Research Paper

ALDOC promotes non-small cell lung cancer through affecting MYCmediated UBE2N transcription and regulating Wnt/β-catenin pathway

Bin Shang¹, Fengjuan Lu¹, Shujuan Jiang², Mengmeng Xing¹, Xinyu Mao¹, Guanghai Yang³, Hao Zhang³

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan 250021, Shandong Province, China

²Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan 250021, Shandong Province, China

³Department of Thoracic Surgery, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, Hubei Province, China

Correspondence to: Guanghai Yang, Hao Zhang; email: 2004XH0838@hust.edu.cn, zhanghaowhuh@hust.edu.cnKeywords: NSCLC, ALDOC, UBE2N, transcription, Wnt/β-catenin pathwayReceived: April 19, 2023Accepted: August 21, 2023Published: September 18, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Shang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License</u> (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

Despite advancements in therapeutic options, the overall prognosis for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains poor. Therefore, it is crucial to further explore the etiology and targets for novel treatments to effectively manage NSCLC. In this study, immunohistochemistry was used to analyze the expression of aldolase, fructose-bisphosphate C (ALDOC) protein in tumor tissues and adjacent non-malignant tissues from 79 NSCLC patients. Our findings revealed that ALDOC was overexpressed in NSCLC tissues. ALDOC expression was associated with lymph node metastasis, lymphatic metastasis and pathological stage. In addition, Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that higher ALDOC levels were indicative of a poorer prognosis. Additionally, we observed elevated ALDOC mRNA levels in NSCLC cell lines relative to normal cells. To investigate the functional roles of ALDOC, we infected cells with small interfering RNA against ALDOC, which led to attenuated proliferation and migration, as well as ameliorated apoptosis. Furthermore, through our investigations, we discovered that ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2N (UBE2N) acts as a downstream factor of ALDOC. ALDOC promoted NSCLC through affecting MYC-mediated UBE2N transcription and regulating the Wnt pathway. More importantly, we found that downregulation of UBE2N or the use of Wnt pathway inhibitor could reverse the promoting effects of ALDOC elevation on NSCLC development *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Based on these findings, our study highlights the potential of ALDOC as a future therapeutic target for NSCLC.

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer continues to be the leading cause of cancerrelated mortality worldwide. Although the 5-year survival rate for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is 15%, significant progress has been achieved in the treatment of this disease through targeted therapies that address specific molecular alterations. These advancements primarily focus on targeting mutations in EGFR, BRAF, and MET, as well as translocations involving ALK, ROS1, RET, and NTRK1/2 [1–3]. Treatment with EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) has shown improved outcomes in patients with EGFR mutation. However, the long-term effectiveness of these treatments is limited due to the development of resistance [4–6]. Therefore, it is of great significance to

investigate the underlying pathogenesis of lung cancer and find more appropriate therapeutic targets.

Glycolysis plays a crucial role in regulating cancer cell behavior. Aldolase, an enzyme involved in glycolysis, is essential for glucose-consuming cells. Apart from its glycolytic function, aldolase also has non-glycolytic roles, including interactions with vacuolar-H+-ATPase and other molecules [7-9]. Aldolase presents in three isoforms: ALDOA, ALDOB, and ALDOC, which are frequently expressed in various tissues such as muscle and liver [10, 11]. ALDOC, also known as zebrin II, is characterized by specific expression in distinct subpopulations of cerebellar Purkinje cells and has been extensively studied in relation to cerebellar compartmentalization [12, 13]. Notably, the expression patterns of ALDOC have been implicated in human malignancies [14]. For instance, overexpression of ALDOC was associated with spheroid formation in colorectal cancer [15]. Additionally, the enrichment of ALDOC has been shown to predict a favorable prognosis in glioblastomas [16]. However, the specific roles of ALDOC in lung cancer and the underlying mechanisms governing its function in cancer remain poorly understood.

Here, we sought to investigate the functional roles and underlying mechanism of ALDOC in lung cancer. Through our analysis, we identified three genes that were regulated by ALDOC. Among these genes, we focused our attention on UBE2N due to its significant association with ALDOC and its known involvement in the development of various human cancers. We also elucidated the mechanism through which ALDOC regulates UBE2N. Overall, our findings highlight the potential of ALDOC as a promising therapeutic target for lung cancer. Further research in this area could lead to the development of novel treatment strategies for improving patient outcomes in lung cancer.

RESULTS

ALDOC is strongly expressed in NSCLC

First, we analyzed ALDOC expression in NSCLC tissues and their adjacent non-malignant tissues using IHC staining. The results indicated that ALDOC expression was significantly increased in tumor tissues; not only that, ALDOC expression was higher in metastatic NSCLC as compared to earlier stages (P < 0.001, Figure 1A and Table 1). Notably, ALDOC expression showed a significant positive correlation with lymph node metastasis, lymphatic metastasis, and pathological stage (Tables 2, 3). Additionally, patients with high ALDOC levels exhibited decreased survival probabilities, implying a poor prognosis (Figure 1B). To

further investigate the relationship between ALDOC and pathological parameters, we expanded our analysis to larger cohorts, such as the TCGA and GEO databases. The results from these analyses showed a significant association between high ALDOC expression and poorer survival outcomes in patients with NSCLC (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we observed that high ALDOC expression was linked to advanced stage disease, as well as pathologic T, pathologic N and pathologic_M parameters (Supplementary Table 3). Further analysis of ALDOC expression in cell lines showed elevated levels of ALDOC in both NSCLC cell lines (A549 and NCI-H1299) compared to the normal cell line BEAS-2B (Figure 1D). Taken together, these observations demonstrated that ALDOC was significantly upregulated in NSCLC, suggesting a potential role for ALDOC in its development.

