Research Paper

Association between 5-HT1A receptor C-1019G, 5-HTTLPR polymorphisms and panic disorder: a meta-analysis

Wenli Zhu^{1,*}, Yangying Bu^{1,*}, Lijuan Wu^{2,*}, Junwei Li¹, Chuanfu Song¹, Yihui Hao³

¹Department of Psychiatry, Fourth Hospital of Wuhu City, Wuhu, Anhui, China ²Department of Psychiatry, Shenyang Mental Health Center, Shenyang, Liaoning, China ³Department of Psychiatry, First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China *Equal contribution

Correspondence to: Chuanfu Song, Yihui Hao; email: songchfu@163.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1756-9870;haols6868@163.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9062-7037Keywords: serotonin, 5-HT1a receptor, serotonin plasma membrane transport proteins, genetic polymorphism, panic attackReceived: February 19, 2024Accepted: July 2, 2024Published: August 28, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Zhu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License</u> (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT

HTR1A C-1019G polymorphism (rs6295) and serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) have been linked with panic disorder (PD) in different ethnic backgrounds. Both these polymorphisms are in the promoter regions. However, results are inconsistent and contrasting evidence makes reliable conclusions even more challenging. A meta-analysis was conducted to test whether C-1019G polymorphism and 5-HTTLPR were involved in the etiology of PD. Articles researching the link between C-1019G, 5-HTTLPR polymorphisms, and PD were retrieved by database searching and systematically selected on the basis of selected inclusion parameters. 21 studies were included that examined the relationship of rs6295,5-HTTLPR polymorphisms with PD risk susceptibility (rs62957 polymorphism – 7 articles, and 5-HTTLPR polymorphism - 14 articles). A significant association was seen between the rs6295 polymorphism and PD pathogenesis, especially in Caucasian PD patients. No significant genetic linkage was found between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and PD. C-1019G polymorphism was involved in the etiology of PD in Caucasian patients. The 5-HTTLPR polymorphism was not a susceptibility factor of PD.

INTRODUCTION

Panic disorder (PD) is an anxiety disorder that is characterized by unpredicted and recurrent panic attacks [1]. PD affects up to 4% of the general populace [2]. Previous studies have shown that genetic factors have a critical function in the pathobiology of PD since genetic causes are responsible for 43% of the variations observed in PD [3].

The selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the primary antidepressants for the treatment of PD [4–6]. Their mechanism of action is to inhibit 5-HT uptakes in the presynaptic cleft, thereby raising the level of available 5-HT in the synaptic cleft. Thus, polymorphisms in the genes that modulate serotonin level and affect 5-HT neurotransmission signal transduction, such as serotonin transporter (5-HTT) and 5-HT1A receptor (5-HTR1A) may be involved in the etiopathogenesis of PD.

On comparing positron emission tomography (PET) results with control subjects, patients suffering from PD had significantly lower 5-HT1A radioligand binding in select areas of the brain [7]. Further, 5-HT1A receptor gene was also found to be implicated in PD [8, 9]. *In vivo* studies showed that mice with 5-HT1A receptor gene knockout displayed higher anxiety-like symptoms relative to wild-type mice [10]. Therefore, the 5-HT1A receptor gene can be potentially involved in PD [11]. C-1019G single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP rs6295) is situated in the HTR1A promoter, and has been linked

with several psychiatric diseases and differences in treatment response to antidepressants [12–14].

The human serotoninergic transporter gene (5-HTT) maps on chromosome 17q11.1-q12 [15] and modulates serotonin reabsorption from the synaptic cleft, thereby terminating the serotonergic system. Among the different 5-HTT gene single nucleotide polymorphisms, there is a single nucleotide polymorphism (44 bp insertion/ deletion) in the 5-HTT promoter region - that result in two alleles (L-long and S-short) - has been extensively studied. The transcriptional activity associated with the L allele is significantly more efficient compared with that of the S allele [15, 16]. Therefore, the L allele displays higher serotonin reuptake and lower level of serotonin in the synaptic cleft, which increases the risk of the development of psychiatric disorders such as depression, anorexia nervosa, suicide ideation, and PD [17-20].

Previous case-control studies have explored the genetic association of 5-HT1A receptor C-1019G, 5-HTTLPR, and PD, but the results were contradictory and inconclusive mainly due to different ethnic-dependent backgrounds, false-positive results, and insufficient sample sizes. To overcome the limitations of previous studies, a meta-analysis was performed to identify the HTR1A and 5-HTT genetic SNPs in PD. We combined the results of different studies, studies with small sample sizes, and/or conflicting results, thereby increasing their statistical power than that of the individual studies.

RESULTS

There was a total of 530 articles about 5-HT1A with PD in the database. After screening, 7 articles, including 967 Panic Attack Cases Groups and 999 healthy control Groups [8, 9, 21–25] were included in the meta-analysis (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

A total of 335 articles about 5-HTTPLR with PD were retrieved, excluding 107 duplicated articles, studies with missing data, animal studies, literature reviews, meeting abstracts, etc. After screening, 14 studies were selected (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2). Among them, Deckert et al. [26] mentioned the case-control study data of the German population and the case-control study data of the Italian population. The data were included as two samples, and the total sample number was as follows: N = 15, [22, 24–36], see Figure 1 for the detailed retrieval process.

The data analysis results are shown in Figure 2. We analyzed the 7 articles about 5-HT1A with PD and constructed data models with G as the risk factor. A total of 5 data models were established (GG vs. CC,

Figure 2, GG versus CC +GC, Figure 3, GC vs. CC, Figure 4, GG+GC vs. CC, Figure 5, G vs. C, Figure 6), no significant heterogeneity was found in all data models, and the fixed-effect model was employed for analyzing the results. The results of GG vs. CC analysis were OR = 1.59, 95%CI = (1.16-2.19), Z = 2.85, P = 0.004, Figure 2, GG versus CC+GC, OR = 1.24, 95%CI = (1.00-1.55) z = 1.97, P = 0.049, Figure 3. The results were statistically significant, and no positive results were found in other models. The results could be considered G allele as a risk factor for PD. Group analysis was conducted to address the differences between Asian and Caucasian races. The analysis results showed that OR = 2.00, 95% CI = (1.31-3.06), z = 3.22, P = 0.001, Figure 2. Caucasian GG versus CC+GC, OR = 1.71, 95%CI = (1.21-2.42) z = 3.02, p = 0.003, Figure 3. Caucasian G versus C, z=2.21, P = 0.03, OR = 1.59, 95%CI = (1.16-2.19), Figure 3. Caucasian G Versus C in the grouping analysis was statistically significant, while G Versus C in the overall data was not statistically significant, suggesting that there was a significant difference between Asian and Caucasian genotypes. The results suggest that 5-HT1A (rs6295) gene polymorphism is linked with PD in Caucasian patients, and the G allele is a risk factor for PD in the Caucasian population.

