.0549787$	0.41	$0.0451332 \pm 0.0513226$	0.38	$0.0864305 \pm 0.0454536$	0.057	$-0.0571024 \pm 0.0637075$	0.37
CDE	$0.0224769 \pm 0.0418809$	0.59	$0.0329356 \pm 0.059269$	0.58	$0.0229713 \pm 0.0590678$	0.70	$0.0731105 \pm 0.0497503$	0.14	$-0.0996989 \pm 0.0773821$	0.20
INTREF	$-0.0259646 \pm 0.012219$	0.034	$-0.0271444 \pm 0.0145359$	0.062	$-0.0247268 \pm 0.0186215$	0.18	$-0.040623 \pm 0.0130955$	0.002	$0.0239875 \pm 0.0295548$	0.42
INTMED	$-0.0029109 \pm 0.0013822$	0.035	$-0.0035296 \pm 0.0019092$	0.064	$-0.0026742 \pm 0.0020274$	0.19	$-0.0052556 \pm 0.0017347$	0.002	$0.001958 \pm 0.0024253$	0.42
PIE	$0.0460382 \pm 0.0105328$	< 0.001	$0.0432839 \pm 0.0164261$	0.008	$0.0495628 \pm 0.0143735$	0.001	$0.0591986 \pm 0.0130336$	0.000	$0.0166511 \pm 0.0183062$	0.36
HORVATH 2 DNA	Amage									
TE	$0.0278322 \pm 0.0238071$	0.24	$0.0344477 \pm 0.0367005$	0.35	$0.0280118 \pm 0.0319923$	0.38	$0.068629 \pm 0.0291545$	0.019	$-0.0594043 \pm 0.0403658$	0.14
CDE	$0.0197312 \pm 0.0269738$	0.46	$0.0280313 \pm 0.0397602$	0.48	$0.0163424 \pm 0.0370533$	0.66	$0.0646395 \pm 0.0322449$	0.045	$-0.0867864 \pm 0.0489916$	0.076
INTREF	$0.0005217 \pm 0.0078321$	0.95	$-0.007233 \pm 0.0096498$	0.45	$0.0068647 \pm 0.0116561$	0.56	$-0.0065217 \pm 0.0083473$	0.44	$0.0283076 \pm 0.018786$	0.13
INTMED	$0.0000585 \pm 0.0008781$	0.95	$-0.0009405 \pm 0.0012568$	0.45	$0.0007424 \pm 0.0012623$	0.56	$-0.0008437 \pm 0.0010816$	0.44	$0.0023106 \pm 0.0015616$	0.13
PIE	$0.0075208 \pm 0.0064022$	0.24	$0.0145898 \pm 0.0106449$	0.171	$0.0040624 \pm 0.0084356$	0.63	$0.011355 \pm 0.0077284$	0.142	$-0.0032362 \pm 0.0114978$	0.78
LIN DNAmage										
TE	$0.0109669 \pm 0.0408529$	0.79	$-0.0453771 \pm 0.0602042$	0.45	$0.0611492 \pm 0.0564145$	0.28	$0.0502662 \pm 0.0504288$	0.32	$-0.0612604 \pm 0.0675806$	0.37
CDE	$-0.0049886 \pm 0.0462897$	0.91	$-0.0397808 \pm 0.0652912$	0.54	$0.0348879 \pm 0.065273$	0.59	$0.04573 \pm 0.0557751$	0.41	$-0.1161494 \pm 0.0820279$	0.16
INTREF	$0.0034203 \pm 0.0134416$	0.80	$0.0064654 \pm 0.0158241$	0.68	$0.0005928 \pm 0.0205226$	0.98	$-0.0126346 \pm 0.0144425$	0.38	$0.0519658 \pm 0.0314905$	0.099
INTMED	$0.0003835 \pm 0.0015071$	0.80	$0.0008407 \pm 0.0020586$	0.68	$0.0000641 \pm 0.0022195$	0.98	$-0.0016346 \pm 0.0018721$	0.38	$0.0042416 \pm 0.0026266$	0.11

PIE	$0.0121517 \pm 0.0109815$	0.268	$-0.0129023 \pm 0.0173296$	0.457	$0.0256044 \pm 0.0150755$	0.089	$0.0188054 \pm 0.0133578$	0.159	$-0.0013184 \pm 0.019236$	0.945
WEIDNER DNA	mage									
TE	$-0.0744727 \pm 0.0578073$	0.20	$-0.0411406 \pm 0.0859564$	0.63	$-0.0719682 \pm 0.0795334$	0.37	$-0.0604088 \pm 0.0711575$	0.40	$-0.0814831 \pm 0.0966803$	0.40
CDE	$-0.1150437 \pm 0.0655048$	0.079	$-0.0603463 \pm 0.0930547$	0.52	$-0.1361453 \pm 0.0920582$	0.14	$-0.0804088 \pm 0.078736$	0.31	$-0.1832858 \pm 0.1172614$	0.12
INTREF	$0.023837 \pm 0.0190515$	0.21	$-0.0201874 \pm 0.0226033$	0.37	$0.0591688 \pm 0.0291271$	0.042	$-0.0017923 \pm 0.0203577$	0.93	$0.0927537 \pm 0.0451729$	0.040
INTMED	$0.0026724 \pm 0.0021426$	0.21	$-0.002625 \pm 0.002946$	0.37	$0.0063991 \pm 0.0031993$	0.045	$-0.0002318 \pm 0.0026339$	0.93	$0.0075711 \pm 0.0038125$	0.047
PIE	$0.0140617 \pm 0.0155209$	0.37	$0.0420182 \pm 0.0250677$	0.094	$-0.0013908 \pm 0.0209327$	0.95	$0.0220242 \pm 0.0188047$	0.24	$0.001478 \pm 0.0275031$	0.96
VIDAL-BRALO	DNAmage									