ALDOC regulates proliferation, migration and apoptosis of NSCLC cells

To further investigate the biological functions of ALDOC in NSCLC, we examined the effects of ALDOC silencing on NSCLC cell phenotypes. By using shRNA targeting ALDOC in A549 and NCI-H1299 cells, we successfully achieved a significant reduction in ALDOC mRNA and protein expression (Figure 1E, 1F). Subsequently, we evaluated the impacts of ALDOC downregulation on cell behaviors. We observed a substantial decrease in cell proliferation upon ALDOC knockdown (P < 0.001, Figure 2A). Additionally. ALDOC depletion led to a significant reduction in colony formation ability in vitro (P < 0.001, Figure 2B). Besides, transwell chamber assays showed a marked decrease in cell migration in A549 and NCI-H1299 cells following ALDOC inhibition, as evidenced by the images and quantification of three independent experiments (P < 0.001, Figure 2C). This result was further validated by a wound-healing assay (Figure 2D). Furthermore, flow cytometry analysis revealed that silencing ALDOC promoted apoptosis in both A549 and NCI-H1299 cells (P < 0.001, Figure 2E). Collectively, these data provide compelling evidence that ALDOC downregulation suppresses cell viability and migration while enhancing apoptosis in NSCLC cells.

UBE2N is a downstream target of ALDOC

To uncover the mediators of ALDOC's effects on lung cancer development, we performed a gene expression analysis using the genechip primeview human patharrayTM in A549 cells after shALDOC and shCtrl infection. Statistical analysis identified a total of 4182 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) upon ALDOC knockdown, with 2398 upregulated and 1884 downregulated genes. The heat map displaying the top 20

DEGs was shown in Figure 3A. Among them, we selected those that (i) were downregulated by shALDOC, (ii) contained logFC \geq 2, and (iii) were related to NSCLC patients' prognosis (Supplementary Figure 1). After applying these stringent filters, three genes RAD51AP1, UBE2N and KIAA0101, reached the threshold.

Subsequent analysis confirmed a clear reduction in UBE2N mRNA and protein levels upon ALDOC knockdown (Figure 3B, 3C). Further examination of UBE2N expression in NSCLC cell lines revealed its upregulation compared to normal cell lines (Figure 3D). These data implied that UBE2N is a downstream target



Figure 1. ALDOC is strongly expressed in NSCLC. (A) ALDOC protein patterns were detected in NSCLC tissues compared with normal samples through IHC staining. (B) Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed the relationship between ALDOC level and patients' overall survival. (C) Association between high ALDOC expression and poorer survival outcomes in patients with NSCLC using GEO database. (D) ALDOC mRNA levels were quantified in BEAS-2B, A549 and NCI-H1299 cells. (E, F) After infecting shALDOC and shCtrl, ALDOC mRNA and protein levels in A549 and NCI-H1299 cells were evaluated through qRT-PCR (E) and western blot experiments (F). ** P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

ALDOC expression	Tumor tissue		Para-carcinoma tissue		D 1
	Cases	Percentage	Cases	Percentage	- P value
Low	41	51.9%	82	92.1%	< 0.001
High	38	48.1%	7	7.9%	< 0.001

 Table 1. Expression patterns of ALDOC in lung cancer tissues and para-carcinoma tissues revealed in immunohistochemistry analysis.

Table 2. Relationship between ALDOC expression and tumor characteristicsin patients with lung cancer.

E. damage	No. of construction	ALDOC expression		D 1
Features	No. of patients	Low	High	<i>P</i> value
All patients	79	41	38	
Age (years)				0.223
< 62	38	17	21	
≥ 62	41	24	17	
Gender				0.843
Male	49	25	24	
Female	30	16	14	
Tumor size				0.441
≤ 3cm	41	23	18	
> 3cm	38	18	20	
Lymph node metastasis				0.031
No	37	24	13	
Yes	42	17	25	
Stage				0.008
Ι	28	21	7	
II	32	12	20	
III	13	7	6	
IV	6	1	5	
Tumor infiltrate (T)				0.363
T1	41	23	18	
T2	20	11	9	
T3	6	1	5	
T4	12	6	6	
lymphatic metastasis (N)				0.035
NO	37	24	13	
N1	34	14	20	
N2	8	3	5	
Metastasis (M)				0.074
M0	73	40	33	
M1	6	1	5	

of ALDOC. Next, we investigated the mechanism underlying the interaction between ALDOC and UBE2N. Analysis of the HumanTFDB (hust.edu.cn) website identified MYC as the transcription factor of UBE2N. Immunofluorescence experiments showed that ALDOC promoted MYC translocation into the nucleus (Figure 3E), indicating an interaction between ALDOC and MYC. Western blot analysis confirmed increased MYC levels in the nuclear fraction of NSCLC cell lines following ALDOC overexpression (Figure 3F). Also, MYC overexpression led to an upregulation of UBE2N protein level (Figure 3G). To validate the hypothesis that

		ALDOC
	Spearman correlation	0.244
Lymph node metastasis	Signification (double-tailed)	0.031
	Ν	79
	Spearman correlation	0.239
lymphatic metastasis (N)	Signification (double-tailed)	0.034
	Ν	79
	Spearman correlation	0.302
Stage	Signification (double-tailed)	0.007
	Ν	79

Table 3. Relationship between ALDOC expression with lymph node metastasis, lymphatic metastasis and stage in patients with lung cancer.

ALDOC regulates UBE2N expression through MYC, we performed a dual-luciferase assay using a luciferase reporter construct with wild-type (WT) or mutated (MUT) UBE2N promoter. The results showed that MYC significantly increased luciferase expression in the UBE2N-WT group compared to the negative control (NC) group (P < 0.001), indicating their binding interaction. However, in the UBE2N-MUT group, MYC







Figure 3. UBE2N is a downstream target of ALDOC. (A) The heat map of the top 20 DEGs in shALDOC-infected-A549 cells. (B) RAD51AP1, UBE2N and KIAA0101 mRNA levels were detected in A549 cells in response to ALDOC knockdown. (C) RAD51AP1 and UBE2N protein levels were detected in A549 and NCI-H1299 cells in response to ALDOC knockdown. (D) UBE2N mRNA levels were quantified in BEAS-2B, A549 and NCI-H1299 cells. (E) Immunofluorescence experiments showed the interaction between ALDOC and MYC. (F) Validation of increased MYC levels in the nuclear fraction of NSCLC cell lines after ALDOC overexpression using western blot analysis. (G) MYC and UBE2N protein expression were tested after overexpressing MYC in A549 cells. (H) Dual luciferase reporter experiment demonstrated that MYC could increase the activity of luciferase in UBE2N-WT group not in UBE2N-MUT group. (I) The transcriptional regulation of UBE2N by MYC was confirmed by ChIP-qPCR assay. *** P < 0.001. failed to upregulate luciferase expression (Figure 3H). Furthermore, a ChIP-qPCR assay confirmed that MYC overexpression enhanced the transcriptional regulation of UBE2N (Figure 3I). Collectively, our findings demonstrated that ALDOC could transcriptionally activate UBE2N by interacting with MYC.