Previous case-control studies showed that there was no significant difference in 5-HTTLPR gene polymorphism between patients with PD and normal subjects. To further investigate whether there was a correlation, we hypothesized that the L allele may be a susceptibility factor for PD and established a model for meta-analysis. A total of models (L/L vs. S/S, Figure 1, L/L vs. L/S, Figure 2, L/S vs. S/S, Figure 3, L vs. S, Figure 4, LL+LS vs. SS, Figure 5, LL vs. LS+SS, Figure 6) were established to test the heterogeneity of the models. In general, the heterogeneity of the model ranged from 0.00% to 34.9%, so the heterogeneity was considered small or absent, and the fixed-effect model was analyzed. No significant results were found in the analysis of all the models: L/L versus S/S: OR = 1.16, 95%CI = (0.93-1.44), Z = 1.29 P = 0.20, Figure 7; L/L versus L/S: OR = 1.13, 95% CI = (0.95-1.34), Z = 1.33, P = 0.19, Figure 8; L/S versus S/S, OR = 0.92, 95% CI = (0.80-1.06), Z = 1.20, P = 0.23, Figure 9; L versus S:OR = 0.98, 95% CI = (0.90-1.08), Z = 0.37, P = 0.71, Figure 10; LL+LS versus SS: OR = 0.95, 95%CI =(0.84-1.08), Z = 0.76, P = 0.447, Figure 11; LL versus LS+SS: OR = 1.11, 95%CI = (0.94-1.31), Z = 1.28, P = 0.200, Figure 12.

To address the differences in the results among different races, we conducted grouping analysis. The grouping criteria were the races explicitly mentioned in the study,

Table 1. Summary of studies examining the relationship between the 5-HT1A C-1019G poly	olymorphism and PD.
--	---------------------

		Results	Genotype					Allele				
References	Race		Case (n)			Control (n)			Case (n)		Control (n)	
			GG	GC	CC	GG	GC	CC	G	С	G	С
Huang et al. (2004)	Caucasian	No association	24	43	20	23	50	34	91	83	96	118
Rothe et al. (2004)	German	G is associated with PD	42	59	32	27	70	37	143	123	144	124
Carolina et al. (2010)	German	G is associated with PD	33	53	21	24	62	39	119	95	110	140
Choi et al. (2010)	Korean	No association	44	43	7	58	46	7	131	57	162	60
Choe et al. (2012)	Korean	No association	12	71	111	8	62	102	95	293	78	266
Takashi et al. (2017)	Japan	G is associated with PD	10	54	55	5	55	59	74	164	65	173
Zou et al. (2020)	Han	No association	138	83	12	138	82	11	359	107	358	104

Table 2. The genotype	e frequency of 5-HTTPI	R polymorphism and	PD included studies.

	X 7	D			Cas	se			cont	rol		ca	ise	Con	trol
Author	Year	Race	Main results	Total	L/L	L/S	S/S	Total	L/L	L/S	S/S	L	S	L	S
Deckert	1997	Germany	No association	85	29	44	12	90	32	42	16	102	68	106	74
Deckert	1997	Italian	No association	73	28	32	13	79	23	44	12	88	58	90	68
Ishiguro	1997	Japan	No association	66	2	13	51	150	4	32	114	17	113	40	260
Matsushita	1997	Japan	No association	86	7	35	44	213	10	78	125	49	123	98	328
Ohara	1999	Japan	No association	27	1	4	22	106	3	24	79	6	48	30	182
Samochowiec	2004	Poland	No association	95	45	40	10	202	85	97	20	130	60	264	140
Barrondo	2005	No mentioned	No association	92	32	42	18	174	64	74	36	87	97	202	146
Maron	2005	Estonia	No association	158	75	72	11	215	80	101	34	222	94	261	169
Olesen	2005	Denmark	No association	104	36	50	18	108	38	52	18	122	86	127	89
Kim	2006	Korea	No association	244	8	77	159	227	10	76	141	93	395	96	358
Choe	2013	Korea	No association	191	11	71	109	166	6	63	97	93	289	75	257
Watanabe	2017	Japan	No association	119	4	42	73	119	7	41	71	50	188	55	183
Schiele	2019	Caucasian	No association	109	36	59	14	536	207	263	66	131	87	692	395
Zou	2020	China	No association	233	22	63	148	231	20	90	121	107	359	130	332
Tanahashi	2021	Japan	No association	515	29	148	338	440	18	144	278	206	824	180	700

which were divided into Caucasian and Asian race. Barrondo et al. [32] did not include information about the races. After grouping, the same model was used for grouping analysis, and the heterogeneity test was conducted on the basis of grouping, with the results ranging from 0.00% to 32.3%. The same test results showed no or small heterogeneity. The fixed effect model was used for further analysis. L/L versus S/S, OR = 1.24, 95% CI = (0.90- 1.72), Z = 1.32, P = 0.19; L/L versus L/S: OR = 1.09, 95% CI = (0.87-1.35), Z = 0.75, P = 0.46; L/S versus S/S, OR = 1.14, 95% CI = (0.84-1.56), Z = 0.83, P = 0.404; L versus S: OR = 1.11, 95% $CI = (0.96 \ 1.28), Z = 1.36, P = 0.173; LL+LS versus$ SS: OR = 1.17, 95%CI = (0.88-1.60), Z=1.12, P=0.27; LL versus LS+SS: OR = 1.12, 95%CI = (0.91-1.38), Z = 1.09, P = 0.278. Test results showed no significant correlation. L/L versus S/S: OR = 1.10, 95%CI = (0.80-1.53), Z = 0.59 P = 0.552; L/L versus L/S: OR = 1.34, 95%CI = (0.95-1.88), Z = 1.68, P = 0.09; L/S versus S/S, OR = 0.86, 95% CI = (0.74-1.00), Z = 1.87, P = 0.06; L versus S: OR = 0.95, 95% CI = (0.84-1.07), Z = 0.84, P = 0.40; LL+LS versus SS: OR=0.89, 95%CI = (0.77-1.04), Z = 1.49, P = 0.14; LL versus LS+SS: OR = 1.18, 95%CI = (0.85-1.63), Z = 1.00, P = 0.316. The test results showed no correlation. The frequency of 5-HTTPLR gene or allele is not correlated with PD.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis of 5-HTIA showed that the Estimate value was 1.19 and the 95% CI was (1.10-1.30); sensitivity analysis did not affect the analysis results. Sensitivity analysis of 5-HTTPLR was that the Estimate value was 0.99 and the 95% CI was (0.94-1.05); and the results showed that the sensitivity analysis results did not affect the final analysis results.

Publication bias

We employed the Begg's to detect publication bias on 5-HTIA analysis results. The results showed no bias (z=1.16, P=0.24). We used Begg's test to detect the



Figure 1. The detailed retrieval processes.

