The therapeutic effect of Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi (FTZ) on osteoclastogenesis and ovariectomized-induced bone loss: evidence from network pharmacology, molecular docking and experimental validation

Xiaojun Chen^{1,*}, Jiangyan Wang^{2,*}, Lin Tang², Qiuying Ye³, Qunwei Dong^{4,5}, Zhangwei Li⁶, Li Hu², Chenghong Ma², Jiake Xu⁷, Ping Sun²

¹School of Molecular Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth 6009, Western Australia, Australia ²Department of Endocrinology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong, China

³College of Food and Medicine, Qingyuan Polytechnic, Qingyuan 511510, Guangdong, China ⁴Department of Orthopedic, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong, China

⁵Department of Orthopedic, Yunfu Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Yunfu 527300, Guangdong, China ⁶Department of Stomatology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong, China

⁷School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth 6009, Western Australia, Australia *Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Jiake Xu, Ping Sun; email: jiake.xu@uwa.edu.au, sing_ping928@gdpu.edu.cnKeywords: Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi, osteoporosis, osteoclast, network pharmacology, molecular dockingReceived: February 21, 2022Accepted: June 23, 2022Published: July 12, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi (FTZ) has been widely used in clinical practice and proven to be effective against aginginduced osteoporosis in mice. This study aimed to explore the mechanism of FTZ against osteoclastogenesis and ovariectomized-induced (OVX) bone loss through the network pharmacology approach. The ingredients of FTZ were collected from the previous UPLC results, and their putative targets were obtained through multiple databases. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) during osteoclastogenesis were identified through multimicroarrays analysis. The common genes between FTZ targets and DEGs were used to perform enrichment analyses through the clusterProfier package. The affinity between all FTZ compounds and enriched genes was validated by molecular docking. The effects of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption were evaluated by TRAP staining, bone resorption assay and RT-qPCR in vitro, while its effects on bone loss by ELISA and Micro-CT in vivo. Enrichment analyses indicated that the inhibitory effects of FTZ may primarily involve the regulation of inflammation, osteoclastogenesis, as well as TNF- α signaling pathway. 130 pairs docking results confirmed FTZ ingredients have good binding activities with TNF- α pathway enriched genes. FTZ treatment significantly reduced TRAP, TNF- α , IL-6 serum levels and increased bone volume in OVX mice. Consistently, in vitro experiments revealed that FTZ-containing serum significantly inhibited osteoclast differentiation, bone resorption, and osteoclast related mRNA expression. This study revealed the candidate targets of FTZ and its potential mechanism in inhibiting osteoclastogenesis and bone loss induced by OVX, which will pave the way for the application of FTZ in the postmenopausal osteoporosis treatment.

INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a systemic bone disease characterized by deteriorated bone strength leading to increased fracture incidence [1]. Osteoporosis mainly affects the elderly population and postmenopausal women that 4–6% of people over 50 years in Europe and the United States suffer from osteoporosis, while in Asia, the affected population is more than 15% [2, 3]. Remarkably, it has been reported that the prevalence of osteoporosis-related fractures is increasing rapidly with advanced age, from 4% (women aged 50–59) to 52% (women aged over 80) [4]. In the context of the aging population, osteoporosis will become one of the major public health concerns and thus lead to a considerable economic cost in the foreseeable future.

Currently, ample evidence indicates that osteoporosis in postmenopausal women develops from bone resorption mediated by osteoclasts outweighing bone formation regulated by osteoblasts. Bisphosphonates are the mainstream first-line drugs to inhibit bone resorption [5]. Hormone therapy, such as estrogen and raloxifene, has been used in osteoporosis treatment for postmenopausal women [6]. Although useful, these antiosteoporosis therapies have drawbacks. For instance, bisphosphonates are associated with an increased risk of atypical femur fracture, or even jaw necrosis; whereas estrogen may contribute to the increased risk of cancers, thromboembolic, and strokes [7]. Therefore, there is an urgent need for alternative and safer approaches to treating osteoporosis. Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), a time-honored oriental medicine, possesses high clinical efficacy and less side effects and thus has been extensively used in China for millennia [8]. In East Asia, Europe, and North America, TCM has been used as an important alternative treatment [9]. Notably, accumulating in vivo and in vitro experiments have confirmed the good curative effect of TCM in treating osteoporosis [10–12].

Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi (FTZ) has been granted patents in China, European, and the U.S. (ZL200410051250.4, EP 2340839 B1, and US 8394431 B2). FTZ, proposed by Professor Guo Jiao, has been formulated for glucose and lipid metabolism disorders [13, 14]. It consists of 8 herbs: *Coptis chinensis* Franch., *Ligustrum lucidum* W.T.Aiton, *Cirsium japonicum* DC., *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge, *Panax notoginseng* (Burkill) F.H.Chen, *Eucommia ulmoides* Oliv., *Citrus medica* L., and *Atractylodesmacrocephala* Koidz. Previous studies confirmed that FTZ possesses anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative effects and effectively regulates glucose and lipid metabolism [13, 15]. Recently, the protective effects of FTZ on aging-induced osteoporosis has been confirmed through a metabolomic approach based on ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) to quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-QTOF/MS). FTZ increases bone mass and strength as well as improves bone architecture of aging model mice, which may relate to its regulatory effect on sphingolipid, glycerophospholipid, and AA metabolisms [16]. All these promising findings encourage us to study further the anti-osteoporosis potential of FTZ and the possible mechanism.

Similar to other TCM prescriptions, FTZ exerts its antiosteoporosis effect through regulating numerous targets in the human body. Therefore, it is time- and moneyconsuming to investigate the mechanisms of FTZ. Fortunately, with the advancement of systems pharmacology, researchers can practically investigate the pharmacological mechanisms of TCM in the system level [17]. Systems pharmacology uses integrated methods, including bioinformatics, network analysis, and information mining, to discern the potential interaction between ingredients and targets at the systemic level [18, 19].

In the current study, we used bioinformatics approaches to dissect the inhibitory effect of FTZ on osteoclast differentiation, which is an important therapeutic target of osteoporosis. Firstly, we collected the already known ingredients of FTZ through its previous UPLC study and obtained their potential targets through multiple databases. Secondly, the differentially expressed genes (DEG) related to osteoclast differentiation were obtained from GEO data sets and further matched with above FTZ targets. Thirdly, the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed, and Gene Ontology biological processes (GOBP) and KEGG pathway enrichments were performed based on the above common genes. Fourthly, the affinity between representative key compounds and osteoclast targets was validated using molecular docking. Finally, the inhibitory effect of FTZ-containing serum on osteoclast was investigated further using TRAP staining, resorption pit assay, and real-time quantitative PCR in vitro, while its effect on bone loss was evaluated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and Micro-CT in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of compounds and targets database of FTZ

In order to obtain compounds that can be absorbed into blood, the ingredients of eight herbal medicines in FTZ were collected directly from our previous UPLC result using FTZ-treated rat serum [11]. Related targets are the foundations for FTZ compounds to exerting their biological functions. Thus, the TCM system pharmacology database and analysis platform (TCMS), Swiss Target Prediction platform (STP, <u>http://www.swisstargetprediction.ch/index.php</u>), Similarity ensemble approach platform (SEA, <u>http://sea.bkslab.org/</u>) [12], and STITCH platform [20] were used to identify the active compounds of FTZ. For the STP and SEA platforms, we utilized PubChem (<u>https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</u>) to obtain the canonical SMILES format of related compounds.