ALDOC mediates NSCLC development via acting on UBE2N

In this section, we aimed to examine the effects of ALDOC and UBE2N on NSCLC progression both in vitro and in vivo. To achieve this, we established cell models of A549 and NCI-H1299 cells with merely overexpressing ALDOC, merely silencing UBE2N, and simultaneously silencing UBE2N and overexpressing ALDOC. In agreement to the above data, forced ALDOC expression enhanced the proliferation and migration of A549 and NCI-H1299 cell lines, while arresting cell apoptosis. Furthermore, silencing UBE2N achieved the same effects on lung cancer cells as knocking down ALDOC. More notably, UBE2N knockdown inhibited the proliferation and migration of ALDOC-overexpressing A549 and NCI-H1299 cells. Then, flow cytometry experiments showed that UBE2N inhibition accelerated cell apoptosis in cells overexpressing ALDOC (Figure 4A-4C).

Additionally, we generated xenograft tumor models by subcutaneously injecting the aforementioned cell models into nude mice. Tumor length and width were measured at regular intervals to calculate tumor volume. Consistent with our expectations, forced expression of ALDOC led to increased tumor volume and weight. Furthermore, increased expression of Ki67, as verified by IHC staining, indicated enhanced tumor proliferation. Conversely, depletion of UBE2N impaired the growth of xenografts and reversed the malignant phenotypes of ALDOC-overexpressing A375 cells (Figure 4D–4G). These data indicated that ALDOC and UBE2N contribute to the development of NSCLC, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

ALDOC regulates NSCLC through Wnt/β-catenin pathway

Finally, we sought to gain insights on the downstream pathway involved in ALDOC-induced NSCLC. Previous studies have shown that EPB41 suppresses the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in NSCLC by sponging ALDOC [17]. Additionally, the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway has been implicated in regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis, playing a crucial role in cancer initiation and progression [18]. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that ALDOC might regulate NSCLC cell events through the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway. To test this

hypothesis, we performed western blot analysis in ALDOC-overexpressing A549 and NCI-H1299 cells and observed an increase in ALDOC, UBE2N, as well as c-MYC, WNT3A and β -catenin, which are key components of the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway. Importantly, treatment with a Wnt inhibitor led to the inhibition of these proteins excluding ALDOC (Figure 5A). Then, we performed a CCK8 assay to assess the effects of Wnt inhibitor disrupted the proliferative potential of the cells (Figure 5B). Additionally, it accelerated cell apoptosis (Figure 5C). These data demonstrated that ALDOC regulates NSCLC through Wnt/ β -catenin pathway.

DISCUSSION

ALDOC is a metabolic enzyme that primarily functions in glycolysis, the metabolic pathway that converts glucose into energy. In recent years, there has been emerging evidence suggesting that metabolic enzymes can also have non-metabolic functions, including the regulation of gene expression and signaling pathways. For example, several metabolic enzymes have been implicated in tumorigenesis and progression of cancer, where they play multifaceted roles beyond their canonical metabolic functions [14, 19, 20]. In the case of ALDOC, it has been shown to interact with various signaling molecules and transcription factors, suggesting its involvement in cellular processes beyond glycolysis [21, 22]. In our study, we observed significant alterations in the expression levels of ALDOC in NSCLC cell lines and patient samples. Importantly, these alterations were associated with changes in the expression of UBE2N and the activity of Wnt/β-catenin pathway.

UBE2N, an ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, plays a central role in ubiquitin-mediated cellular activities, such as signal transduction. UBE2N has dual roles in cells. On one hand, UBE2N is engaged in various biological processes, including human protein degradation, cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, and DNA repair [23–25]. On the other hand, its abnormality have been implicated in diseases and may contribute to the development of cancers [26, 27]. Interestingly, recent studies have focused on the functional significance of UBE2N in human cancers. For instance, Dikshit A et al. reported that UBE2N is highly expressed in malignant melanoma and promotes melanoma growth via MEK/FRA1/SOX10 signaling [28]. Another study from Zhu et al. illustrated that UBE2N regulates the sensitivity of ovarian cancer cells to paclitaxel through the Fos/P53 Axis [29]. In this study, we found that UBE2N was increased in lung cancer cell lines. Silencing UBE2N achieved the same effects on lung



Figure 4. ALDOC mediates NSCLC development via acting on UBE2N. (A–C) After infecting indicated lentiviral particles in A549 and NCI-H1299 cells, the changes in cell proliferation (A), migration (B) and apoptosis (C) were evaluated via CCK8, transwell and flow cytometry assays. (D, E) The volume (D) and weight (E) of tumor from xenograft models were monitored. (F) The tumor were harvested and photographed. (G) Ki67 was stained using IHC staining. NC (OE+KD): Control; NC (KD)+ALDOC: ALDOC overexpression; NC (OE)+shUBE2N: UBE2N downregulation; ALDOC+shUBE2N: ALDOC overexpression and UBE2N downregulation. *** P < 0.001; ** P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

cancer cells as knocking down ALDOC. More notably, UBE2N knockdown inhibited the proliferation and migration of ALDOC-overexpressing A549 and NCI-H1299 cells. At the same time, UBE2N inhibition accelerated cell apoptosis in ALDOC-overexpressing cells. Thus, we concluded that ALDOC regulates the proliferation, migration, and apoptosis of lung cancer cells via targeting UBE2N.

