Research Paper

Crosstalk between age accumulated DNA-damage and the SIRT1-AKT-GSK3 axis in urine derived renal progenitor cells

Lars Erichsen¹, James Adjaye¹

¹Institute for Stem Cell Research and Regenerative Medicine, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine- University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf 40225, Germany

Correspondence to: Lars Erichsen, James Adjaye; email: lars.erichsen@uni-duesseldorf.de, james.adjaye@med.uni-duesseldorf.deKeywords: renal differentiation, aging, SIRT1, DNA-damageReceived: May 30, 2022Accepted: September 8, 2022Published: September 24, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Erichsen and Adjaye. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

The aging process is manifested by a multitude of inter-linked biological processes. These processes contribute to genomic instability, telomere attrition, epigenetic alterations, loss of proteostasis, de-regulated nutrient-sensing, mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence, stem cell exhaustion, and altered intercellular communication. The mammalian ortholog of the yeast silent information regulator (Sir2) SIRT1 is a NAD+-dependent class III histone deacetylase and has been recognized to be involved in many of the forementioned processes. Furthermore, the physiological activity of several Sirtuin family members has been connected to the regulation of life span of lower organisms (*Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster*) as well as mammals.

In the present study, we provide evidence that SIX2-positive urine derived renal progenitor cells-UdRPCs isolated directly from human urine show typical hallmarks of aging. This includes the subsequent transcriptional downregulation of SIRT1 and its downstream targets AKT and GSK3ß with increased donor age. This transcriptional downregulation is accompanied by an increase in DNA damage and transcriptional levels of several cell cycle inhibitors such as P16. We provide evidence that the renal progenitor transcription factor SIX2 binds to the coding sequence of SIRT1. Furthermore, we show that the SIRT1 promoter region is methylation sensitive and becomes methylated during aging, dividing them into SIRT1-high and -low expressing UdRPCs. Our results highlight the importance of SIRT1 in DNA damage repair recognition in UdRPCs and the control of differentiation by regulating the activation of GSK3β through AKT.

INTRODUCTION

Recent demographic studies suggest a considerable increase in the number of elderly people within the next decades [1]. The aging process has been recognized as one of the main risk factors of the world's most prevalent diseases, including neurodegenerative disorders, cancer, cardiovascular disease and metabolic disease [2]. Aged tissues are characterized by a progressive loss of physiological integrity, leading to impaired function and increased vulnerability to death. On molecular level, Otin et al., proposed nine candidate hallmarks of aging, which are considered to contribute to the aging process in general and collectively contribute to the aging phenotype [3]. In detail these hallmarks are: genomic instability, telomere attrition, epigenetic alterations, loss of proteostasis, deregulated nutrient-sensing, mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular senescence, stem cell exhaustion, and altered intercellular communication [3].

As mammals age their cells accumulate somatic mutations and other forms of DNA damage, such as chromosomal abnormalities and changes in chromosome copy number [4]. When these alterations arise the cell cycle is arrested in G1 phase, mainly triggered by the activity of TP53 and/or TP16 [5–7]. Depending on the cell type, an active DNA damage response (DDR) has four potential outcomes, namely, transient cell cycle

arrest coupled with DNA repair, apoptosis, senescence, or cell differentiation [8]. For example, it is well established that ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) [9] and TP53 [10, 11] are capable of regulating hemopoietic stem cell quiescence or senescence and self-renewal. Furthermore, both show a biphasic response to DNAdamage in a dose dependent manner [11, 12]. A major pathway that becomes activated by the DDR is the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway (PI3K/AKT) [13]. It has been recognized that ATM and DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PKs) are involved in AKT activation at the site of double strand breaks and inhibition of AKT activity impairs the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DBS) [14-16]. On the other hand, AKT is also activated by growth factors and promotes cell cycle progression at G1/S and G2/M transition [13, 17, 18].

SIRT1 is the mammalian ortholog of the yeast silent information regulator (Sir2) and as a NAD+-dependent class III histone deacetylase involved in many processes connected to aging, like apoptosis, cell differentiation, development, stress response, metabolism, and tumorigenesis [19-21]. The high number of cellular features that can be regulated by SIRT1 is based on its variety of target molecules. Beside its specificity for the histone proteins H1, H3 and H4 and thereby promoting the formation of heterochromatin and transcriptional repression, SIRT1 has been reported to also deacetylate several transcription factors [22-24], and apoptosis and cell-cycle regulating proteins, including TP53 [25, 26]. The physiological activity of several sirtuin family members has been connected to the regulation of life span of lower organisms such as Caenorhabditis elegans [27], and Drosophila melanogaster [28] as well as mammals [29]. While SIRT1 is recruited to DBS by ATM and is required for DNA damage repair [30], it has also been noticed that SIRT1 activity is negatively regulated by genotoxic stress via ATM interaction with deleted in breast cancer 1 (DBC1) [31, 32]. In senescent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) SIRT1 expression is reduced, while its over-expression delays the onset of senescence and the loss of differentiation capacity [33].

We recently reported human urine as a non-invasive source of renal stem cells with regenerative potential [34]. The urine derived renal progenitor cells (UdRPCs) express renal stem cell markers such as SIX2, CITED1 WT1, CD133, CD24 and CD106. Here we provide evidence that SIX2-positive urine derived renal progenitor cells-UdRPCs isolated from human urine show typical hallmarks of aging when obtained from elderly donors. This includes the transcriptional downregulation of SIRT1 and its downstream targets AKT and GSK3ß. This transcriptional downregulation is accompanied by an increase in DNA damage and transcriptional levels several cell cycle inhibitors such as P16. We provide evidence that the renal progenitor transcription factor SIX2 binds to the coding sequence of SIRT1 and both factors mutually influence the transcription of each other. Furthermore, we show that the SIRT1 promoter region is methylation sensitive and becomes subsequently methylated in UdRPCs derived from aged donors, dividing them into SIRT1 high and low expressing UdRPCs. We propose the SIRT1-AKT-GSK3B axis to regulate and monitor self-renewal capacity of urine derived renal progenitor cells.

RESULTS

UdRPCs from aged donors show typical hallmarks of aging

We recently reported MSCs isolated directly from urine samples. These cells express the renal stem cell markers SIX2, CITED1 WT1, CD133, CD24 and CD106, we referred to these cells as urine derived renal progenitor cells (UdRPCs) [34]. In this study, the progenitor cells were isolated from distinct individuals of mixed ethnicity with ages ranging from 21 to 77 years. It is well documented in the literature, that MSCs show decline of self-renewal capacity and of immunosuppressive properties with increased donor age and in vitro expansion [35-38]. As previously reported UdRPCs can be kept in culture for up to 12 passages, whereas cells from aged donors show a decline of proliferation capacity after 9-10 passages. Therefore, all experiments were carried out with UdRPCs after 7-8 passages. Hierarchical clustering analysis comparing the transcriptomes of UdRPCs revealed a distinct expression pattern of cells derived from donors aged between 21 to 51 years (young, green box) and 54 to 61 years (aged, red box) (Figure 1A). Microarray analysis revealed a common expressed gene-set of 11917 between UdRPCs derived from youngand elderly donors, while 750 genes were exclusively expressed in cells derived from young- and 155 genes in cells derived from elderly individuals by comparing the expressed gene set (det-p < 0.05) (Figure 1B). The most over-represented GO BP-terms common expressed in UdRPCs derived from young- and elderly donors are associated with metabolic processes such as, organic acid transport and regulation of ion transport as well as cell junction organization and cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation. The most over-represented GO BP-terms exclusive to the young UdRPCs are associated with DNA-replication, mesodermal cell differentiation, renal system development and PI3K-AKT signalling pathway. In comparison the most over-represented GO BP-terms exclusive to aged UdRPCs are associated with assembly of collagen fibrils and other multimeric structures and regulation of calcium ion transport (Figure 1C). The full gene list can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Additionally, we analysed our data-set for genes associated with the hallmarks of aging as proposed by Otin et al., (Figure 1D) [4]. The heatmap reveals a negative correlation between the donor age and the expression of genes involved in genomic instability (ATM, MSH6, TFEB and XRCC1), epigenetic alterations (SETDB1, KDM6A, EZH1, SIRT1, SETDB2, HDAC6, HDAC4, KDM4B and SIRT3) and genes involved in deregulation of nutrient sensing of the one carbon-, cysteine- and methionine-metabolic pathways (SHMT1, SHMT2 and MAT2B). Furthermore, our transcriptome data reveals a positive correlation between donor age and the expression of genes involved in cellular senescence (CDKN1A, CDKN2A and CDKN2D) and stem cell exhaustion (CXCL1, IL6 and IL8). In conclusion, the transcriptome data revealed typical hallmarks of aging in UdRPCs derived from elderly donors.