Identification of osteoclast differentiation-related genes

Microarray datasets, including GSE21639, GSE54779, and GSE74847 were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database using the 'GEOquery' package [21] in R software (4.0.2 version) [22]. These microarrays were performed to screen DEGs during osteoclastogenesis of RAW264.7 cells or monocytes under 48 h RANKL stimulation [23–25]. We used 'Limma' package individually [26] to obtain the DEGs between cells treated with or without RANKL for 48h in these microarrays that these DEGs may play key roles in osteoclastogenesis. P < 0.05 and |logfc| > 1were set as screening thresholds to identify differentially expressed mRNAs.

Moreover, we further used the R package 'RobustRankAggreg' [27] to select more robust DEGs among these three arrays. Genes with $|\log fc| > 1$ and p < 0.05 in the RRA analysis were considered as osteoclastogenesis-related genes. Subsequently, the heatmap was used to show the expression patterns of previous top 50 DEGs sorted by their logfc values.

Construction of the PPI interaction network

After the above processing, the active compounds of FTZ were matched with the DEGs of osteoclastogenesis to identify the common genes that were considered as critical targets for the inhibitory effects of FTZ on osteoclast differentiation and function. These common targets were obtained by constructing the VENN diagram with the help of the 'VennDiagram' package. Afterward, the interaction relationship of these intersecting genes was obtained from the String database [28] that the interaction threshold was set at high confidence (0.700) to obtain credible interaction. The proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network was then constructed by using Cytoscape software (3.7.2, http://www.cytoscape.org/) [29]. Furthermore, the clusters that consisted of protein families were further identified by the MCODE plugin [30]. Degree cutoff = 2, Node Score Cutoff = 0.2, and K-Core = 2 were set as criteria to determine these important gene modules.

Biological process and pathway enrichment analysis

Above DEGs related to osteoclastogenesis were further used to conduct the Gene Ontology biological process enrichment (GOBP) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways analysis through the 'clusterProfiler' package [31]. The cut-off P value of these enrichment terms was set to less than 0.05. These enriched biological processes and pathways may contribute to the inhibitory effect of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis.

FTZ ingredients-targets docking

To a certain extent, molecular docking method can predict binding mechanisms and validate the interactions between active ingredients and target The RCSB Protein proteins. Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org/) was used to obtain the 3D formats of interested protein targets. Consequently, the ingredients of FTZ were download from the PubChem database(https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and converted into the mol2 format using 'OpenBabel' software (version 3.1.1) [32]. And then DeepSite [33] was utilized to determine protein docking regions. Next, the binding affinity between ingredients and their targets was calculated by Autodock vina (version 1.1.2). Affinity ≤ -4.25 kcal/mol, ≤ -5.0 kcal/mol, and ≤ -7.0 kcal/mol indicates possible binding, good binding, and strong binding strength between ligands and receptors, respectively [34]. Finally, PyMOL[™] (version 2.5.2) and Discovery Studio 2020 were used to generate the 3D and 2D graphs for the docking results.

Cell culture and treatment

FTZ was provided by the first affiliated hospital of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University. All in vivo experiments were approved by The Animal Ethics Committee of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University (Number: GYFYDW2021007). FTZ was diluted with 0.9% normal saline (NS) in a 1 g/mL concentration. According to FTZ clinical oral dose, rats (n = 2) were gastrically administered 1.55 g/kg/d FTZ, once a day for 7 consecutive days. The setting of concentration of FTZ was determined by the conversion from FTZ's dose in clinical use according to the commonly applied practice guide [35]. Rats in the control group were given the same dose of NS. Rats were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane before cervical dislocation. After sacrificed, blood was obtained from the abdominal aorta 1 h after FTZ administration on day 7, and then centrifuged at 3000 r/min for 10 min. Subsequently, serum was heated at 56°C for 30 min, filtered with a 0.22 μ m micropore filter, and stored at -20°C for further experiments.

The tibia and femur of C57BL/6J mice aged 4-6 weeks were used to isolate bone marrow macrophages (BMMs). Mice were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane and then sacrificed using cervical dislocation. After resuspended with the prepared Alpha-Minimum essential medium (containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% glutamine), BMMs were planted into a 35 mm culture dish and cultured overnight at 37°C an incubator with 5% CO₂. The next day non-adherent cells were collected and planted into 24 well culture plates with a density of 1 \times 10⁶ cells/well. Using medium containing M-CSF (25 ng/mL, R&D Systems, USA) and 10% rat serum, BMMs were assigned to control, and FTZ groups. After 72 h, BMMs were further incubated with the medium containing 25 ng/mL M-CSF, 30 ng/mL RANKL (R&D Systems, USA), 10% FTZ-containing serum, and control serum for 8 days.

Cell proliferation

For the cytotoxicity assay, BMMs were planted in 96well plates using a density of 6×10^3 cells/well. After cells adhered, they were treated with FTZ or control serum for 48 h. After that, MTS solution (20 µL per well) was added, and cells were then incubated for an additional 2 h. The optical density (OD) was measured by spectrophotometric absorbance at 490 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

TRAP staining and resorption pit assay

After 8 days of intervention, BMMs were washed with deionized water. fixed with 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde, and then stained with a TRAP staining kit. The images of stained cells were captured using an inverted light microscope (Leica, Germany). BMMs were seeded in Corning Osteo Assay Plate at a density of 1.5 \times 10⁶ cells/well and treated with the above processes. TRAP staining kit and Corning Osteo Assay Plate 3987 were purchased from Sigma, USA. After 10 days treatment, the culture medium was discarded, and 200 µL/well 10% bleaching water was added. Cells were incubated for 5 min in the dark. washed with pure water 3 times, and dried at room temperature. All wells were observed by an inverted light microscope.

Real-time quantitative PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted after 8 days of intervention according to the instructions from a Takara Mini BEST Universal RNA extraction kit (Takara, Japan), and RT-qPCR was carried out in a real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Specific sequences of PCR were amplified for 1 min at 55°C followed by 45 cycles of 95°C (30 s) and finally dissociated for 34 s at 60°C. Data were normalized to the expression levels of GAPDH, and then calculated using the Δ CT method. Specific primers used in PCRs are shown in Table 1.

Mouse ovariectomy experiment

Twelve C57BL/6J female mice (11-weeks-old; 18.23 ± 0.11 g) were acquired from the Animal Center of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University. These mice were divided into sham group, ovariectomy (OVX) group, and OVX group treated with 1.55 g/kg FTZ (n = 4) randomly and fed adaptively for one week. Under isoflurane anesthesia, bilateral ovariectomy was carried out to induce osteoporosis in the OVX and OVX+FTZ groups, while in the sham group, mouse ovaries were gently raised without resection. After one week of recovery, mice in the OVX+FTZ group were gastrically administered 1.55 g/kg/d FTZ, once a day for 12 weeks. Mice in the Sham and OVX groups were administered with the same dose of NS as a control.

Micro-CT analyses and ELISA

After sacrifice, the surrounding muscles, ligaments, and other soft tissues were removed, femur specimens were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin. The prepared bone was transferred to a moderate resolution Inveon Micro-CT scanner (Siemens Inveon[™], Munich, Germany). The scan setting parameters were as follows: an isometric resolution of 33 µm, with X-ray energy settings of 80 kV and 500 µA. A 3D reconstruction was generated. We identified the proximal femur as the region of interest (ROI). Specifically, the volume began at the bottom of the growth plate at a distance of 0.5 mm, and the total distance was 1 mm. Several parameters of trabecular bone within the ROI, including bone volume/total volume (BV/TV), bone surface/bone volume (BS/BV), trabecular number (Tb.N), and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) were identified by a constant threshold. The Micro-CT scanning, selection and software analysis were performed by the same operator, who was blinded to the experimental contents.

The serum levels of E2, TRAP, TNF- α , and IL-6 in each group were determined by ELISA in strict accordance with the instructions.