TE	$-0.0032406 \pm 0.0271298$	0.91	$-0.0110142 \pm 0.0406386$	0.79	$0.0178137 \pm 0.0371223$	0.63	$0.012165 \pm 0.0334859$	0.72	$-0.0303985 \pm 0.0450071$	0.50
CDE	$-0.0191508 \pm 0.0307184$	0.53	$-0.0139461 \pm 0.0438741$	0.75	$-0.013233 \pm 0.0429826$	0.76	$0.0053836 \pm 0.0369986$	0.88	$-0.0765071 \pm 0.0546499$	0.16
INTREF	$0.0006006 \pm 0.0089194$	0.95	$-0.0208067 \pm 0.0107698$	0.053	$0.0196147 \pm 0.0135573$	0.15	$-0.0106238 \pm 0.0095887$	0.27	$0.0344447 \pm 0.0209791$	0.10
INTMED	$0.0000673 \pm 0.001$	0.95	$-0.0027055 \pm 0.0014155$	0.056	$0.0021213 \pm 0.0014779$	0.15	$-0.0013745 \pm 0.0012444$	0.27	$0.0028115 \pm 0.0017496$	0.11
PIE	$0.0152423 \pm 0.0073573$	0.038	$0.0264441 \pm 0.011985$	0.027	$0.0093106 \pm 0.0098205$	0.34	$0.0187797 \pm 0.0089568$	0.036	$0.0088523 \pm 0.0128794$	0.49
YANG DNAmage	e									
TE	$-0.0000457 \pm 0.0000981$	0.64	$0.0000648 \pm 0.0001603$	0.69	$-0.0001136 \pm 0.0001255$	0.37	$-0.000202 \pm 0.0001191$	0.090	$0.0003161 \pm 0.0001701$	0.063
CDE	$-0.0001041 \pm 0.0001112$	0.35	$0.0000274 \pm 0.0001737$	0.87	$-0.0002024 \pm 0.0001454$	0.16	$-0.000250 \pm 0.000132$	0.058	$0.0002485 \pm 0.0002066$	0.23
INTREF	$0.000026 \pm 0.0000323$	0.42	$-0.0000233 \pm 0.0000421$	0.58	$0.0000713 \pm 0.0000459$	0.12	$0.0000149 \pm 0.0000341$	0.66	$0.0000242 \pm 0.0000788$	0.76
INTMED	$0.0000029 \pm 0.0000036$	0.42	$-0.000003 \pm 0.0000055$	0.58	$0.0000077 \pm 0.000005$	0.12	$0.0000019 \pm 0.0000044$	0.66	$0.000002 \pm 0.0000064$	0.76
PIE	$0.0000295 \pm 0.0000264$	0.26	$0.0000638 \pm 0.0000465$	0.17	$0.0000098 \pm 0.0000331$	0.77	$0.0000306 \pm 0.0000314$	0.33	$0.0000414 \pm 0.0000488$	0.40
ZHANG DNAma	age									
TE	$0.0006654 \pm 0.0022779$	0.77	$0.0022686 \pm 0.0034092$	0.51	$-0.0006544 \pm 0.0031191$	0.83	$0.0011064 \pm 0.0027764$	0.69	$-0.0003756 \pm 0.003924$	0.92
CDE	$-0.0016618 \pm 0.0025737$	0.52	$0.0001181 \pm 0.0036842$	0.97	$-0.0034306 \pm 0.0036031$	0.34	$-0.0014068 \pm 0.003064$	0.65	$-0.002299 \pm 0.0047551$	0.63
INTREF	$0.0000285 \pm 0.0007473$	0.97	$-0.0003537 \pm 0.0008929$	0.69	$0.0004281 \pm 0.0011331$	0.71	$0.000111 \pm 0.0007923$	0.89	$-0.0000962 \pm 0.0018132$	0.96
INTMED	$0.0000032 \pm 0.0000838$	0.97	$-0.000046 \pm 0.0001162$	0.69	$0.0000463 \pm 0.0001226$	0.71	$0.0000144 \pm 0.0001025$	0.89	$-0.0000079 \pm 0.000148$	0.96
PIE	$0.0022954 \pm 0.0006342$	< 0.001	$0.0025503 \pm 0.0010164$	0.012	$0.0023018 \pm 0.0008531$	0.007	$0.0023878 \pm 0.0007607$	0.002	$0.0020274 \pm 0.0011535$	0.079
BOCKLANDT D	NAmage									
TE	$0.0005824 \pm 0.0003788$	0.12	$0.0008922 \pm 0.0005731$	0.12	$0.0002264 \pm 0.0005152$	0.66	$0.0001703 \pm 0.0004443$	0.70	$+0.0015051\pm0.0007172$	0.036
CDE	$0.000607 \pm 0.0004292$	0.16	$0.0009645 \pm 0.000621$	0.12	$0.0002283 \pm 0.0005966$	0.70	$0.0001759 \pm 0.0004914$	0.72	$+0.0016907\pm0.0008714$	0.052
INTREF	$0.000073 \pm 0.0001247$	0.56	$0.0001149 \pm 0.0001507$	0.45	$0.000063 \pm 0.0001876$	0.74	$0.0001355 \pm 0.0001273$	0.29	$-0.0002042 \pm 0.0003326$	0.54
INTMED	$0.0000082 \pm 0.000014$	0.56	$0.0000149 \pm 0.0000196$	0.45	$0.0000068 \pm 0.0000203$	0.74	$0.0000175 \pm 0.0000165$	0.29	$-0.0000167 \pm 0.0000272$	0.54
PIE	$-0.0001058 \pm 0.0001018$	0.30	$-0.0002021 \pm 0.0001658$	0.22	$-0.0000717 \pm 0.0001359$	0.60	$-0.0001587 \pm 0.0001176$	0.18	$0.0000353 \pm 0.0002044$	0.86
GARAGNANI D	NAmage									
