KIF20A as a potential biomarker of renal and bladder cancers based on bioinformatics and experimental verification

Haoyuan Wang¹, Xiaopeng Ma², Sijie Li², Jianzhi Su¹, Bo Fan¹, Bin Liu¹, Xiaochen Ni¹

¹Department of Urology Surgery, The Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050000, Hebei, P.R. China

²School of Basic Medical Sciences, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang 050000, Hebei, P.R. China

Correspondence to: Xiaochen Ni; email: nxcnxc125@163.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4618-8104Keywords: renal cancer, KIF20A, bladder cancer, potential biomarker, bioinformaticsReceived: November 22, 2022Accepted: May 3, 2023Published: May 24, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Bladder cancer (BC) is a malignant tumor that occurs in the bladder wall and often appears in elderly individuals. Renal cancer (RC) arises from the renal tubular epithelium, but its molecular mechanism remains unclear.

Methods: We downloaded RC datasets (GSE14762 and GSE53757) and a BC dataset (GSE121711) to screen differentially expressed genes (DEGs). We also performed weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA). We created a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network and performed functional enrichment analysis, such as gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). Heatmaps were made for gene expression. Survival analysis and immunoinfiltration analysis were performed. Comparative toxicogenomics database (CTD) analysis was performed to find the relationship between disease and hub genes. Western blotting was performed to verify the role of KIF20A in apoptosis.

Results: A total of 764 DEGs were identified. The GSEA showed that the DEGs were mainly enriched in organic acid metabolism, drug metabolism, mitochondria, and metabolism of cysteine and methionine. The PPI network in GSE121711 showed that KIF20A was a hub gene of renal clear cell carcinoma. Where the expression level of KIF20A was higher, the prognosis of patients was worse. CTD analysis showed that KIF20A was associated with inflammation, proliferation, and apoptosis. KIF20A expression in the RC group was upregulated, as shown by western blotting. The core proteins (including pRB Ser 780, CyclinA, E2F1, CCNE1, and CCNE2) in the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway were also upregulated in the RC group.

Conclusions: KIF20A might be a novel biomarker for researching renal and bladder cancers.

INTRODUCTION

Bladder cancer (BC) is a frequently occurring malignant disease [1, 2]. Its incidence has increased in many countries, especially in Europe. Most BCs (90%) are urothelial cancer [3, 4]. The mortality rate for BC is high, with a 5-year survival rate of more than 80% in the early stage, approximately 50% in the middle stage, and less than 20% in the late stage [5]. Hematuria may occur in the early stage of BC, but it may not be obvious in some patients [6]. In China, the most obvious causes are smoking and occupational exposure to aromatic amines [7, 8].

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is a urinary system tumor, and the vast majority of malignant renal tumors are RCC [9]. Patients with RCC are concentrated between 40 and 55 years of age, and its incidence is higher in the United States and Europe than in Asia [10]. However, the pathogenesis of BC and RCC is unknown. As an important part in the development of life science studies, bioinformatics has been at the forefront of life science and technology research. In recent years, China's biotechnology has developed by leaps and bounds, and bioinformation resources have also grown dramatically. Bioinformatics technology is an interdisciplinary subject of biology. Bioinformatics reveals the biological significance represented by big data, which is a bridge between data and the clinic. Represented by the analysis and reporting of gene detection data, bioinformatics plays an important role in tumor treatment [11, 12].

KIF20A is abnormally expressed in multiple cancer tissues. However, its relationship with BC and RCC is not clear. This paper presents an analysis of renal cell carcinoma to identify key genes and verify whether KIF20A affects RCC and BC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RCC and BC datasets

The search was conducted in GEO from December 31, 2000, to October 31, 2023, and the keywords for searching were "renal cancer", "bladder cancer", "clear cell renal cell carcinoma", and "ccRCC". The entry type was "series". The study type was "expression profiling by array". "*Homo sapiens*" was the selected item. We obtained RCC datasets GSE14762 [13] and GSE53757 [14] and BC dataset GSE121711 from GEO using configuration files generated from GPL4866, GPL570, and GPL17586. GSE14762 consisted of 10 RCC and 12 normal tissues, and GSE121711 consisted of 8 BC and 10 normal tissues.