UdRPCs show decline of stem cell characteristics and an increase of DNA-damage with increase donor age

Since our microarray data revealed genes encoding for mesodermal cell differentiation and renal system

development to be exclusively expressed in UdRPCs derived from young donors, we assumed that this is caused by an age-associated decline of self-renewal capacity. To test this hypothesis, we applied immunofluorescent staining for the renal stem cell marker SIX2 and qRT-PCR analysis for the stem cell markers SIX2 and CD133. Surprisingly, the immunefluorescent staining revealed that almost 100% of the isolated cells from donors aged between 21 and 51 years are positive for SIX2 (Figure 2B). In contrast, qRT-PCR analysis revealed a significant downregulation/ 0.98-fold (p < 0.01) of SIX2 mRNA expression between cells derived from the 21-year-old donor compared to cells derived from all other donors. For the stem cell marker CD133 qRT-PCR analysis revealed a significant downregulation/ 1.16-fold (p \leq 0.01) of mRNA expression between cells derived from donors aged between 21 and 48 compared to individuals aged between 51 and 77 years (Figure 2B). As a further marker of premature terminal differentiation and/or senescence [39] we assessed the truncated form of the Lamin A transcript Progerin by semi-quantitative PCR. Strikingly, we found an increase of truncated Lamin A



Figure 1. Comparative transcriptome and gene ontology analysis of urine-derived renal progenitors from young and aged donors. A hierarchical cluster dendrogram revealed distinct clusters of UdRPCs derived from young and aged donors (**A**). Expressed genes (det-p < 0.05) in UdRPCs and podocytes compared in the Venn diagram (**B**), shows distinct (750 in young and 155 in aged UdRPCs) and overlapping (11917) gene expression patterns. The most over- represented GO BP-terms exclusive in either young or old UdRPCs are shown in (**C**) and including DNA replication and renal development for the young UdRPCs and assembly of collagen fibrils and other multimeric structures and regulation of calcium ion transport for the old UdRPCs. The heatmap (**D**) reveals a distinct expression pattern between young and old UdRPCs for genes encoding for the following hallmarks of aging: genomic instability, epigenetic alterations, deregulation of nutrient sensing, cellular senescence, and stem cell exhaustion.

transcript Progerin within UdRPCs derived donors aged between 21 and 48 compared to individuals aged between 51 and 77 years) (Figure 2C). Accumulation of Progerin has been described to lead to DNA-damage and chromosomal aberrations [40, 41], by inhibiting *inter alia* the SIRT6 mediated DNA-damage repair mechanism [42]. To test our hypothesis that the identified increase of Progerin mRNA in the aged UdRPCs is accompanied by increased DNA-damage, we analysed phosphorylation levels of Histone 2A (pH2A.X), an established biomarker



Figure 2. UdRPCs show decline of mesenchymal stem cell characteristics and an increase of DNA-damage with increase donor age. UdRPCs from donors aged between 21 and 77 years were isolated and stemness status was confirmed by immunofluorescent staining for the renal progenitor marker SIX2 (A) (scale bars: 100 μm). mRNA expression of SIX2 and the stem cell proliferation marker *CD133* was determined by quantitative real time PCR (B). RT-PCR analysis reveal Progerin transcripts in UdRPCs (C). UdRPCs from donors aged between 21 and 77 years were isolated and DNA damage was visualized by immunofluorescence-based staining for phosphorylated Histone2A.X (pH2A.X) (D). mRNA expression of *ATM* was determined by quantitative real time PCR (E).

of DNA-damage at DBS [43], by immunofluorescent based detection (Figure 2D). UdRPCs derived from individuals aged between 21 and 45 years showed a positive pH2A.X staining only in a small percentage of cells, 2% and 6% respectively. In contrast we detected a significant (p = 0.03) increase of DBS in cells derived from donors aged 51, 63 and 77 years, with 28%, 50% and 55% of cells being positive for the pH2A.X staining (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure 1A). Furthermore, we applied qRT-PCR analysis for ATM, P16 and TP53 (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure 1B). mRNA expression of CDKN2A and TP53 were found to be not significantly altered between UdRPCs derived the different donors (p-value P16: p = 0.91 and TP53: p = 0.41), with a trend for *P16* being up- and TP53 being downregulated with increased donor age but could also reflect heterogeneity in-between individuals. In contrast, qRT-PCR analysis revealed a significant downregulation/ 0.85-fold (p < 0.05) of ATM mRNA expression between cells derived from the 21-years-old donor compared to UdRPCs derived from individuals aged between 27 and 77 years. Finally, we evaluated the expression of Methionine Adenosyltransferase 2B (MAT2b) by qRT-PCR. This enzyme catalyzes the final step of one carbon metabolism by forming S-Adenosyl-L-methionine from methionine and adenosine triphosphate. For *MAT2b* a significant downregulation/ 1.15-fold of mRNA levels were found in the UdRPCS derived from donors aged between 21 and 63 compared to donors aged 69 to 77 (p < 0.01) (Supplementary Figure 1B). In summary, UdRPCs show an increase in DNA damage with increased donor age, while MSC markers *CD133* and the renal progenitor marker *SIX2* were found to be downregulated.

Age associated changes in the SIRT1-AKT-GSK3ß regulatory axis

SIRT1 [30] and the AKT pathway [14] are involved in DNA-damage-repair and our results indicate a downregulation of *SIRT1* and members of the AKT pathway in UdRPCs derived from individuals aged 48 years and above. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of SIRT1 as well as the phosphorylation levels of H2A.X, AKT and its downstream target GSK3ß by Western blot detection (Figure 3A). Consistently, we found SIRT1 expression exclusively in the UdRPCs



Figure 3. SIX2/SIRT1/AKT/GSK3ß network is altered in UdRPCs derived from aged donors. Relative protein expression normalized to ß-ACTIN for SIRT1, AKT and GSK3ß and relative protein phosphorylation for AKT, GSK3ß and pH2A.X was detected by Western blot (**A**). mRNA expression of *SIRT1* was determined by quantitative real time PCR (**B**). Detailed analyses by bisulfite sequencing of CpG island methylation patterns within 5' regulatory region of *SIRT1* gene in young and aged UdRPCs (**C**). SIX2 binding within the SIRT1 gene was confirmed by Immunoprecipitation followed by PCR analysis (**D**). The grey dashed line indicates that gel picture has been merged (for the original gel picture see Supplementary Figure 6).

derived from individuals aged ≤ 48 years. Normalized to B-actin expression we found a significant reduction of SIRT1 protein expression (p = 0.01) in UdRPCs derived from elderly donors by 81.3% and 64.7% (48 and 51 years). Our Western blot results revealed a similar observation for the phosphorylation levels of AKT and GSK3B, while total levels of AKT and GSK3B expression were found to be unchanged. The ratio of the phosphorylated version to the total protein levels revealed a significant reduction of AKT (p = 0.05) and GSK3 β (p = 0.04) protein phosphorylation in UdRPCs derived from donors aged 48 and 51 years, by 97.36% and 97.62% for AKT and 55.69% und 55.95% for GSK3^β. Furthermore, we also evaluated H2A.X phosphorylation and observed an increase of DBS only in the sample derived from the 51-year-old individual. Normalized to B-actin the increase was found to be not significant (p = 0.47) but became highly significant when H2A.X phosphorylation was normalized to the detected SIRT1 protein expression (p \leq 0.01). To further confirm that SIRT1 becomes downregulated in UdRPCs derived from aged donors, we applied qRT-PCR analysis. According to the genecards database the SIRT1 mRNA has six major splicing variants. Almost all splicing variants consist of exon 4 to exon 6 and can be distinguished into two groups by the existence of exon 1-3 or exon 7-10 (Supplementary Figure 2). To evaluate which is the major splicing variant that changes in UdRPCs obtained from elderly donors, we designed primers that anneal in exon 1 and 2 as well as exon 7 and 8 (Supplementary Table 1). Surprisingly, we found both variants to be expressed and significantly altered (Exon 1-2: p = 0.03 and Exon 7-8: p = 0.01) between cells derived from the 21- and the 27-year-old donor compared to the UdRPCs derived from donors aged between 45 and 77 years, with fold changes of 0.54 and 0.75 respectively (Figure 3B). It has been recognized, that during the aging process "de novo" DNA methylation occurs within the promoter region of transcriptional downregulated genes [44, 45]. By applying genomic bisulfite sequencing we analysed the methylation status of the SIRT1 gene in UdRPCs derived from the 27-year- and the 51-year-old individual. In total a 341bp fragment of the SIRT1 promoter containing 45 CpG-dinucleotides were analysed. Stinkingly, we found 15.2% of CpGs to be methylated in the UdRPCs derived from the 27-year-old individual, while UdRPCs derived from the 51-year-old individual showed 61.3% of CpGs to be methylated. We concluded that UdRPCs could be distinguished in high and low SIRT1 expressing cells, depending on the donor age with a threshold of 48 years from our cohort. Finally, we wanted to investigate whether the age associated downregulation of SIX2, which is needed to maintain renal progenitor cells during kidney organogenesis [34], affects the level of SIRT1 mRNA

expression rate. Since the UdRPCs derived from the 27vear-old individual showed the highest SIRT1 protein expression in Western blot analysis, we choose this cell line for Immunoprecipitation analysis. SIX2 has been reported to regulate the expression of Odd-skipped related 1 (Osr1) [46] and Glial Cell Derived Neurotrophic Factor (GDNF) [47] so we chose these as positive controls, while RPL0 was chosen as negative control. PCR analysis was performed with DNA derived from the whole cell extract (Input), after Immunoprecipitation with (IP) and without (negative control) antibodies against SIX2. Hereby, we could confirm a direct interaction between the SIX2 transcription factor and the genomic DNA of GDNF, OSR1 and SIRT1 (Figure 3D). We hypothesize that for the aging process of UdRPCs, the renal progenitor transcription factor SIX2 and SIRT1 mutually influence their transcriptional levels positively in cells derived from donors aged ≤ 48 years. In cells aged >48 and above we found the transcriptional downregulation of SIX2 and SIRT1, for which DNA-Methylation seems causative in the latter case. This downregulation of SIRT1 is associated with reduced phosphorylation levels of AKT and GSK3ß and renders the cells more vulnerable to double strand breaks.