TE	$0.0002897 \pm 0.0002931$	0.32	$0.000342 \pm 0.0004375$	0.43	$0.0003304 \pm 0.000402$	0.41	$0.0001916 \pm 0.0003633$	0.60	$0.0006222 \pm 0.0004769$	0.19
CDE	$0.0001534 \pm 0.0003321$	0.64	$0.0002808 \pm 0.0004744$	0.55	$0.0001287 \pm 0.0004656$	0.78	$0.0001363 \pm 0.0004022$	0.74	$0.00034 \pm 0.0005787$	0.56
INTREF	$0.0000505 \pm 0.0000964$	0.60	$-0.0000515 \pm 0.000115$	0.65	$0.0001287 \pm 0.0001465$	0.38	$0.0000102 \pm 0.000104$	0.92	$0.000084 \pm 0.0002207$	0.70
INTMED	$0.0000057 \pm 0.0000108$	0.60	$-0.0000067 \pm 0.000015$	0.65	$0.0000139 \pm 0.0000159$	0.38	$0.0000013 \pm 0.0000135$	0.92	$0.0000069 \pm 0.000018$	0.70
PIE	$0.00008 \pm 0.0000787$	0.31	$0.0001194 \pm 0.0001262$	0.34	$0.0000591 \pm 0.000106$	0.58	$0.0000438 \pm 0.0000956$	0.65	$0.0001913 \pm 0.0001385$	0.17
DNAm GRIMAG	ĴΕ									
TE	$0.01887 \pm 0.0236422$	0.42	$-0.0159779 \pm 0.0362651$	0.66	$0.0512312 \pm 0.0318333$	0.11	$0.0168434 \pm 0.0283208$	0.55	$0.0243815 \pm 0.0425455$	0.57
CDE	$-0.0138229 \pm 0.0266884$	0.61	$-0.0515983 \pm 0.0392338$	0.19	$0.0226063 \pm 0.0366671$	0.54	$-0.0179571 \pm 0.0312126$	0.57	$-0.0031184 \pm 0.0515369$	0.95
INTREF	$0.0042824 \pm 0.0077517$	0.58	$0.0081435 \pm 0.0095277$	0.39	$-0.0028459 \pm 0.0115296$	0.81	$0.0044353 \pm 0.0080756$	0.58	$0.0031257 \pm 0.0196526$	0.87
INTMED	$0.0004801 \pm 0.0008696$	0.58	$0.0010589 \pm 0.0012415$	0.39	$-0.0003078 \pm 0.0012472$	0.81	$0.0005738 \pm 0.0010456$	0.58	$0.0002551 \pm 0.0016044$	0.87
PIE	$0.0279303 \pm 0.0066752$	< 0.001	$0.026418 \pm 0.0108004$	0.014	$0.0317785 \pm 0.0089605$	< 0.001	$0.0297914 \pm 0.0079126$	< 0.001	$0.0241191 \pm 0.0125865$	0.055
MPOA										
TE	$0.0005906 \pm 0.0004832$	0.22	$0.0003824 \pm 0.0007391$	0.61	$0.0007075 \pm 0.0006528$	0.28	$0.0005948 \pm 0.0005848$	0.31	$0.0006003 \pm 0.0008484$	0.48
CDE	$0.0003396 \pm 0.000546$	0.53	$0.0000211 \pm 0.0008011$	0.98	$0.0005741 \pm 0.0007523$	0.45	$0.0003818 \pm 0.0006451$	0.55	$0.0002085 \pm 0.0010286$	0.84
INTREF	$-0.0001603 \pm 0.0001587$	0.31	$0.0000525 \pm 0.0001941$	0.79	$-0.0003636 \pm 0.0002374$	0.13	$-0.0002154 \pm 0.0001673$	0.20	$-0.000001 \pm 0.0003922$	1.00
INTMED	$-0.000018 \pm 0.0000178$	0.31	$0.0000068 \pm 0.0000252$	0.79	$-0.0000393 \pm 0.0000259$	0.13	$-0.0000279 \pm 0.0000217$	0.20	$-0.0000001 \pm 0.000032$	1.00

0.16

 $0.0005363 \pm 0.0001798 \qquad 0.003$ 

 $0.0004563 \pm 0.000159$ 

0.11

0.004

Abbreviations: CDE: Controlled Direct Effect; CES-D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies-Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; INTMED: Mediated Interaction; INTREF: Interaction referent; PIE: Pure Indirect Effect; TE: Total Effect. <sup>3</sup>OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and point PD measured in 2010–2012 as exposures and CES-D scores measured in 2014–2016 as a potential mediator, sample size N = 2,806, four-way mediation analysis. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was also presented. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Exogenous variables are the ones included in Table 2, Model 1, as covariates. See Covariates section for detail.

## Supplementary Table 4. Reasons for Perceived discrimination (RPD: 2010/2012) $\rightarrow$ depressive symptoms (CES–D: 2014/2016) $\rightarrow$ epigenetic clocks (2016): 4–way mediation analysis, overall and by sex and race, reduced model: HRS 2010–2016.