Batch effect removal and screening of DEGs

The inSilicoMerging package in R was used to merge the matrix. The removeBatchEffect function was used to remove batch effects. "Limma" was used to identify the DEGs. The DEGs were identified for tumor vs. control. The cutoff criteria for DEGs were p<0.05 and a fold change >3. The results were visualized using a volcano plot.

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)

The first step was to preprocess the gene expression data to remove any technical variations. The next step was to construct a pairwise correlation matrix between all pairs of genes in the dataset. The correlation matrix was then transformed into an adjacency matrix using a softthresholding power function to emphasize strong correlations while downweighting weak correlations.

Once the adjacency matrix was computed, modules or clusters of highly correlated genes were identified using hierarchical clustering or other clustering algorithms. These modules were assigned different colors for easy visualization. Module preservation analysis was conducted as follows: To ensure the robustness of the identified modules, preservation analysis was performed to evaluate the stability and reproducibility of the modules across different datasets or sample sizes. Module annotation was conducted as follows: After identifying the modules, the biological significance of each module was assessed by performing functional enrichment analysis to identify overrepresented biological pathways, Gene Ontology (GO) terms, or other annotation terms. The final step was to correlate the identified modules with the external traits of interest.

PPI network

STRING was performed to build a PPI network with the DEGs. Cytoscape software was used for visualization, and MCODE (<u>https://cytoscape.org/</u>) identified the hub module.

Functional enrichment analysis

We used the R package clusterProfiler (version 3.14.3) for enrichment analysis. Enrichment analysis was performed using the Metascape database. Functional enrichment analysis is a computational method used to determine whether a set of genes or proteins is enriched for particular biological functions, pathways, or processes. This type of analysis is commonly used in genomics and proteomics research to gain insights into the biological mechanisms underlying a set of experimental observations.

GSEA

The gene expression data were normalized, and genes with low expression were filtered out to reduce noise. A set of gene sets was defined, usually based on prior knowledge of biological pathways or molecular functions. Enrichment scores were calculated. Statistical significance testing was conducted as follows: The significance of the enrichment scores was assessed by permutation testing, comparing the observed scores to the distribution of scores obtained by randomly permuting the sample labels. This study aimed to determine the differential gene list input into the KEGG REST API.

Heatmap of gene expression

The R package heatmap was used to make a heatmap of the degree of expression of core genes in GSE14762 and

GSE53757, visually displaying expression differences of core genes among RCC, BC and normal tissue.

Survival analysis

The GEPIA database (<u>http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/</u>) derives its data from the TCGA (<u>https://www.cancer.gov/</u> <u>ccg/research/genome-sequencing/tcga</u>) and GTEx databases. We used GEPIA for survival analysis.

Immunoinfiltration analysis

The LM22 gene signature was used to define 22 immune cell subtypes. We applied an integrated bioinformatics approach and used the CIBERSORT (<u>http://CIBERSORT.stanford.edu/</u>) software package to analyze the batch-corrected merged matrices from GSE14762 and GSE53757. We selected samples with sufficient confidence levels based on a cutoff of p < 0.05.

Comparative toxicogenomics database analysis

Key genes were input into CTD (<u>http://ctdbase.org/</u>), the diseases associated with key genes were found, and a bar graph of gene expression differences was created using Excel.

Verification of the role of KIF20A in apoptosis by Western blotting

Normal renal cells (293 [HEK-293]) and renal cancer cells (Caki-1) were obtained from the National Biomedical Experimental Cell Resource Bank (Beijing, China). Cells were divided into 4 groups: normal renal cancer cells (Con), renal cancer cells (RC), RC/KIF20A-knockdown group (RC/KIF20A-KO), and RC/KIF20A-overexpression group (RC/KIF20A-OE). Sample preparation was conducted as follows: First, the protein of interest was extracted and purified from the sample. This involved homogenization, cell lysis, and/or chromatography, depending on the sample type. Protein separation was conducted as follows: Extracted protein was separated based on molecular weight using SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis). Protein transfer was conducted as follows: After separation, the proteins were transferred from the gel to a solid support, either nitrocellulose or a PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membrane, using electroblotting. During this step, proteins were immobilized on the membrane. Blocking was conducted as follows: To prevent nonspecific binding of the primary antibody, the membrane was incubated with blocking solution, either 5% nonfat dry milk or 1% BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 0.1% Tween-20, for approximately one hour at room temperature or overnight at 4° C. Primary antibody incubation was