Statistical analysis

R software (4.0.2 version) was used to conduct all the statistical analyses. Student's *t*-test was used to compare

Table 1. Primer sequence table.

Gene	Sequence (5'-3')			
GAPDH	Forward: TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA			
	Reverse: AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG			
Trap	Forward: ACCATTGTTAGCCACATACG			
	Reverse: GTGAAACCGCAAGTAGCC			
Mmp9	Forward: AGTTTGGTGTCGCGGAGCAC			
	Reverse: TACATGAGCGCTTCCGGCAC			
Nfatc1	Forward: GGGTCAGTGTGACCGAAGAT			
Match	Reverse: GGAAGTCAGAAGTGGGTGGA			

with two-groups data. P < 0.05 was designated a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

FTZ targets prediction and analysis

The active ingredients after oral administration of FTZ were identified by UPLC/Q-TOF-MS. Twenty-seven compounds originated from FTZ were confirmed and one of which could not be recognized. Thus, the 26 remaining compounds were collected for further analysis, including 10-Hydroxyoleoside, dimethyl ester, Salidroside, Hydroxylpalmatine, Protocatechuic acid, Magnoflorine. Eucommiol. Thalifendine. Columbamine, Epiberberine, Coptisine, Jatrorrhizine, Notoginsenoside R1. Berberrubine, Palmatine, Berberine, Ginsenoside Rg1, De-hydrocorydaline, 13-Methylberberine, 5,7-Dimethoxycoumarin, Ginsenoside F1. Ginsenoside Rb1. Ginsenoside Rd. Pinoresinol. Pomolic acid acetate, Oleanolic acid, and Maslinic acid. Through target fishing, 593 predicted targets were obtained using TCMSP, SEA, STITCH, STP databases. Among the 26 ingredients, Protocatechuic acid has the highest number of targets (142 targets), while no target was identified for Ginsenoside F1. Therefore, the databases-compounds-targets network of FTZ consists of 623 nodes (4 in databases, 26 in bioactive ingredients, 593 in potential targets) and 1870 edges. For better clarity and concise presentation, only target nodes whose degree is greater than 5 were shown (Figure 1). Detailed information can be found in the Supplementary Data.

Differential expression analysis for osteoclastogenesis

The differential expression analysis of GSE21639 identified 499 DEGs related to osteoclastogenesis, including 203 upregulated genes and 296 downregulated ones. GSE54779 array has 745 DEGs which consist of 474 upregulated genes and 271 downregulated ones. Moreover, there are 463 upregulated and 273 downregulated genes identified in the GSE74847 DEGs.1980 osteoclast DEGs were obtained from three arrays, and 1733 genes remained after deduplication.

To investigate the critical biological processes and pathways, we further used the Robust rank aggregation (RRA) method to fitter out solid genes which severed as DEGs in each array. 52 DEGs ($|logfc| \ge 1, P < 0.05$) were identified, 25 of which were upregulated and during downregulated osteoclastogenesis 27 (Supplementary Table 1). A clustering diagram was constructed to display the top 50 RRA DEGs sorted by their absolute logfc values. The color depth changes according to their logfc values presented inside the cells (Figure 2A). Osteoclastic marker genes, such as Mmp9 and Acp5, are significantly increased among these three arrays, and these results proved that our analyses are accurate and reliable.

The GOBP enrichment of these 52 DEGs was conducted through the clusterProfiler package, and then a total of 126 BP terms were enriched. After sorting these terms in ascending order of P value and gene counts, the top 25 BP terms were displayed in Figure 2B. Several BP terms closely related to osteoclastogenesis or OC functions were identified, such as the regulation of inflammatory response (1st, GO:0050727 and P < 0.0001, myeloid cell differentiation (6th. GO:0030099 and P < 0.0001). osteoclast differentiation (GO:0030316, 26th and P <0.0001), bone resorption (GO:0045453, 44th and P <0.0001) and cellular calcium ion homeostasis (GO:0006874, 54th and *P* < 0.0001). Moreover, several KEGG terms closely related to osteoclastogenesis were also enriched (Figure 2C), such as TNF- α signaling pathway (hsa04668, 1st and P < 0.0001) and osteoclast differentiation (hsa04380, 12th and P < 0.0001).

Intersection targets and PPI network

The 593 predicted targets of FTZ were matched with 1980 osteoclast DEGs (1733 unique DEGs) obtained from three

arrays, resulting in the intersection of 77 composite targets between FTZ and osteoclast-related genes (Supplementary Table 2). These common targets may be the therapeutic genes for the inhibitory effect of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis (Figure 3). Subsequently, we analyzed the PPI between 77 common genes based on the result from the STRING database. This PPI network, consisting of 53 nodes and 122 edges, was visualized by Cytoscape (Figure 3A). Based on the area, color depth, and location of nodes, Il6, IL10, Mmp9, Ptgs2 and Il1 β may play key roles in this network. Three significant modules were identified by using MCODE (Figure 3B–3D). Significantly, the core genes of module A (Figure 3B), Il6 and Mmp9, are the critical DEGs among 52 RRA genes.

GOBP and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis

To further explore the potential mechanisms of FTZ in suppressing osteoclastogenesis, GOBP enrichment of these 77 targets was conducted through the





clusterProfiler package, and then a total of 1300 BP terms were enriched. After sorting these terms in ascending order of P value and gene counts, the top 25 BP terms were displayed in Figure 4A. Among these top 25 BP terms, the regulation of inflammatory response (GO:0050727, 3rd and P < 0.0001) and the response to oxidative stress (GO:0006979, 4th and P <0.0001) are all proven critical processes related to osteoclastogenesis. It is noteworthy that these BP terms been found be associated have to with osteoclastogenesis in the RRA analysis part (Figure 2B). Moreover, the terms, including the regulation osteoclast differentiation (GO:0030316, 771th and P <0.01), myeloid cell homeostasis (GO:0002262, 589th and P < 0.01) and myeloid cell differentiation (GO:0030099, 770th and P < 0.01), were identified in the total BP enrichment.

Next, KEGG enrichment was performed to investigate the regulating mechanisms of FTZ in osteoclastogenesis on the pathway level. The results showed that 77 targets were enriched to the 93 signaling pathways ($P \le 0.05$). Then, we sorted the top 25 pathways to build up a Sankey plot (Figure 4B), which demonstrated that II1 β , II6, Pik3cb, Ptgs2, Bcl2l1, Ccnd1, Cycs, Fos, Met, Nos2, Rps6kb2, Rxra, and Rxrg are the most frequently enriched genes that may play critical roles in the therapeutic effect of FTZ. Moreover, TNF- α signaling pathway (hsa04668), related to osteoclastogenesis, is one of the top 25 pathways. A pathway related osteoclast differentiation (hsa04380, 70th and *P* < 0.05) was also identified.