Y =	Overall		Males		Females		NHW		Non-Whites	
Epigenetic clock	$\beta \pm SE$	Р	$\beta \pm SE$	Р						
HORVATH DN	JAmage									
TE	$-0.0535582 \pm 0.0903702$	0.55	$-0.1443057 \pm 0.1376973$	0.30	$0.0134141 \pm 0.119973$	0.91	$0.0086883 \pm 0.1231711$	0.94	$-0.1247175 \pm 0.1297758$	0.34
CDE	$-0.0854419 \pm 0.1074138$	0.43	$-0.1514943 \pm 0.1622281$	0.35	$-0.0300265 \pm 0.1444936$	0.84	$-0.000204 \pm 0.1432616$	1.00	$-0.2100947 \pm 0.1641615$	0.20
INTREF	$0.0381976 \pm 0.0369128$	0.30	$0.0427118 \pm 0.0587631$	0.47	$0.0309235 \pm 0.049463$	0.53	$0.0171541 \pm 0.0421285$	0.68	$0.09293 \pm 0.0794797$	0.24
INTMED	$0.009544 \pm 0.0092575$	0.30	$0.0104492 \pm 0.0144552$	0.47	$0.0078196 \pm 0.0125332$	0.53	$0.0066735 \pm 0.0163971$	0.68	$0.010264 \pm 0.0091021$	0.26
PIE	$-0.015858 \pm 0.0226344$	0.48	$-0.0459724 \pm 0.0347272$	0.19	$0.0046975 \pm 0.030629$	0.88	$-0.0149353 \pm 0.0357075$	0.68	$-0.0178168 \pm 0.0262914$	0.50
HANNUM DN	Amage									
TE	$0.0344417 \pm 0.0727078$	0.67	$0.0324369 \pm 0.1118175$	0.77	$0.0363654 \pm 0.0959864$	0.71	$0.1745326 \pm 0.099781$	0.080	$-0.1477397 \pm 0.1013168$	0.15
CDE	$0.021214 \pm 0.0862353$	0.81	$0.0304539 \pm 0.1317737$	0.82	$0.0167592 \pm 0.1152535$	0.88	$0.1698007 \pm 0.1158047$	0.14	$-0.1832859 \pm 0.1280906$	0.15
INTREF	$-0.0305501 \pm 0.029635$	0.30	$-0.0263818 \pm 0.0477045$	0.58	$-0.0344673 \pm 0.0394759$	0.38	$-0.0464508 \pm 0.0341564$	0.17	$0.0067812 \pm 0.0618586$	0.91
INTMED	$-0.0076332 \pm 0.0074321$	0.30	$-0.0064541 \pm 0.0117079$	0.58	$-0.0087157 \pm 0.0100219$	0.38	$-0.0180713 \pm 0.0133558$	0.18	$0.0007492 \pm 0.0068352$	0.91
PIE	$0.0514111 \pm 0.018768$	0.006	$0.034819 \pm 0.0281238$	0.22	$0.0627892 \pm 0.0254858$	0.014	$0.069254 \pm 0.0295713$	0.019	$0.0280158 \pm 0.0213552$	0.19
LEVINE DNA	nage									
TE	$0.0988918 \pm 0.0962204$	0.30	$0.0254435 \pm 0.144451$	0.86	$0.1620573 \pm 0.1289253$	0.21	$0.3448994 \pm 0.1310714$	0.009	$-0.1949576 \pm 0.1375862$	0.16
CDE	$0.0762701 \pm 0.1138207$	0.50	$0.0371221 \pm 0.1697287$	0.83	$0.1329307 \pm 0.1543623$	0.39	$0.3298103 \pm 0.1514449$	0.029	$-0.2608407 \pm 0.1740669$	0.13
INTREF	$-0.0604681 \pm 0.0391635$	0.12	$-0.0719209 \pm 0.0616121$	0.24	$-0.06932 \pm 0.0529462$	0.19	$-0.1010561 \pm 0.044912$	0.024	$0.0302025 \pm 0.0840824$	0.72
INTMED	$-0.0151085 \pm 0.0098667$	0.13	$-0.017595 \pm 0.0152863$	0.25	$-0.0175289 \pm 0.0135079$	0.19	$-0.039315 \pm 0.0177146$	0.026	$0.0033365 \pm 0.0093209$	0.72
PIE	$0.0981983 \pm 0.0256588$	0.000	$0.0778372 \pm 0.0376508$	0.039	$0.1159755 \pm 0.0353643$	0.001	$0.1554602 \pm 0.0404599$	< 0.001	$0.0323441 \pm 0.0286036$	0.258
HORVATH 2 D	DNAmage									
TE	$0.1026264 \pm 0.0616615$	0.096	$0.1783227 \pm 0.0963915$	0.064	$0.0447054 \pm 0.0804603$	0.59	$0.2815875 \pm 0.0842897$	0.001	$-0.1212641 \pm 0.0871261$	0.16
CDE	$0.0961187 \pm 0.0732552$	0.19	$0.1803375 \pm 0.1136303$	0.11	$0.0279376 \pm 0.0968616$	0.77	$0.2899032 \pm 0.0979432$	0.003	$-0.1694663 \pm 0.1103595$	0.13
INTREF	$-0.0143136 \pm 0.0251569$	0.57	$-0.0225991 \pm 0.0411359$	0.58	$-0.0044129 \pm 0.0331399$	0.89	$-0.034293 \pm 0.0288664$	0.24	$0.0413532 \pm 0.0533637$	0.44
INTMED	$-0.0035764 \pm 0.0062928$	0.57	$-0.0055287 \pm 0.0100953$	0.58	$-0.0011159 \pm 0.0083809$	0.89	$-0.0133413 \pm 0.0112737$	0.24	$0.0045682 \pm 0.0059915$	0.45
PIE	$0.0243976 \pm 0.0155735$	0.12	$0.0261129 \pm 0.0241334$	0.28	$0.0222967 \pm 0.0206908$	0.28	$0.0393186 \pm 0.0246738$	0.11	$0.0022808 \pm 0.0174407$	0.90
LIN DNAmage										
TE	$0.0161015 \pm 0.1058051$	0.88	$-0.0319931 \pm 0.1582704$	0.84	$0.0588192 \pm 0.1418196$	0.68	$0.2113883 \pm 0.1459292$	0.15	$-0.1942426 \pm 0.14584$	0.18