Study ID		OR (95% CI)	% Weight
GG versus CC			
Huang (2004)		- 1.77 (0.80, 3.93)	7.56
Rothe (2004)	· · · ·	1.80 (0.91, 3.54)	10.40
Carolina (2010)		2.55 (1.21, 5.39)	7.15
Choi W-S (2010)		0.76 (0.25, 2.32)	5.81
Choe AY (2012) -		1.38 (0.54, 3.51)	6.33
Takashi (2017)		→ 2.15 (0.69, 6.67)	3.54
Zou (2020) ——	•	0.92 (0.39, 2.15)	9.20
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.495)	$\langle \rangle$	1.59 (1.16, 2.19)	50.00
		()	
Caucasian GG versus CC			
Huang (2004)	•	- 1.77 (0.80, 3.93)	7.56
Rothe (2004)		1.80 (0.91, 3.54)	10.40
Carolina (2010)		2.55 (1.21, 5.39)	7.15
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.743)	$\langle \rangle$	2.01 (1.31, 3.06)	25.12
	-		
Asian GG versus CC			
Choi W-S (2010)		0.76 (0.25, 2.32)	5.81
Choe AY (2012) -		1.38 (0.54, 3.51)	6.33
Takashi (2017)			3.54
Zou (2020) ——	•	0.92 (0.39, 2.15)	9.20
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.550)	$\langle \rangle$	1.17 (0.72, 1.91)	
	-	. , ,	
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.629)		1.59 (1.27, 1.99)	100.00
		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
.15	1	6.67	

Figure 2. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HT1A genotype (GG versus CC) in the PD and control groups.



Figure 3. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HT1A genotype (GG versus CC +GC) in the PD and control groups.

Study ID		OR (95% CI)	% Weight
GG versus GC			
Huang (2004) -	•	1.21 (0.60, 2.45)	5.24
Rothe (2004)	•	1.85 (1.02, 3.35)	5.97
Carolina (2010)		1.61 (0.85, 3.05)	5.48
Choi W-S (2010)	• • •	0.81 (0.46, 1.44)	9.68
Choe AY (2012) —		1.31 (0.50, 3.41)	2.75
Takashi (2017)		→ 2.04 (0.65, 6.35)	1.61
Zou (2020)	• <u>+</u>	0.99 (0.67, 1.45)	19.26
Subtotal (I-squared = 7.1% , p = 0.374)	$\langle \rangle$	1.20 (0.95, 1.51)	50.00
	Ĩ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Caucasian GG versus GC			
Huang (2004) -	•	1.21 (0.60, 2.45)	5.24
Rothe (2004)	•	1.85 (1.02, 3.35)	
Carolina (2010)		1.61 (0.85, 3.05)	
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.668)	$\langle \rangle$	1.57 (1.08, 2.27)	
Asian GG versus GC			
Choi W-S (2010)	•	0.81 (0.46, 1.44)	9.68
Choe AY (2012) —		1.31 (0.50, 3.41)	
Takashi (2017)		→ 2.04 (0.65, 6.35)	1.61
Zou (2020)		0.99 (0.67, 1.45)	19.26
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% , p = 0.508)	\triangleleft	1.01 (0.76, 1.36)	
	T		
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.455)	\diamond	1.20 (1.02, 1.41)	100.00
		(, ····)	
	i		
.157	1	6.35	

Figure 4. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HT1A genotype (GC versus CC) in the PD and control groups.



Figure 5. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HT1A genotype (GG+GC versus CC) in the PD and control groups.

Study ID		OR (95% CI)	% Weight
G versus C			
Huang (2004)		1.35 (0.90, 2.01)	5.29
Rothe (2004)	•	1.00 (0.71, 1.41)	8.55
Carolina (2010)		→ 1.59 (1.10, 2.30)	5.81
Choi W-S (2010)		0.85 (0.55, 1.31)	5.81
Choe AY (2012)	- ■	1.09 (0.77, 1.53)	8.13
Takashi (2017) -		1.20 (0.81, 1.78)	5.77
Zou (2020)		0.97 (0.72, 1.32)	10.64
Subtotal (I-squared = 17.6%, p = 0.295)	$\langle \rangle$	1.12 (0.98, 1.29)	50.00
Huang (2004) Rothe (2004) Carolina (2010) Subtotal (I-squared = 41.7%, p = 0.180)		 → 1.35 (0.90, 2.01) 1.00 (0.71, 1.41) → 1.59 (1.10, 2.30) 1.27 (1.03, 1.57) 	8.55 5.81
Asian G versus C			
Choi W-S (2010)		0.85 (0.55, 1.31)	
Choe AY (2012)		1.09 (0.77, 1.53)	
Takashi (2017) -		1.20 (0.81, 1.78)	
Zou (2020)		0.97 (0.72, 1.32)	
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.670)	$ \rightarrow $	1.02 (0.86, 1.23)	30.35
Overall (I-squared = 10.8%, p = 0.335)		1.12 (1.02, 1.23)	100.00
.434	1	2.3	

Figure 6. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HT1A allele (G vs. C) in the PD and control groups.



Figure 7. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (L/L versus S/S) in PD and control groups.

Ishiguro (1997) Matsushta (1997) Ohara (1999) Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Olesen (2005) Olesen (2005)	0.87 (0.45, 1.67) 1.67 (0.82, 3.42) 1.23 (0.20, 7.66) 1.56 (0.55, 4.44) 2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	4.23 2.56 0.45 1.19 0.17 5.62 5.60 7.75
Decker (1997)	- 1 67 (0.82, 3.42) - 1.23 (0.20, 7.56) 1.56 (0.55, 4.44) 2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	2.56 0.45 1.19 0.17 5.62 5.60 7.75
Deckert (1997) Ishiguro (1997) Ohara (1999) Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005) Olesen (2005)	- 1.23 (0.20, 7.56) 1.56 (0.55, 4.44) 2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	0.45 1.19 0.17 5.62 5.60 7.75
Matsushita (1997) Ohara (1999) Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005)	1.56 (0.55, 4.44) 2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	1.19 0.17 5.62 5.60 7.75
Ohara (1999) Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005)	2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	0.17 5.62 5.60 7.75
Ohara (1999) Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005)	2.00 (0.16, 24.33) 1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	5.62 5.60 7.75
Samochowiec (2004) Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005) 	1.28 (0.77, 2.15) 0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	5.60 7.75
Barrondo (2005) Maron (2005) Olesen (2005)	0.88 (0.50, 1.56) 1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	7.75
Maron (2005)	1.32 (0.85, 2.04) 0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	
Olesen (2005)	0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	
		4.77
NIII (2000)	0.79 (0.30, 2.11)	1.99
Choe (2013)	1.63 (0.57, 4.65)	1.25
Watanabe (2017)	0.56 (0.15, 2.05)	1.38
Schiele (2019)	0.78 (0.49, 1.22)	9.54
Zou (2020)	1.57 (0.79, 3.12)	2.85
Tanahashi (2021)	1.57 (0.83, 2.95)	3.47
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.710)	1.12 (0.95, 1.34)	52.80
Caucasian L/L versus L/S Deckert (1997)	0.87 (0.45, 1.67)	4.23
Deckert (1997)	1.67 (0.82, 3.42)	2.56
Samochowiec (2004)	1.28 (0.77, 2.15)	5.62
Maron (2005)	1.32 (0.85, 2.04)	7.75
Olesen (2005)	0.99 (0.54, 1.79)	4.77
Schiele (2019)	0.78 (0.49, 1.22)	9.54
Subtotal (I-squared = 4.6%, p = 0.387)	1.09 (0.87, 1.35)	34.46
Asian L/L versus L/S		
Ishiguro (1997)	- 1.23 (0.20, 7.56)	0.45
Matsushita (1997)	1.56 (0.55, 4.44)	1.19
Ohara (1999)	2.00 (0.16, 24.33)	0.17
Kim (2006)	0.79 (0.30, 2.11)	1.99
Choe (2013)	1.63 (0.57, 4.65)	1.25
Watanabe (2017)	0.56 (0.15, 2.05)	1.38
Zou (2020)	1.57 (0.79, 3.12)	2.85
Tanahashi (2021)	1.57 (0.73, 3.12)	3.47
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.822)	1.34 (0.95, 1.88)	3.47 12.74
	1.34 (0.95, 1.66)	12.74
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.841)	1.14 (1.00, 1.29)	100.00
.0411 1	24.3	