DNA damage dependent activation of the SIX2/ SIRT1/AKT/GSK3ß network by resveratrol

Resveratrol has been recognized as a potent activator of SIRT1 [48, 49]. Therefore, we evaluated by qRT-PCR the effect of varying concentrations of resveratrol on low SIRT1 expressing UdRPCs with and without ageassociated DNA damage. We prepared final concentrations of resveratrol directly in the cell culture medium ranging from 0.1µM to 250µM and treated the UdRPCs derived from the 48- and 51-year- old individual for 24h. Strikingly we detected a significant increase of SIRT1 Exon 7-8 mRNA (48: p = 0.05; 9-fold and 51: p = 0.04; 0.44-fold) and SIRT1 Exon 1-2 only in the UdRPCs derived from the 51-year-old-individual (p < 0.01; 0.4-fold) (Figure 4B, 4F and Supplementary Figure 3A). In contrast, the resveratrol treatment caused a significant downregulation of SIRT1 Exon 1-2 mRNA in the UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old-individual (p = 0.02; 0.52-fold) (Supplementary Figure 3A). Immunofluorescence-based detection and Western blot analysis revealed a significant upregulation of SIRT1 protein by 50% and 120% respectively (48: p = 0.04 and 51: p = 0.03) for both cell lines treated with 1µM resveratrol (Figure 3A, 3D, 3H and Supplementary Figure 3A). In contrast a significant downregulation of SIRT1 mRNA (48: p < 0.01 and 51: p < 0.01) and protein (48: p < 0.01) was detected within the cells treated with the resveratrol solutions containing 2.5µM and higher concentrations by 100 and 50% respectively

(Figure 4D, 4H and Supplementary Figure 3A). To test if this effect is due to the resveratrol, we also measured the expression level of MAT2B. The promoter region of this gene has been identified to harbors two resveratrol binding pockets and gets activated by resveratrol in a time- and dose-dependent manner [50]. As expected MAT2B mRNA expression levels were significantly upregulated (48: p < 0.01 / 1.2-fold change and 51: p < 0.01 / 1.24-fold change) in the UdRPCs treated with low- concentrations and significantly downregulated (48: p < 0.01 / 0.97-fold change and 51: p< 0.01 / 0.27-fold change) in the cells treated with the high concentrations of resveratrol. These results correlate with the observed changes in SIRT1 mRNA expression (Supplementary Figure 3B). Furthermore, immunofluorescence- based and Western blot detection of pH2A.X revealed no DBS in UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old individual under control conditions and in the cells treated with the 1µM resveratrol solution, but a significant increase of DBS (p < 0.01; 86% of cells were found to be pH2A.X positive) was detected when

cells were treated with the 2.5µM resveratrol solution (Figure 4A, 4D). Accordingly, 54% of UdRPCs obtained from the 51-year-old individual revealed a positive pH2A.X staining under control conditions, which became significantly elevated (51: p = 0.05; 71% of cells were found to be pH2A.X positive) by the 2.5µM resveratrol solution (Figure 4A, 4H). Strikingly only 34% of cells treated with 1µM resveratrol were positive for pH2A.X expression (51: p = 0.05). To test our hypothesis if resveratrol induced activation of SIRT1 prevents cellular senescence by increasing DNA damage repair mechanisms, we evaluated mRNA expression levels of ATM and the cell cycle regulator P16 by qRT-PCR (Figure 4B, 4F and Supplementary Figure 3B). We found a significant upregulation / 26-fold of mRNA expression in the UdRPCs derived from the 48year-old donor treated with low concentrations and a significant downregulation/ 0.99-fold and 0.5-fold in cells derived from both donors 48 and 51 treated with high concentrations of resveratrol (48: p < 0.01, 51: p < 0.01). In contrast *P16* expression levels became



Figure 4. The SIX2/SIRT1/AKT/GSK3β network can be activated by resveratrol and regulates the cell fate of UdRPCs. Cell culture medium of UdRPCs was supplemented with different concentrations of resveratrol for 24h. Activation of *SIRT1* and phosphorylation of H2A.X were monitored by immunofluorescence-based detection (**A**) (scale bars: 100 μm). mRNA expression of *SIRT1*, *ATM*, *P16* and *SIX2* was determined in U48 by quantitative real-time PCR (**B**). Cell growth of U48 was evaluated after 24h of resveratrol treatment as depicted (**C**). Relative protein expression normalized to β-ACTIN for SIRT1, AKT and GSK3ß and relative protein phosphorylation for AKT, GSK3B and pH2A.X in U48 was detected by Western blot (**D**). RT-PCR analysis reveal Progerin transcripts in U48 treated with high concentrations of resveratrol (**E**). mRNA expression of *SIRT1*, *ATM*, *P16* and *SIX2* was determined in U51 by quantitative real-time PCR (**F**). Cell growth of U51 was evaluated after 24h of resveratrol treatment as depicted (**G**). Relative protein expression normalized to β-ACTIN for SIRT1, AKT and GSK3ß and relative protein phosphorylation for AKT, GSK3ß and pH2A.X in U51 was detected by Western blot (**H**). RT-PCR analysis reveal Progerin transcripts in D51 treated with high concentrations of resveratrol (**I**).

significantly downregulated/ 0.62-fold in UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old donor with the low concentration and significantly upregulated 8.8-fold when cells were treated with the high concentrations of resveratrol (48: p < 0.01). Next, we assessed the effects of resveratrol on the stem cell characteristics by measuring the expression level of the renal progenitor marker SIX2 and CD133 (Figure 4A, 4F and Supplementary Figure 3B) as well as the occurrence of the aberrant Lamin A splicing variant Progerin (Figure 4E, 4I). In both cell cultures we found a non-significant upregulation/ 0.35-fold and 0.16-fold of SIX2 mRNA (48: p < 0.31 and 51: p < 0.27) when cells were treated with the low concentrations of resveratrol, while high concentrations of resveratrol significantly downregulated/ 0.99-fold and 0.45-fold (48: p < 0.01 and 51: p < 0.01) SIX2 mRNA expression levels. In contrast for CD133 a significant upregulation/ 5.3-fold was only found in the UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old individual (p =0.02) when treated with the low and a significant downregulation/ 0.83-fold (p < 0.01) when treated with the high concentrations of resveratrol. UdRPCs derived from the 51-year-old individual showed no difference in CD133 mRNA expression when treated with the low and a slightly but not significant (p = 0.2) downregulation/ 0.31-fold of CD133 when treated with the high concentration of resveratrol. The aberrant Lamin A splicing variant Progerin could not be detected in the UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old individual treated with 0µM, 0.1µM or 1µM of resveratrol, but alternate splicing of Lamin A occurred when cells were treated with the 2.5µM resveratrol solution. In contrast, in the UdRPCs derived from the 51-year-old individual the two aberrant splicing variants were detected in all samples, but in cells treated with low concentrations of resveratrol the intensity of one of the detected bands became much weaker. Next, we performed Western blot analysis of the downstream targets of SIRT1, namely AKT and GSK3ß (Figure 4D, 4H and Supplementary Figure 3A). For both cell lines we did not observe a change in total AKT nor GSK3ß protein expression in either treatment. We found that in the low DNA damage UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old individual GSK3 β -phosphorylation (p = 0.04) was significantly increased by 32% when the cells were treated with the 1µM solution of resveratrol. Furthermore, within cells from the 48-year-old individual treated with the 2.5uM solution of resveratrol GSK3B phosphorylation was found to be significantly decreased by 56% (p < 0.01). In contrast, AKT phosphorylation was found to be significantly decreased when cells were treated with either of the resveratrol solutions by 41.19% and 67.86% respectively (p < 0.01). In the high DNA damage UdRPCs derived from the 51-year-old individual no significant changes in AKT or GSK3ß phosphorylation were observed. Finally, we evaluated the effects of the resveratrol treatment on the proliferative capacity of UdRPCs (Figure 4C, 4G). After 24h cells from both donors treated with the 2.5 μ M solution of resveratrol showed a significant decrease in cell number (p < 0.01) (Figure 4G). In contrast only the UdRPCs derived from the 48-year-old individual showed a significant increase in cell number after 24h of 0.1 and 1 μ M resveratrol treatment (Figure 4C). In summary, resveratrol can activate SIRT1 in a dose dependent manner in UdRPCs. A high dose >2.5 μ M enhances ageassociated phenotypes whereas low doses <1 μ M induce the opposite effect.