The Wnt/ β -catenin pathway is highly conserved and plays a crucial role in various cellular processes, including embryonic development, proliferation, and

differentiation. The Wnt family is implicated in normal physiological activities such as organ formation, stem cell renewal, and cell survival [30]. In humans, the Wnt family consists of cysteine-rich glycoproteins that serve as ligands for up to 15 receptors and co-receptors [31]. These ligands induce intracellular signal transduction pathways, including the Wnt/ β -catenin dependent or canonical pathway, as well as the β -catenin-independent or non-canonical pathway [32]. Aberrations in the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway are implicated in numerous human malignancies. Accumulating evidence has shown that dysregulation of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling promotes the



Figure 5. ALDOC regulates NSCLC through Wnt/\beta-catenin pathway. (**A**) After Wnt inhibitor treatment, the levels of ALDOC, UBE2N, c-MYC, WNT3A and β -catenin in ALDOC-overexpressing A549 and NCI-H1299 cells were investigated through western blot analysis. (**B**, **C**) After Wnt inhibitor treatment in ALDOC-overexpressing A549 and NCI-H1299 cells, the changes in cell proliferation (**B**) and apoptosis (**C**) were evaluated via CCK8 and flow cytometry assays. *** *P* < 0.001; **P* < 0.05.

development and progression of human cancers, including head and neck cancer [33], liver cancer [34], prostate cancer [35], and ovarian cancer [18]. In lung cancer, Wnt signaling is particularly important in NSCLC cell lines and may influence tumorigenesis, prognosis and treatment resistance [36]. Consequently, targeting the Wnt signaling pathway has become a major focus in the study of solid malignancies [37]. Here, we found that the overexpression of ALDOC led to an increase in UBE2N, C-MYC, WNT3A, and β -catenin expression, and these effects were diminished upon treatment with a Wnt inhibitor. Moreover, the Wnt inhibitor mitigated cell viability and promoted cell apoptosis. These findings indicated that ALDOC influences NSCLC cell behaviors through the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway.

Our findings indicated a potential correlation between ALDOC, UBE2N, and the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway in NSCLC. The specific mechanism by which ALDOC alteration leads to changes in transcriptional regulation of UBE2N was investigated. ALDOC could transcriptionally activate UBE2N by interacting with MYC. However, the binding sites between ALDOC and MYC and their functional relevance remains to be investigated. ALDOC is a metabolic enzyme. The altered expression of ALDOC has been linked to various metabolic pathways, including glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) metabolism, in cancer cells [38]. Moreover, research has demonstrated the crosstalk between metabolic alterations and transcriptional regulation in cancer. For example, ERGrearrangements possibly induce metabolic changes in prostate cancer by increasing glucose uptake through activation of major metabolic signaling molecules such as neuropeptide Y (NPY) [39]. We hypothesize that the altered expression of ALDOC in NSCLC could disrupt glucose metabolism and other metabolic processes, resulting in changes in the transcriptional profile of NSCLC cells and patient samples. Moreover, ALDOC alteration might lead to the transcriptional changes in NSCLC via Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. The correlation between UBE2N and the Wnt pathway in the context of NSCLC has not been determined. Several plausible explanations can be proposed based on existing knowledge. It has been reported that overexpression of Evi/Wls is sufficient to enhance downstream Wnt signaling in glioma, making it a crucial regulator of glioma tumorigenesis [40]. Evi/Wls is constantly produced in Wnt-secreting cells and immediately degraded in the absence of lipid-modified Wnts [41]. The degradation of Evi/Wls relies on its ubiquitination by the ER membrane-associated E3 ubiquitin ligase CGRRF1, as well as the E2 ubiquitinconjugating enzymes UBE2J2, UBE2K, and UBE2N, at multiple amino acid positions [42]. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that Wnt ligands may competitively bind to Evi/Wls to activate Wnt signaling transduction, which in turn inhibits UBE2N-mediated Evi/Wls ubiquitination and promotes the progression of tumors. In our study, we propose that when ALDOCoverexpressed NSCLC cells are treated with a Wnt pathway inhibitor, the binding of Wnt ligand to Evi/Wls is blocked. Consequently, Evi/Wls becomes susceptible to ubiquitination, resulting in a reduction in UBE2N levels. However, further investigation is needed to validate this mechanism.

In conclusion, our work confirmed the role of ALDOC as a promoter in NSCLC, and its interaction with UBE2N regulates the development and progression of NSCLC, suggesting ALDOC as a potential therapeutic target for NSCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tissue collection

Human lung cancer samples, including tumor tissues and adjacent non-malignant tissues, were collected from a total of 79 patients who underwent primary lung cancer tumor resection at Shandong Provincial Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and detailed clinicopathological data were obtained and summarized.

Bioinformatics analysis

RNA-seq data of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) cohorts were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (<u>https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcga/</u>). Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset GSE41271 (<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE41271</u>) was employed.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Paraffin sections (4 µm thick) were initially incubated in an oven at 60° C for 30 min. Following dehydration and rehydration, antigen retrieval was performed by adding citric acid buffer and heating at 120° C for 20 min. To block endogenous peroxidase activity, 3% H₂O₂ was applied for 10 min. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with the primary antibody overnight at 4° C. Afterward, the secondary antibody was added and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. The slides were then stained with DAB for 5 min and counterstained with hematoxylin (Baso DiagnosticsInc., Zhuhai, China) for 10 - 15 s. Microscopic images were captured and viewed using ImageScope and CaseViewer. All slides were assessed by three independent pathologists in a randomized manner. Staining scores were categorized as follows: 1 (1%-24%), 2 (25%-49%), 3 (50%-74%) and 4 (75%-100%). The staining intensity was scored from 0 (no signal color) to 3 (light yellow, brown, and dark brown). IHC results were defined based on staining scores and intensity scores, specifically classified as negative (0), positive (1-4), ++ positive (5-8) and +++ positive (9-12). Further information regarding the antibodies used in this study was provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Cell lines and cell culture

Human NSCLC cell lines A549 and NCI-H1299, as well as normal cell line BEAS-2B were purchased from American type culture collection (ATCC). A549 cells were cultured in F12K medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), while NCI-H1299 and BEAS-2B cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. All cell lines were maintained in a 37° C incubator containing 5% CO₂.