Figure 8. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (L/L versus L/S) in PD and control groups.



Figure 9. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (L/S versus S/S) in PD and control groups.

Study		%
ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight
L versus S		
Deckert (1997)	1.05 (0.68, 1.60)	2.32
Deckert (1997)	1.15 (0.73, 1.81)	1.93
Ishiguro (1997)	0.98 (0.53, 1.80)	1.18
Matsushita (1997)	1.33 (0.89, 1.99)	2.27
Ohara (1999)	0.76 (0.30, 1.93)	0.61
Samochowiec (2004)	1.15 (0.80, 1.66)	3.00
Barrondo (2005)	0.65 (0.45, 0.93)	4.15
Maron (2005)	1.53 (1.12, 2.08)	3.70
Olesen (2005)	0.99 (0.68, 1.46)	2.90
Kim (2006)	0.88 (0.64, 1.21)	4.53
Choe (2013)	1.10 (0.78, 1.56)	3.42
Watanabe (2017)	0.88 (0.57, 1.37)	2.45
Schiele (2019)	0.86 (0.64, 1.16)	5.20
Zou (2020)	0.76 (0.57, 1.02)	5.66
Tanahashi (2021)	0.97 (0.78, 1.22)	8.75
Subtotal (I-squared = 34.9%, p = 0.089)	0.98 (0.90, 1.08)	52.07
Caucasian L versus S		
Deckert (1997)	1.05 (0.68, 1.60)	2.32
Deckert (1997)	- 1.15 (0.73, 1.81)	1.93
Samochowiec (2004)	1.15 (0.80, 1.66)	3.00
Maron (2005)	1.53 (1.12, 2.08)	3.70
Olesen (2005)	0.99 (0.68, 1.46)	2.90
Schiele (2019)	0.86 (0.64, 1.16)	5.20
Subtotal (I-squared = 32.3%, p = 0.193)	1.11 (0.96, 1.28)	19.06
Asian L versus S		
Ishiguro (1997)	- 0.98 (0.53, 1.80)	1.18
Matsushita (1997)	1.33 (0.89, 1.99)	2.27
Ohara (1999)	0.76 (0.30, 1.93)	0.61
Kim (2006)	0.88 (0.64, 1.21)	4.53
Choe (2013)	1.10 (0.78, 1.56)	3.42
Watanabe (2017)	0.88 (0.57, 1.37)	2.45
Zou (2020)	0.76 (0.57, 1.02)	5.66
Tanahashi (2021)	0.97 (0.78, 1.22)	8.75
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.515)	0.95 (0.84, 1.07)	28.87
Overall (I-squared = 25.8%, p = 0.104)	1.00 (0.93, 1.06)	100.00
.298	3.35	

Figure 10. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (L versus S) in PD and control groups.



Figure 11. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (LL+LS versus SS) in PD and control groups.

publication bias on 5-HTTPLR analysis results. The test results showed that there was no obvious publication bias and had little influence on the analysis results (z=1.24, P=0.22).

DISCUSSION

This is one of the first meta-analyses to investigate the link of *HTR1A* gene C-1019G polymorphisms with PD. We used 5 genotype models in case and control groups for comparative analyses. Further, the genotype-ethnic interaction model was employed to test the link of C-1019G polymorphism with PD in multiple ethnic backgrounds. The G allele or GG genotype is associated with PD in Caucasian patients. Our results showed that the 5-HTT allele frequencies and genotype distributions could not predict susceptibility to PD supporting the findings of a previous meta-analysis [37].

The 5-HT1A receptor functions not only as an autoreceptor but also as a heteroreceptor since it is found both pre-synaptically and post-synaptically. On being activated by 5-HT, the autoreceptor induces a negative feedback loop resulting in hyperpolarization and reduced firing frequency of neurons; this finally leads to lesser production and release of 5-HT. After serotonergic innervation, 5-HT exerts its effects on target neurons via the 5-HT1A heteroreceptor. Therefore, the 5-HT1A is capable of regulating the level of serotonin both globally and locally [11, 38].

Situated in the promoter region (26 base pair palindrome) of the HTR1A, C-1019G SNP rs6295 may affect the transcription of HTR1A by binding to deformed epidermal autoregulatory factor-1 (Deaf-1) and hairy enhancer of split 5 (Hes5), which are two key transcription factors. Both deaf-1 and Hes5 can specifically bind to the Callele and inhibit 5-HT1A expression [39-42]. So, the G-1019 is associated with a higher expression of 5-HT1A autoreceptors. Increased G allele and 5-HT1A receptor binding was shown in the different brain areas based on brain imaging results [43]. As a result, the risk of developing PD is increased in patients due to higher desensitization of 5-HT1A autoreceptors, reduced firing by the raphe, and decreased serotonin level [44, 45]. However, in cells with post-synaptic expression of 5-HT1A, the function of Deaf-1 is different in presynaptic neuronal cells compared with the postsynaptic neuronal cells. Deaf-1 binds to the C allele and induces the transcription of 5-HT1A transcription [46, 47]. The G-1019 allele may inhibit the Deaf-1-mediated transcription of 5-HT1A and lessen the release of 5-HT, thereby amplifying anxiety symptoms that have a lasting impact on the lives of the patients [48].



Figure 12. Results of the fixed-effects meta-analysis for the 5-HTTPLR genotype (LL versus LS+ SS) in PD and control groups.

C-1019G polymorphism correlates with the aetiology of the presence of panic disorder in Caucasians. This metaanalysis did not find any association between 5-HTT

CONCLUSIONS

This finding was validated in human studies. It is plausible that the G/G genotype results in poor clinical outcomes, which may be attenuated by SSRI treatment which desensitizes the overexpressed autoreceptors and leads to stronger activation of 5-HT neurons. This theory was supported by Yevtushenko et al. [49] who showed that the C allele of rs6295 is linked with increased alleviation of symptoms in Caucasian patients with PD. Further, Japanese PD patients who carried the rs6295C/C responded better to paroxetine pharmacotherapy compared with non-carriers [50].