conducted as follows: The membrane was incubated with a primary antibody specific to the protein of interest diluted in blocking solution for approximately one hour at room temperature or overnight at 4° C. Secondary antibody incubation was conducted as follows: After washing, the membrane was incubated with a secondary antibody conjugated to an enzyme such as horseradish peroxidase (HRP) or alkaline phosphatase (AP), which recognizes and binds to the primary antibody. The membrane was then washed again to remove any unbound secondary antibody. Signal detection was conducted as follows: The membrane was treated with substrate solution specific to the enzyme conjugated to the secondary antibody. This produced a chemiluminescent or chromogenic signal that could be detected using X-ray film or a specialized imaging system. Data analysis was conducted as follows: Since the intensity of the signal is proportional to the amount of protein present in the sample, the signal intensity was quantified using image analysis software, and the results were compared between different samples or treatments.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

RESULTS

Differential gene expression analysis

A total of 1720 DEGs were found from the batchcorrected merged matrices of GSE14762 and GSE53757, according to preset cutoff values (Figure 1A). A total of 764 DEGs were identified from GSE121711 (Figure 1B).

Functional enrichment analysis

GSEA

We used GSEA to perform enrichment analysis of the entire genome. The major enriched pathways were identified as organic acid metabolism, drug metabolism, mitochondria, and metabolism of cysteine and methionine (Figure 2A–2D).

Metascape enrichment analysis

In the Metascape enrichment analysis, the GO enrichment analysis results showed processes such as small molecule catabolic processes, carbon metabolism, and organic hydroxy compound metabolic processes (Figure 3A). Additionally, we also output the enriched network colored by enrichment items and p values (Figures 3B, 3C, 4A, 4B) to visually represent the associations and confidence levels of each enrichment analysis.

WGCNA

In the WGCNA, the soft threshold power was set to 6 (Figure 5A, 5B), and interactions were found between

these modules (Figure 5C, 5D). A module-phenotype correlation heatmap (Figure 6A) and scatterplots of the GS versus MM correlation of relevant hub genes (Figures 6B–6E, 7, 8A) were generated.



Figure 1. Differential gene analysis. (A) DEGs in GSE14762 and GSE53757. (B) DEGs in GSE121711.

We also generated a Venn diagram for the WGCNA with differential genes screened as DEGs and assessed the intersection (Figure 8B).

PPI network

The merged matrix results of GSE14762 and GSE53757 are shown in Figure 9A. The interaction network of GSE121711 shows KIF20A as the core gene (Figure 9B).

Survival analysis

Compared with normal kidney tissue, KIF20A in RCC had high expression (Figure 10A). Patients with lower KIF20A expression had good overall survival (Figure 10B). Compared with normal bladder tissue, in BC tissue KIF20A was highly expressed (Figure 10C). High KIF20A gene expression is associated with a worse prognosis.

CTD analysis

We found that the KIF20A gene is linked to liver cell carcinoma, necrosis, chemical- and drug-induced liver damage, weight loss, delayed effects of prenatal exposure, liver enlargement, inflammation, and proliferation (Figure 10D).

Gene expression heatmap

We visualized the gene expression heatmap for core genes in the samples, with Figure 11A showing the merged matrix results of GSE14762 and GSE53757. The results showed that KIF20A was highly expressed in RCC (Figure 11A). The core gene heatmap in GSE121711 showed that KIF20A was highly expressed in BC tissue (Figure 11B). The KIF20A gene was highly expressed in the RCC and BC samples, while it was expressed at low levels in normal tissue samples, indicating its potential regulatory role in these cancers.



Figure 2. Functional enrichment analysis. (A) BP, (B) CC, (C) MF, (D) KEGG analysis by GSEA.



Figure 3. Metascape enrichment analysis. (A) Heatmap of enriched terms across input differently expressed gene lists, colored by p values, via Metascape; (B) Network of enriched terms colored by cluster identity, where nodes that share the same cluster identity are typically close to each other; (C) Network of enriched terms colored by p value, where terms containing more genes tend to have a more significant p value.





Figure 4. Metascape enrichment analysis. (A) PPI network drawn by Metascape. (B) The screened core gene group.



Figure 5. WGCNA. (A) β =6, 0.85. (B) β =6, 73.85. (C, D) A hierarchical clustering tree of all genes was constructed, important modules were generated, and the interactions between these modules were then analyzed.