Validation of compound-target interaction

As shown in Figures 2C and 4B, we confirmed that TNF- α pathway plays a key role in osteoclastogenesis and may be a putative therapeutic mechanism for FTZ inhibiting osteoclastogenesis. Therefore, we further investigated the combination activity between 27 FTZ ingredients and 6 enriched genes of TNF- α pathway, including Ptgs2, Pik3cb, Mmp9, Il1β, Il6, and Fos. As we cannot predict any docking pocket for Fos in DeepSite, we only analyzed another five receptors and obtained 130 pairs docking results after mass molecular docking using AutoDock Vina (Supplementary Table 3). It was observed that 63.8% of the docking complexes had binding energies ≤ -7.0 kcal/mol, 93.8% complexes \leq -5.0 kcal/mol, with their targets (Figure 5). These results indicated FTZ components



Figure 2. Heatmap for top 50 differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (A) Top 50 DEGs of osteoclast differentiation obtained from Robust rank aggregation (RRA) analysis. The color of the cells ranging from yellow to purple represents the logfc value of genes ranging from high to low. (B and C) The dot plot and bar plot show the enrichment results of biological process (B) and KEGG from total osteoclast DEGs based on RRA results (C).

have good binding activities with TNF- α pathway enriched genes. It is noteworthy that Ginsenoside F1, Rb1, Rd have higher binding affinity with Mmp9, IL1 β , IL6 proteins compared to others, which implied that these ingredients may play critical roles in the therapeutic effects of FTZ. To a certain extent, these docking results confirmed the reliability of our current network pharmacological analysis. Moreover, the PyMoL and Discovery Studio software were utilized to visualize the top 5 docking complexes (Figure 6 and Table 2).

The inhibitory effect of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis *in vitro*

To investigate the effect of FTZ on osteoclast differentiation, BMMs were induced by RANKL and treated with FTZ-containing serum. After 8 days RANKL induction, TRAP staining was performed and observed under a microscope. Figure 7A shows that BMM cells differentiated into mature osteoclasts under the RANKL stimulation. However, this differentiation



Figure 3. The PPI network of 77 candidate targets of FTZ in inhibiting osteoclast differentiation. (A) All nodes' area and color changes are shown according to their degree value. (B–D) Key submodules from 77 candidate targets analyzed by the MCODE plug-in of Cytoscape software. All nodes' area and color changes are shown according to their degree value.

Table 2. Top 5 docking results between compounds and enriched genes of TNF pathway.

Compounds	Targets	PDB ID	Affinity (kcal/mol)
Coptisine	Ptgs2	1CVU	-10.3
Coptisine	Pik3cb	2Y3A	-9.9
Magnoflorine	Ptgs2	1CVU	-9.7
Coptisine	Mmp9	6ESM	-9.5
13-Methylberberine	Ptgs2	1CVU	-9.5







Figure 4. The enrichment results of 77 common genes between FTZ pharmacological target genes and osteoclast-related genes. (A) Top 25 terms of the biological process enrichment. (B) Sankey diagram for the top 25 KEGG enrichment terms, displayed the relationship between enriched genes and pathway terms.

В

can be significantly suppressed by FTZ serum (Figure 7B and 7C). And FTZ serum was found to have no cytotoxicity on BMMs according to the MTS assay results (Figure 7G).

Resorption pit assay was conducted to observe the inhibition of FTZ to osteoclast-induced bone resorption under RANKL stimulation. Mature osteoclasts diverged from BMMs under RANKL and M-CSF induction had strong bone resorption capacity. Their bone-resorbing activity was significantly attenuated under the intervention of FTZ serum (Figure 7D–7F). RT-qPCR was further used to confirm the inhibitory effect of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis at the gene expression level. The expression levels of Mmp9, Trap, Nuclear factor of activated T-cells, cytoplasmic 1 (Nfatc1) were found to be remarkably reduced in the FTZ group (Figure 7G–7J).

FTZ attenuated ovariectomy-induced bone loss in vivo

To further assess the therapeutic effect of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis *in vivo*, we established OVX mice model. After 12 weeks of treatment, the mice were sacrificed, and their left femurs and serum were used for further analysis by Micro-CT and ELISA (Figure 8). Serum E2 levels of mice in the OVX group were significantly decreased compared with the sham group, while in the FTZ group they were significantly higher compared to the OVX group (Figure 8A). Moreover, FTZ treatment was able to reverse the increased serum levels of TRAP, TNF- α , and IL-6 induced by OVX (Figure 8A–8C).

The reconstructed 3D images confirmed that OVX mice underwent significant bone loss, which was attenuated



Figure 5. Docking models between all compounds and enriched genes of the TNF pathway are shown as boxplot. Each point represents the affinity of docking pose between a compound (ligand) and an enriched gene (receptor).

by FTZ treatment (Figure 8D–8F). In the quantitative analysis, BV/TV and Tb.N were significantly increased with FTZ treatment. Although there were no differences for

BS/TS and Tb.Sp between the OVX and the FTZ groups, FTZ treatment was able to partly improve the increased trabecular spacing induced by OVX (Figure 8G–8J).



Figure 6. Top 5 docking modes between compounds and enriched genes of the TNF pathway are shown as 3D and 2D diagrams. (A) The binding modes of Coptisine to Ptgs2. (B) The binding modes of Coptisine to Pik3cb. (C) The interaction modes of Magnoflorine with Ptgs2. (D) The binding modes of Coptisine to Mmp9. (E) The interaction modes of 13-Methylberberine with Ptgs2.

DISCUSSION

Osteoporosis is a chronic bone disease, and its features include weak bone tissue and increased risks of fracture. Considering the increased aging global population, osteoporosis has been considered as a common disease threatening elderly and postmenopausal people. Therefore, it is economical and feasible to take effective treatments to prevent osteoporosis and its fracture complications. The quantitative and qualitative deterioration of bone tissues caused by osteoporosis results from an imbalance between bone resorption dominated by osteoclast and bone formation by osteoblasts [36, 37]. In addition, inflammatory response also plays a key role in regulating bone remodeling in osteoporotic condition [38]. After menopause, estrogen deficiency increases the expression of pro-inflammatory and pro-osteoclastic cytokines such as TNF- α [39].

In recent years, developing novel drugs from TCM has attracted attention in the context of finding alternative and

safer measures capable of inhibiting osteoporosis [40, 41]. FTZ has been widely used in clinical practice and has recently proven to be effective against aging-induced osteoporosis in mice [16]. Previous studies also confirmed that FTZ possesses anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative effects and effectively regulates glucose and lipid metabolism [13]. Given the complexity of compounds, FTZ may exert its pharmacological activities with multiple protein targets. Therefore, it is difficult to explore the antiosteoporosis mechanism of FTZ. Fortunately, network pharmacology can serve as a comprehensive and powerful tool to investigate the complicated mechanisms of TCM [42]. In the current study, we utilized network pharmacology method to explore the pharmacological mechanism of FTZ on osteoclastogenesis, following in vitro and in vivo validation.

After constructing the databases-compounds-targets network (Figure 1), we noticed that the top 5 compounds, including Protocatechuic acid,





Dehydrocorydaline, Pinoresinol, 13-Methylberberine, and Oleanolic acid, may play critical roles in this network. Indeed, Protocatechuic acid has been found to inhibit osteoclastogenesis and attenuate bone loss in OVX mice through down-regulating osteoclast specific gene expression (Nf-kb, Mmp9, Ctsk, Trap and Nfatc1). Further, MAPK signaling and inflammatory proteins such as NF-KB and Cox-2 expressions were also significantly down-regulated by protocatechuic acid treatment [43, 44]. Similarly, Oleanolic acid possesses the bone protective effects in OVX mice by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis. Oleanolic acid can effectively decrease the RANKL-induced expression of osteoclast specific genes, including Mmp9, Ctsk, and Trap [45, 46]. Moreover, other compounds in this network also have been proven able to suppress osteoclast differentiation and bone-resorbing activity, including Maslinic acid [47], Salidroside [48], Ginsenoside Rb1 [49], Ginsenoside Rg1 [50], Berberine [51], Palmatine [52], Notoginsenoside R1 [53], Jatrorrhizine [54], Coptisine [55] and Magnoflorine [56]. These active compounds may be the cornerstones for the promising anti-osteoporosis effect of FTZ.