Therefore, the primary causes of reduced serotonergic neurotransmission, one of the important characteristics of PD, are the higher 5-HT1A receptors expression acting pre-synaptically and decreased postsynaptic 5-HT1A and 5-HT positive neurons [51] rs25531 is another A to G SNP, located near the 5-HTTLPR. Compared with the G-allele, rs25531 A results in the higher expression of a transporter gene via a binding site for the AP2 transcription factor [52]. Together with the 5-HTTLPR, the rs25531 leads to the L-A and L-G haplotypes known as bi-allelic or tri-allelic 5-HTTLPR. The L-G haplotype carriers show reduced ISLC6A4 levels compared with the L-A haplotype carriers [53]. However, the long G allele does not have any effect on expression similar to the short allele [54]. Importantly, previous studies concluded that 5-HTTLPR s-allele might be linked with higher amygdala reactivity and fear conditioning [55] which are the hallmarks of PD. We hypothesize that 5-HTTLPR sallele affects the severity of symptomatic profiles and is not involved in the etiology of PD. This is supported by Strug et al. [56] who also demonstrated the bi-allelic or tri-allelic 5-HTTLPR is implicated in the severity of the symptomatic profiles and not in the etiology of PD.

One of the major limitations of this study is that the genegene interactions were not combined in the etiology of PD. It is established that PD is caused by many functional genes, and it is not the effect of a single nucleotide polymorphism [57]. Each gene only confers a minor risk to the disease, and the gene-gene interaction is a complex (G×G) process that affects the development of PD. Thus, future studies should investigate G×G and sub-clinical interactions (such as sex, environment factor, negative life events, and personality) to fully delineate their role in the etiology of PD.

It is argued that as far as our findings are concerned, the

polymorphism and PD. However, subgroup analyses

stratified by gender, ethnic background, severity symptoms, and other related gene polymorphisms are warranted to explore their role in the etiology of PD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane Library, PsycINFO, and PsycARTICLE databases served as the main databases. The search was carried out for studies until July 2021, the keywords used were "5 HT1A Receptor," "5-Hydroxytryptamine 1A Receptor", "5-Hydroxytryptamine 1A Receptor", "Serotonin 1A Receptor", "5-HT1A Receptor", "S-HT1A", "Panic Disorders (PD)", "Panic Attacks", "C(-1019)G" and "rs6295". The keywords "Serotonin Transporter Promoter (5HTTLPR)", 5-Hydroxytryptamine Plasma Membrane Transport Serotonin Plasma Membrane Transporter Proteins, Panic Disorder, and Panic Attacks were used to research before December 14, 2021. The retrieved contents also included references to published literature.

Inclusion criteria

Clear diagnostic standards (ICD-10, DSM-IV, DSM-V);
 Detailed genotype and gene frequency data;
 All genotypes met Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE);
 Case-control experimental study.

Data extraction

Basic information was extracted, including age, sex, sample size, race, result, inclusion or exclusion criteria, diagnostic criteria, assessment severity criteria, gene frequency, and allele data.

Data analysis

Statistical software STATA 15.0 was used for analysis, as described by Shang [14]. According to different genotypes, the case group and the healthy control group were statistically analyzed for heterogeneity, the fixed effect model was selected for data with low heterogeneity, and the random effect model was selected for data with large heterogeneity for meta-analysis of dichotomous variables, bias analysis was performed to exclude the effect of publication bias on the results, and the OR value was selected for calculating the risk ratio by statistical analysis.

Abbreviations

5-HTTLPR: serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism; PD: panic disorder; SSRIs: selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors; 5-HTT: serotonin transporter; 5-HTR1A: 5-HT1A receptor; PET: positron emission tomography; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; 5-HTT: serotoninergic transporter gene; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Wenli Zhu and Yangying Bu: conceptualization, investigation, writing-original draft, final approval; Wenli Zhu and Lijuan Wu: data curation, methodology, writing-original draft, final approval; Junwei Li: writingreview and editing, final approval; Chuanfu Song and Yihui Hao: supervision, writing-review and editing, final approval.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

FUNDING

This research was supported by the projects of Anhui Science and Technology (grant no. 202204295107020065), the Health Commission of Anhui Province (grant no. AHWJ2022b096) and the projects of Wuhu Science and Technology (grant no. 2021jc2-3), and Basic Research on Key Research Programs of Higher Education Institutions in Henan Province (Department of Education of Henan Province) (23A320058).

REFERENCES

 Zou Z, Huang Y, Wang J, He Y, Min W, Chen X, Wang J, Zhou B. Association of childhood trauma and panic symptom severity in panic disorder: Exploring the mediating role of alexithymia. J Affect Disord. 2016; 206:133–9.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.027 PMID:27474959

 Kessler RC, Petukhova M, Sampson NA, Zaslavsky AM, Wittchen H -U. Twelve-month and lifetime prevalence and lifetime morbid risk of anxiety and mood disorders in the United States. Int J Methods Psychiatr Res. 2012; 21:169–84.

https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1359 PMID:22865617

- Hettema JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS. A review and meta-analysis of the genetic epidemiology of anxiety disorders. Am J Psychiatry. 2001; 158:1568–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.10.1568</u> PMID:<u>11578982</u>
- 4. Graeff FG. Translational approach to the pathophysiology of panic disorder: Focus on serotonin

and endogenous opioids. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2017; 76:48–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.10.013

nttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.10.013 PMID:<u>28073587</u>

- Maron E, Shlik J. Serotonin function in panic disorder: important, but why? Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31:1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300880</u> PMID:16132063
- Mochcovitch MD, Nardi AE. Selective serotoninreuptake inhibitors in the treatment of panic disorder: a systematic review of placebo-controlled studies. Expert Rev Neurother. 2010; 10:1285–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1586/ern.10.110</u> PMID:<u>20662754</u>
- Nash JR, Sargent PA, Rabiner EA, Hood SD, Argyropoulos SV, Potokar JP, Grasby PM, Nutt DJ. Serotonin 5-HT1A receptor binding in people with panic disorder: positron emission tomography study. Br J Psychiatry. 2008; 193:229–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.107.041186</u> PMID:<u>18757983</u>
- Rothe C, Gutknecht L, Freitag C, Tauber R, Mössner R, Franke P, Fritze J, Wagner G, Peikert G, Wenda B, Sand P, Jacob C, Rietschel M, et al. Association of a functional 1019C>G 5-HT1A receptor gene polymorphism with panic disorder with agoraphobia. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2004; 7:189–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145703004061</u> PMID:<u>14984628</u>
- Choi WS, Lee BH, Yang JC, Kim YK. Association Study between 5-HT1A Receptor Gene C(-1019)G Polymorphism and Panic Disorder in a Korean Population. Psychiatry Investig. 2010; 7:141–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2010.7.2.141</u> PMID:<u>20577624</u>
- Parks CL, Robinson PS, Sibille E, Shenk T, Toth M. Increased anxiety of mice lacking the serotonin1A receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998; 95:10734–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.18.10734</u> PMID:<u>9724773</u>
- Richardson-Jones JW, Craige CP, Guiard BP, Stephen A, Metzger KL, Kung HF, Gardier AM, Dranovsky A, David DJ, Beck SG, Hen R, Leonardo ED. 5-HT1A autoreceptor levels determine vulnerability to stress and response to antidepressants. Neuron. 2010; 65:40–52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.12.003</u> PMID:20152112
- Kishi T, Okochi T, Tsunoka T, Okumura T, Kitajima T, Kawashima K, Yamanouchi Y, Kinoshita Y, Naitoh H, Inada T, Kunugi H, Kato T, Yoshikawa T, et al. Serotonin 1A receptor gene, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder:

an association study and meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res. 2011; 185:20–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.06.003