CDE	$-0.0155356 \pm 0.12573$	0.90	$0.023613 \pm 0.1867545$	0.90	$-0.0127457 \pm 0.170582$	0.94	$0.2303516 \pm 0.169564$	0.17	$-0.325804 \pm 0.184643$	0.078
INTREF	$-0.0031558 \pm 0.0431648$	0.94	$-0.043412 \pm 0.0676271$	0.52	$0.005537 \pm 0.058362$	0.92	$-0.0625261 \pm 0.0499875$	0.21	$0.1132211 \pm 0.0894854$	0.21
INTMED	$-0.0007885 \pm 0.0107853$	0.94	$-0.0106205 \pm 0.0166157$	0.52	$0.0014001 \pm 0.0147587$	0.92	$-0.0243253 \pm 0.019531$	0.21	$0.012506 \pm 0.01031$	0.23
PIE	$0.0355814 \pm 0.0266483$	0.18	$-0.0015737 \pm 0.0390555$	0.97	$0.0646278 \pm 0.0369201$	0.080	$0.0678881 \pm 0.0427146$	0.11	$0.0058338 \pm 0.0292008$	0.84
WEIDNER DN	Amage									
TE	$-0.0557187 \pm 0.1498036$	0.71	$0.107925 \pm 0.2263041$	0.63	$-0.1679082 \pm 0.199936$	0.40	$-0.0622503 \pm 0.2060429$	0.76	$0.0070123 \pm 0.209054$	0.97
CDE	$-0.1272193 \pm 0.1780053$	0.48	$0.1515346 \pm 0.2664227$	0.57	$-0.2998148 \pm 0.2407388$	0.21	$-0.0788371 \pm 0.2394521$	0.74	$-0.1554603 \pm 0.2646827$	0.56
INTREF	$0.014877 \pm 0.0611136$	0.81	$-0.1053808 \pm 0.096669$	0.28	$0.0758886 \pm 0.0824655$	0.36	$-0.0539771 \pm 0.0704672$	0.44	$0.1195995 \pm 0.1280105$	0.35
INTMED	$0.0037172 \pm 0.015273$	0.81	$-0.0257807 \pm 0.0239415$	0.28	$0.01919 \pm 0.0209451$	0.36	$-0.0209991 \pm 0.0274587$	0.44	$0.0132126 \pm 0.0144783$	0.36
PIE	$0.0529064 \pm 0.0377582$	0.16	$0.0875519 \pm 0.0574783$	0.13	$0.0368279 \pm 0.0512003$	0.472	$0.0915628 \pm 0.0602564$	0.13	$0.0296604 \pm 0.0424281$	0.49
VIDAL-BRAL	O DNAmage									

TE	$0.1084912 \pm 0.0702483$	0.12	0.0178189 ± 0.1069319	0.89	0.1853357 ± 0.0933298	0.047	$0.18725 \pm 0.0968462$	0.053	$0.0378987 \pm 0.0973401$	0.70
CDE	$0.0825509 \pm 0.0834268$	0.32	0.0592193 ± 0.1257899	0.64	0.135604 ± 0.1122966	0.23	0.1932932 ±0.1124779	0.086	$-0.0431816 \pm 0.1231865$	0.73
INTREF	$-0.0071078 \pm 0.028643$	0.80	$-0.0696407 \pm 0.0457747$	0.13	$0.010139 \pm 0.038424$	0.79	-0.0439231 ± 0.0331697	0.19	0.0554439 ± 0.0596059	0.35
INTMED	$-0.0017759 \pm 0.0071583$	0.80	-0.0170371 ± 0.0114663	0.14	$0.0025639 \pm 0.0097198$	0.79	$-0.0170879 \pm 0.0129667$	0.19	$0.0061243 \pm 0.006739$	0.36
PIE	0.034824 ± 0.0178459	0.051	0.0452775 ± 0.0273013	0.097	$0.0370288 \pm 0.0241836$	0.13	$0.0549677 \pm 0.0284892$	0.054	$0.0195121 \pm 0.0200322$	0.33
YANG DNAma	age									
TE	$-0.0002345 \pm 0.000254$	0.36	$-0.000297 \pm 0.0004213$	0.48	$-0.000184 \pm 0.0003155$	0.56	$-0.0005553 \pm 0.0003447$	0.11	$0.0002999 \pm 0.0003676$	0.42
CDE	$-0.0004507 \pm 0.0003019$	0.14	$-0.0004215 \pm 0.0004968$	0.40	$-0.0004414 \pm 0.0003799$	0.25	$-0.000656 \pm 0.0004007$	0.10	$-0.0001649 \pm 0.0004649$	0.72
INTREF	$0.0001327 \pm 0.0001038$	0.20	$0.0000177 \pm 0.0001797$	0.92	$0.0001863 \pm 0.0001304$	0.15	$-0.0000283 \pm 0.0001178$	0.81	0.0004184 ± 0.0002262	0.064
INTMED	$0.0000332 \pm 0.0000261$	0.20	$0.0000043 \pm 0.000044$	0.92	$0.0000471 \pm 0.0000333$	0.16	$-0.000011 \pm 0.0000458$	0.81	$0.0000462 \pm 0.0000272$	0.09
PIE	$0.0000503 \pm 0.0000636$	0.43	$0.0001025 \pm 0.0001052$	0.33	$0.0000241 \pm 0.0000806$	0.76	$0.00014 \pm 0.0001007$	0.16	$0.0000002 \pm 0.0000734$	1.00
ZHANG DNAr	nage									
TE	$0.0091244 \pm 0.0059053$	0.12	$0.0151187 \pm 0.0090044$	0.093	$0.0048389 \pm 0.007841$	0.54	0.0152963 ± 0.0080487	0.057	$0.0016223 \pm 0.00847$	0.85
CDE	$0.0051013 \pm 0.0069908$	0.47	$0.0155272 \pm 0.0105257$	0.14	$-0.001852 \pm 0.0094171$	0.84	$0.0102421 \pm 0.0093155$	0.27	$-0.0025159 \pm 0.0107079$	0.81