Lentivirus RNAi construction and infection

The corresponding RNAi target sequences for ALDOC and UBE2N, as well as the ALDOC overexpression sequence, were designed. These sequences were then ligated to the linearized vector BR-V-108 through the restriction sites at both ends. The resulting constructs were then transferred into prepared DH5 α *E. coli* competent cells. Positive clones were identified by PCR, and the plasmids were extracted by the Endofree Maxi plasmid kit. The qualified plasmids were transfected into 293T cells, and the cells were harvested 48-72 h after infection. Finally, the cells were cultured for additional 72 h at 37° C, and the infection efficiency was evaluated.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

A549 and NCI-H1299 cells were collected, and total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) following the manufacturer's instruction. Then, cDNA was synthesized using the Promega M-MLV Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). qRT-PCR assay was conducted using the SYBR Green Mastermixs Kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). GAPDH was chosen as an internal control, and the relative expression of mRNA was determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. The primer sequences utilized in qRT-PCR were provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Western blot assay

The total cellular proteins were extracted and quantified using the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA, Cat. # A53227). After that, the proteins were resolved in 10% SDS-PAGE and subsequently transferred onto PVDF membranes for Western blot assay. The membranes were then blocked and incubated with primary antibodies followed by secondary antibodies at room temperature for 2 h. For detection, the ECL+plusTM Western blotting system kit was used, and X-ray imaging was performed. The antibodies employed in western blot analysis were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

CCK8 assay

A549 and NCI-H1299 cell lines after being infected were resuspended and counted. A total of 100 μ L cell suspension at a density of 3000 cells/well was added to a 96-well plate, with three replicates set for each group. The cells were then placed in an incubator. Starting from the second day, 10 μ L of CCK-8 reagent was added into each well 2~4 h before the culture was terminated. After a 4-h incubation, the 96-well plate was placed on a shaker and oscillated for 2-5 min, and the OD value was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader for 5 consecutive days. The experiment was repeated three times.

Colony formation assay

A549 and NCI-H1299 cells infected with the indicated lentivirus were collected, trypsinized, resuspended before being seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 1200 cells per well. The cells were then cultured in their respective growth medium, with a medium change performed every 72 h. Cell clones were visualized and captured using an Olympus fluorescence microscope. To fix the cells, 1 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde was added and allowed to incubate for 30-60 min. Subsequently, the cells were attained with 500 µL of Giemsa for 10-20 min at room temperature. After washing and air-drying, the cells were photographed using a digital camera (XDS-100; Caikang Optical Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), and colonies (defined as clones containing > 50 cells) were counted. The experiment was repeated three times.

Wound-healing assay

A549 and NCI-H1299 cells infected with lentiviruses were inoculated into 96-well plates. Images $(50 \times$ magnification) were obtained at 0 h, 24 h or 48 h after creating scratches using a Cellomics scanner (cat. no. ArrayScan VT1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Cell migration was quantified as the ratio of cell migration distance to the width of the initial "0 h" scratch area at different time points.

Transwell assay

To initiate the migration assay, the upper chamber of a transwell plate was incubated with 100 µL serum-free medium for 1-2 h. A549 and NCI-H1299 cell lines, previously infected with the indicated lentiviruses, were each and transferred into diluted chamber. Simultaneously, 600 µL of medium containing 30% FBS was added to the lower chamber. The upper chamber was then placed onto the lower chamber and incubated for 40 h. Following the incubation, 400 µL of Giemsa staining solution was added to visualize the cells. Finally, the cells were dissolved in 10% acetic acid and the optical density at 570 nm (OD570) was detected. The experiment was repeated three times to assess the migration ability of the cells.

Detection of cell apoptosis and cell cycle by fluorescence activated cells sorting (FACS)

After infection with the indicated lentiviruses, A549 and NCI-H1299 cell lines were inoculated in a 6-well plate with 2 mL of culture medium per well. When the cells reached 85% confluence, the cell suspension was centrifuged and the supernatants were discarded. The cells were then washed with pre-cooled D-Hanks (pH= $7.2\sim7.4$) at 4° C. Next, 10 µL of Annexin V-APC (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) was added for staining in the dark. The level of cell apoptosis was measured and the apoptotic rate was analyzed using FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

PrimeView human gene expression array

Total RNA was extracted using previously described methods. The quality and integrity of RNA were determined using the Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Gene expression array was performed with Affymetrix human GeneChip PrimeView according to the manufacturer's instructions, and the data were scanned using the Affymetrix Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Statistical significance of the raw data was completed using a Welch t-test with the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction method (|Fold Change| ≥ 1.3 and FDR < 0.05 were considered significant). Significant difference analysis and functional analysis were performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), with a |Z - score| > 0indicating significance.

Immunofluorescence (IF) experiment

ALDOC-overexpressing A549 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and blocked with serum for 30 min.

After that, the slides were incubated with the primary antibody MYC overnight at 4° C, followed by incubation with the secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. Finally, the nuclei were stained with DAPI (Abcam, Cat. ab104139) and images were captured. Detailed information about the antibodies used can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

Dual-luciferase assay

UBE2N wild type (UBE2N-WT) and mutant (UBE2N-MUT) luciferase plasmids were generated using a promoter region fragment of UBE2N. A549 cells were subsequently infected with c-MYC overexpression plasmid in conjunction with UBE2N-WT or UBE2N-MUT plasmids. Firefly luciferase activity and the Renilla luciferase signal were measured using the Promega (Madison, WI, USA) dual luciferase system (Cat. E2940). The sequences of both UBE2N-WT and UBE2N-MUT DNA in the luciferase reporter assay were listed in "Supplementary Information Files 1–3".