PMID:20594600

- Strobel A, Gutknecht L, Rothe C, Reif A, Mössner R, Zeng Y, Brocke B, Lesch KP. Allelic variation in 5-HT1A receptor expression is associated with anxiety- and depression-related personality traits. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2003; 110:1445–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-003-0072-0</u> PMID:<u>14666415</u>
- Zhao X, Huang Y, Li J, Ma H, Jin Q, Wang Y, Wu L, Zhu G. Association between the 5-HT1A receptor gene polymorphism (rs6295) and antidepressants: a meta-analysis. Int Clin Psychopharmacol. 2012; 27:314–20. https://doi.org/10.1097/YIC.0b013e32835818bf PMID:22890315
- Lesch KP, Balling U, Gross J, Strauss K, Wolozin BL, Murphy DL, Riederer P. Organization of the human serotonin transporter gene. J Neural Transm Gen Sect. 1994; 95:157–62. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01276434</u> PMID:7865169
- 16. Heils A, Teufel A, Petri S, Stöber G, Riederer P, Bengel D, Lesch KP. Allelic variation of human serotonin transporter gene expression. J Neurochem. 1996; 66:2621–4. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.1996.66062621.x PMID:<u>8632190</u>
- Abou Al Hassan S, Cutinha D, Mattar L. The impact of COMT, BDNF and 5-HTT brain-genes on the development of anorexia nervosa: a systematic review. Eat Weight Disord. 2021; 26:1323–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40519-020-00978-5</u> PMID:<u>32783113</u>
- López-Echeverri YP, Cardona-Londoño KJ, Garcia-Aguirre JF, Orrego-Cardozo M. Effects of Serotonin Transporter and Receptor Polymorphisms on Depression. Rev Colomb Psiquiatr (Engl Ed). 2021; S0034-7450(21)00135-9. [Epub ahead of print]. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcp.2021.07.006</u> PMID:<u>34493397</u>
- Tommasi M, Sergi MR, Konstantinidou F, Franzago M, Pesce M, Fratta I, Grilli A, Stuppia L, Picconi L, Saggino A, Gatta V. Association of COMT, BDNF and 5-HTT functional polymorphisms with personality characteristics. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed). 2021; 26:1064–74.

https://doi.org/10.52586/5009 PMID:34856753

20. Valderrama J, Miranda R. Early life stress predicts negative urgency through brooding, depending on 5-HTTLPR genotype: A pilot study with 6-month

follow-up examining suicide ideation. Psychiatry Res. 2017; 258:481–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.08.092 PMID:28890225

- Blaya C, Salum GA, Moorjani P, Seganfredo AC, Heldt E, Leistner-Segal S, Smoller JW, Manfro GG. Panic disorder and serotonergic genes (SLC6A4, HTR1A and HTR2A): Association and interaction with childhood trauma and parenting. Neurosci Lett. 2010; 485:11–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2010.08.042</u> PMID:<u>20817074</u>
- 22. Choe AY, Kim B, Lee KS, Lee JE, Lee JY, Choi TK, Lee SH. Serotonergic genes (5-HTT and HTR1A) and separation life events: gene-by-environment interaction for panic disorder. Neuropsychobiology. 2013; 67:192–200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000347084</u> PMID:23635830
- Huang YY, Battistuzzi C, Oquendo MA, Harkavy-Friedman J, Greenhill L, Zalsman G, Brodsky B, Arango V, Brent DA, Mann JJ. Human 5-HT1A receptor C(-1019)G polymorphism and psychopathology. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2004; 7:441–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145704004663</u> PMID:15469667
- Tanahashi S, Tanii H, Konishi Y, Otowa T, Sasaki T, Tochigi M, Okazaki Y, Kaiya H, Okada M. Association of Serotonin Transporter Gene (5-HTTLPR/rs25531) Polymorphism with Comorbidities of Panic Disorder. Neuropsychobiology. 2021; 80:333–41. https://doi.org/10.1159/000512699 PMID:33333511
- 25. Zou Z, Huang Y, Wang J, Min W, Zhou B. The association between serotonin-related gene polymorphisms and susceptibility and early sertraline response in patients with panic disorder. BMC Psychiatry. 2020; 20:388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-020-02790-y</u> PMID:32723321
- 26. Deckert J, Catalano M, Heils A, Di Bella D, Friess F, Politi E, Franke P, Nöthen MM, Maier W, Bellodi L, Lesch KP. Functional promoter polymorphism of the human serotonin transporter: lack of association with panic disorder. Psychiatr Genet. 1997; 7:45–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/00041444-199700710-00008 PMID:9264139
- Ishiguro H, Arinami T, Yamada K, Otsuka Y, Toru M, Shibuya H. An association study between a transcriptional polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene and panic disorder in a Japanese population. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 1997; 51:333–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1819.1997.tb03208.x</u> PMID:<u>9413883</u>
- 28. Matsushita S, Muramatsu T, Kimura M, Shirakawa O, Mita T, Nakai T, Higuchi S. Serotonin transporter gene

regulatory region polymorphism and panic disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 1997; 2:390–2. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.mp.4000303 PMID:<u>9322231</u>

 Ohara K, Suzuki Y, Ochiai M, Tsukamoto T, Tani K, Ohara K. A variable-number-tandem-repeat of the serotonin transporter gene and anxiety disorders. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 1999; 23:55–65.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-5846(98)00091-8 PMID:<u>10368856</u>