After constructing a Venn diagram between FTZ targets and DEGs of osteoclast differentiation, 77 common genes were identified as therapeutic targets which may play critical roles in the anti-osteoporosis effect of FTZ (Supplementary Figure 1). The expression of Nfatc1, one of the 77 common genes, increased after the RANKL stimulation, which activated several downstream genes related to the osteoclast lineage, including Trap and Mmp9, thus eventually leading to the formation of mature osteoclast with bone resorption function [57]. In addition, as with previous studies, our RRA analysis results confirmed the expression level of critical osteoclastogenesis genes, including Trap and Mmp9, are all significantly increased among these three GEO datasets. Therefore, we further investigated the regulatory effect of FTZ on osteoclast differentiation, bone resorption function, and the mRNA expression level of these representative osteoclast genes. We confirmed that FTZ-containing



Figure 8. FTZ treatment improves ovariectomized (OVX)-induced bone loss *in vivo*. (A–C) Serum levels of TRAP, TNF- α , IL6 in different groups were detected by ELISA. (D–F) Representative μ CT images showing the bone mass of Sham, OVX, FTZ groups, and indicating that the bone loss was prevented by FTZ treatment. (G–J) μ CT quantitative parameters for bone microstructure including BV/TV, BS/BV, Tb.N, and Tb.Sp (*n* = 4 per group). **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001. Abbreviations: BV/TV: bone volume per tissue volume; BS/BV: bone surface per bone volume; Tb.N: trabecular number; Tb.Sp: trabecular spacing.

serum can downregulate these genes expression, including Nfatc1, Trap and Mmp9 and suppress osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption function (Figure 7).

GOBP and KEGG enrichment analyses were further conducted to explore and probe the functions of overlapping genes. We mainly concentrated on highly statistically significant terms related to osteoclast differentiation. And these enrichment results (Figure 4) indicated that FTZ might exert its osteoclast inhibitory effect through modulating the myeloid cell and osteoclast differentiation, inflammation, as well as TNF-α signaling pathway. Osteoclasts derive from the differentiation and fusion of mononucleated hematopoietic precursors of the myeloid lineage. Under the stimulation of RANKL, NF-kB and c-Fos activates the expression of NFATc1 that is a master transcription factor of osteoclast differentiation. Subsequently, the activated NFATc1 drives several osteoclastogenic genes, such as Trap and Mmp9 [57]. Mmp9, an extracellular matrix (ECM) consuming enzyme, expresses primarily in osteoclasts and is associated with bone resorption [58]. IL6 has been proved to accelerate osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption [59]. This might partly explain how FTZ attenuated bone loss in vitro and in vivo (Figures 7-8). Inflammation is crucial for bone remolding. As reported, inflammation in the bone microenvironment contributes to over-activated osteoclasts in osteolysis [60]. Under conditions of inflammation. TNF- α stimulates osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption in synergy with RANKL and other inflammatory cytokines such as IL6 [61]. IL6, an inflammatory cytokine, is one of the most notable increasing genes and play a critical role in the PPI network (Figure 3). The PPI (Figure 3B) and BP enrichment (Figure 4A) results showed that the regulation of the inflammatory response may contribute to the mechanism of FTZ in anti-osteoclastogenesis. Previous study has reported that FTZ can improve rabbit's iliac artery restenosis through increasing serum adiponectin while reducing serum IL6 and TNF- α [62]. Our current ELISA result also confirmed that FTZ can reverse the increasing serum levels of TNF- α and IL6 induced by OVX (Figure 8B and 8C).

In addition to network pharmacology, molecular docking is also widely used to investigate therapeutic mechanisms of TCM [63]. Our docking results confirmed that FTZ components have good binding activities with TNF- α pathway enriched genes, such as Ptgs2, Mmp9 and II6 (Figures 5 and 6). TNF- α is a critical cytokine to induce TNF signaling pathway via binding to two known transmembrane receptors, TNFR1 and TNFR2. TNFR1 acts as the major mediator of TNF-induced signaling pathways, which in turn

stimulate two distinct pathways, NF-kB and MAPK cascades. These stimulations subsequently activate many osteoclastogenesis genes, including c-Fos, Il6 and Mmp9 [64]. The current study found that osteoclast-related genes, including Il6 and Mmp9, may be the therapeutic targets for FTZ suppressing osteoclast differentiation, and FTZ may hamper osteoclastogenesis through TNF- α signaling pathway.

Regarding the limitations of current study and the aspects that can be improved in the future, we discussed only a small proportion of potential mechanisms for FTZ to suppress osteoclast differentiation. Moreover, our validated studies only focused on limited and common osteoclast-related genes, which certainly omit other possible important mechanisms, such as its potential regulations on FoxO and PI3K-Akt signaling pathways. Therefore, further studies are required to gain deeper insight into these pathways and underlining mechanisms.

CONCLUSIONS

This bioinformatics analysis combined with the experimental verification study illustrated the potential effects of FTZ on suppressing osteoclastogenesis and bone loss induced by OVX, through regulating the cellular response to inflammation, myeloid cell and osteoclast differentiation, and TNF- α signaling pathway. However, the current study only investigates an important portion of predicted mechanisms based on limited experiment results. More comprehensive and indepth research would be conducted in the future.

Abbreviations

FTZ: Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi; UPLC: Ultraperformance liquid chromatography; DEGs: Differentially expressed genes; RRA: Robust rank aggregation; GOBP: Gene Ontology Biological Processes; PPI: Protein-protein interaction; TCMSP: the traditional Chinese medicine system pharmacology database and analysis platform; STP: Swiss Target Prediction platform; SEA: Similarity ensemble approach platform; GEO: Gene Expression Omnibus; **OVX:** Ovariectomy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Ping Sun and Xiaojun Chen designed current study. Xiaojun Chen and Jiangyan Wang wrote the manuscript and drew images. Xiaojun Chen conducted the network pharmacology and molecular docking analyses. Jiangyan Wang, Lin Tang, Qiuying Ye, Li Hu and Zhangwei Li performed the osteoclast and OVX mice experiments. Zhangwei Li, Qunwei Dong and Lin Tang analyzed the *in vitro* and *in vivo* data. Jiake Xu and Ping Sun provided reagents and materials. Jiake Xu and Ping Sun reviewed and edited the paper. Xiaojun Chen and Ping Sun were visiting scholars at UWA.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation for Young Scholars, China [No. 81603641], Science and Technology Planning Project of Yunfu, Guangdong Province, China [No. 2020A090402] and Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine of Guangdong Province, China [No. 20222120].

XC was supported by a Scholarship for International Research Fees at The University of Western Australia and a University Postgraduate Award at The University of Western Australia.