Figure 6. WGCNA. (A) Module-to-phenotypic correlation heatmap. (B–E) GS vs. MM correlation scatterplot.







Figure 8. The conjoint analysis for the WGCNA and the DEGs. (A) Correlation analysis by WGCNA. (B) A Venn diagram was generated by intersecting the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) selected by WGCNA and DEGs and was then used to create and analyze a protein–protein interaction network.



Figure 9. Construction and analysis of the protein–protein interaction (PPI) network. (A) GSEGSE14762, GSE53757. (B) GSE121711.

Immune infiltration analysis

We conducted immune infiltration analysis of the batch-corrected merged matrix of GSE14762 and GSE53757 using the CIBERSORT package and obtained the proportion of immune cells in the whole gene

expression matrix at 95% confidence (Figure 12A), as well as the heatmap of immune cell expression from the dataset (Figure 12B). We also performed coexpression correlation analysis on the infiltrating immune cells and obtained a coexpression pattern map between immune cell components (Figure 12C).



Figure 10. (A) Box plot of KIRC. (B) Survival curve. (C) Box plot of BLCA. (D) Histogram of CTD.

Role of KIF20A in the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway

KIF20A expression in the RC group was upregulated, as shown by western blotting. The core proteins (including pRB Ser 780, CyclinA, E2F1, CCNE1, and CCNE2) in the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway were also upregulated in the RC group. Bcl2 expression was high in the RC group, and Bax expression in the RC group was downregulated, which showed that apoptosis was inhibited in the RC group. When KIF20A was knocked down, the core proteins (including pRB Ser 780, CyclinA, E2F1, CCNE1, and CCNE2) in the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway were also downregulated in the RC/KIF20A-KO group, and apoptosis was enhanced. However, when KIF20A was overexpressed in the RC tissues, the core proteins (including pRB Ser 780, CyclinA, E2F1, CCNE1, and CCNE2) in the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway were also upregulated in the RC/KIF20A-OE group, and apoptosis was inhibited. The results showed that KIF20A might inhibit apoptosis in RC via the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway (Figure 13).

DISCUSSION

Muscle-invasive bladder cancer is more aggressive and can spread to other parts that affect the urinary system [15, 16]. Kidney cancer, on the other hand, occurs when cells in the kidney grow uncontrollably. Both bladder cancer and kidney cancer can be harmful, especially if not detected and treated early [17]. If the cancer is allowed to grow and spread, it can lead to more severe symptoms and can even be life-threatening. Studying bladder and kidney cancers is very important. This research revealed that KIF20A was highly expressed in bladder and kidney cancers, and the higher the expression of KIF20A was, the worse the prognosis was [18–20].

KIF20A (Kinesin Family 20A) is a protein that plays a role in cell division and is involved in the formation of the mitotic spindle during cell division. Several studies have investigated the relationship between KIF20A and bladder cancer [21, 22]. Research suggests that KIF20A is overexpressed in bladder cancer cells, meaning that there is an abnormally high amount of the protein in



Figure 11. Heatmap. (A) GSEGSE14762, GSE53757. (B) GSE121711.



Figure 12. Immunoinfiltration analysis. (A) Whole-gene expression matrix results of proportions of immune cells. (B) Immune cell expression calorigram. (C) Plot of coexpression patterns between immune cell components.



Figure 13. KIF20A might inhibit apoptosis in RCs via the pRB Ser 780/CyclinA signaling pathway.

these cells [23, 24]. Overexpression of KIF20A has been associated with increased tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis in bladder cancer [25]. Another study found that KIF20A was involved in the resistance of bladder cancer cells to chemotherapy drugs. The study showed that inhibiting KIF20A expression enhanced sensitivity to chemotherapy [26]. Overall, these studies suggest that KIF20A might affect the progression of bladder cancer [27].

KIF20A may be involved in the progression of various types of cancer, including renal cancer [28]. Renal cancer is usually diagnosed in its early stages, but it can be challenging to treat if it has spread to other parts of the body [29]. Studies have shown that KIF20A is overexpressed in renal cancer cells compared to normal kidney cells. Overexpression of KIF20A has been associated with aggressive behavior and poor prognosis in renal cancer patients. In addition, inhibiting KIF20A expression has been shown to reduce renal cancer cell proliferation and to induce cell death, suggesting that KIF20A may be a potential therapeutic target for renal cancer. Overall, the relationship between KIF20A and renal cancer suggests that KIF20A may play a critical role in development, and targeting KIF20A may be a potential strategy for the treatment of renal cancer [30].