- Samochowiec J, Hajduk A, Samochowiec A, Horodnicki J, Stepień G, Grzywacz A, Kucharska-Mazur J. Association studies of MAO-A, COMT, and 5-HTT genes polymorphisms in patients with anxiety disorders of the phobic spectrum. Psychiatry Res. 2004; 128:21–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2004.05.012</u> PMID:<u>15450911</u>
- Maron E, Lang A, Tasa G, Liivlaid L, Tõru I, Must A, Vasar V, Shlik J. Associations between serotoninrelated gene polymorphisms and panic disorder. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2005; 8:261–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145704004985</u> PMID:<u>15670397</u>
- Martínez-Barrondo S, Saiz PA, Morales B, García-Portilla MP, Coto E, Alvarez V, Bobes J. Serotonin gene polymorphisms in patients with panic disorder. Actas Esp Psiquiatr. 2005; 33:210–5. PMID:<u>15999296</u>
- Olesen OF, Bennike B, Hansen ES, Koefoed P, Woldbye DP, Bolwig TG, Mellerup E. The short/long polymorphism in the serotonin transporter gene promoter is not associated with panic disorder in a Scandinavian sample. Psychiatr Genet. 2005; 15:159.

https://doi.org/10.1097/00041444-200509000-00003 PMID:16094247

34. Kim W, Choi YH, Yoon KS, Cho DY, Pae CU, Woo JM. Tryptophan hydroxylase and serotonin transporter gene polymorphism does not affect the diagnosis, clinical features and treatment outcome of panic disorder in the Korean population. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2006; 30:1413–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2006.05.017</u>

PMID:<u>16822601</u>

35. Watanabe T, Ishiguro S, Aoki A, Ueda M, Hayashi Y, Akiyama K, Kato K, Shimoda K. Genetic Polymorphism of 1019C/G (rs6295) Promoter of Serotonin 1A Receptor and Catechol-O-Methyltransferase in Panic Disorder. Psychiatry Investig. 2017; 14:86–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2017.14.1.86</u> PMID:<u>28096880</u>

- 36. Schiele MA, Herzog K, Kollert L, Böhnlein J, Repple J, Rosenkranz K, Leehr EJ, Ziegler C, Lueken U, Dannlowski U, Pauli P, Arolt V, Zwanzger P, et al. Affective temperaments (TEMPS-A) in panic disorder and healthy probands: Genetic modulation by 5-HTT variation. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2020; 21:790–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2019.1705999</u> PMID:<u>31852378</u>
- Blaya C, Salum GA, Lima MS, Leistner-Segal S, Manfro GG. Lack of association between the Serotonin Transporter Promoter Polymorphism (5-HTTLPR) and Panic Disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Behav Brain Funct. 2007; 3:41. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-9081-3-41</u>

PMID:17705872

- Hjorth S, Bengtsson HJ, Kullberg A, Carlzon D, Peilot H, Auerbach SB. Serotonin autoreceptor function and antidepressant drug action. J Psychopharmacol. 2000; 14:177–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/026988110001400208</u> PMID:<u>10890313</u>
- Lemonde S, Turecki G, Bakish D, Du L, Hrdina PD, Bown CD, Sequeira A, Kushwaha N, Morris SJ, Basak A, Ou XM, Albert PR. Impaired repression at a 5hydroxytryptamine 1A receptor gene polymorphism associated with major depression and suicide. J Neurosci. 2003; 23:8788–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-25-08788.2003</u> PMID:14507979
- 40. Albert PR, Lemonde S. 5-HT1A receptors, gene repression, and depression: guilt by association. Neuroscientist. 2004; 10:575–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858404267382</u> PMID:<u>15534042</u>
- Czesak M, Lemonde S, Peterson EA, Rogaeva A, Albert PR. Cell-specific repressor or enhancer activities of Deaf-1 at a serotonin 1A receptor gene polymorphism. J Neurosci. 2006; 26:1864–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2643-05.2006</u> PMID:<u>16467535</u>
- 42. Szewczyk B, Albert PR, Burns AM, Czesak M, Overholser JC, Jurjus GJ, Meltzer HY, Konick LC, Dieter L, Herbst N, May W, Rajkowska G, Stockmeier CA, Austin MC. Gender-specific decrease in NUDR and 5-HT1A receptor proteins in the prefrontal cortex of subjects with major depressive disorder. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009; 12:155–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145708009012</u> PMID:<u>18561871</u>
- 43. Parsey RV, Olvet DM, Oquendo MA, Huang YY, Ogden RT, Mann JJ. Higher 5-HT1A receptor binding potential during a major depressive episode predicts poor treatment response: preliminary data from a

naturalistic study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2006; 31:1745–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.npp.1300992

PMID:16395308

- 44. Riad M, Zimmer L, Rbah L, Watkins KC, Hamon M, Descarries L. Acute treatment with the antidepressant fluoxetine internalizes 5-HT1A autoreceptors and reduces the *in vivo* binding of the PET radioligand [18F]MPPF in the nucleus raphe dorsalis of rat. J Neurosci. 2004; 24:5420–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0950-04.2004</u> PMID:<u>15190115</u>
- 45. Courtney NA, Ford CP. Mechanisms of 5-HT1A receptor-mediated transmission in dorsal raphe serotonin neurons. J Physiol. 2016; 594:953–65. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP271716 PMID:26634643
- 46. Albert PR. Transcriptional regulation of the 5-HT1A receptor: implications for mental illness. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2012; 367:2402–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0376</u> PMID:<u>22826341</u>
- 47. Albert PR, Fiori LM. Transcriptional dys-regulation in anxiety and major depression: 5-HT1A gene promoter architecture as a therapeutic opportunity. Curr Pharm Des. 2014; 20:3738–50. https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113196660740 PMID:24180393
- Gross C, Zhuang X, Stark K, Ramboz S, Oosting R, Kirby L, Santarelli L, Beck S, Hen R. Serotonin1A receptor acts during development to establish normal anxiety-like behaviour in the adult. Nature. 2002; 416:396–400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/416396a</u> PMID:<u>11919622</u>
- 49. Yevtushenko OO, Oros MM, Reynolds GP. Early response to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in panic disorder is associated with a functional 5-HT1A receptor gene polymorphism. J Affect Disord. 2010; 123:308–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.09.007 PMID:19800133
- 50. Ishiguro S, Watanabe T, Ueda M, Saeki Y, Hayashi Y, Akiyama K, Saito A, Kato K, Inoue Y, Shimoda K. Determinants of pharmacodynamic trajectory of the therapeutic response to paroxetine in Japanese patients with panic disorder. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2011; 67:1213–21.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-011-1073-9 PMID:21688171