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR assay

A549 cells overexpressing MYC were cross-linked with formaldehyde, and then lysed in SDS buffer. The lysates were sonicated to mechanically shear the DNA into fragments. Protein–DNA complexes were precipitated using 5 µg of control normal rabbit IgG (CST, Cat. 13987S), 2 µg Histone H3 (D2B12) XP[®] Rabbit mAb (CST, Cat. 4620), and anti-MYC antibody (1:50, Sanying, Wuhan, Cat 10828-1-AP). The DNA fragment was eluted and subsequently detected by qPCR. Primers for ChIP-qPCR amplification were presented in Supplementary Table 2.

The construction of nude mouse tumor formation model

The animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Welfare Committee of Shandong Provincial Hospital (Approval No. 2022-158). A total of 1×10^7 A549 cells expressing the indicated lentivirus were subcutaneously injected into fourweek-old female BALB-c nude mice obtained from Shanghai Weitong Lihua Animal Research Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) to construct xenograft models (4 mice/group). The length (L) and width (W) of the tumors were measured and used to calculate tumor volume (calculated as $\pi/6 \times L \times W^2$, where L represents the longest dimension and W represents the dimension perpendicular to length). After 30 days, the mice were euthanized, and the tumors were excised, weighed, photographed, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C.

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6 (San Diego, CA, USA) and SPSS version 24.0. Data were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The association between ALDOC expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of patients was assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test and Spearman correlation analysis. Student's t-test was used for comparisons between two groups, while one-way ANOVA was used for comparisons among multiple groups. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Bin Shang, Guanghai Yang and Hao Zhang designed this research. Bin Shang, Fengjuan Lu, Mengmeng Xing and Xinyu Mao operated the cell and animal experiments. Shujuan Jiang and Guanghai Yang conducted the data procession and analysis. Bin Shang completed the manuscript which was reviewed by Hao Zhang. All authors have confirmed the submission of this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT AND CONSENT

The ethical approval was obtained from Biomedical Research Ethic Committee of Shandong Provincial Hospital (No. 2022-497). The informed consents were obtained from patients. The animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Welfare Committee of Shandong Provincial Hospital (Approval No. 2022-158).

FUNDING

This study was conducted with support from Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. ZR2021ZD35) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81973989).

REFERENCES

1. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature. 2014; 511:543–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13385 PMID:<u>25079552</u>

- Kris MG, Johnson BE, Berry LD, Kwiatkowski DJ, Iafrate AJ, Wistuba II, Varella-Garcia M, Franklin WA, Aronson SL, Su PF, Shyr Y, Camidge DR, Sequist LV, et al. Using multiplexed assays of oncogenic drivers in lung cancers to select targeted drugs. JAMA. 2014; 311:1998–2006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.3741</u> PMID:24846037
- Hirsch FR, Scagliotti GV, Mulshine JL, Kwon R, Curran WJ Jr, Wu YL, Paz-Ares L. Lung cancer: current therapies and new targeted treatments. Lancet. 2017; 389:299–311. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30958-8</u> PMID:<u>27574741</u>
- Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, Sunpaweravong P, Han B, Margono B, Ichinose Y, Nishiwaki Y, Ohe Y, Yang JJ, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361:947–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0810699</u> PMID:19692680
- Rosell R, Molina MA, Costa C, Simonetti S, Gimenez-Capitan A, Bertran-Alamillo J, Mayo C, Moran T, Mendez P, Cardenal F, Isla D, Provencio M, Cobo M, et al. Pretreatment EGFR T790M mutation and BRCA1 mRNA expression in erlotinib-treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients with EGFR mutations. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17:1160–8.

https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2158 PMID:21233402

6. Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, Vergnenegre A, Massuti B, Felip E, Palmero R, Garcia-Gomez R, Pallares C, Sanchez JM, Porta R, Cobo M, Garrido P, et al, and Spanish Lung Cancer Group in collaboration with Groupe Français de Pneumo-Cancérologie and Associazione Italiana Oncologia Toracica. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutationpositive non-small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13:239–46.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70393-X PMID:<u>22285168</u>

 Lu M, Holliday LS, Zhang L, Dunn WA Jr, Gluck SL. Interaction between aldolase and vacuolar H+-ATPase: evidence for direct coupling of glycolysis to the ATPhydrolyzing proton pump. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:30407–13.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M008768200

PMID:<u>11399750</u>

- Cañete-Soler R, Reddy KS, Tolan DR, Zhai J. Aldolases a and C are ribonucleolytic components of a neuronal complex that regulates the stability of the lightneurofilament mRNA. J Neurosci. 2005; 25:4353–64. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0885-05.2005</u> PMID:<u>15858061</u>
- Wang J, Morris AJ, Tolan DR, Pagliaro L. The molecular nature of the F-actin binding activity of aldolase revealed with site-directed mutants. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:6861–5. PMID:8636111
- Lebherz HG, Rutter WJ. Distribution of fructose diphosphate aldolase variants in biological systems. Biochemistry. 1969; 8:109–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00829a016</u> PMID:<u>5777313</u>
- Ahn AH, Dziennis S, Hawkes R, Herrup K. The cloning of zebrin II reveals its identity with aldolase C. Development. 1994; 120:2081–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.120.8.2081</u> PMID:<u>7925012</u>
- Gravel C, Eisenman LM, Sasseville R, Hawkes R. Parasagittal organization of the rat cerebellar cortex: direct correlation between antigenic Purkinje cell bands revealed by mabQ113 and the organization of the olivocerebellar projection. J Comp Neurol. 1987; 265:294–310. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902650211</u> PMID:<u>3320112</u>
- Voogd J, Ruigrok TJ. Transverse and longitudinal patterns in the mammalian cerebellum. Prog Brain Res. 1997; 114:21–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0079-6123(08)63356-7</u> PMID:<u>9193136</u>
- Chang YC, Yang YC, Tien CP, Yang CJ, Hsiao M. Roles of Aldolase Family Genes in Human Cancers and Diseases. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2018; 29:549–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2018.05.003</u> PMID:<u>29907340</u>
- Maruyama R, Nagaoka Y, Ishikawa A, Akabane S, Fujiki Y, Taniyama D, Sentani K, Oue N. Overexpression of aldolase, fructose-bisphosphate C and its association with spheroid formation in colorectal cancer. Pathol Int. 2022; 72:176–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pin.13200</u> PMID:<u>35147255</u>
- Chang YC, Tsai HF, Huang SP, Chen CL, Hsiao M, Tsai WC. Enrichment of Aldolase C Correlates with Low Non-Mutated IDH1 Expression and Predicts a Favorable Prognosis in Glioblastomas. Cancers (Basel). 2019; 11:1238. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11091238