INTREF	$-0.0013697 \pm 0.0024007$	0.57	$-0.006127 \pm 0.0038327$	0.11	$0.0012154 \pm 0.0032226$	0.71	$-0.0025158 \pm 0.0027429$	0.36	$0.0015634 \pm 0.0051722$	0.76
INTMED	$-0.0003422 \pm 0.0006005$	0.57	$-0.0014989 \pm 0.0009624$	0.12	$0.0003073 \pm 0.0008155$	0.71	$-0.0009787 \pm 0.0010696$	0.36	$0.0001727 \pm 0.0005728$	0.76
PIE	$0.0057351 \pm 0.0015661$	< 0.001	$0.0072175 \pm 0.0024903$	0.004	$0.0051682 \pm 0.0020837$	0.013	$0.0085487 \pm 0.0024559$	< 0.001	$0.0024021 \pm 0.0017901$	0.18
BOCKLANDT	DNAmage									
TE	$0.0008678 \pm 0.0009813$	0.38	$0.0023248 \pm 0.0015099$	0.12	$-0.0003879 \pm 0.0012946$	0.764	$-0.0013589 \pm 0.0012857$	0.291	$0.0035284 \pm 0.0015488$	0.023
CDE	$0.0008572 \pm 0.0011666$	0.46	$0.0015727 \pm 0.0017754$	0.38	$-0.0002488 \pm 0.0015592$	0.873	$-0.0015788 \pm 0.0014949$	0.291	$0.0041047 \pm 0.0019613$	0.036
INTREF	$0.0001334 \pm 0.0004005$	0.74	$0.0011334 \pm 0.0006473$	0.080	$-0.0001227 \pm 0.0005335$	0.818	$0.0004192 \pm 0.0004402$	0.341	$-0.0006225 \pm 0.000948$	0.511
INTMED	$0.0000333 \pm 0.0001001$	0.74	$0.0002773 \pm 0.0001634$	0.090	$-0.000031 \pm 0.0001349$	0.818	$0.0001631 \pm 0.0001717$	0.342	$-0.0000688 \pm 0.000106$	0.516
PIE	$-0.0001562 \pm 0.0002457$	0.53	$-0.000659 \pm 0.000386$	0.088	$0.0000146 \pm 0.0003305$	0.965	$-0.0003624 \pm 0.0003739$	0.332	$0.0001149 \pm 0.0003111$	0.712
GARAGNANI	DNAmage									
TE	$0.0004467 \pm 0.0007591$	0.56	$0.0011673 \pm 0.0011508$	0.31	$-0.0000577 \pm 0.0010108$	0.95	$0.0016044 \pm 0.0010513$	0.13	$-0.0007429 \pm 0.0010294$	0.47
CDE	$0.0000815 \pm 0.0009021$	0.93	$0.0012448 \pm 0.0013568$	0.36	$-0.0006705 \pm 0.001217$	0.58	$0.0017309 \pm 0.0012225$	0.16	$-0.0018332 \pm 0.0013022$	0.16
INTREF	$0.0001044 \pm 0.0003097$	0.74	$-0.0003011 \pm 0.0004913$	0.54	$0.000324 \pm 0.0004167$	0.44	$-0.0003064 \pm 0.0003599$	0.40	$0.0007811 \pm 0.0006309$	0.22
INTMED	$0.0000261 \pm 0.0000774$	0.74	$-0.0000737 \pm 0.0001207$	0.54	$0.0000819 \pm 0.0001057$	0.44	$-0.0001192 \pm 0.0001403$	0.40	$0.0000863 \pm 0.0000726$	0.24
PIE	$0.0002346 \pm 0.000191$	0.22	$0.0002973 \pm 0.0002878$	0.30	$0.0002069 \pm 0.000259$	0.43	$0.0002991 \pm 0.0003058$	0.33	$0.0002229 \pm 0.0002127$	0.30
DNAm GRIMA	AGE									
TE	$0.1761732 \pm 0.0612903$	0.004	$0.020766 \pm 0.0956298$	0.83	$0.2956451 \pm 0.0799387$	< 0.001	$0.1952763 \pm 0.0820687$	0.017	$0.1509621 \pm 0.0919291$	0.10
CDE	$0.1136006 \pm 0.0724519$	0.12	$-0.0636511 \pm 0.1122953$	0.57	$0.2564346 \pm 0.095593$	0.007	$0.1048024 \pm 0.0948908$	0.27	$0.1208863 \pm 0.1159003$	0.30
INTREF	$-0.0053663 \pm 0.0248744$	0.83	$0.0205033 \pm 0.0406476$	0.61	$-0.0328315 \pm 0.0327533$	0.32	$-0.0038113 \pm 0.0279016$	0.89	$-0.0061629 \pm 0.0559677$	0.91
INTMED	$-0.0013408 \pm 0.0062161$	0.83	$0.005016 \pm 0.0099706$	0.62	$-0.0083021 \pm 0.0083257$	0.32	$-0.0014828 \pm 0.0108555$	0.89	$-0.0006811 \pm 0.0061844$	0.91
PIE	$0.0692797 \pm 0.0165738$	< 0.001	$0.0588978 \pm 0.0253337$	0.020	$0.0803441 \pm 0.0222938$	< 0.001	$0.095768 \pm 0.0252964$	< 0.001	$0.0369198 \pm 0.0204061$	0.070
MPOA										
TE	$0.0032687 \pm 0.0012509$	0.009	$0.0019199 \pm 0.001945$	0.32	$0.0042659 \pm 0.0016386$	0.009	$0.0036355 \pm 0.0016903$	0.031	$0.0027659 \pm 0.0018405$	0.13
CDE	$0.0031488 \pm 0.001482$	0.034	$0.0011998 \pm 0.0022912$	0.60	$0.0045412 \pm 0.0019641$	0.021	$0.0032516 \pm 0.0019614$	0.097	$0.0033033 \pm 0.0023126$	0.15
INTREF	$-0.000746 \pm 0.0005098$	0.14	$-0.0000056 \pm 0.0008288$	1.00	$-0.001229 \pm 0.000675$	0.069	$-0.0006144 \pm 0.0005778$	0.29	$-0.0012455 \pm 0.0011197$	0.27
INTMED	$-0.0001864 \pm 0.0001283$	0.15	$-0.0000014 \pm 0.0002028$	1.00	$-0.000311 \pm 0.000174$	0.073	$-0.000239 \pm 0.0002255$	0.29	$-0.0001376 \pm 0.0001278$	0.28