DNA damage induces an aging phenotype by downregulation of SIRT1

DNA damage can trigger four potential outcomes namely, transient cell cycle arrest coupled with DNA repair, apoptosis, senescence or cell differentiation [9]. SIRT1 has been reported to participate in all of the mentioned biological processes, so we tested the effect of endogenous induced DNA damage on SIRT1 expression in UdRPCs, expressing high levels of SIRT1 [20-22]. Bleomycin has been recognized as potent inducer of DBS for many years [51, 52], so we prepared final concentrations of 1µM resveratrol, 30µg/ml Bleomycin and a combination of both substances directly in the cell culture medium and treated the UdRPCs derived from the 27-year- old individual for 24h. Interestingly while resveratrol treated UdRPCs showed a significant increase/0.41-fold in SIRT1 mRNA expression (p < 0.01), upon resveratrol treatment (Figure 5B), we found SIRT1 protein expression to be unchanged between resveratrol treated and control cells (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure 4B). In contrast, cells treated with the combination of resveratrol and bleomycin showed no changes in mRNA expression, while bleomycin alone showed a significant decrease/ 0.21-fold in SIRT1 mRNA as well as protein expression (p < 0.01) (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure 4B). Immunofluorescence- based detection of H2A.X phosphorylation revealed no beneficial effect of the resveratrol treatment alone, with 34% (control) and 33% (1µM resveratrol) of cells being positive for DBS. In contrast UdRPCs treated with either Bleomycin alone or the combination of 1µM resveratrol and 30µg/ml Bleomycin showed a significant increase (p < 0.01), with 78% and 59% of cells being positive for pH2A.X expression (Figure 5A). Furthermore, Western blot analysis of H2A.X phosphorylation normalized either to *B*-actin or SIRT1 expression revealed a significant increase (p < 0.01) of DBS in cells treated with Bleomycin (Figure 5C). In contrast H2A.X phosphorylation could not be detected in either the control, the resveratrol, and the resveratrol + Bleomycin treated samples (Figure 5C). Strikingly the increased H2A.X phosphorylation was accompanied by a significant increase/ 9.23-fold of P16 mRNA expression,

while for all other conditions expression levels were found to be unchanged (p < 0.01). Surprisingly ATM mRNA expression levels were also found to be unchanged, with a slight downregulation in the resveratrol treated samples. Next, we assessed the effects of the resveratrol and/or Bleomycin on the stem cell characteristics of UdRPCs by measuring the expression level of the renal progenitor marker SIX2 and CD133 (Figure 5B). In accordance with our previous data, UdRPCs treated with resveratrol alone and in combination with Bleomycin showed an upregulation of SIX2 (1.38-fold) and CD133 (4-fold) mRNA levels. While this upregulation was found to be not significant when cells were treated with the combination of Resveratrol and Bleomycin (p = 0.25), the upregulation became highly significant by resveratrol treatment alone (p = 0.01). In contrast bleomycin treatment alone slightly downregulated mRNA expression levels of SIX2 and CD133 (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure 4A). Finally, we performed western blot analysis of the downstream targets of SIRT1, namely AKT and GSK3ß (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure 4B). Total levels of AKT were found to be significantly downregulated by 53.14% in the bleomycin treated cells, while total levels of GSK3ß were found to be significantly upregulated by 114% in the resveratrol treated cells. While AKT

phosphorylation was found to be significantly upregulated in resveratrol only treated cells by 108% (p=0.05). UdRPCs treated with the combination of resveratrol and bleomycin and the bleomycin alone treated cells did not show a significant change. Interestingly GSK3 β phosphorylation was found to be significantly downregulated in UdRPCs derived from the 27-year-old individual under all culture conditions (by 71.78% with Resveratrol, by 77.8% with Resveratrol and Bleomycin and 94.36% with Bleomycin) (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure 4A). In conclusion, DNA-damage can induce an aging phenotype by downregulation of SIRT1.

DISCUSSION

MSCs show a decline of self-renewal capacity and immunosuppressive properties with increased donor age and *in vitro* expansion [36, 38, 53]. In the present manuscript we provide evidence that UdRPCs directly isolated from human urine show typical hallmarks of aging when obtained from elderly donors. Our transcriptome data reveals the upregulation of genes involved in cellular senescence (*CDKN1A*, *CDKN2A* and *CDKN2D*) and inflammation (*CXCL1*, *IL6* and *IL8*) with increased donor age. In particular, the cell cycle





regulator P16 (or *CDKN2A*) is believed to play a crucial role in mediating cellular senescence and preventing tumour growth [54, 55]. Furthermore, *P16* expression has been linked to the extension of normal cellular lifespan [56]. In contrast our transcriptome data revealed a negative correlation between the donor age and the expression of genes involved in genomic instability (*ATM*, *MSH6*, *TFEB* and *XRCC1*), epigenetic alterations (*SETDB1*, *KDM6A*, *EZH1*, *SIRT1*, *SETDB2*, *HDAC6*, *HDAC4*, *KDM4B* and *SIRT3*) and genes involved in deregulation of nutrient sensing of the one carbon-, cysteine- and methionine-metabolic pathways (*SHMT1*, *SHMT2* and *MAT2B*).

Somatic cells acquire mutations and other forms of DNA damage as mammals age with four potential outcomes for the affected cell namely, transient cell cycle arrest coupled with DNA repair, apoptosis, senescence or cell differentiation [9]. UdRPCs derived from aged donors show increased phosphorylation levels of Histone 2A (pH2A.X), which is an established biomarker of DNAdamage at double strand breaks [44]. This increased amount of double strand breaks is accompanied by a downregulation of ATM and reduced phosphorylation levels of AKT and GSK3β. AKT signalling has been recognized to be positively affected by ATM [13] and needed for double strand break repair [57]. Furthermore, a "stemness checkpoint" controlled by ATM has been suggested. Hereby, double strand break initiated ATM signalling maintains MSCs and blocks differentiation [9]. This proposed "stemness checkpoint" is also reflected in our data. UdRPCs derived from young donors show low level of DNA damage accompanied with high expression levels of ATM and stem cell markers CD133 and SIX2. Furthermore, these cells also show high phosphorylation levels of AKT and GSK3B. In contrast UdRPCs derived from elderly donors show the direct opposite expression patterns for all the mentioned factors. Of note, GSK3B inhibition has already been linked to kidney progenitor differentiation [34]. This hypothesis that UdRPCs derived from aged donors might be more prone to differentiation is strengthened by the increased amount of the aberrant splicing form of Lamin A (Progerin). Since Progerin has been recognized as a marker of premature terminal differentiation and/or senescence [39].

The physiological activity of several sirtuin family members has been connected to the regulation of life span of lower organisms such as *Caenorhabditis elegans* [27], and *Drosophila melanogaster* [28] as well as mammals [29]. SIRT1 is the mammalian ortholog of the yeast silent information regulator (Sir2) and as a NAD⁺-dependent class III histone deacetylase with a wide variety of target molecules. Therefore its deacetylase activity has been linked to many biological processes connected to aging,

examples- apoptosis, cell differentiation, development, stress response, metabolism, and tumorigenesis [20-22]. Interestingly, SIRT1 is recruited to double strand breaks by ATM and is required for DNA damage repair [30]. Our transcriptome data reveals a downregulation of SIRT1 in UdRPCs derived from elderly donors. By applying genomic bisulfite sequencing we show that the SIRT1 promoter is methylation sensitive and found to be hypermethylated in UdRPCs derived from an elderly donor. It is well known that for the preservation of an unmethylated promoter DNA-methyltransferases must be excluded from the 5'-regulatory regions, which is strongly promoted by the binding of transcription factors. If a gene becomes transcriptional inactive this can lead to the progressive methylation within the 5'-regulatory region [58]. Elevated levels of genotoxic substances have been linked to increased DNA adducts, higher amounts of DNA damage and increased levels of DNMT1 expression [59]. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the DNA methylation changes found within the SIRT1 promoter might be a direct consequence of the increased levels of DBS in UdRPCs derived from elderly donors.

Furthermore, it has been recognized that DNA damage in vitro results in decreased SIRT1 activity [31] and that SIRT1 expression is reduced in senescent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), while its overexpression delays the onset of senescence and the loss of differentiation capacity [33]. When UdRPCs are treated with genotoxic substances (e.g., high doses of resveratrol or bleomycin), we observed a complete down-regulation of SIRT1 mRNA and protein expression. Furthermore, high doses of genotoxic substances caused upregulation of CDKN2A accompanied with increased phosphorylation levels of H2A.X. Of note also several studies reported high doses of Resveratrol being causative for the induction of replicative stress [60], DNA-damage [61] and even premature senescence [62]. Furthermore, the expression of stem cell markers SIX2 and CD133, as well as the phosphorylation levels of AKT and GSK3B were found to be exclusively down-, while Progerin expression was up-regulated. Strikingly, when UdRPCs are treated with low concentrations of resveratrol, which has been recognized as a potent activator of SIRT1 [48, 49], the mentioned changes within the UdRPCs could be partially reversed. Consistent in all treated UdRPCs, resveratrol caused an upregulation of SIRT1 mRNA and protein, which was accompanied by the transcriptional upregulation of the stem cell markers CD133 and SIX2, while P16 expression was consistently downregulated. Renal progenitor surface marker CD24 and stem cell self-renewal marker CD133 are required for primordial nephrogenesis [63, 64]. SIRT1 is known to co- localize with CD133 and Sirt1 deficiency has been recognized to decrease the percentage of CD133 positive cells [65], while resveratrol treatment is associated with an increase

in CD133 expression in human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells [66]. Furthermore, dependent on the accumulated DNA damage in the UdRPCs, resveratrol treatment induced an upregulation of GSK3ß phosphorylation, which we conclude might enhance the self-renewal and proliferation capacity of the treated cells. This causative correlation between increased SIRT1 expression and cellular differentiation has been shown in mesenchymal stem cell models during neuronal differentiation [66]. Additionally, increased SIRT1 protein expression was found to be protective against DBS, even when cells were treated with bleomycin. Our results highlight the importance of SIRT1 in DNA damage repair recognition in UdRPCs and ultimately the control of differentiation by regulating the activation of GSK3^β. Furthermore, UdRPCs can be distinguished into SIRT1 high and low expressing UdRPCs, rendering the cells with low expression levels more vulnerable to endogenous noxae. This might accelerate the accumulation of DNA damage and ultimately the accumulation of aging associated hallmarks.