PMID:<u>31450822</u>

 Yuan J, Xing H, Li Y, Song Y, Zhang N, Xie M, Liu J, Xu Y, Shen Y, Wang B, Zhang L, Yang M. EPB41 suppresses the Wnt/β-catenin signaling in non-small cell lung cancer by sponging ALDOC. Cancer Lett. 2021; 499:255–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2020.11.024

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2020.11.0 PMID:<u>33242559</u>

- Arend RC, Londoño-Joshi AI, Straughn JM Jr, Buchsbaum DJ. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway in ovarian cancer: a review. Gynecol Oncol. 2013; 131:772–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.09.034</u> PMID:<u>24125749</u>
- Yamamoto T, Kudo M, Peng WX, Takata H, Takakura H, Teduka K, Fujii T, Mitamura K, Taga A, Uchida E, Naito Z. Identification of aldolase A as a potential diagnostic biomarker for colorectal cancer based on proteomic analysis using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. Tumour Biol. 2016; 37:13595–606. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-016-5275-8</u>

PMID:27468721

- Chen X, Yang TT, Zhou Y, Wang W, Qiu XC, Gao J, Li CX, Long H, Ma BA, Ma Q, Zhang XZ, Yang LJ, Fan QY. Proteomic profiling of osteosarcoma cells identifies ALDOA and SULT1A3 as negative survival markers of human osteosarcoma. Mol Carcinog. 2014; 53:138–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.21957</u> PMID:22949271
- Sandoval M, Luarte A, Herrera-Molina R, Varas-Godoy M, Santibáñez M, Rubio FJ, Smit AB, Gundelfinger ED, Li KW, Smalla KH, Wyneken U. The glycolytic enzyme aldolase C is up-regulated in rat forebrain microsomes and in the cerebrospinal fluid after repetitive fluoxetine treatment. Brain Res. 2013; 1520:1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2013.04.049</u> PMID:23688545
- Levine J, Kwon E, Paez P, Yan W, Czerwieniec G, Loo JA, Sofroniew MV, Wanner IB. Traumatically injured astrocytes release a proteomic signature modulated by STAT3-dependent cell survival. Glia. 2016; 64:668–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.22953</u> PMID:<u>26683444</u>
- Hershko A, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin system. Annu Rev Biochem. 1998; 67:425–79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.425</u>
 PMID:9759494
- Zhang M, Windheim M, Roe SM, Peggie M, Cohen P, Prodromou C, Pearl LH. Chaperoned ubiquitylation-crystal structures of the CHIP U box E3 ubiquitin ligase and a CHIP-Ubc13-Uev1a complex. Mol Cell. 2005; 20:525–38.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2005.09.023 PMID:<u>16307917</u>

- 25. Kolas NK, Chapman JR, Nakada S, Ylanko J, Chahwan R, Sweeney FD, Panier S, Mendez M, Wildenhain J, Thomson TM, Pelletier L, Jackson SP, Durocher D. Orchestration of the DNA-damage response by the RNF8 ubiquitin ligase. Science. 2007; 318:1637–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150034</u> PMID:<u>18006705</u>
- 26. Cheng J, Fan YH, Xu X, Zhang H, Dou J, Tang Y, Zhong X, Rojas Y, Yu Y, Zhao Y, Vasudevan SA, Zhang H, Nuchtern JG, et al. A small-molecule inhibitor of UBE2N induces neuroblastoma cell death via activation of p53 and JNK pathways. Cell Death Dis. 2014; 5:e1079. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.54</u> PMID:24556694
- Zhang J, Lei Z, Huang Z, Zhang X, Zhou Y, Luo Z, Zeng W, Su J, Peng C, Chen X. Epigallocatechin-3gallate(EGCG) suppresses melanoma cell growth and metastasis by targeting TRAF6 activity. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:79557–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12836</u>

PMID:27791197

- Dikshit A, Jin YJ, Degan S, Hwang J, Foster MW, Li CY, Zhang JY. UBE2N Promotes Melanoma Growth via MEK/FRA1/SOX10 Signaling. Cancer Res. 2018; 78:6462–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-18-1040</u> PMID:<u>30224375</u>
- 29. Zhu Q, Chen J, Pan P, Lin F, Zhang X. UBE2N Regulates Paclitaxel Sensitivity of Ovarian Cancer via Fos/P53 Axis. Onco Targets Ther. 2020; 13:12751–61. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S271164 PMID:33363381
- 30. Croce JC, McClay DR. Evolution of the Wnt pathways. Methods Mol Biol. 2008; 469:3–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-469-2_1</u> PMID:<u>19109698</u>
- 31. Willert K, Brown JD, Danenberg E, Duncan AW, Weissman IL, Reya T, Yates JR 3rd, Nusse R. Wnt proteins are lipid-modified and can act as stem cell growth factors. Nature. 2003; 423:448–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01611 PMID:12717451
- 32. MacDonald BT, Tamai K, He X. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev Cell. 2009; 17:9–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.016</u> PMID:<u>19619488</u>
- 33. Alamoud KA, Kukuruzinska MA. Emerging Insights into Wnt/β-catenin Signaling in Head and Neck Cancer. J Dent Res. 2018; 97:665–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034518771923</u> PMID:<u>29771197</u>
- He S, Tang S. WNT/β-catenin signaling in the development of liver cancers. Biomed Pharmacother. 2020; 132:110851.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110851 PMID:<u>33080466</u>