REFERENCES

- Jiang Y, Zhang P, Zhang X, Lv L, Zhou Y. Advances in mesenchymal stem cell transplantation for the treatment of osteoporosis. Cell Prolif. 2021; 54:e12956. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.12956</u> PMID:<u>33210341</u>
- Nishizawa Y, Miura M, Ichimura S, Inaba M, Imanishi Y, Shiraki M, Takada J, Chaki O, Hagino H, Fukunaga M, Fujiwara S, Miki T, Yoshimura N, Ohta H, and from the Japan Osteoporosis Society Bone Turnover Marker Investigation Committee. Executive summary of the Japan Osteoporosis Society Guide for the Use of Bone Turnover Markers in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteoporosis (2018 Edition). Clin Chim Acta. 2019; 498:101–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2019.08.012</u> PMID:<u>31425674</u>
- Yu F, Xia W. The epidemiology of osteoporosis, associated fragility fractures, and management gap in China. Arch Osteoporos. 2019; 14:32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11657-018-0549-y</u> PMID:<u>30848398</u>
- Tella SH, Gallagher JC. Prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2014; 142:155–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2013.09.008</u> PMID:<u>24176761</u>
- 5. Yuan F, Peng W, Yang C, Zheng J. Teriparatide versus bisphosphonates for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis: A meta-analysis. Int J Surg. 2019; 66:1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2019.03.004 PMID:<u>30890377</u>

- Eastell R, Rosen CJ, Black DM, Cheung AM, Murad MH, Shoback D. Pharmacological Management of Osteoporosis in Postmenopausal Women: An Endocrine Society* Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019; 104:1595–622. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2019-00221</u> PMID:30907953
- 7. Li H, Xiao Z, Quarles LD, Li W. Osteoporosis: Mechanism, Molecular Target and Current Status on Drug Development. Curr Med Chem. 2021; 28:1489–507. <u>https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867327666200330142432</u> PMID:<u>32223730</u>
- Liu SH, Chuang WC, Lam W, Jiang Z, Cheng YC. Safety surveillance of traditional Chinese medicine: current and future. Drug Saf. 2015; 38:117–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-014-0250-z</u> PMID:<u>25647717</u>
- 9. Xiao LJ, Tao R. Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) Therapy. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2017; 1010:261–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5562-1_13</u> PMID:<u>29098677</u>
- Zhou LP, Wong KY, Yeung HT, Dong XL, Xiao HH, Gong AG, Tsim KW, Wong MS. Bone Protective Effects of Danggui Buxue Tang Alone and in Combination With Tamoxifen or Raloxifene *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Front Pharmacol. 2018; 9:779. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00779</u> PMID:30150931
- Zhong X, Guo J, Wang L, Luo D, Bei W, Chen Y, Yan K, Peng J. Analysis of the Constituents in Rat Serum after Oral Administration of Fufang Zhenzhu Tiaozhi Capsule by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS/MS. Chromatographia. 2012; 75:111–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-011-2164-6</u> PMID:22307991
- Keiser MJ, Roth BL, Armbruster BN, Ernsberger P, Irwin JJ, Shoichet BK. Relating protein pharmacology by ligand chemistry. Nat Biotechnol. 2007; 25:197– 206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1284</u>

PMID:17287757

- Chen Y, He X, Yuan X, Hong J, Bhat O, Li G, Li PL, Guo J. NLRP3 Inflammasome Formation and Activation in Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Therapeutic Target for Antimetabolic Syndrome Remedy FTZ. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2018; 2018:2901871. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2901871</u> PMID:30140364
- Shenghua P, Ziqin Z, Shuyu T, Huixia Z, Xianglu R, Jiao
 G. An integrated fecal microbiome and metabolome

in the aged mice reveal anti-aging effects from the intestines and biochemical mechanism of FuFang zhenshu TiaoZhi(FTZ). Biomed Pharmacother. 2020; 121:109421.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109421 PMID:<u>31743877</u>

- 15. Hu X, Wang M, Bei W, Han Z, Guo J. The Chinese herbal medicine FTZ attenuates insulin resistance via IRS1 and PI3K in vitro and in rats with metabolic syndrome. J Transl Med. 2014; 12:47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-12-47</u> PMID:<u>24555840</u>
- 16. Luo D, Li J, Chen K, Rong X, Guo J. Untargeted Metabolomics Reveals the Protective Effect of Fufang Zhenshu Tiaozhi (FTZ) on Aging-Induced Osteoporosis in Mice. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 9:1483. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.01483</u> PMID:<u>30670964</u>
- Zhang W, Huai Y, Miao Z, Qian A, Wang Y. Systems Pharmacology for Investigation of the Mechanisms of Action of Traditional Chinese Medicine in Drug Discovery. Front Pharmacol. 2019; 10:743. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.00743</u> PMID:<u>31379563</u>
- Hopkins AL. Network pharmacology: the next paradigm in drug discovery. Nat Chem Biol. 2008; 4:682–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.118</u> PMID:<u>18936753</u>
- Park M, Park SY, Lee HJ, Kim CE. A Systems-Level Analysis of Mechanisms of *Platycodon grandiflorum* Based on A Network Pharmacological Approach. Molecules. 2018; 23:2841. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23112841</u> PMID:<u>30388815</u>
- 20. Kuhn M, von Mering C, Campillos M, Jensen LJ, Bork P. STITCH: interaction networks of chemicals and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36:D684–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm795</u> PMID:<u>18084021</u>
- 21. Davis S, Meltzer PS. GEOquery: a bridge between the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and BioConductor. Bioinformatics. 2007; 23:1846–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm254</u> PMID:<u>17496320</u>
- 22. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2013. <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- 23. Hassanpour S, Jiang H, Wang Y, Kuiper JW, Glogauer M. The actin binding protein adseverin regulates osteoclastogenesis. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e109078.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109078 PMID:<u>25275604</u>

 Takigawa S, Chen A, Wan Q, Na S, Sudo A, Yokota H, Hamamura K. Role of miR-222-3p in c-Src-Mediated Regulation of Osteoclastogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 2016; 17:240.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17020240 PMID:26891296

- 25. Sharma P, Patntirapong S, Hann S, Hauschka PV. RANKL-RANK signaling regulates expression of xenotropic and polytropic virus receptor (XPR1) in osteoclasts. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010; 399:129–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.07.022</u> PMID:20633538
- 26. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43:e47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007</u> PMID:25605792
- 27. Kolde R, Laur S, Adler P, Vilo J. Robust rank aggregation for gene list integration and metaanalysis. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28:573–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr709</u> PMID:<u>22247279</u>
- Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, Kuhn M, Wyder S, Simonovic M, Santos A, Doncheva NT, Roth A, Bork P, Jensen LJ, von Mering C. The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017; 45:D362–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw937

PMID:27924014

- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003; 13:2498–504. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303</u> PMID:<u>14597658</u>
- 30. Bader GD, Hogue CW. An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. BMC Bioinformatics. 2003; 4:2. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-4-2</u> PMID:<u>12525261</u>
- 31. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS. 2012; 16:284–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118</u> PMID:<u>22455463</u>
- O'Boyle NM, Banck M, James CA, Morley C, Vandermeersch T, Hutchison GR. Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox. J Cheminform. 2011; 3:33.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-33 PMID:21982300

 Jiménez J, Doerr S, Martínez-Rosell G, Rose AS, De Fabritiis G. DeepSite: protein-binding site predictor using 3D-convolutional neural networks. Bioinformatics. 2017; 33:3036–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx350</u>

PMID:<u>28575181</u>

- 34. Hsin KY, Ghosh S, Kitano H. Combining machine learning systems and multiple docking simulation packages to improve docking prediction reliability for network pharmacology. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e83922. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083922</u> PMID:24391846
- 35. Nair AB, Jacob S. A simple practice guide for dose conversion between animals and human. J Basic Clin Pharm. 2016; 7:27–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-0105.177703</u> PMID:<u>27057123</u>
- 36. Zhou S, Huang G, Chen G. Synthesis and biological activities of drugs for the treatment of osteoporosis. Eur J Med Chem. 2020; 197:112313. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112313</u> PMID:<u>32335412</u>
- Tatangelo G, Watts J, Lim K, Connaughton C, Abimanyi-Ochom J, Borgström F, Nicholson GC, Shore-Lorenti C, Stuart AL, Iuliano-Burns S, Seeman E, Prince R, March L, et al. The Cost of Osteoporosis, Osteopenia, and Associated Fractures in Australia in 2017. J Bone Miner Res. 2019; 34:616–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3640</u> PMID:<u>30615801</u>
- Loi F, Córdova LA, Pajarinen J, Lin TH, Yao Z, Goodman SB. Inflammation, fracture and bone repair. Bone. 2016; 86:119–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2016.02.020</u> PMID:<u>26946132</u>
- Al-Daghri NM, Aziz I, Yakout S, Aljohani NJ, Al-Saleh Y, Amer OE, Sheshah E, Younis GZ, Al-Badr FBM. Inflammation as a contributing factor among postmenopausal Saudi women with osteoporosis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017; 96:e5780. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000005780</u> PMID:<u>28121926</u>
- Wang X, Yamauchi K, Mitsunaga T. A review on osteoclast diseases and osteoclastogenesis inhibitors recently developed from natural resources. Fitoterapia. 2020; 142:104482.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2020.104482</u>
 PMID:31954740
- 41. Rossini M, Adami G, Adami S, Viapiana O, Gatti D. Safety issues and adverse reactions with

osteoporosis management. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2016; 15:321–32. https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2016.1136287 PMID:26699669