To our knowledge this is the first study that reports a physical interaction of the renal progenitor marker SIX2 with the SIRT1 promoter region. The transcription factor SIX2 is needed to maintain renal progenitor cells during kidney organogenesis [34]. In UdRPCs derived from elderly donors we found a decrease in SIX2 as well as SIRT1 mRNA, while cells derived from young donors showed high expression levels accompanied with the already discussed consequences for cellular differentiation. This makes it tempting to speculate that both SIX2 and SIRT1 are needed to maintain selfrenewal in UdRPCs and that both factors positively regulate each other. This is further strengthened by the fact that the other factors (OSR1 and GNDF) which we used as positive controls for our pull down experiment have been reported to either maintain self-renewal of nephron progenitor cells (OSR1 [46]) or to participate in the developmental process of kidney organogenesis (GDNF [47]). Furthermore, in a mouse model of acute kidney injury exosomes derived from adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells were found to mediate a renal protective effect by the activation of the SIRT1 pathway [67]. Acute kidney injury has a high and increasing incidence in the elderly population [68] and can be caused by increased amounts of DNA-damage [69]. DNA damage has been recognized as one of the primary hallmarks [4] of aging and we propose that upon genotoxic stress either SIX2 or SIRT1 might be aberrantly regulated. The consequence of this might be even elevated levels of DBS leading to cellular senescence or differentiation and ultimately carcinogenic transformation. This mode of action has been proposed for the etiology of bladder cancer formation in the PrimeEpiHit hypothesis [70]. Of note, our transcriptome data revealed a downregulation of genes associated with the carbon-, cysteine- and methionine-metabolic pathways, including *MAT2B*. The promoter region of this gene has been identified to contain two resveratrol binding pockets and gets activated by resveratrol in a time- and dose-dependent manner [50]. A comparison with the cancer genome atlas TCGA reveals a downregulation of *SIRT1* and *MAT2B* for several urogenital cancer entities like, Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma, Kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma, Kidney Chromophobe, Pan-kidney cohort (KICH+KIRC+KIRP) (Supplementary Figure 5).

In summary we provide evidence for a direct interaction between the renal progenitor transcription factor SIX2 and the NAD⁺-dependent class III histone deacetylase SIRT1. Both factors are needed to maintain self-renewal of CD133-positive UdRPCs. Hereby, SIRT1 is involved in deacetylation and thereby activation of protein kinase B (AKT) [23] as well as deacetylation and thereby inactivation of B-Catenin [25]. Furthermore, AKT needs to be activated by ATM [71], even though the phosphorylation is indirect [14]. Activated AKT dephosphorylates and thereby inactivates GSK3ß [71]. GSK3ß phosphorylates ß-Catenin, which then become disassembled by the proteasome. Unphosphorylated and acetvlated β-Catenin is transferred to the nucleus, where it binds to TCF4 and induces nephrogenesis via activation of WNT signaling [72, 73] (Figure 6).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture conditions

UdRPCs were isolated as described in Rahman et al. [34] and were cultured in Proliferation Medium (PM) composed of 50% DMEM high Glucose and 50% Keratinocyte medium supplemented with 5% FCS, 0.5% NEAA, 0.25% Gtx and 0.5% Penicillin and Streptomycin at 37° C (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) under hypoxic conditions. For all experiments cells were collected after 7-8 passages and seeded in 6- or 12-well plates coated with 0.2% Gelatin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Resveratrol (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and Bleomycin (Sigma Aldrich) were added to the the culture medium to a final concentration of 30 μ g/ml. Cells were incubated with Resveratrol and Bleomycin containing culture medium for 24h.

Relative quantification of aging-associated gene expression by real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from UdRPCs using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed from 1 μ g RNA by reverse transcription using oligo(dT) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega) in a volume of 50 μ L at 42° C for 1 h.

Real time PCR of aging associated gene expression was performed as follows:

Real time measurements were carried out on the Step One Plus Real Time PCR Systems using MicroAmp Fast optical 384 Well Reaction Plate and Power Sybr Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The amplification conditions were denaturation at 95° C for 13 min. followed by 37 cycles of 95° C for 50s, 60° C for 45s and 72° C for 30s. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (Polysciences, Warrington, PA, USA). Unspecific binding sites of the fixed cells were blocked by incubation with blocking buffer containing 10% normal goat or donkey serum, 1% BSA, 0.5% Triton, and 0.05% Tween, for 2h at room temperature. The primary antibody was diluted 1:1 in blocking buffer with PBS and incubated at 4° C overnight (or at least 16h). After incubation the cells were washed three times with PBS/0.05% Tween and the secondary antibodies were diluted the same way as the primary antibodies with a 1:500 dilution. After 1h of secondary antibody



Figure 6. Gene regulatory network associated with the aging process in UdRPCs. SIRT1 protein is in the cell nucleus (purple circle) and can be induced by resveratrol as well as the renal progenitor-regulating transcription factor-SIX2. SIRT1 protein is positively correlated with mRNA expression of the renal stem cell markers CD133 and SIX2 and has major implications in the self-renewal of UdRPCs. SIRT1 is involved in deacetylation and thereby activation of protein kinase B (AKT) as well as deacetylation and thereby inactivation of β-Catenin. AKT can be activated through phosphorylation by ATM. Activated AKT dephosphorylates and thereby inactivates GSK3β. GSK3β phosphorylates β-Catenin, which then gets disassembled by the proteasome. Unphosphorylated and acetylated β-Catenin is transferred to the nucleus, where it binds to TCF4 and induces nephrogenesis via activated WNT signaling.

incubation the cells were washed again three times with PBS/0.05% Tween and nuclei were stained with Hoechst 1:5000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cytoskeleton was stained with Alexa Flour 488 phalloidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:400). Images were captured using a fluorescence microscope (LSM700; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with Zenblue software (Zeiss). Individual channel images were processed with Fiji. Detailed Information of the used antibodies are given in Supplementary Table 2.

Microarray data analyses

Total RNA (1 µg) preparations were hybridized on the PrimeView Human Gene Expression Array (Affymetrix, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at the core facility Biomedizinisches Forschungszentrum (BMFZ) of the Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf. The raw data was imported into the R/Bioconductor environment and further processed with the package affy using background-correction, logarithmic (base 2) transformation and normalization with the Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) method. The heatmap.2 function from the gplots package was applied for cluster analysis and to generate heatmaps using Pearson correlation as similarity measure. Gene expression was detected using a detection-p-value threshold of 0.05. Differential gene expression was determined via the p-value from the limma package which was adjusted for false discovery rate using the q value package. Thresholds of 1.33 and 0.75 were used for up-/down-regulation of ratios and 0.05 for pvalues. Venn diagrams were generated with the Venn Diagram package. Subsets from the venn diagrams were used for follow-up GO and pathway analyses as described by Zhou et al. [74]. Gene expression data will be available online at the National Centre of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus.

Western blot analysis

UdRPCs were lysed in lysis buffer composed of 5M NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% DOC, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 50mM Tris, pH 8.0, and freshly added 10 μ L/mL protease- and phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma Aldrich). 20 μ g of the obtained protein lysate was resolved in a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-PAGE gel and transferred onto Immobilon-P membrane (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Membranes were probed with primary antibody at 4° C overnight, washed three times with 0.1% Tween-20 in Trisbuffered saline, and incubated with secondary antibody for 1h at room temperature. The signals were visualized with enhanced luminescence Western Bright Quantum (Advansta, San Jose, CA, USA).

Detailed Information of the used antibodies are given in Supplementary Table 2.

Expression of Lamin A and Progerin

Total RNA was extracted from UdRPCs using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed from 1µg RNA by reverse transcription using oligo(dT) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega) in a volume of 50µL at 42° C for 1 h. Lamin A and Progerin were detected as described by McClintock et al., [75]. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Immunoprecipitation

Cells were chemically crosslinked with 11% formaldehyde solution for 15min at room temperature. Cells were washed twice with $1 \times PBS$ and harvested using a silicon scraper in a lysis buffer, and genomic DNA was sonicated at 4° C in TPX® polymethylpentene tubes using a Bioruptor® sonicator (Diagenode, Liege, Belgium). Twenty sonication pulses of each 15sec were applied. The resulting wholecell extract (WCE) was incubated overnight at 4° C with 100µl of Dynal Protein A magnetic beads (Diagenode) previously pre-incubated with (input) and without (negative control) 10µg of SIX2 antibody. Beads were washed five times with RIPA buffer and once with TE containing 50mM NaCl. Bound complexes were eluted from the beads by heating at 65° C with occasional vortexing, and crosslinking was reversed by overnight incubation at 65° C. Input and negative control were also treated for crosslink reversal. Immunoprecipitated DNA and whole-cell extract DNA were then purified by treatment with RNase A, proteinase K, multiple phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol extractions and precipitation with ethanol. Purified DNA was amplified using the PCR protocol.