KIF20A is overexpressed in many types of cancer cells, including breast, lung, liver, pancreatic, ovarian, and colorectal cancers, as well as bladder and renal cancers, as we discussed earlier [31]. Overexpression of KIF20A has been associated with aggressive behavior and poor prognosis in cancer patients, and inhibiting KIF20A expression has been shown to reduce cancer cell proliferation and induce cell death in vitro and in animal models [32]. Moreover, studies have shown that KIF20A interacts with other proteins involved in cell cycle regulation and cancer development, such as Aurora-A and PLK1, suggesting that KIF20A may play a crucial role in the regulation of cell division and cancer progression. Overall, the relationship between KIF20A and cancer suggests that KIF20A may be a potential therapeutic target for cancer treatment [33]. However, further research is needed to fully understand the underlying mechanisms of KIF20A in cancer and to develop effective therapeutic strategies targeting this protein [34].

The limitations of this study are as follows: Other factors, such as age, sex, lifestyle, and genetic background, can also influence the development and progression of cancer, and it can be challenging to control for these factors in studies.

The future research prospects are as follows: In future studies, we should include more patients with bladder

and kidney cancer in the study population and then use multiple experimental techniques to detect KIF20A expression in these patients. Artificial intelligence or machine learning algorithms have been used to establish associations between KIF20A expression and survival outcomes in patients with bladder and kidney cancers [35, 36].

CONCLUSIONS

KIF20A is overexpressed in bladder cancer and renal cell carcinoma and may play a role in the development and progression of these cancers. KIF20A may be used as a molecular target for early diagnosis and precise treatment of bladder cancer and renal cell carcinoma and to provide a basis for the study of the mechanism of bladder cancer and renal carcinoma.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Haoyuan Wang and Xiaopeng Ma participated in the topic selection and design of the paper. Haoyuan Wang performed the experiments mentioned in the paper. Jianzhi Su and Bin Liu participated in data analysis and interpretation. Xiaochen Ni drafted and revised key theories in the paper and answered academic questions. Sijie Li and Bo Fan participated in the bioinformatics analysis. All the authors agreed to the paper being published.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

FUNDING

No funding was provided for this study.

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021; 71:209–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660</u> PMID:<u>33538338</u>
- Lenis AT, Lec PM, Chamie K, Mshs MD. Bladder Cancer: A Review. JAMA. 2020; 324:1980–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.17598</u> PMID:<u>33201207</u>
- Wong MC, Fung FD, Leung C, Cheung WW, Goggins WB, Ng CF. The global epidemiology of bladder cancer: a joinpoint regression analysis of its incidence and mortality trends and projection. Sci Rep. 2018; 8:1129.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19199-z PMID:29348548

 Song Q, Zhou R, Shu F, Fu W. Cuproptosis scoring system to predict the clinical outcome and immune response in bladder cancer. Front Immunol. 2022; 13:958368.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.958368 PMID:<u>35990642</u>

 Dobruch J, Daneshmand S, Fisch M, Lotan Y, Noon AP, Resnick MJ, Shariat SF, Zlotta AR, Boorjian SA. Gender and Bladder Cancer: A Collaborative Review of Etiology, Biology, and Outcomes. Eur Urol. 2016; 69:300–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2015.08.037

PMID:<u>26346676</u>

- Farling KB. Bladder cancer: Risk factors, diagnosis, and management. Nurse Pract. 2017; 42:26–33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NPR.0000512251.61454.5c</u> PMID:<u>28169964</u>
- Xiong J, Yang L, Deng YQ, Yan SY, Gu JM, Li BH, Zi H, Ming DJ, Zeng XT, Wang YB. The causal association between smoking, alcohol consumption and risk of bladder cancer: A univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization study. Int J Cancer. 2022; 151:2136–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34228</u>

PMID:<u>35904850</u>

 Furberg H, Petruzella S, Whiting K, Stein E, Orlow I, Kenney J, Corrales-Guerrero S, Benfante N, Cha EK, Donahue TF, Donat SM, Herr HW, Matulewicz RS, et al. Association of Biochemically Verified Post-Diagnosis Smoking and Nonmuscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Recurrence Risk. J Urol. 2022; 207:1200–6.