- Zhou Z, Chen B, Chen S, Lin M, Chen Y, Jin S, Chen W, Zhang Y. Applications of Network Pharmacology in Traditional Chinese Medicine Research. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2020; 2020:1646905. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1646905</u> PMID:32148533
- 43. Jang SA, Song HS, Kwon JE, Baek HJ, Koo HJ, Sohn EH, Lee SR, Kang SC. Protocatechuic Acid Attenuates Trabecular Bone Loss in Ovariectomized Mice. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2018; 2018:7280342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7280342</u>
 PMID:<u>30151073</u>
- Wu YX, Wu TY, Xu BB, Xu XY, Chen HG, Li XY, Wang G. Protocatechuic acid inhibits osteoclast differentiation and stimulates apoptosis in mature osteoclasts. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016; 82:399–405. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.05.008</u> PMID:<u>27470378</u>
- 45. Zhao D, Shu B, Wang C, Zhao Y, Cheng W, Sha N, Li C, Wang Q, Lu S, Wang Y. Oleanolic acid exerts inhibitory effects on the late stage of osteoclastogenesis and prevents bone loss in osteoprotegerin knockout mice. J Cell Biochem. 2020; 121:152–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.28994</u> PMID:31318102
- 46. Zhao D, Li X, Zhao Y, Qiao P, Tang D, Chen Y, Xue C, Li C, Liu S, Wang J, Lu S, Shi Q, Zhang Y, et al. Oleanolic acid exerts bone protective effects in ovariectomized mice by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis. J Pharmacol Sci. 2018; 137:76–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphs.2018.03.007 PMID:29703642
- 47. Li C, Yang Z, Li Z, Ma Y, Zhang L, Zheng C, Qiu W, Wu X, Wang X, Li H, Tang J, Qian M, Li D, et al. Maslinic acid suppresses osteoclastogenesis and prevents ovariectomy-induced bone loss by regulating RANKL-mediated NF-κB and MAPK signaling pathways. J Bone Miner Res. 2011; 26:644–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.242 PMID:20814972
- 48. Zhang JK, Yang L, Meng GL, Yuan Z, Fan J, Li D, Chen JZ, Shi TY, Hu HM, Wei BY, Luo ZJ, Liu J. Protection by salidroside against bone loss via inhibition of oxidative stress and bone-resorbing mediators. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e57251.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057251 PMID:23437352

- 49. Cheng B, Li J, Du J, Lv X, Weng L, Ling C. Ginsenoside Rb1 inhibits osteoclastogenesis by modulating NF-κB and MAPKs pathways. Food Chem Toxicol. 2012; 50:1610–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.02.019</u> PMID:22386813
- 50. Gu Y, Fan W, Yin G. The study of mechanisms of protective effect of Rg1 against arthritis by inhibiting osteoclast differentiation and maturation in CIA mice. Mediators Inflamm. 2014; 2014:305071. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/305071</u> PMID:<u>25214714</u>
- 51. Han SY, Kim YK. Berberine Suppresses RANKL-Induced Osteoclast Differentiation by Inhibiting c-Fos and NFATc1 Expression. Am J Chin Med. 2019; 47:439–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1142/S0192415X19500228</u> PMID:<u>30827151</u>
- 52. Ishikawa S, Tamaki M, Ogawa Y, Kaneki K, Zhang M, Sunagawa M, Hisamitsu T. Inductive Effect of Palmatine on Apoptosis in RAW 264.7 Cells. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2016; 2016:7262054. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7262054</u> PMID:27340419
- 53. Zhao S, Yan L, Li X, Zhang Z, Sun Y, Wang J. Notoginsenoside R1 suppresses wear particle-induced osteolysis and RANKL mediated osteoclastogenesis in vivo and in vitro. Int Immunopharmacol. 2017; 47:118–25. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2017.03.018</u> PMID:<u>28384530</u>
- 54. Li H, Wang J, Sun Q, Chen G, Sun S, Ma X, Qiu H, Liu X, Xu L, Liu M. Jatrorrhizine Hydrochloride Suppresses RANKL-Induced Osteoclastogenesis and Protects against Wear Particle-Induced Osteolysis. Int J Mol Sci. 2018; 19:3698. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113698</u>

PMID:<u>30469456</u>

- 55. Lee JW, Iwahashi A, Hasegawa S, Yonezawa T, Jeon WB, Cha BY, Nagai K, Woo JT. Coptisine inhibits RANKL-induced NF-κB phosphorylation in osteoclast precursors and suppresses function through the regulation of RANKL and OPG gene expression in osteoblastic cells. J Nat Med. 2012; 66:8–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11418-011-0537-7</u> PMID:21656335
- 56. Sun Z, Zeng J, Wang W, Jia X, Wu Q, Yu D, Mao Y. Magnoflorine Suppresses MAPK and NF-κB Signaling to Prevent Inflammatory Osteolysis Induced by Titanium Particles *In Vivo* and Osteoclastogenesis *via* RANKL *In Vitro*. Front Pharmacol. 2020; 11:389. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00389</u> PMID:<u>32300300</u>

- 57. Park JH, Lee NK, Lee SY. Current Understanding of RANK Signaling in Osteoclast Differentiation and Maturation. Mol Cells. 2017; 40:706–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2017.0225</u> PMID:29047262
- 58. Hoeflich KP, Ikura M. Calmodulin in action: diversity in target recognition and activation mechanisms. Cell. 2002; 108:739–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00682-7</u> PMID:<u>11955428</u>
- Udagawa N, Takahashi N, Katagiri T, Tamura T, Wada S, Findlay DM, Martin TJ, Hirota H, Taga T, Kishimoto T, Suda T. Interleukin (IL)-6 induction of osteoclast differentiation depends on IL-6 receptors expressed on osteoblastic cells but not on osteoclast progenitors. J Exp Med. 1995; 182:1461–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.182.5.1461</u> PMID:7595216
- 60. Eger M, Hiram-Bab S, Liron T, Sterer N, Carmi Y, Kohavi D, Gabet Y. Mechanism and Prevention of Titanium Particle-Induced Inflammation and Osteolysis. Front Immunol. 2018; 9:2963. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02963</u> PMID:<u>30619321</u>
- 61. Zhao B. Intrinsic Restriction of TNF-Mediated Inflammatory Osteoclastogenesis and Bone Resorption. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020; 11:583561. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.583561</u> PMID:33133025
- 62. Li T, Zhang R, Liu Y, Yao Y, Guo J, Zeng Z. Fufang-Zhenzhu-Tiaozhi capsule ameliorates rabbit's iliac artery restenosis by regulating adiponectin signaling pathway. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020; 128:110311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110311 PMID:<u>32502838</u>
- Huang K, Zhang P, Zhang Z, Youn JY, Wang C, Zhang H, Cai H. Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) in the treatment of COVID-19 and other viral infections: Efficacies and mechanisms. Pharmacol Ther. 2021; 225:107843. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.107843</u> PMID:33811957
- 64. Xu J, Wu HF, Ang ES, Yip K, Woloszyn M, Zheng MH, Tan RX. NF-kappaB modulators in osteolytic bone diseases. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2009; 20:7–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2008.11.007</u> PMID:19046922

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Data

The raw data supporting the conclusions of present study have been uploaded to the figshare repository (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.18666731.v1).