Bisulfite sequencing

Bisulfite sequencing was performed following bisulfite conversion with the EpiTec Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as described in Erichsen et al. [70]. PCR primer sequences are given in Supplementary Table 1 and refer to +1 transcription start of the following sequences:

Homo sapiens sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), RefSeqGene on chromosome 10

NCBI Reference Sequence: NG_050664.1

Obtained sequences were analysed using Quma (<u>http://quma.cdb.riken.jp/</u>) as described in [76].

Statistical analysis

Data is presented as arithmetic means + standard error of mean. At least three experiments were used for the calculation of mean values. To address the statistical significance, we applied the two-samples Student's t-test with a significance threshold 0.05. The level of significance was set to p < 0.05.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Lars Erichsen: experiments, supplementary data, data interpretation, study conception and design, manuscript writing. James Adjaye: supervision, data interpretation, study conception and design, manuscript revision.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. Wasco Wruck for bioinformatics analysis, Chantelle Thimm, and Lisa Nguyen for technical assistance.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT AND CONSENT

In this study, urine samples were collected with the informed consent of the donors and the written approval (Ethical approval Number: 5704) of the ethical review board of the medical faculty of Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. All methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines. Medical faculty of Heinrich Heine University approved all experimental protocols.

FUNDING

JA acknowledges financial support from the Medical faculty of Heinrich Heine University-Duesseldorf.

REFERENCES

- 1. The United Nations on World Population in 2300. Population and Development Review. 2004; 30:181–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2004.00009.x
- Armanios M, de Cabo R, Mannick J, Partridge L, van Deursen J, Villeda S. Translational strategies in aging and age-related disease. Nat Med. 2015; 21:1395–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4004</u> PMID:<u>26646495</u>
- López-Otín C, Blasco MA, Partridge L, Serrano M, Kroemer G. The hallmarks of aging. Cell. 2013;

153:1194–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.039 PMID:<u>23746838</u>

- Moskalev AA, Shaposhnikov MV, Plyusnina EN, Zhavoronkov A, Budovsky A, Yanai H, Fraifeld VE. The role of DNA damage and repair in aging through the prism of Koch-like criteria. Ageing Res Rev. 2013; 12:661–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2012.02.001</u> PMID:22353384
- Ben-Porath I, Weinberg RA. When cells get stressed: an integrative view of cellular senescence. J Clin Invest. 2004; 113:8–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI20663</u> PMID:<u>14702100</u>
- Collins CJ, Sedivy JM. Involvement of the INK4a/Arf gene locus in senescence. Aging Cell. 2003; 2:145–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1474-9728.2003.00048.x</u> PMID:<u>12882406</u>
- Wright WE, Shay JW. Historical claims and current interpretations of replicative aging. Nat Biotechnol. 2002; 20:682–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0702-682 PMID:12089552
- Sherman MH, Bassing CH, Teitell MA. Regulation of cell differentiation by the DNA damage response. Trends Cell Biol. 2011; 21:312–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2011.01.004</u> PMID:<u>21354798</u>
- Ito K, Hirao A, Arai F, Matsuoka S, Takubo K, Hamaguchi I, Nomiyama K, Hosokawa K, Sakurada K, Nakagata N, Ikeda Y, Mak TW, Suda T. Regulation of oxidative stress by ATM is required for selfrenewal of haematopoietic stem cells. Nature. 2004; 431:997–1002. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02989

PMID:15496926

 Liu Y, Elf SE, Miyata Y, Sashida G, Liu Y, Huang G, Di Giandomenico S, Lee JM, Deblasio A, Menendez S, Antipin J, Reva B, Koff A, Nimer SD. p53 regulates hematopoietic stem cell quiescence. Cell Stem Cell. 2009; 4:37–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2008.11.006

nttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2008.11.004 PMID:<u>19128791</u>

 Korotchkina LG, Leontieva OV, Bukreeva EI, Demidenko ZN, Gudkov AV, Blagosklonny MV. The choice between p53-induced senescence and quiescence is determined in part by the mTOR pathway. Aging (Albany NY). 2010; 2:344–52. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.100160

PMID:20606252

12. Khalil A, Morgan RN, Adams BR, Golding SE, Dever SM, Rosenberg E, Povirk LF, Valerie K. ATM-dependent ERK signaling via AKT in response to DNA double-strand breaks. Cell Cycle. 2011; 10:481–91. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.10.3.14713 PMID:21263216

- Xu N, Lao Y, Zhang Y, Gillespie DA. Akt: a double-edged sword in cell proliferation and genome stability. J Oncol. 2012; 2012:951724. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/951724</u> PMID:<u>22481935</u>
- 14. Bozulic L, Surucu B, Hynx D, Hemmings BA. PKBalpha/Akt1 acts downstream of DNA-PK in the DNA double-strand break response and promotes survival. Mol Cell. 2008; 30:203–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.02.024</u> PMID:<u>18439899</u>
- Lu D, Huang J, Basu A. Protein kinase Cepsilon activates protein kinase B/Akt via DNA-PK to protect against tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced cell death. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:22799–807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M603390200</u> PMID:16785234
- Viniegra JG, Martínez N, Modirassari P, Hernández Losa J, Parada Cobo C, Sánchez-Arévalo Lobo VJ, Aceves Luquero CI, Alvarez-Vallina L, Ramón y Cajal S, Rojas JM, Sánchez-Prieto R. Full activation of PKB/Akt in response to insulin or ionizing radiation is mediated through ATM. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:4029–36. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M410344200</u> PMID:<u>15546863</u>
- Brognard J, Clark AS, Ni Y, Dennis PA. Akt/protein kinase B is constitutively active in non-small cell lung cancer cells and promotes cellular survival and resistance to chemotherapy and radiation. Cancer Res. 2001; 61:3986–97. PMID:<u>11358816</u>
- Alessi DR, Andjelkovic M, Caudwell B, Cron P, Morrice N, Cohen P, Hemmings BA. Mechanism of activation of protein kinase B by insulin and IGF-1. EMBO J. 1996; 15:6541–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb01045.x</u> PMID:8978681
- Brooks CL, Gu W. How does SIRT1 affect metabolism, senescence and cancer? Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9:123–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2562 PMID:19132007
- 20. Nakagawa T, Guarente L. Sirtuins at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2011; 124:833–8. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.081067 PMID:21378304
- 21. Finkel T, Deng CX, Mostoslavsky R. Recent progress in the biology and physiology of sirtuins. Nature. 2009; 460:587–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08197</u> PMID:<u>19641587</u>

22. Li XH, Chen C, Tu Y, Sun HT, Zhao ML, Cheng SX, Qu Y, Zhang S. Sirt1 promotes axonogenesis by deacetylation of Akt and inactivation of GSK3. Mol Neurobiol. 2013; 48:490–9.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-013-8437-3 PMID:<u>23475611</u>

- Kim MJ, Ahn K, Park SH, Kang HJ, Jang BG, Oh SJ, Oh SM, Jeong YJ, Heo JI, Suh JG, Lim SS, Ko YJ, Huh SO, et al. SIRT1 regulates tyrosine hydroxylase expression and differentiation of neuroblastoma cells via FOXO3a. FEBS Lett. 2009; 583:1183–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.03.007</u> PMID:19285077
- 24. Simic P, Zainabadi K, Bell E, Sykes DB, Saez B, Lotinun S, Baron R, Scadden D, Schipani E, Guarente L. SIRT1 regulates differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by deacetylating β -catenin. EMBO Mol Med. 2013; 5:430–40.

https://doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201201606 PMID:23364955

- Deng CX. SIRT1, is it a tumor promoter or tumor suppressor? Int J Biol Sci. 2009; 5:147–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.5.147</u> PMID:<u>19173036</u>
- 26. Han MK, Song EK, Guo Y, Ou X, Mantel C, Broxmeyer HE. SIRT1 regulates apoptosis and Nanog expression in mouse embryonic stem cells by controlling p53 subcellular localization. Cell Stem Cell. 2008; 2:241–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2008.01.002</u> PMID:<u>18371449</u>
- Tissenbaum HA, Guarente L. Increased dosage of a sir-2 gene extends lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature. 2001; 410:227–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/35065638 PMID:11242085</u>
- Wood JG, Rogina B, Lavu S, Howitz K, Helfand SL, Tatar M, Sinclair D. Sirtuin activators mimic caloric restriction and delay ageing in metazoans. Nature. 2004; 430:686–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02789</u> PMID:<u>15254550</u>
- Bordone L, Cohen D, Robinson A, Motta MC, van Veen E, Czopik A, Steele AD, Crowe H, Marmor S, Luo J, Gu W, Guarente L. SIRT1 transgenic mice show phenotypes resembling calorie restriction. Aging Cell. 2007; 6:759–67.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2007.00335.x PMID:<u>17877786</u>