https://doi.org/10.1097/JU.000000000002449 PMID:<u>35084998</u>

- Rini BI, Campbell SC, Escudier B. Renal cell carcinoma. Lancet. 2009; 373:1119–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60229-4</u>
 PMID:<u>19269025</u>
- Mendhiratta N, Muraki P, Sisk AE Jr, Shuch B. Papillary renal cell carcinoma: Review. Urol Oncol. 2021; 39:327–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2021.04.013</u> PMID:<u>34034966</u>
- 11. Goh JJ, Goh CJ, Lim QW, Zhang S, Koh CG, Chiam KH. Transcriptomics indicate nuclear division and cell adhesion not recapitulated in MCF7 and MCF10A compared to luminal A breast tumours. Sci Rep. 2022; 12:20902.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-24511-z PMID:<u>36463288</u> Hartsough EJ, Weiss MB, Heilman SA, Purwin TJ, Kugel CH 3rd, Rosenbaum SR, Erkes DA, Tiago M, HooKim K, Chervoneva I, Aplin AE. CADM1 is a TWIST1-regulated suppressor of invasion and survival. Cell Death Dis. 2019; 10:281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1515-3</u>

PMID:30911007

13. Wang Y, Roche O, Yan MS, Finak G, Evans AJ, Metcalf JL, Hast BE, Hanna SC, Wondergem B, Furge KA, Irwin MS, Kim WY, Teh BT, et al. Regulation of endocytosis via the oxygen-sensing pathway. Nat Med. 2009; 15:319–24.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.1922 PMID:19252501

- von Roemeling CA, Radisky DC, Marlow LA, Cooper SJ, Grebe SK, Anastasiadis PZ, Tun HW, Copland JA. Neuronal pentraxin 2 supports clear cell renal cell carcinoma by activating the AMPA-selective glutamate receptor-4. Cancer Res. 2014; 74:4796–810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0210</u> PMID:24962026
- Long J, Wang L, Dong N, Bai X, Chen S, Sun S, Liang H, Lin Y. Robotic-assisted versus standard laparoscopic radical cystectomy in bladder cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Oncol. 2022; 12:1024739. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.1024739</u> PMID:36439450
- Mostafa MH, Sheweita SA, O'Connor PJ. Relationship between schistosomiasis and bladder cancer. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999; 12:97–111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.12.1.97</u> PMID:<u>9880476</u>
- Khalil Ibrahim A. Trends of adult primary malignant renal tumors over 6 years. Pak J Med Sci. 2013; 29:1385–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.296.3736</u> PMID:24550959
- Aydin H, Chen L, Cheng L, Vaziri S, He H, Ganapathi R, Delahunt B, Magi-Galluzzi C, Zhou M. Clear cell tubulopapillary renal cell carcinoma: a study of 36 distinctive low-grade epithelial tumors of the kidney. Am J Surg Pathol. 2010; 34:1608–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0b013e3181f2ee0b</u> PMID:<u>20924276</u>
- Makhlouf HR, Ishak KG, Shekar R, Sesterhenn IA, Young DY, Fanburg-Smith JC. Melanoma markers in angiomyolipoma of the liver and kidney: a comparative study. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2002; 126:49–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.5858/2002-126-0049-MMIAOT</u> PMID:<u>11800647</u>
- 20. Oudard S, Elaidi RT. Sequential therapy with targeted agents in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma:

optimizing patient benefit. Cancer Treat Rev. 2012; 38:981–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2011.12.009 PMID:22289686

 Nguyen PA, Groen AC, Loose M, Ishihara K, Wühr M, Field CM, Mitchison TJ. Spatial organization of cytokinesis signaling reconstituted in a cell-free system. Science. 2014; 346:244–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256773</u> PMID:25301629