PIE	$0.0010524 \pm 0.000327$	0.001	$0.000727 \pm 0.0004933$	0.14	$0.001265 \pm 0.0004413$	0.004	$0.0012373 \pm 0.0005022$	0.014	$0.0008456 \pm 0.0004196$	0.044

Abbreviations: CDE: Controlled Direct Effect; CES–D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies–Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; INTMED: Mediated Interaction; INTREF: Interaction referent; PIE: Pure Indirect Effect; TE: Total Effect. <sup>a</sup>OLS regression models with epigenetic clocks as alternative outcomes and point RPD measured in 2010–2012 as exposures and CES–D scores measured in 2014–2016 as a potential mediator, sample size N = 2,806, four-way mediation analysis. Stratified analysis by sex and/or race was also presented. Italicized findings have p < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Exogenous variables are the ones included in Table 2, Model 1, as covariates. See Covariates section for detail.

### Supplementary Table 5. Perceived discrimination (EOD/RPD: 2010/2012) $\rightarrow$ depressive symptoms (CES–D: 2014/2016) $\rightarrow$ epigenetic clocks (2016): Structural Equations Modeling, overall, reduced model: HRS 2010-2016<sup>a,b</sup>.

			EOD				RPD				
	Total effect	EOD→CESD→EPI CLOCK Indirect effect	EOD →EPICLOCK Direct effect α13	EOD →CESD α12	CESD →EPICLOCK α23	Total effect	RPD→CESD→ EPICLOCK Indirect effect	RPD →EPICLOCK Direct effect α13	RPD →CESD α12	CESD →EPICLOCK α23	
HORVAT H DNAmage	$-0.0062 \pm 0.029$	$-0.0002 \pm 0.0071$	$-0.0060 \pm 0.030$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$-0.002 \pm 0.067$	-0.0244 ± 0.084	-0.0003 ± 0.0167	$-0.024 \pm 0.085$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$-0.001 \pm 0.067$	
	<i>P</i> = 0.833	P = 0.98	P = 0.84	P < 0.001	P = 0.98	P = 0.77	P = 0.99	P = 0.78	P < 0.001	P = 0.78	
HANNUM DNAmage	$+0.0013 \pm 0.0236$	$+0.016 \pm 0.006$	$-0.015 \pm 0.024$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.156 \pm 0.054$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.0009 \\ \pm \ 0.0671 \end{array}$	+0.0387 ± 0.0139	$-0.0379 \pm 0.0683$	$+0.251 \pm 0.023$	$+0.154 \pm 0.055$	
	P = 0.96	P = 0.005	<i>P</i> = 0.53	P < 0.001	P = 0.004	P = 0.99	P = 0.005	P = 0.58	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	
LEVINE DNAmage	$-0.0030 \pm 0.0313$	$+0.032 \pm 0.008$	$-0.035 \pm 0.032$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.1564 \pm 0.054$	$+0.041 \pm 0.088$	$+0.073 \pm 0.019$	$-0.032 \pm 0.090$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.292 \pm 0.071$	
	P = 0.92	P < 0.001	P = 0.27	P < 0.001	P = 0.004	P = 0.64	P < 0.001	P = 0.72	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	
HORVAT H 2 DNAmage	$+0.025 \pm 0.020$	$+0.008 \pm 0.0055$	$+0.018 \pm 0.021$	$+0.105 \pm 0.008$	$+0.073 \pm 0.046$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.080 \pm \\ 0.057 \end{array}$	$+0.018 \pm 0.012$	$+0.062 \pm 0.058$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.073 \pm 0.046$	
	P = 0.20	P = 0.12	<i>P</i> = 0.39	P < 0.001	P = 0.11	P = 0.16	P = 0.12	<i>P</i> = 0.29	P < 0.001	P = 0.11	
LIN DNAmage	$+0.015 \pm 0.034$	$+0.014 \pm 0.008$	$+0.002 \pm 0.035$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.132 \pm 0.079$	$+0.011 \pm 0.098$	$+0.034 \pm 0.020$	$-0.024 \pm 0.100$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.137 \pm 0.078$	
	P = 0.65	P = 0.097	<i>P</i> = 0.96	P < 0.001	P = 0.094	P = 0.91	P = 0.085	P = 0.81	P < 0.001	P = 0.080	