 Dobbin MM, Madabhushi R, Pan L, Chen Y, Kim D, Gao J, Ahanonu B, Pao PC, Qiu Y, Zhao Y, Tsai LH. SIRT1 collaborates with ATM and HDAC1 to maintain genomic stability in neurons. Nat Neurosci. 2013; 16:1008–15.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3460 PMID:23852118

- 31. Yuan J, Luo K, Liu T, Lou Z. Regulation of SIRT1 activity by genotoxic stress. Genes Dev. 2012; 26:791–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.188482.112</u> PMID:<u>22465953</u>
- 32. Zannini L, Buscemi G, Kim JE, Fontanella E, Delia D. DBC1 phosphorylation by ATM/ATR inhibits SIRT1 deacetylase in response to DNA damage. J Mol Cell Biol. 2012; 4:294–303. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjs035</u> PMID:22735644
- 33. Yuan HF, Zhai C, Yan XL, Zhao DD, Wang JX, Zeng Q, Chen L, Nan X, He LJ, Li ST, Yue W, Pei XT. SIRT1 is required for long-term growth of human mesenchymal stem cells. J Mol Med (Berl). 2012; 90:389–400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-011-0825-4</u> PMID:<u>22038097</u>
- 34. Rahman MS, Wruck W, Spitzhorn LS, Nguyen L, Bohndorf M, Martins S, Asar F, Ncube A, Erichsen L, Graffmann N, Adjaye J. The FGF, TGFβ and WNT axis Modulate Self-renewal of Human SIX2⁺ Urine Derived Renal Progenitor Cells. Sci Rep. 2020; 10:739. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57723-2</u> PMID:<u>31959818</u>
- Fafián-Labora J, Lesende-Rodriguez I, Fernández-Pernas P, Sangiao-Alvarellos S, Monserrat L, Arntz OJ, van de Loo FJ, Mateos J, Arufe MC. Effect of age on pro-inflammatory miRNAs contained in mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles. Sci Rep. 2017; 7:43923.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43923 PMID:28262816

- 36. Ganguly P, El-Jawhari JJ, Giannoudis PV, Burska AN, Ponchel F, Jones EA. Age-related Changes in Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells: A Potential Impact on Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis Development. Cell Transplant. 2017; 26:1520–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0963689717721201</u> PMID:<u>29113463</u>
- Ucer S, Iyer S, Kim HN, Han L, Rutlen C, Allison K, Thostenson JD, de Cabo R, Jilka RL, O'Brien C, Almeida M, Manolagas SC. The Effects of Aging and Sex Steroid Deficiency on the Murine Skeleton Are Independent and Mechanistically Distinct. J Bone Miner Res. 2017; 32:560–74.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.3014 PMID:27714847

 de Witte SFH, Lambert EE, Merino A, Strini T, Douben HJCW, O'Flynn L, Elliman SJ, de Klein AJEMM, Newsome PN, Baan CC, Hoogduijn MJ. Aging of bone marrow- and umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stromal cells during expansion. Cytotherapy. 2017; 19:798–807. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcyt.2017.03.071</u>

PMID:28462821

39. Rodriguez S, Coppedè F, Sagelius H, Eriksson M.

Increased expression of the Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome truncated lamin A transcript during cell aging. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009; 17:928–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2008.270 PMID:19172989

- Benson EK, Lee SW, Aaronson SA. Role of progerininduced telomere dysfunction in HGPS premature cellular senescence. J Cell Sci. 2010; 123:2605–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.067306</u> PMID:<u>20605919</u>
- Scaffidi P, Misteli T. Lamin A-dependent nuclear defects in human aging. Science. 2006; 312:1059–63. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127168</u> PMID:<u>16645051</u>
- 42. Ghosh S, Liu B, Wang Y, Hao Q, Zhou Z. Lamin A Is an Endogenous SIRT6 Activator and Promotes SIRT6-Mediated DNA Repair. Cell Rep. 2015; 13:1396–406. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.10.006</u> PMID:<u>26549451</u>
- 43. Georgoulis A, Vorgias CE, Chrousos GP, Rogakou EP. Genome Instability and γH2AX. Int J Mol Sci. 2017; 18:1979. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18091979</u> PMID:<u>28914798</u>
- Teschendorff AE, Menon U, Gentry-Maharaj A, Ramus SJ, Weisenberger DJ, Shen H, Campan M, Noushmehr H, Bell CG, Maxwell AP, Savage DA, Mueller-Holzner E, Marth C, et al. Age-dependent DNA methylation of genes that are suppressed in stem cells is a hallmark of cancer. Genome Res. 2010; 20:440–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.103606.109</u> PMID:20219944
- Issa JP. Aging and epigenetic drift: a vicious cycle. J Clin Invest. 2014; 124:24–9. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI69735 PMID:24382386
- 46. Xu J, Liu H, Park JS, Lan Y, Jiang R. Osr1 acts downstream of and interacts synergistically with Six2 to maintain nephron progenitor cells during kidney organogenesis. Development. 2014; 141:1442–52. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.103283 PMID:24598167
- 47. Brodbeck S, Besenbeck B, Englert C. The transcription factor Six2 activates expression of the Gdnf gene as well as its own promoter. Mech Dev. 2004; 121:1211–22.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.mod.2004.05.019

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2004.05.019 PMID:<u>15327782</u>

 Lagouge M, Argmann C, Gerhart-Hines Z, Meziane H, Lerin C, Daussin F, Messadeq N, Milne J, Lambert P, Elliott P, Geny B, Laakso M, Puigserver P, Auwerx J. Resveratrol improves mitochondrial function and protects against metabolic disease by activating SIRT1 and PGC-1alpha. Cell. 2006; 127:1109–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.11.013 PMID:<u>17112576</u>

- 49. Borra MT, Smith BC, Denu JM. Mechanism of human SIRT1 activation by resveratrol. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:17187–95. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501250200</u> PMID:15749705
- 50. Yang H, Zheng Y, Li TW, Peng H, Fernandez-Ramos D, Martínez-Chantar ML, Rojas AL, Mato JM, Lu SC. Methionine adenosyltransferase 2B, HuR, and sirtuin 1 protein cross-talk impacts on the effect of resveratrol on apoptosis and growth in liver cancer cells. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:23161–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.487157</u> PMID:23814050
- 51. Povirk LF, Han YH, Steighner RJ. Structure of bleomycin-induced DNA double-strand breaks: predominance of blunt ends and single-base 5' extensions. Biochemistry. 1989; 28:5808–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00440a016</u> PMID:<u>2476175</u>
- 52. Povirk LF, Wübter W, Köhnlein W, Hutchinson F. DNA double-strand breaks and alkali-labile bonds produced by bleomycin. Nucleic Acids Res. 1977; 4:3573–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/4.10.3573</u> PMID:<u>73164</u>
- LeBlon CE, Casey ME, Fodor CR, Zhang T, Zhang X, Jedlicka SS. Correlation between *in vitro* expansionrelated cell stiffening and differentiation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells. Differentiation. 2015; 90:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.2015.08.002

PMID:26381795

- 54. Krishnamurthy J, Torrice C, Ramsey MR, Kovalev GI, Al-Regaiey K, Su L, Sharpless NE. Ink4a/Arf expression is a biomarker of aging. J Clin Invest. 2004; 114:1299–307. <u>https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI22475</u> PMID:<u>15520862</u>
- 55. Gil J, Peters G. Regulation of the INK4b-ARF-INK4a tumour suppressor locus: all for one or one for all. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7:667–77. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1987 PMID:16921403
- 56. Li Y, Liu L, Tollefsbol TO. Glucose restriction can extend normal cell lifespan and impair precancerous cell growth through epigenetic control of hTERT and p16 expression. FASEB J. 2010; 24:1442–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-149328</u> PMID:<u>20019239</u>
- 57. Golding SE, Morgan RN, Adams BR, Hawkins AJ, Povirk LF, Valerie K. Pro-survival AKT and ERK signaling from EGFR and mutant EGFRvIII enhances DNA doublestrand break repair in human glioma cells. Cancer Biol

Ther. 2009; 8:730–8. https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.8.8.7927 PMID:19252415

 Smith ZD, Meissner A. DNA methylation: roles in mammalian development. Nat Rev Genet. 2013; 14:204–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3354</u>

PMID:23400093

59. Jin F, Thaiparambil J, Donepudi SR, Vantaku V, Piyarathna DWB, Maity S, Krishnapuram R, Putluri V, Gu F, Purwaha P, Bhowmik SK, Ambati CR, von Rundstedt FC, et al. Tobacco-Specific Carcinogens Induce Hypermethylation, DNA Adducts, and DNA Damage in Bladder Cancer. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2017; 10:588–97. https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-17-0198

PMID:28851690

- Benslimane Y, Bertomeu T, Coulombe-Huntington J, McQuaid M, Sánchez-Osuna M, Papadopoli D, Avizonis D, Russo MST, Huard C, Topisirovic I, Wurtele H, Tyers M, Harrington L. Genome-Wide Screens Reveal that Resveratrol Induces Replicative Stress in Human Cells. Mol Cell. 2020; 79:846–56.e8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.07.010</u> PMID:<u>32755594</u>
- Zheng LF, Wei QY, Cai YJ, Fang JG, Zhou B, Yang L, Liu ZL. DNA damage induced by resveratrol and its synthetic analogues in the presence of Cu (II) ions: mechanism and structure-activity relationship. Free Radic Biol Med. 2006; 41:1807–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2006.09.007 PMID:<u>17157183</u>
- Luo H, Yang A, Schulte BA, Wargovich MJ, Wang GY. Resveratrol induces premature senescence in lung cancer cells via ROS-mediated DNA damage. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e60065. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060065</u> PMID:23533664
- Lazzeri E, Crescioli C, Ronconi E, Mazzinghi B, Sagrinati C, Netti GS, Angelotti ML, Parente E, Ballerini L, Cosmi L, Maggi L, Gesualdo L, Rotondi M, et al. Regenerative potential of embryonic renal multipotent progenitors in acute renal failure. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007; 18:3128–38.