Supplementary Figure



Supplementary Figure 1. The Venn diagram presented the targets of FTZ and DEGs of osteoclast differentiation. 77 overlapping targets represent the potential therapeutic genes for FTZ exerting its inhibitory effect.

Supplementary Tables

	P.Value	Freq	Condition
MMP9	5.69E-06	3	Up
ACP5	0.000024	3	Up
CTSK	6.32E-05	3	Up
TRAF1	0.000779	3	Up
GSTT1	0.003896	3	Up
CCR1	0.003896	2	Up
IL15	0.007207	2	Up
CCL12	0.008563	1	Up
VEGFC	0.013889	3	Up
BPNT1	0.01711	1	Up
SAA3	0.01711	1	Up
IL6	0.018235	2	Up
SCIN	0.018342	3 Up	
SLC9B2	0.01899	2	Up
FPR1	0.025641	1	Up
OSCAR	0.025641	1	Up
SLC6A8	0.02645	2	Up
CHCHD10	0.030144	2	Up
SERPINB2	0.034155	1	Up
SLC6A4	0.034155	1	Up
MYCL	0.039296	2	Up
PACRG	0.041486	2	Up
SELP	0.042653	2	Up
MARCO	0.042653	1	Up
CCL5	0.044876	2	Up
RNASE4	0.001033	2	Down
LSP1	0.005672	3	Down
DAB2	0.007035	2	Down
PROS1	0.00796	3	Down
RASGRP3	0.009164	2	Down
LY86	0.009434	3	Down
HPGD	0.009934	2	Down
MS4A6B	0.011566	2	Down
IFIT1	0.012081	1	Down
KLK1B11	0.012081	1	Down
IGHM	0.012081	1	Down
MAFB	0.015191	3	Down
MS4A6C	0.015191	2	Down
GPR65	0.022679	2	Down
CFH	0.023615	3	Down
SLC7A7	0.024129	1	Down
P2RY6	0.024129	1	Down
IRF8	0.027602	2	Down
ITGAM	0.028905	2	Down
	0.036144	1	Down

Supplementary Table 1. The expression profile of 52 Robust DEGs.

FCGR1	0.036144	2	Down
APOE	0.036144	1	Down
MPEG1	0.040357	2	Down
CLEC7A	0.04676	2	Down
SQRDL	0.048127	1	Down
RNF144B	0.048127	1	Down
IGHJ1	0.048127	1	Down

Supplementary Table 2. The expression profile of 77 common targets among three datasets.

Gene	GSE21639	GSE54779	GSE74847	Mean logfc	Gene Feature
CD38	/	4.72915	/	4.72915	Up
MMP9	3.89517	3.92673	6.17478	4.66556	Up
RORC	/	/	3.88164	3.88164	Up
CCR1	2.46905	/	5.10388	3.78647	Up
MET	/	3.39635	/	3.39635	Up
SELP	1.41663	/	5.30075	3.35869	Up
SLC7A11	/	3.09128	/	3.09128	Up
IL1B	/	2.69341	/	2.69341	Up
IL6	2.07554	3.06137	/	2.56846	Up
HPGD	/	-2.22487	-2.80545	-2.51516	Down
PTGS1	/	/	-2.48925	-2.48925	Down
MST1R	1.14386	/	3.63957	2.39171	Up
ALOX5	/	/	-2.30180	-2.30180	Down
PTAFR	/	/	-2.27864	-2.27864	Down
ALOX5AP	/	/	-2.24446	-2.24446	Down
CYSLTR1	/	/	-2.19371	-2.19371	Down
PTGES	/	2.19322	/	2.19322	Up
ABCG2	2.11812	/	/	2.11812	Up
GPR55	/	/	2.04992	2.04992	Up
LGALS9	-2.20479	/	-1.81709	-2.01094	Down
PAWR	1.99516	/	/	1.99516	Up
ALB	1.98913	/	/	1.98913	Up
PLA2G2A	1.98572	/	/	1.98572	Up
RPS6KB2	-1.97837	/	/	-1.97837	Down
PPARG	/	-1.88844	/	-1.88844	Down
PFKFB3	/	1.78137	/	1.78137	Up
PTGER4	-1.75368	/	/	-1.75368	Down
OGFRL1	/	/	-1.72803	-1.72803	Down
NOS2	/	/	1.67172	1.67172	Up
RARB	/	/	1.64799	1.64799	Up
SCN9A	1.64047	/	/	1.64047	Up
NQO1	/	-1.63444	/	-1.63444	Down
F10	/	1.61736	/	1.61736	Up
KDM5B	/	/	1.61537	1.61537	Up
KCNA3	/	/	-1.59066	-1.59066	Down
NR1H3	/	1.53253	/	1.53253	Up
FABP4	/	1.51528	/	1.51528	Up
CCND1	/	1.50698	/	1.50698	Up
KDM5A	1.48772	/	/	1.48772	Up

FABP5	/	1.46628	/	1.46628	Up
IL6ST	/	-1.45754	/	-1.45754	Down
HSD11B1	/	1.45338	/	1.45338	Up
ODC1	/	1.44912	/	1.44912	Up
PPARD	1.44155	/	/	1.44155	Up
DHFR	/	1.38862	/	1.38862	Up
FABP1	-1.38055	/	/	-1.38055	Down
PLK3	/	1.35433	/	1.35433	Up
CDC25A	/	1.34483	/	1.34483	Up
SERPINE1	1.33711	/	/	1.33711	Up
PIK3CB	/	/	1.29386	1.29386	Up
SLC16A3	/	1.28471	/	1.28471	Up
IGFBP4	/	/	-1.28140	-1.28140	Down
ADORA2A	/	1.27297	/	1.27297	Up
HBEGF	/	/	1.26051	1.26051	Up
MPO	/	1.25160	/	1.25160	Up
CHEK1	/	1.24099	/	1.24099	Up
IL10	/	1.23120	/	1.23120	Up
P4HA1	/	1.22795	/	1.22795	Up
EDNRB	/	-1.20335	/	-1.20335	Down
PTGIR	/	/	-1.19760	-1.19760	Down
DHCR7	/	/	-1.14070	-1.14070	Down
NDUFC2	1.13906	/	/	1.13906	Up
HSPD1	/	1.12305	/	1.12305	Up
CXCR3	/	-1.11033	/	-1.11033	Down
PTPRC	-1.10211	/	/	-1.10211	Down
HIF1A	/	1.09087	/	1.09087	Up
PIK3CG	/	/	-1.08327	-1.08327	Down
IGFBP1	-1.08243	/	/	-1.08243	Down
CYCS	/	1.07060	/	1.07060	Up
RXRG	-1.06722	/	/	-1.06722	Down
ALDH2	/	-1.05327	/	-1.05327	Down
ERAP1	/	/	-1.02507	-1.02507	Down
FOS	/	/	1.01127	1.01127	Up
RXRA	/	/	-1.00292	-1.00292	Down
PDCD4	/	-2.02460	1.64341	-0.19060	Down
PTGS2	-1.08952	2.57901	-1.12126	0.12274	Up
BCL2L1	-1.03134	1.07730	/	0.02298	Up

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Table 3.

Supplementary Table 3. Summary of all docking results.