- 51. Le François B, Czesak M, Steubl D, Albert PR. Transcriptional regulation at a HTR1A polymorphism associated with mental illness. Neuropharmacology. 2008; 55:977–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.06.046</u> PMID:18639564
- Ehli EA, Hu Y, Lengyel-Nelson T, Hudziak JJ, Davies GE. Identification and functional characterization of three novel alleles for the serotonin transporter-linked polymorphic region. Mol Psychiatry. 2012; 17:185–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2010.130</u> PMID:<u>21200389</u>
- 53. Lipsky RH, Hu XZ, Goldman D. Additional functional variation at the SLC6A4 gene. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2009; 150:153. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30766</u> PMID:<u>18444253</u>
- 54. Philibert RA, Sandhu H, Hollenbeck N, Gunter T, Adams W, Madan A. The relationship of 5HTT (SLC6A4) methylation and genotype on mRNA expression and liability to major depression and alcohol dependence in subjects from the Iowa Adoption Studies. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2008; 147:543–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30657 PMID:17987668
- 55. Gorman JM, Kent JM, Sullivan GM, Coplan JD. Neuroanatomical hypothesis of panic disorder, revised. Am J Psychiatry. 2000; 157:493–505. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.4.493</u> PMID:<u>10739407</u>
- 56. Strug LJ, Suresh R, Fyer AJ, Talati A, Adams PB, Li W, Hodge SE, Gilliam TC, Weissman MM. Panic disorder is associated with the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4) but not the promoter region (5-HTTLPR). Mol Psychiatry. 2010; 15:166–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.79</u> PMID:18663369
- 57. Zwanzger P, Domschke K, Bradwejn J. Neuronal network of panic disorder: the role of the neuropeptide cholecystokinin. Depress Anxiety. 2012; 29:762–74.

https://doi.org/10.1002/da.21919 PMID:22553078

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. The characteristics of included studies explore the relationship between the 5-HT1A C-
1019G polymorphism and PD.

	Sample size (Case/control)	Sex (male/female)	Age (mean±SD) (case/control)	Design	Exclusion criteria	Inclusion criteria	Diagnosis criteria	measure to assess symptom severity
Huang et al. (2004)	194 (87/107)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned 39.0±15.0	Case- control study	Drug that may affect 5-HT1A Binding was excluded.	-	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Rothe et al. (2004)	268 (134/134)	98/169	37.3±10.8 41.0±11.0	Case- control study	-	Patients with predominant panic disorder. The controls were unrelated, anonymous blood donors.	DSM -IIIR / DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Carolina et al. (2010)	232 (107/125)	62/170	39.94±10.17	Case- control study	-	 >90% genotype call rate; minor allele frequency (MAF) >5%; Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium p > 0.001. Three duplicates were assessed in the experiment and 100% concordance in genotypes was seen. 	the Mini International Neuropsychiatry Interview (MINI) – Brazilian version	Not mentioned
Choi et al. (2010)	155 (94/111)	104/101	40.1±9.5, 38.3±7.3	Case- control study	 Panic disorder patients who had comorbidity with mood disorders or other psychiatric disorders were excluded. The patients who had a family history of psychiatric disorders were excluded except for anxiety disorders. The patients who had medical diseases were also excluded. 	-	DSM -IV	STAI, PDSS, ASI, API, HAMA
Choe et al. (2012)	366 (194/172)	133/181	18–75	Case- control study	Exclusion criteria included any history of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, alcohol and substance abuse or dependence, mental retardation, and current or past serious medical or neurological disorders.	-	DSM -IV	ASI-R
Takashi et al. (2017)	238 (119/119)	46/152	35.45±9.50 35.11±9.95	Case- control study	 axis I diagnosis other than PD; 2) axis II diagnosis; 3) severe physical illness or major laboratory test abnormalities; 4) suicide risk; 5) history of substance abuse 	-	DSM-IV-TR	Not mentioned

Zou et al. 464 Not 35.65 ± 9.77 (2020) (233/231) mentioned 36.96 ± 7.82 Case- control study disorder (GAD), manic disorder, schizophrenia or any other psychiatric	- DSM-IV	PDSS
---	----------	------

	Sample size	Sex (male/ female)	Age (mean±SD) (years)		- Design	Exclusion criteria	Inclusion criteria	Diagnosis	Measure to assess
	(case/ control)		Case	Control	5			criteria	symptom severity
Deckert I (1997)	175 (85/90)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned		Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- III-R criteria for panic disorder. The controls who had unrelated 	DSM - III-R	Not mentioned
Deckert II (1997)	152 (73/79)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned		Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- III-R criteria for panic disorder. The controls who had unrelated 	DSM - III-R	Not mentioned
Ishiguro (1997)	216 (66/150)	30/33 70/80	27-79	25-74	Case- control study	-	1) Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder.	DSM - III-R	Not mentioned
Matsushita (1997)	299 (86/213)	54/32 96/117	37.0 ± 12.1	37.7 ± 13.1	Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- III-R criteria for panic disorder. The controls who had unrelated 	DSM - III-R	Not mentioned
Ohara (1999)	133 (27/106)	11/16 47/59	38.7±10.5	35.6±11.6	Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder. The controls had no history of psychiatric diseases or psychotropic medication in the past. 	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Samochowiec (2004)	357 (191 /166)	54 /148	38.7±11.8	35.9±14.3	Case- control study	 Subjects with a history of a primary major psychiatric disorder or substance dependence other than nicotine dependence were excluded 	-	ICD-10	Not mentioned
Barrondo (2005)	238 (119/119)	28/64 67/107	35.87±12.38	38.40 ±8.94	Case- control study	-	1) Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder.	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Maron (2005)	373 (158/215)	32/ 126 56/159	38.0±12.9	39.8±13.0	Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder. Only healthy subjects without personal or family history of psychiatric disorders among first-degree relatives were included. 	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Olesen (2005)	212 (104/108)	28/76 30/78	Not mentioned		Case- control study	-	 Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder. Patients were unrelated Scandinavians with a Caucasian genetic back- ground 	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Kim (2006)	471 (244/227)	143/101 102 /125	36.1 ± 9.0	33.1 ± 9.1	Case- control study	-	ground. 1) Patients met the DSM- IV criteria for panic disorder. 2) Patients with comorbid major depressive disorder were also included.	DSM -IV	Not mentioned

Supplementary Table 2. The characteristics of included studies explore the relationship between the 5-HTTPLR polymorphism and PD.

Choe (2013)	357 (191 /166)	Not mentioned	18–75		Case- control study	 any history of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder alcohol and substance abuse or dependence, mental retardation, and current or past serious medical or neurological disorders 	-	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Watanabe (2017)	238 (119/119)	43/76 43/76	35.45±9.50	35.11±9.95	Case- control study	 axis I diagnosis other than PD; axis II diagnosis; severe physical illness or major laboratory test abnormalities; suicide risk; history of substance abuse. 	-	DSM -IV-TR	Not mentioned
Schiele (2019)	287 (109/536)	40/ 69 153/383	36.2±10.9	25.2±5.6	Case- control study	1) past or present diagnosis of any DSM-IV axis I disorder as ascertained by the Mini International Psychiatric Interview or severe neurological or somatic disorders.	-	DSM -IV	Not mentioned
Zou (2020)	464 (233 / 231)	92/141 98 /133	35.65±9.77	36.96±7.82	Case- control study	-	 All subjects were free of acute or chronic somatic disorders. All patients were free of antidepressants or other psychotropic medications intake within 2 weeks before their examination. 	DSM -IV	PDSS
Tanahashi (2021)	955 (515/440)	148/367 146/294	38.72±10.26	37.83±10.82	Case- control study	 subjects who had lost consciousness due to major physical or neurological disorders or had alcohol abuse, substance abuse, or head trauma were excluded. 	-	DSM -IV	Not mentioned