https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2007020210 PMID:<u>17978305</u>

64. Sagrinati C, Netti GS, Mazzinghi B, Lazzeri E, Liotta F, Frosali F, Ronconi E, Meini C, Gacci M, Squecco R, Carini M, Gesualdo L, Francini F, et al. Isolation and characterization of multipotent progenitor cells from the Bowman's capsule of adult human kidneys. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 17:2443–56.

https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2006010089 PMID:<u>16885410</u> Chen X, Sun K, Jiao S, Cai N, Zhao X, Zou H, Xie Y, Wang Z, Zhong M, Wei L. High levels of SIRT1 expression enhance tumorigenesis and associate with a poor prognosis of colorectal carcinoma patients. Sci Rep. 2014; 4:7481. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07481

PMID:25500546

- Joe IS, Jeong SG, Cho GW. Resveratrol-induced SIRT1 activation promotes neuronal differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. Neurosci Lett. 2015; 584:97–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2014.10.024</u> PMID:25459285
- 67. Gao F, Zuo B, Wang Y, Li S, Yang J, Sun D. Protective function of exosomes from adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells in acute kidney injury through SIRT1 pathway. Life Sci. 2020; 255:117719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117719</u> PMID:<u>32428599</u>
- Chang-Panesso M. Acute kidney injury and aging. Pediatr Nephrol. 2021; 36:2997–3006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-020-04849-0</u> PMID:<u>33411069</u>
- 69. Srivastava RK, Traylor AM, Li C, Feng W, Guo L, Antony VB, Schoeb TR, Agarwal A, Athar M. Cutaneous exposure to lewisite causes acute kidney injury by invoking DNA damage and autophagic response. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2018; 314:F1166–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00277.2017</u> PMID:<u>29361668</u>
- 70. Erichsen L, Ghanjati F, Beermann A, Poyet C, Hermanns T, Schulz WA, Seifert HH, Wild PJ, Buser L, Kröning A, Braunstein S, Anlauf M, Jankowiak S, et al. Aberrant methylated key genes of methyl group metabolism within the molecular etiology of urothelial carcinogenesis. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:3477. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21932-7</u> PMID:<u>29472622</u>
- 71. Karimian A, Mir SM, Parsian H, Refieyan S, Mirza-Aghazadeh-Attari M, Yousefi B, Majidinia M. Crosstalk between Phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt signaling

pathway with DNA damage response and oxidative stress in cancer. J Cell Biochem. 2019; 120:10248–72. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.28309 PMID:30592328

- 72. Lévy L, Wei Y, Labalette C, Wu Y, Renard CA, Buendia MA, Neuveut C. Acetylation of beta-catenin by p300 regulates beta-catenin-Tcf4 interaction. Mol Cell Biol. 2004; 24:3404–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.8.3404-3414.2004</u> PMID:<u>15060161</u>
- 73. Chocarro-Calvo A, García-Martínez JM, Ardila-González S, De la Vieja A, García-Jiménez C. Glucose-induced β-catenin acetylation enhances Wnt signaling in cancer. Mol Cell. 2013; 49:474–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.11.022
 PMID:23273980
- 74. Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, Benner C, Chanda SK. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. Nat Commun. 2019; 10:1523.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09234-6 PMID:<u>30944313</u>

 McClintock D, Ratner D, Lokuge M, Owens DM, Gordon LB, Collins FS, Djabali K. The mutant form of lamin A that causes Hutchinson-Gilford progeria is a biomarker of cellular aging in human skin. PLoS One. 2007; 2:e1269.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001269 PMID:<u>18060063</u>

 Kumaki Y, Oda M, Okano M. QUMA: quantification tool for methylation analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008; 36:W170–5.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn294 PMID:18487274

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. UdRPCs show an increase of DNA damage with increase donor age. Percentage of pH2A.x positive cells derived from donors with different age (A). mRNA expression of P16, TP53 and MAT2b was determined by quantitative real time PCR (B).



Supplementary Figure 2. SIX2/SIRT1/AKT/GSK3β network is altered in UdRPCs derived from aged donors.



AGING



Supplementary Figure 3. The SIX2/SIRT1/AKT/GSK3ß network can be activated by resveratrol and regulates the cell fate of UdRPCs. UdRPCs derived from a 48- and 51-year-old donor were treated with different concentrations of resveratrol. Relative protein expression for SIRT1 and relative protein phosphorylation for AKT, GSK3β and pH2A.X was detected by Western blot and is given in % (A). mRNA expression of SIRT1, MAT2B, CD133, ATM, SIX2 and P16 was determined by quantitative real time PCR (**A**, **B**).



Supplementary Figure 4. DNA damage induces an aging phenotype by downregulation of SIRT1. UdRPCs derived from a 27-yearold donor were treated with resveratrol and or bleomycin. mRNA expression of CD133 was determined by quantitative real time PCR (**A**). Relative protein expression for SIRT1 and relative protein phosphorylation for AKT, GSK3β and pH2A.X was detected by Western blot and is given in % (**B**).



Supplementary Figure 5. TCGA SIRT1 and MAT2B expression plots.



Supplementary Figure 6. Full sized gel image for immunoprecipitation PCR analysis.

Supplementary Tables

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Table 3.

Primer name	Sequence	Annealing temperature (° C)	Product length (bp)
ATM s	5'-AGCTCGGATGCTTTCCTCAA-3'	60	
ATM as	5'-CTCCATCGAGAAGGTCCACG-3'		
CD133 s	5'-GACTTGCGAACTCTCTTGAATGA-3'	60	222
CD133 as	5'-GGTAGTGTTGTACTGGGCCAAT-3'		
CDKN2A s	5'-CAACGCACCGAATAGTTACG-3'	60	
CDKN2A as	5'-AGCACCACCAGCGTGTC-3'		
GDNF s	5'-GTCAAGAGAGGGTTTTCGGGT-3'	60	105
GDNF as	5'-ATCTTAAAGTCCCGTCCGGC-3'		
LMNA (Exon 9-12) s	5'-GGCTGCGGGAACAGC-3'	60	500
LMNA (Exon 9-12) as	5'-CTGGCAGGTCCC-3'		
MAT2b s	5'-ACAGAGAGGAAGACATACCAG-3'	60	324
MAT2b as	5'-GTTCATTGCCAGACCAGTG-3'		
OSR1 s	5'-GCTAAAGCCCCAGAGACGTG-3'	60	80
OSR1 as	5'-TTCGGTAGTTGCAGTGGCTT-3'		
RPL0 s	5'-TCGACAATGGCAGCATCTAC-3'	60	195
RPL0 as	5'-ATCCGTCTCCACAGACAAGG-3'		
SIRT1 (Exon 1-2) s	5'-AGGGCGAGGAGGAGGAAGAG-3'	60	122
SIRT1 (Exon 1-2) as	5'-GGCTCTATCCTCCTCATCACTTTC-3'		
SIRT1 (Exon 7-8) s	5'-GCAGATTAGTAGGCGGCTTG-3'	60	152
SIRT1 (Exon 7-8) as	5'-TCTGGCATGTCCCACTATCA-3'		
SIRT1 bseq s	5'-GAGGGAGGAGGGTTAGAGAG-3'	55	341
SIRT1 bseq as	5'-CATTATCTCCTTCCCCAACC-3'		
SIX2 s	5'-GGTATTATGTTTATGTTGTTTAT-3'	60	232
SIX2 as	5'-AACTAATAACTCTCCAAAATCT-3'		

Supplementary Table 2. Antibodies.

Antigen	Company	Dilution (IF/WB)
Mouse β-Actin	Cell Signaling #3700	n.a./1:5000
Rabbit pAKT (Ser473)	Cell Signaling #9271	n.a./1:1000
Rabbit total AKT	Cell Signaling #9272	n.a./1:1000
Rabbit pGSK3ß (Ser9)	Cell Signaling #5558	n.a./1:1000
Rabbit total GSK3ß	Cell Signaling #5676	n.a./1:1000
Rabbit pH2A.X (Ser139)	Cell Signaling #9718S	1:200/1:1000
Mouse TP53	Merck Millipore #OP43	n.a./1:1000
Mouse SIRT1	Abcam #ab110304	1:200/1:1000
Mouse SIX2	Abnova #H00010736-1101	1:200/n.a.
Anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488	Invitrogen #A11070	1:500
Anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 555	Invitrogen #A21424	1:500
Anti-mouse HRP-labeled	Thermo Fisher Scientific #NA931	1:4000
Anti-rabbit HRP-labeled	Cell Signaling #7074S	1:1000

Supplementary Table 3. Differentially expressed genes UdRPCs derived from differently ages donors.