- 35. Murillo-Garzón V, Kypta R. WNT signalling in prostate cancer. Nat Rev Urol. 2017; 14:683–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrurol.2017.144</u> PMID:<u>28895566</u>
- Stewart DJ. Wnt signaling pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014; 106:djt356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djt356</u> PMID:<u>24309006</u>
- Krishnamurthy N, Kurzrock R. Targeting the Wnt/betacatenin pathway in cancer: Update on effectors and inhibitors. Cancer Treat Rev. 2018; 62:50–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.11.002</u> PMID:29169144
- 38. Kathagen-Buhmann A, Schulte A, Weller J, Holz M, Herold-Mende C, Glass R, Lamszus K. Glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway are differentially associated with the dichotomous regulation of glioblastoma cell migration versus proliferation. Neuro Oncol. 2016; 18:1219–29. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/now024</u> PMID:26917237
- 39. Massoner P, Kugler KG, Unterberger K, Kuner R, Mueller LA, Fälth M, Schäfer G, Seifarth C, Ecker S, Verdorfer I, Graber A, Sültmann H, Klocker H. Characterization of transcriptional changes in ERG rearrangement-positive prostate cancer identifies the regulation of metabolic sensors such as neuropeptide Y. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e55207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055207</u> PMID:23390522
- 40. Augustin I, Goidts V, Bongers A, Kerr G, Vollert G, Radlwimmer B, Hartmann C, Herold-Mende C, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Boutros M. The Wnt secretion protein Evi/Gpr177 promotes glioma tumourigenesis. EMBO Mol Med. 2012; 4:38–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201100186</u> PMID:22147553
- Glaeser K, Urban M, Fenech E, Voloshanenko O, Kranz D, Lari F, Christianson JC, Boutros M. ERAD-dependent control of the Wnt secretory factor Evi. EMBO J. 2018; 37:e97311. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201797311

PMID:29378775

 Wolf LM, Lambert AM, Haenlin J, Boutros M. EVI/WLS function is regulated by ubiquitylation and is linked to ER-associated degradation by ERLIN2. J Cell Sci. 2021; 134:jcs257790.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.257790 PMID:<u>34406391</u>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Figure



Supplementary Figure 1. The relationship between KIAA0101, UBE2N and RAD51AP1 expression with NSCLC patients' prognosis.

Supplementary Tables

Primary antibodies	Dilution in WB	Source species	Company	Catalog no.
ALDOC	1:5000	Rabbit	Proteintech	14884-1-AP
RAD51AP1	1:1000	Rabbit	Abcam	ab101321
UBE2N	1:1000	Rabbit	Abcam	ab109286
C-MYC	1:1000	Rabbit	Proteintech	10828-1-AP
WNT3A	1:1000	Rabbit	CST	2721S
β-Catenin	1:2000	Mouse	Proteintech	66379-1-Ig
GAPDH	1:30000	Mouse	Proteintech	60004-1-lg
Secondary antibody	Dilution		Company	Catalog No.
Goat Anti-Rabbit	1:3000		Beyotime	A0208
Goat Anti- Mouse	1:3000		Beyotime	A0216

Cumplementer	Table 1. Antibadian wood in Mastern blatting, UIC a	
Supplementary	Γable 1. Antibodies used in Western blotting, IHC a	na ir.

Primary antibodies	Dilution in IHC and IF	Source species	Company	Catalog no.
ALDOC	1:200	Rabbit	Sanying, Wuhan	14844-1-AP
Ki67	1:100	Rabbit	Abcam	ab16667
MYC	1:200	Rabbit	Sanying, Wuhan	10828-1-AP
Secondary antibody	Dilution		Company	Catalog No.
Goat Anti-Rabbit	1/400		Abcam	ab97080
Alexa Fluor® 594 Goat Anti-Rabbit (H+L)	1/200		Yisheng	33112ES60

Supplementary Table 2. Primers used in qPCR and ChIP-qPCR.

Gene	Forward primer sequence (5'-3')	Reverse primer sequence (5'-3')
ALDOC	TACCCCAGAGGAGATTGCCAT	GCCTCTTCTTCGCTCTGACC
UBE2N	ATCCGCACAGTTCTGCTATCG	TATGGCTTGGGCTTCGTTG
UBE2N(ChIP-qPCR)	ACACTCCCAACACAATAGCACTC	GAGAAGCCAAGGTTCAGAGGTAAT
RAD51AP1	GGAAGATGATGTTGGTGGTGTT	GTGCAAAGTCTGGTTCAGTGTC
KIAA0101	GGATAGTTTTCGGGTCCTTGT	AGCAGCCACCACTTTTCTGTA
GAPDH	TGACTTCAACAGCGACACCCA	CACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCAAA

		ALDOC expression		No.	P value
	-	Low	High	INO.	r value
	Stage_I	143	131	274	.0.001
Store.	Stage_II	54	67	121	
Stage	Stage_III	43	41	84	< 0.001
	Stage_IV	12	14	26	
	T1	84	84	168	
\mathbf{T}_{1}	T2	136	140	276	< 0.001
Tumor infiltrate (T)	Т3	26	21	47	
	T4	8	11	19	
	N0	172	158	330	< 0.001
lumphotic motostosis (N)	N1	39	56	95	
lymphatic metastasis (N)	N2	37	37	74	
	N3	0	2	2	
	M0	179	165	344	< 0.001
Matastasia (M)	M1	11	14	25	
Metastasis (M)	M2	0	0	0	
	M3	0	0	0	

Supplementary Table 3. Correlation between the expression of ALDOC and clinicopathological parameters based on RNA-seq data of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) cohorts from TCGA database.

Supplementary Information Files

Please browse Full Text version to see the data of Supplementary Information Files 1–3.

Supplementary Information File 1. Immunofluorescence data_MYC_ALDOC and MYC_NC.

Supplementary Information File 2. Gene chip analysis.

Supplementary Information File 3. The sequences of UBE2N-WT and UBE2N-MUT DNA in the luciferase reporter assay.