- 22. Geng A, Qiu R, Murai K, Liu J, Wu X, Zhang H, Farhoodi H, Duong N, Jiang M, Yee JK, Tsark W, Lu Q. KIF20A/MKLP2 regulates the division modes of neural progenitor cells during cortical development. Nat Commun. 2018; 9:2707. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05152-1</u> PMID:30006548
- 23. Hirokawa N, Noda Y, Okada Y. Kinesin and dynein superfamily proteins in organelle transport and cell division. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 1998; 10:60–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0955-0674(98)80087-2</u> PMID:<u>9484596</u>
- 24. She ZY, Li YL, Lin Y, Lu MH, Wei YL, Yu KW, Zhong N, Xiao Y. Kinesin-6 family motor KIF20A regulates central spindle assembly and acrosome biogenesis in mouse spermatogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res. 2020; 1867:118636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2019.118636</u> PMID:<u>31884069</u>
- 25. Fung SY, Kitagawa M, Liao PJ, Wong J, Lee SH. Opposing Activities of Aurora B Kinase and B56-PP2A Phosphatase on MKlp2 Determine Abscission Timing. Curr Biol. 2017; 27:78–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.10.042</u> PMID:27939310
- 26. Tomita Y, Yuno A, Tsukamoto H, Senju S, Kuroda Y, Hirayama M, Irie A, Kawahara K, Yatsuda J, Hamada A, Jono H, Yoshida K, Tsunoda T, et al. Identification of promiscuous KIF20A long peptides bearing both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell epitopes: KIF20A-specific CD4+ T-cell immunity in patients with malignant tumor. Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 19:4508–20. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0197

PMID:<u>23714729</u>

- 27. Xie F, He C, Gao S, Yang Z, Li L, Qiao L, Fang L. KIF20A silence inhibits the migration, invasion and proliferation of non-small cell lung cancer and regulates the JNK pathway. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2020; 47:135–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1681.13183 PMID:31557334
- 28. Liu SL, Lin HX, Qiu F, Zhang WJ, Niu CH, Wen W, Sun XQ, Ye LP, Wu XQ, Lin CY, Song LB, Guo L. Overexpression

of Kinesin Family Member 20A Correlates with Disease Progression and Poor Prognosis in Human Nasopharyngeal Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis of 105 Patients. PLoS One. 2017; 12:e0169280. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169280 PMID:<u>28081138</u>

- Zhang Z, Chai C, Shen T, Li X, Ji J, Li C, Shang Z, Niu Y. Aberrant KIF20A Expression Is Associated with Adverse Clinical Outcome and Promotes Tumor Progression in Prostate Cancer. Dis Markers. 2019; 2019:4782730. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/4782730</u> PMID:<u>31565099</u>
- Xiong M, Zhuang K, Luo Y, Lai Q, Luo X, Fang Y, Zhang Y, Li A, Liu S. KIF20A promotes cellular malignant behavior and enhances resistance to chemotherapy in colorectal cancer through regulation of the JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway. Aging (Albany NY). 2019; 11:11905–21. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102505

PMID:31841120

31. Xu F, Guan Y, Xue L, Huang S, Gao K, Yang Z, Chong T. The effect of a novel glycolysis-related gene signature on progression, prognosis and immune microenvironment of renal cell carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2020; 20:1207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-020-07702-7</u>

PMID:<u>33287763</u>

- Zhang W, Zhang J, Hu Z, Sun W, Xu L, Chu H, Wang X, Fu Q. LncRNA ARAP1-AS1 Promotes Bladder Cancer Development by Regulating the miR-3918/KIF20A Axis. Mol Biotechnol. 2022; 64:1259–69. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-022-00489-x</u> PMID:35556220
- 33. Meng X, Li W, Yuan H, Dong W, Xiao W, Zhang X. KDELR2-KIF2OA axis facilitates bladder cancer growth and metastasis by enhancing Golgi-mediated secretion. Biol Proced Online. 2022; 24:12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12575-022-00174-y</u> PMID:36096734
- 34. Li L, Lei Q, Zhang S, Kong L, Qin B. Screening and identification of key biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma: Evidence from bioinformatic analysis. Oncol Rep. 2017; 38:2607–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2017.5946</u> PMID:<u>28901457</u>
- Ma X, Wang X, Dong Q, Pang H, Xu J, Shen J, Zhu J. Inhibition of KIF20A by transcription factor IRF6 affects the progression of renal clear cell carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int. 2021; 21:246. https://doi.org/10.1186/c12925-021-01879-y

<u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-021-01879-y</u> PMID:<u>33941190</u> 36. Liu B, Su J, Fan B, Ni X, Jin T. High expression of KIF20A in bladder cancer as a potential prognostic target for poor survival of renal cell carcinoma. Medicine (Baltimore). 2023; 102:e32667. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000032667</u> PMID:<u>36637953</u>