WEIDNER DNAmage	$-0.030 \pm 0.049$	$+0.027 \pm 0.012$	$-0.056 \pm 0.050$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.253 \pm 0.050$	-0.025 ± 0.140	$+0.059 \pm 0.028$	$-0.085 \pm 0.141$	$+0.251 \pm 0.023$	$+0.237 \pm 0.111$	
	P = 0.55	P = 0.026	<i>P</i> = 0.26	P < 0.001	P = 0.023	P = 0.86	P = 0.036	P = 0.55	P < 0.001	P = 0.032	
VIDAL–B RALO DNAmage	$-0.003 \pm 0.023$	$+0.016 \pm 0.006$	$-0.018 \pm 0.024$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.147 \pm 0.052$	+0.101 ± 0.064	$+0.032 \pm 0.013$	$+0.069 \pm 0.066$	$+0.251 \pm 0.023$	$+0.127 \pm 0.052$	
	P = 0.91	P = 0.006	P = 0.44	P < 0.001	P = 0.005	P = 0.12	P = 0.017	P = 0.30	P < 0.001	P = 0.014	
YANG DNAmage	$+0.0000 \pm 0.0001$	$+0.0023 \pm 0.0005$	$-0.0000 \pm 0.0001$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.0004 \pm 0.0002$	$-0.0001 \pm 0.0002$	+0.00011 ± 0.00005	$-0.0002 \pm 0.0002$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.0004\pm 0.0002$	
	P = 1.00	P < 0.001	P = 0.61	P < 0.001	P = 0.030	P = 0.67	P = 0.028	<i>P</i> = 0.39	P < 0.001	P = 0.025	
ZHANG DNAmage	$+0.0008 \pm 0.0019$	$+0.0023 \pm 0.0005$	$-0.002 \pm 0.002$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$+0.022 \pm 0.004$	$+0.008 \pm 0.005$	+0.0052 ± 0.0012	$-0.0029 \pm 0.0055$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.021 \pm 0.004$	
	P = 0.68	P < 0.001	P = 0.44	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.14	P < 0.001	P = 0.60	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	
BOCKLAN DT DNAmage	$+0.0007 \pm 0.0003$	$+0.0008 \pm 0.0004$	$-0.0001 \pm 0.0001$	$+0.106 \pm 0.008$	$-0.0006 \pm 0.0007$	$^{+0.0010}_{\pm 0.0009}$	-0.0001 ± 0.0002	$+0.0011 \pm 0.0009$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$-0.0004 \pm 0.0007$	
	P = 0.027	<i>P</i> = 0.019	<i>P</i> = 0.39	P < 0.001	<i>P</i> = 0.39	P = 0.27	P = 0.58	<i>P</i> = 0.23	P < 0.001	P = 0.58	
GARAGN ANI DNAmage	$+0.00035\pm 0.00025$	$+0.0002 \pm 0.0003$	$+0.0002 \pm 0.0003$	+0.00011 ± 0.00006	+0.0010 ± 0.00057	$\begin{array}{c} +0.0005\\ \pm\ 0.0007\end{array}$	+0.0003 ± 0.0001	$+0.0002 \pm 0.0007$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	+0.0011 ±0.0006	
	P = 0.15	<i>P</i> = 0.33	P = 0.33	P = 0.079	P = 0.076	P = 0.49	P = 0.05	P = 0.77	P < 0.001	P = 0.05	
DNAm GRIMAGE	$+0.0254 \pm 0.020$	$+0.030 \pm 0.005$	$-0.0048 \pm 0.0204$	$+0.1057 \pm 0.0083$	$+0.286 \pm 0.045$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.170 \pm \\ 0.57 \end{array}$	$+0.067 \pm 0.013$	$+0.1028 \pm 0.0574$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.268 \pm 0.045$	
	P = 0.20	P < 0.001	P = 0.82	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.003	P < 0.001	P = 0.073	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	
MPOA	$+0.00033 \pm 0.00041$	$+0.00035 \pm 0.00010$	$-0.0000 \pm 0.0004$	$+0.1057 \pm 0.0083$	$+0.0033 \pm 0.0009$	$^{+0.0026}_{\pm 0.0011}$	$+0.00074 \pm 0.00024$	$+0.0018 \pm 0.0012$	$+0.251 \pm 0.024$	$+0.0030\pm 0.0009$	
	P = 0.41	P = 0.001	P = 0.98	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.024	P = 0.002	P = 0.12	P < 0.001	P = 0.001	

Abbreviations: CES–D: Centers for Epidemiological Studies–Depression; DNAm: DNA methylation; DNAmage: DNA methylation age; EOD: Experience of Discrimination; HRS: Health and Retirement Study; RPD: Reasons for Perceived Discrimination; SEM: Structural Equations Modeling. <sup>a</sup>Structural Equations Models with Y = EPICLOCK at v<sub>3</sub>, X = Perceived discrimination scores (EOD/RPD) at v0v1 and mediator M (CES–D total score) at v2v3. Italicized findings have *p* < 0.10 but >0.05. <sup>b</sup>Exogenous variables were age in 2016, sex and race/ethnicity.